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The solid state magnetic properties of an extensive series of neutral radical (S = 1/2) complexes associating cyclopentadienyl (Cp) and dithiolene ligands and formulated as [CpNi(dithiolene)]+, are successfully rationalized through a combination of structural analysis of the crystal structures and broken symmetry DFT calculations. The highly delocalized spin density of these complexes allows for strong antiferromagnetic interactions between radical species, which involve not only short intermolecular S⋯S contacts but also S⋯Cp and Cp⋯Cp contacts, demonstrating that the cyclopentadienyl moiety can effectively act as a non-innocent ligand in metal complexes where it bears a sizeable fraction of the spin density, for example, up to 20% in these [CpNi(dithiolene)]+ neutral radical complexes.

Introduction

Since the electronic properties of magnetic solids, beyond the Curie-type behaviour of non-interacting spins, result essentially from exchange interactions between paramagnetic centres, the control of their solid state organisation is crucial to understanding and eventually anticipating these properties. In that respect, crystal engineering strategies are not only legitimate but strongly needed if one works with molecular compounds. The only limitation to these combined approaches in the domain of molecular magnetism lies in the different energy ranges which control the solid state organisation on the one hand, and the magnetic behaviour on the other hand.

For example, the energy of a normal hydrogen bond amounts to 5 to 10 kcal mol−1 for water or carboxylic acid dimers, respectively,1 that is, from 1750 to 3500 cm−1. For comparison, within the prototypical copper acetate dimer,2 the singlet state is stabilised relatively to the triplet state by a ten times smaller energy (≈300 cm−1), albeit such a magnetic interaction will be considered as a strong one in molecular magnetism. One can therefore anticipate that apparently negligible structural modifications will induce important changes in the magnetic
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response and that a precise control of crystal engineering strategies is needed to really anticipate the magnetic behaviour of molecular magnetic materials (MMM).

Historically, several approaches have been considered for the elaboration of such materials. One of them has concentrated on purely organic radicals such as triaryl methyl, nitro, nitroxydyl nitroxides, or verdazyl species, characterised by weak but sometimes ferromagnetic interactions. Attempts to control their solid state organisation have been described, relying on hydrogen bonding, halogen bonding or chirality and organic ferromagnets were also reported.

Another approach towards MMM involves the coordination and inorganic chemistry of paramagnetic metal centres, as beautifully illustrated by the first rationally synthesised ferromagnetic di-nuclear compound, a CuV=O complex. The recent developments of this field toward single molecule magnets (SMM), single chain magnets (SCM) also make use of this approach, and are based on weak magnetic interactions between metallic centres with well defined oxidation states, through oxo, oxalato, carboxylato, cyanato or azido bridges.

The combination of both organic and inorganic approaches, using the organic radicals as ligands to coordinate metal centres, affords, for example, the very first isolated SCM where nitronyl nitroxide radicals coordinate M(hfac)₂ species through their oxygen atoms. This approach was also extended to radical anion species such as TCNQ⁻ or TCNE⁻ whose metallic salts—coordinated through the nitrile substituents—exhibit high Curie temperatures.

Besides these three approaches, paramagnetic organometallic derivatives were also considered, as for example in (Cp*₂Fe)(TCNE), the first molecular magnet described by Miller and characterized by a face-to-face overlap between the Cp* and TCNE moieties. In these series, the magnetic properties are now directly related to this overlap and to the nature of the spin density on the Cp ring. While the ferricinium salt exhibits a ferromagnetic behaviour attributed to a weak negative spin density on the Cp, the analogous nickelocenium cation is characterised by a large positive spin density on the Cp which favours a direct antiferromagnetic interaction with the TCNE⁻ radical anion. Such organometallic radical species, particularly if they involve non-innocent ligands, can therefore exhibit a highly delocalized spin density. Accordingly, strong intermolecular magnetic interactions can be anticipated, provided that direct overlaps between the radical species are favoured.

For simple cases, or as a first approximation, hints about the nature of the magnetic interaction can be provided by semi-empirical models. Following the basic rules of Goodenough and Kanamori or the most developed version of Kahn-Briat, strong overlap between the wave functions of the unpaired electrons correspond to a strong antiferromagnetic interaction. On the other hand, a ferromagnetic interaction is expected between unpaired electrons based on orthogonal orbitals. Such tools are still very useful to rationally design new magnetic compounds. However, when there are many paramagnetic centres, when the orbitals of the unpaired electron are unknown or simply when a quantitative approach is needed, theoretical calculations of the exchange interaction paths can be an answer even if the modelisation of magnetic properties still remains today one of the hardest theoretical and computational challenges. The experimental determination of the temperature dependence of the magnetic susceptibility does not give much molecular insight on the magnetic properties as it indicates only the global population of the different spin states. If many exchange interactions take place in the system, there will be too many variables to simply fit the experimental data, and, in many cases it will be hazardous, if not impossible, to predict which intermolecular contacts are responsible for the different spin states energies. Also, the energy differences between those spin states are usually very small when compared with the so called “weak” supramolecular interactions which held molecules together in a solid. Therefore, the computation of the exchange interactions requires high precision calculations.

Besides this technical difficulty, there is also an inherent problem associated with the computation of exchange interactions as all the open shell spin states, other than the high spin one, are multi-configurational by nature, i.e. described by a combination of spin distributions, and should be calculated by adapted methods. When the system is small, one can use post Hartree–Fock treatments like the difference dedicated configuration interaction approach (DDCI), developed by Malrieu, to compute the fundamental orbitals.
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a hybrid functional like B3LYP,\textsuperscript{38} can quantitatively reproduce the exchange interactions of many systems, even with many paramagnetic centres.\textsuperscript{39,40} The question then arises if these theoretical approaches used up to now for evaluating in a quantitative way the exchange coupling interactions between metallic spins essentially localized in $d$ orbitals, can also be applied to molecular organic or organometallic systems in which the spin density is now strongly delocalized. In the following, we will show that such DFT calculations are effectively a very useful, cheap and reliable way to investigate the strength of intermolecular magnetic interactions in molecular magnetic materials.

To illustrate this interplay between the solid state structures adopted by highly delocalised organometallic radical species and their magnetic behaviour, we have chosen an extended series of neutral radical organometallic dithiolene complexes, associating both a cyclopentadienyl and a non-innocent dithiolate ligand and formulated as \([\text{CpNi(dithiolene)}]\).\textsuperscript{31} We have recently developed efficient synthetic methods to prepare these formally \(\text{Ni(III)}, 17\)-electron, \(S = \frac{1}{2}\) complexes,\textsuperscript{42,43} and more than 20 of these complexes have been reported by now, together with their solid state structural characterization and their magnetic behaviour. Each radical complex is characterized by a spin density distribution, and in the solid state, by numerous short intermolecular contacts. We present here a strategy aimed at identifying unambiguously the pertinent interactions which are responsible for their magnetic behaviour in the solid state. We will particularly demonstrate that not only short intermolecular $S\cdots S$ contacts but also $S\cdots \text{Cp}$ and most surprising $\text{Cp}\cdots \text{Cp}$ face-to-face contacts can contribute to the observed magnetic interactions.

**Homo- and heteroleptic dithiolene complexes**

Dithiolene complexes\textsuperscript{44,45} are based on the non-innocent ethylene-1,2-dithiolate $R_2\text{C}_2\text{S}_2^{2-}$ ($dt$) ligand, substituted with various $R$ groups (Scheme 1), electron-withdrawing substituents such as $-\text{CN}$ or CF$_3$, thioalkyl groups as in dmit (1,3-dithiole-2-thione-4,5-dithiolato)\textsuperscript{46} or dddt (5,6-dihydro-1,4-dithiine-2,3-dithiolato), fused rings as in bdt (1,2-benzendithiolato), electron-releasing groups such $-\text{Me}$ or $-\text{Ph}$. Square planar metal complexes of the Ni triad exhibit a rich electrochemistry,\textsuperscript{47} with $[\text{M(dt)}_2]^+$ complexes known in four different oxidation states with \(n = -2, -1, 0\) or $+1$, and the $S = \frac{1}{2}$ mono-anionic $[\text{Ni(dt)}_2]^{2-}$ radical complexes such as $[\text{Ni(mnt)}]^{2-}$ (Scheme 1) have been extensively investigated for their magnetic properties.\textsuperscript{48} in solution as well as in the solid state.\textsuperscript{46,49}

Because of the planarity of the system, these complexes most often stack on top of each other. Combined with the \(\pi\) character of their frontier orbitals, this leads to a strong direct antiferromagnetic interaction between the radical species, either within isolated dyads or in extended uniform or alternated chains.\textsuperscript{48} On the other hand, these radical complexes, particularly when substituted with sulfur rich groups as in $[\text{Ni(dmit)}]^{2-}$ or $[\text{Ni(dddt)}]^{2-}$ (Scheme 1) can also crystallise into mixed-valence stacks or slabs where the strong intermolecular antiferromagnetic interactions lead to the formation of partially occupied conduction bands with a sizeable dispersion, allowing for the observation of metallic and even superconducting behaviour.\textsuperscript{49,50} As the frontier orbitals (HOMO and LUMO) in these complexes are strongly delocalised on the dithiolene ligands, and particularly on the sulfur atoms,\textsuperscript{51} the identification of “short” $S\cdots S$ intermolecular contacts offers a preliminary analysis of pertinent intermolecular interactions. For such conducting materials, tight-binding extended Hückel (EH) calculations were systematically used to calculate overlap interaction energies ($\beta$) and the associated band structures.\textsuperscript{52,53} If we are now in the presence of insulating salts, these EH calculations can still be

**Scheme 1** Examples of square planar, homoletic, radical anion, nickel dithiolene complexes.

**Scheme 2** Examples of heteroleptic paramagnetic dithiolene complexes and their geometry.
used to determine β values related to the antiferromagnetic interactions between radical species. Indeed, in a Hubbard model, the exchange integral J between radicals is related to the β/U ratio, where β is the above-mentioned interaction energy between SOMOs and U the energy difference between the (singlet or triplet) ground state configuration and a charge transfer configuration. This approach to evaluate J values in insulating paramagnetic complexes finds however rapidly its limitations when the interactions between radical species are weak.

It is particularly the case in various series of heteroleptic dithiolene complexes associating cyclopentadienyl rings and dithiolene ligands (Scheme 2), of general formula [CpM(dt)ₙ]. Among them, radical paramagnetic species are essentially found with, (i) the group 6 metal centres (Mo, W) with a formal d₁ structure in [Cp₂M(dt)]⁺ or [CpM(dt)₂]⁻ species, (ii) [CpNi(dt)]⁺ complexes which are formally d⁷ Ni(III) species.58

These heteroleptic radical complexes are not planar anymore like their homoleptic analogues, and therefore can not easily interact in the solid state through the classical stacking. As a consequence, the overlap interactions between radical species are much weaker and therefore more sensitive to the details of the spin density distribution within the radical on the one hand, and to the variety of intermolecular contacts which settle in the crystalline state on the other hand. In these salts, the J values determined experimentally from magnetic measurements have been related in some cases to the calculated (EH) β values, providing only a qualitative agreement. Furthermore, the series of d₁ Mo and W [Cp₂M(dt)]⁺ or [CpM(dt)₂]⁻ are characterized by strong distortions of the MS₂C₂ metallocycles (Scheme 2 right). The variable folding along the S–S hinge observed in these complexes depends on the counter-ions and the details of the solid state structures. As a consequence, the SOMO of a given complex is different for every folding angle, introducing an added element of complexity in attempts to estimate the spin density distribution and extent of magnetic interactions. We will therefore concentrate in the following on the formally Ni(III) [CpNi(dt)]⁺ complexes. They are particularly attractive for several reasons:

(i) they are reversibly reduced to the d⁸ anion and reversibly oxidized to the formally d⁷ cation, and are stable in a large electrochemical potential window; they are air stable and well crystallised,

(ii) they all adopt the same molecular geometry with an unfolded metallacycle and with the Cp ring perpendicular to the dithiolene mean plane,

(iii) since they are neutral and unsolvated in their crystalline forms, the absence of any counter-ion or solvent molecule limits the possible paths of intermolecular interactions to only direct exchange mechanisms,

(iv) the extent of delocalization of the spin density between the Cp, the nickel and the dithiolene moieties was found to depend strongly on the nature of the dithiolene ligand.

They therefore offer a magnificent playground to evaluate the nature and strength of different overlap situations encountered in organometallic solid state chemistry, as revealed below. A large number of such [CpNi(dt)]⁺ complexes (Scheme 3) have been prepared so far, differing only in the nature of the substituents on the two carbon atoms of the metallacycle.

As we will see below, they adopt various structural motifs in the solid state, associated with characteristic magnetic behaviour. In some cases, they exhibit structural properties characteristic of homoleptic dithiolene complexes, that is a combination of (i) face-to-face π–π type and (ii) lateral S–S interactions. However, the presence of the cyclopentadienyl ring opens new possibilities, such as (iii) Cp–S and (iv) Cp–Cp short contacts. In the following, we will describe concomitantly their structural and magnetic properties, according to the four different interaction patterns described above, with an emphasis on the role provided here by the “organometallic” cyclopentadienyl ring on the magnetic properties.

The various solid state and magnetic structures of [CpNi(dithiolene)] complexes

Face-to-face overlap

The square-planar [Ni(dt)₂]⁺ complexes exhibit a strong tendency to stack on top of each other, either two-by-two in their radical anion state, or into infinite 1-D chains in their partially oxidized conducting salts.59,60,61 The steric requirement of the Cp ring, perpendicular to the dithiolene mean plane, limits in most cases this overlap motif. The examples provided by the solid state structures of, (i) [CpNi(mnt)] with a flat dithiolene moiety, (ii) [CpNi(F₅ddt)] and

![Scheme 3](image)
[CpNi(oxddt)] with strongly distorted dithiolene moieties on the other hand, illustrate however the different ways in which such a face-to-face overlap can still take place, with its associated singlet–triplet magnetic behaviour. As shown in Fig. 1, the radical [CpNi(mnt)] complexes organize in the solid state into alternated 1-D chains, with two different overlap patterns, noted A and B in the following.

Pattern A describes inversion-centred dyads with a short plane-to-plane distance (3.65 Å) while pattern B is associated with an apparently less favourable criss-cross overlap of the mnt moieties lying in non-parallel planes, further characterized by a large Ni···S distance of 4.34 Å. The temperature dependence of the magnetic susceptibility of a powder sample shows a singlet–triplet behaviour and a strong antiferromagnetic interaction ($J = -240$ cm$^{-1}$), which indicates that one of the two overlap patterns dominates the magnetic behaviour. In order to discriminate between the two A and B possibilities, the exchange coupling constants were calculated by broken symmetry DFT as detailed above, affording $J_A = +2.6$ cm$^{-1}$ and $J_B = -160$ cm$^{-1}$. It follows that pattern B is unambiguously identified as the origin of the strong antiferromagnetic interaction. Observation of the spin density distribution in [CpNi(mnt)] (Fig. 2) gives a rationale for this apparently surprising behaviour, as the spin density is essentially localised on the sulfur (17% each) and Ni atom (43%), with a sizeable contribution of the Cp ring (21%). Despite the short plane-to-plane distance in the A overlap pattern, Ni···S contacts are absent and S···S distances exceed 4.27 Å. On the other hand, the two Ni···S contacts in overlap B are clearly at the origin of the strong antiferromagnetic interaction.

As shown in Fig. 3, [CpNi(F2pddt)] with its seven-member ring and [CpNi(oxddt)] with an eight-member ring offer another alternative to face-to-face overlap due to the strong distortions of the seven- and eight-member rings in the dithiolene moieties which hinders any other inter-dyad intermolecular contacts. Because of the steric requirement of the Cp moiety, the inter-planar distances are rather large, as also the Ni···S and S···S intermolecular distances. As a consequence, the singlet–triplet magnetic behaviour observed in both complexes denotes only weak intermolecular interactions, characterized indeed with experimental $J$ values of $-29$ or $-8$ cm$^{-1}$ for [CpNi(oxddt)] and [CpNi(F2pddt)], respectively.

**Lateral S···S contacts**

In those situations where the face-to-face overlap of the dithiolene moieties is not possible anymore, these sulfur-containing molecules might still interact strongly through lateral S···S intermolecular contacts. These lateral interactions are of paramount importance in the field of molecular conductors such as TMTTF and BEDT-TTF salts since they allow for lateral interactions between conducting stacks and therefore control the degree of delocalization between stacks, up to a point where truly two-dimensional (2-D) electronic structures are found. The setting of such 2-D structures with their associated closed Fermi surfaces completely suppresses the Peierls transition characteristic of 1D systems and...
allows for the apparition of superconductivity in numerous materials, such as those derived from BEDT-TTF, [Ni(dmit)] or [Ni(dddt)] salts. Such lateral S···S interactions also develop here in the corresponding radical [CpNi(dddt)] and [CpNi(dmit)] complexes, in their selenium analogues as well as in the benzene tetrathiolate derivative [CpNi(bdtotd)] (Scheme 2). They are all characterized by the presence of chalcogen atoms, not only in the metallacycle as in any [CpNi(dt)] complex, but also in the fused five- or six-member ring. As shown in Fig. 4, the solid state organization of [CpNi(dddt)] is dominated by chains running along c, with numerous lateral S···S contacts between the two crystallographically independent molecules.

This analysis of the solid state organisation was confirmed by the temperature dependence of the magnetic susceptibility, with a susceptibility maximum at 27 K and a singlet ground state. It was properly fitted by an alternated spin chain model, where the antiferromagnetic interaction within the chain is characterized by two exchange couplings J and Jα with 0 < α < 1, affording here for [CpNi(dddt)] J = −45 cm⁻¹ and α = 0.7. It is important to note here that the spin density distribution calculated for [CpNi(dddt)] (Fig. 5) differs to some extent from that of [CpNi(mnt)] mentioned above. Indeed, sizeable coefficients are now found on the C=S; dithiolenic moiety, at the expense of the Ni atoms and Cp rings where the spin density decreases, respectively, from 40 and 20% in [CpNi(mnt)] down to 30 and 10% in [CpNi(dddt)].

As shown in Fig. 6, a similar solid state organization with molecules interacting laterally through S···S contacts is also found in [CpNi(dmit)]. However, these interactions develop not only in one direction but in two, giving rise to a layered structure, with three different interactions, noted a (along a), b and c (along b) in Fig. 6.

For the purpose of this highlight, all possible exchange interactions in the [CpNi(dmit)] structure were calculated by exactly the same DFT procedure and computational details employed previously for the [CpNi(mnt)], [CpNi(tfd)] and [CpNi(adt)] complexes. The calculated exchange couplings in the layer, Jα, Jβ and Jγ are found, respectively, at −21, −1 and −22 cm⁻¹, confirming the presence of two notable antiferromagnetic interactions within the layers through S···S contacts. The two relatively strong interactions are ascribed to (i) the large spin density observed in the Mulliken population analysis on the dithiolene moiety, who hosts almost 55% of the unpaired electron compared to 30% on the nickel atom and 15% on the Cp ring, and (ii) short intermolecular S···S distances, between 3.6 and 4.0 Å (for interactions a and c). Just as expected for direct exchange interactions, the coupling constants decrease drastically when the overlap between the dithiolene moieties is weaker, as shown in the comparison of the spin density distributions for interactions a and b in Fig. 7.

The temperature dependence of the magnetic susceptibility of [CpNi(dmit)] indicated large antiferromagnetic interactions, with a Curie–Weiss temperature θdmit of −66 K and a susceptibility maximum at 47 K. Below 20 K, the susceptibility becomes field dependent, the signature of an antiferromagnetic (AF) ground state with TN = 20 K. Since such an ordered state is typically a three-dimensional state, it can only be understood if a coupling between the magnetic planes exists. A closer inspection of the structure of [CpNi(dmit)] has shown that the outer thiocarbonyl C=S group of the dmit ligand points toward the centre of the Cp ring of a neighbouring molecule, located in a parallel plane (Fig. 8). We found that the corresponding calculated exchange coupling Jd amounts here to −3 cm⁻¹. This interaction is associated to a non-zero spin density on the sulfur atom of the thiocarbonyl group (5%) and a sizeable spin density on the Cp ring (15%). Albeit weak, this Jd value is still one tenth of the intra-layer J value while a 10⁻¹ ratio has been reported to be already sufficient to induce a 3D ordering. The identification of this...
interaction and the determination of its strength provide now a rationale for the reported antiferromagnetic ground state in \([\text{CpNi(dmit)}]\).

Furthermore, the calculations indicated that within the layers, the radical species are interacting in an almost perfect quadratic layer as the calculated \(J_a\) and \(J_c\) parameters are essentially identical while \(J_b\) is close to zero. In order to test this assumption, the experimental data (Fig. 9) were successfully fitted with the expression reported by Lines\(^\text{73}\) for a \(S = \frac{1}{2}\) species in a quadratic layer magnetic structure, together with the contribution of a weak temperature independent paramagnetism (\(\chi_0\)), affording \(\chi_0 = 5 \times 10^{-4} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1}\) and \(J_a = J_c = -35 \text{ cm}^{-1}\), in agreement with the calculated values (−22 cm\(^{-1}\)) mentioned above.

Thus, the DFT calculations reported here for \([\text{CpNi(dmit)}]\) have not only allowed us to fully rationalise its magnetic behaviour but they have also evidenced a very rare example (see below) where a direct interaction between a dithiolene and a Cp ring is responsible for a sizeable antiferromagnetic interaction, despite the weak spin densities on both this S atom (5%) and the Cp ring (15%).

**Cp···S interactions**

The involvement of the Cp ring in magnetic interactions is also characteristic of \([\text{CpNi(tfd)}]\) where chains of complexes running along \(a\) (Fig. 10) are associated with an almost face-to-face interaction between the Cp and the fluorinated tfd ligand with the shortest \(C_{\text{CP}}\cdot\cdot\cdot S\) intermolecular distances found at 3.767(5) and 3.674(4) \(\text{Å}\).\(^\text{61}\) In the \(b\) direction, molecules alternate in a head-to-tail fashion with the shortest intermolecular \(S\cdot\cdot\cdot S\) contact at the long 4.249(1) \(\text{Å}\) distance, excluding any sizeable interaction along this direction.

Such description corresponds to a uniform spin chain, as confirmed indeed by the temperature dependence of the magnetic susceptibility. It is characterized indeed by a rounded susceptibility maximum at 40 K and is properly fitted by an analytical expression given for such Bonner–Fisher systems with \(J = -43 \text{ cm}^{-1}\).\(^\text{64}\) DFT calculations of the corresponding exchange coupling between two molecules give \(J_{\text{calc}} = -30 \text{ cm}^{-1}\) and confirm that the face-to-face \(\pi\cdot\pi\) type of interaction between a Cp and dithiolene...
moieties is the only interaction responsible for the sizeable antiferromagnetic interaction.

We want to stress here that the ability of the Cp rings to interact with other’s flat systems is not observed in the metalloccenes themselves which adopt the so-called “herring-bone” structures with Cp rings almost perpendicular to each other. Only an electron transfer reaction with acceptor molecules such as TCNE has allowed stacking of the metalloccenes, alternating with the TCNE− radical anions into chains with Cp⋯TCNE interactions. In that respect, the originality of the [CpNi(dt)] radical complexes described here is further illustrated below as direct Cp⋯Cp intermolecular interactions were also identified in several systems.

Direct Cp⋯Cp interactions

Indeed, The X-ray crystal structures of [CpNi(adt)] on the one hand, and [CpNi(bdt)] on the other hand, revealed in every complex a face-to-face Cp⋯Cp organization (Fig. 11), besides other short intermolecular contacts. Both complexes are characterized by strong antiferromagnetic interactions, with a singlet-triplet behaviour and associated $J_{\text{exp}}$ values of $-370$ and $-280 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ for [CpNi(adt)] and [CpNi(bdt)], respectively. DFT calculations of all possible exchange coupling pathways between one [CpNi(adt)] and the neighbouring molecules afforded a $J_{\text{Cp⋯Cp}}$ of $-143 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ while all other calculated interactions range between $+6$ and $-0.6 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, demonstrating that the Cp⋯Cp face-to-face contact is the only interaction at the origin of the strong antiferromagnetic coupling observed in [CpNi(adt)]. Similar calculations for the Cp⋯Cp interaction were also performed on [CpNi(bdt)] and its the selenium analogue, [CpNi(bds)].

Spin density distributions

As mentioned above, the analogous diselenolate derivatives were also investigated in order to experimentally access the spin density distribution in these complexes by EPR through hyperfine coupling with $^{77}\text{Se}$. Natural abundance of $^{77}\text{Se}$ was however not sufficient and the $^{77}\text{Se}$-enriched molecular [CpNi($^{77}\text{Se-dsit}$)] and [CpNi($^{77}\text{Se-bds}$)] complexes (Scheme 4) were prepared and investigated by solution EPR. Comparison of the experimental $^{77}\text{Se}$ couplings with the atomic constants gave a Se spin density with appreciable p-character ($\rho_p = 14\%$), which increases to 16% on the Se atoms of the [CpNi(dsit)] complex. The DFT calculations confirm these results and indicate a larger spin density on the Cp ring in the bdt/bds system (17–20%) than in the dmit/dsit one (13–17%). Using the DFT strategy, different basis sets and functionals were used by Geoffroy to estimate the exchange coupling $J$ values in the bdt and bds complexes. Note that other experimental approaches to the spin density can be considered, polarised neutron diffraction on the one hand, paramagnetic NMR on the other hand.

It should also be stressed at this point that the dddt and dmit complexes appear indeed to be intrinsically different from most other dithiolene ligands due to their ability to strongly delocalise the spin density on the dithiolene moieties. Indeed, as shown in Table 1, the calculated...
The paramagnetic neutral metallocenes behaviour contrasts strongly with that of the magnetic susceptibility. Revealed by the temperature dependence of strong antiferromagnetic interactions resulting from the overlap of the Cp ring are clearly responsible for the complexes, a direct Cp overlap. For example, in the CpNi(bdt) or CpNi(adt) complexes, a direct Cp overlap combined with a large spin density on the tfd or bdt ligands, for example, are characterised by a spin density fraction on the Cp ring as high as 23%, which explains that Cp⋯S or Cp⋯S contacts can be at the origin of strong antiferromagnetic interactions. For example, in the CpNi(bdt) or CpNi(adt) complexes, a direct Cp⋯Cp overlap combined with a large spin density on the Cp ring are clearly responsible for the strong antiferromagnetic interaction revealed by the temperature dependence of the magnetic susceptibility.

As already mentioned above, this behaviour contrasts strongly with that of the paramagnetic neutral metallocenes which do not exhibit such direct Cp⋯Cp overlap. Of a particular note in that respect are the cyanocyclopentadienyl nickelocene and cobaltocene derivatives [CpCN]Ni and [CpCN]Co recently reported by Köhler et al. (Scheme 5) where a positive spin density on the CpCN moiety is associated with a similar face-to-face (CpCN)⋯(CpCN) overlap to afford an antiferromagnetic interaction which develops into uniform spin chains with J = −28 and −10 cm⁻¹ for the cyano nickelocene and cobaltocene derivatives, respectively.

### Table 1 Mulliken spin density distribution in selected [CpNi(dithiolene)] complexes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complex</th>
<th>Cp</th>
<th>Ni</th>
<th>2 × S Others</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CpNi(mmnt)</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CpNi(tfd)</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CpNi(adt)</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CpNi(bdt)</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CpNi(dmit)</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CpNi(dddt)</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mulliken spin density distribution shows larger fractions of the spin density on the sulfur atoms than in the other complexes, while the tfd or bdt complexes, for example, are characterised by a spin density fraction on the Cp ring as high as 23%, which explains that Cp⋯S or Cp⋯S contacts can be at the origin of strong antiferromagnetic interactions. For example, in the CpNi(bdt) or CpNi(adt) complexes, a direct Cp⋯Cp overlap combined with a large spin density on the Cp ring are clearly responsible for the strong antiferromagnetic interaction revealed by the temperature dependence of the magnetic susceptibility.

As already mentioned above, this behaviour contrasts strongly with that of the paramagnetic neutral metallocenes which do not exhibit such direct Cp⋯Cp overlap. Of a particular note in that respect are the cyanocyclopentadienyl nickelocene and cobaltocene derivatives [CpCN]Ni and [CpCN]Co recently reported by Köhler et al. (Scheme 5) where a positive spin density on the CpCN moiety is associated with a similar face-to-face (CpCN)⋯(CpCN) overlap to afford an antiferromagnetic interaction which develops into uniform spin chains with J = −28 and −10 cm⁻¹ for the cyano nickelocene and cobaltocene derivatives, respectively.

### Conclusions and perspectives

We have shown that the extensive series of radical, neutral [CpNi(dithiolene)] complexes described here offer an invaluable opportunity to evaluate in a precise manner the different paths of magnetic coupling interactions in the solid, as no counter-ion or included solvent are present in these well crystallised, air stable, rigid radical complexes. In most of the examples reported here, the solid state structures adopted by every complex were essentially the result of close packing rules, van der Waals dispersion forces and eventually weak C⋯H⋯(O,N,S) interactions since the various substituents on the dithiolate ligand (thioalkyl, aryliodonium, nitrile, ester, trifluoromethyl, ...) can not be considered as strong “structure-directing” motifs. In that respect, the crystal engineer has at his disposal many efficient tools such as hydrogen bonding, halogen bonding, segregation of amphiphilic molecules, or chirality to affect and eventually control the solid state organisation. For example, dithiolate ligands functionalised with hydrogen bonding donor or acceptor groups, or with chiral substituents can now be considered for the preparation of the corresponding [CpNi(dithiolene)] complexes.

Also, these broken symmetry DFT calculations, usually employed for the evaluation of magnetic coupling interactions in polymeric complexes with spin densities strongly localised on the metal centres, appear as a very useful, cheap and reliable tool for the investigation of such paramagnetic molecular complexes with strongly delocalised spin densities. In the course of our work on the [CpNi(dithiolene)]⁺ series, we have, for the first time, experimentally identified and theoretically confirmed the occurrence of strong antiferromagnetic interactions only attributable to direct Cp⋯Cp overlaps. Their efficiency in these [CpNi(dithiolene)] complexes is rooted here in the large spin density (up to 23%) present on the Cp ring, which endows him with a typical non-innocent character. This attractive peculiarity might not be restricted to these specific series and other complexes are now worth investigating for this purpose. Another attractive feature related to the non-innocent character of the Cp ligand is also the predicted possibility of ferromagnetic coupling through such Cp⋯Cp interactions.
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