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The ARSIS Concept in Image Fusion: an Answer to Users Needs

Lucien Wald, Thierry Ranchin
Ecole des Mines de Paris, BP 207, 06904 Sophia Antipolis, France, lucien.wald@ensmp.fr

Abstract – The ARSIS concept (from its French name “amélioration de la résolution spatiale par injection de structures” that means improvement of the spatial resolution by structure injection) is briefly presented. It offers a framework for the synthesis of multi-modality images at highest spatial resolution by fusion of two sets of images. This concept is comprised of three types of models. These different types are introduced and a set of solutions for implementation proposed. Four solutions are detailed and applied to a satellite Ikonos image of the city of Hasselt, Belgium. The fusion products are analyzed visually and quantitatively. These analyses enhance the benefits of offering a set of solutions to remote sensing end-users in order to fulfill their needs.
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1 Introduction

There are several examples of application in image fusion where two sets of images are available: one with the desired quantity / measurement but with a low spatial resolution, hereafter noted $B_l$, and the other hereafter noted $A_h$, having a high spatial resolution, $h$, but describing another quantity. If these quantities can be associated, there is a desire to fuse both sets of images in order to synthesize a new set, noted $B^*_h$, that are representations of the quantity $B$ at the spatial resolution $h$.

Remote sensing images are current examples. They exhibit usually either high spectral resolution and low spatial resolution, or low spectral resolution (broadband) and high spatial resolution. The high spatial resolution is necessary for an accurate description of shapes, features and structures. The different objects are better identified if high spectral resolution images are used.

The commercial companies are tackling the need for fusion products combining the high spatial and the high spectral resolutions using well-known procedures and deliver such products in an operational way. These fusion products are synthetic images aiming at simulating what would be observed by a sensor having the same spectral bands but the highest spatial resolution.

[1] established the properties of these synthetic images $B^*_h$:

- Any synthetic image $B^*_h$ once degraded to its original resolution $l$, should be as identical as possible to the original image $B_l$.
- Any synthetic image $B^*_h$ should be as identical as possible to the image $B_l$ that the corresponding sensor would observe with the highest spatial resolution $h$, if existent.
- The multispectral (or multi-modality) set of synthetic images $B^*_h$ should be as identical as possible to the multispectral (or multi-modality) set of images $B_l$ that the corresponding sensor would observe with the highest spatial resolution $h$, if existent.

2 The ARSIS Concept

In the different methods for production of synthetic images by fusion of two sets of modalities, some are relevant to the ARSIS concept [2, 3]. The ARSIS concept (from its French acronym: “amélioration de la résolution spatiale par injection de structures”, which means “spatial resolution enhancement by injection of structures”) has been designed in a generic way, in order to fulfill the properties previously defined, whatever the mathematical tools used for its implementation. The approach is not new, but was never expressed as a concept before the common work of Ecole des Mines de Paris and Aérospatiale [4, 5, 6].

Figure 1 (at the end of paper) presents the general scheme of the ARSIS concept in the case where A is made of one modality. Inputs to the fusion process are the modality $A_h$ at high spatial resolution ($A_h$, resolution $n$’1) and the multi-modality images $B$ at low spatial resolution ($B_l$, resolution $n$’2). The first step consists in the use of a model for the hierarchical description, the modeling and the synthesis of structures. This model allows the computation of approximations of image $A$ and the hierarchical description of existing structures (also called details) in this image (step 1 in Figure 1). The model used should allow image reconstruction without loss. It is called MultiScale Model (MSM). The same operation is applied on image $B$ (step 2 in Figure 1).

This hierarchical description of structures given for each decomposition is used for parameter adjustment of a model linking the known structures of sets $A$ and $B$ (step 3). Numerous solutions exist for this model. It is called Inter-Modality Model (IMM) in Figure 1.

From this model, another model called High Resolution Inter-Modality Model (HRIMM) is derived. It performs the transformation of the parameters of the Inter-
Modality Model with the change in resolution and allows the computation, from the known structures from sets A and B, of the searched structures of image B (step 4). Finally, the inversion of the model for the hierarchical description allows the synthesis of image $B^*_n$ with the spatial resolution of image A (step 5).

3 Implementation of the ARSIS Concept

3.1 The MSM Model

The most used MSM model for implementing the ARSIS concept is the multiresolution analysis combined with wavelet transform. A group of authors [2, 7, 8] uses the algorithm proposed by Mallat [9]. Others [10, 11] use that proposed by Dutilleux [12], called here undecimated wavelet transform (UWT).

Other tools exist for this MSM model. Laplacian pyramids [13] can be used for the hierarchical description of information as well as gaussian pyramids [14], [15] defined filters for the High Pass Filtering (HPF) method. [16] used iterative filters banks in place of wavelet transform. [11, 17, 18] propose the use of generalized laplacian pyramids (GLP). Other solutions exist such as morphological pyramids [19] or Fourier transformations.

3.2 The IMM Model

Numerous solutions exist for the Inter-Modality Model (IMM). [2] proposed two global models of transformation called model 1 and model 2, both performing on the detail images. Model 1 (M1) is an identity model. The missing details in image B are identified to those known in image A. Model 2 (M2) adjusts the mean and variance of the two probability density functions (pdf) of the structure images of A and B at resolution n°3.

[11] describe two local IMM models. The first one (RWM), used in this paper, describes the local transformation between the structure descriptions of A and B given by the MSM model at resolution n°3 (Figure 1). The second one (AABP) is used similarly, but applies on approximations given by the MSM model.

3.3 The HRIMM Model

The choice of the High Resolution Inter-Modality Model (HRIMM) is not obvious. Influence of the spatial resolution on the set of information extracted from satellite imagery is well known but not well modeled. To our knowledge, no published fusion method paid particular attention to this point and the HRIMM is often set identical to the IMM, except [20] who performed a multiscale synthesis of the parameters of their IMM from resolution n°3 to resolution n°2.

4 Some Examples of Implementation of the ARSIS Concept

In this part is presented different implementations of the ARSIS concept, applied to the fusion of images provided by the Ikonos satellite. These implementations differ due to the choice of the different MSM, IMM and HRIMM models, taking into consideration their mutual influences.

In order to limit the combination of models, only one MSM model was chosen: a wavelet transform combined with a multiresolution analysis and called UWT [12]. This selection influences greatly the choice of the IMM and HRIMM models, because of the modeling of the structures as variations around a zero value. More precisely, the models should not introduce bias in the modeling of the missing structures.

The following part describes four methods for synthesis of fusion products in the case of Ikonos data.

4.1 Description of the four methods

The four methods use the same MSM models, but combine different IMM and HRIMM models. For the Ikonos imagery, resolution n°1 is 1 m (pixel size of panchromatic image called PAN), resolution n°2 is 2 m, resolution n°3 is 4 m (pixel size of multispectral images called MS), and resolution n°4 is 8 m. With respect to the description in Figure 1, where the resolution is defined in a generic way, resolution n° 2 of this scheme corresponds to resolution n°3 (4 m) for Ikonos imagery case.

The first method is called UWT-RWM. The IMM model used is the RWM model. This model is described in details in [11]. It is computed at resolution n° 4 (8 m). The HRIMM model is identical to the IMM model, and applied to resolutions n°2 and n° 3 (resp. 2 and 4 m).

The second method is called UWT-ATS3. It was developed in the framework of the ATS3-E3 action dedicated to benchmarking of image fusion products, held by the French Space Agency (CNES) in 2000-2002. The IMM model combines the models M2 and RWM as follows. Both models are computed at resolution n° 4 (8 m). The HRIMM model consists in the application of the RWM model at resolution n°3 (4 m), then on application of the M2 model combined with a denoising algorithm at resolution n°2 (2 m) to provide the fused product at 1 m. The denoising algorithm gives the value zero to the structures that are less than a given threshold (here 0.1). It is inspired from the work of [21] on shrinkage.

The third method is called UWT-M1. In this case, the IMM and HRIMM models are the identity model.

The last one proposed in this paper is called UWT-M2. The IMM model is model M2 computed at resolution n°4 (8 m). The HRIMM model is in this case identical to the IMM model.

4.2 Description of the case study

The four methods are applied to a set of images from the Ikonos satellite. The GIM Company courtesy provided us this set for testing. This set is composed of a
A panchromatic image PAN at the spatial resolution of 1 m and of four multispectral MS images at the spatial resolution of 4 m. The spectral bands of the images are detailed in Table 1. The geographical area is the city of Hasselt in Belgium. The images were acquired the 28th of April 2000 at 10:39 UT. The original data are delivered with a dynamic range of 11 bits. The application of the methods leads to the synthesis of MS images at the spatial resolution of 1 m with the same dynamic range in 11 bits.

Table 1. Spectral bands of panchromatic (PAN) and multispectral (MS) image in nanometers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PAN</th>
<th>Blue</th>
<th>Green</th>
<th>Red</th>
<th>Near Infrared</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>450-900</td>
<td>450-520-630-690</td>
<td>760 – 900</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2 shows an excerpt of the PAN image at 1 m. On the left, there is a river, crossed by two bridges, with small boats and several barges. Along the river bank is a main street. Several cars are visible. This area is mainly an industrial district with large buildings, surrounded by numerous trees. On the top right is a stadium. Its lawn is partly degraded. Figure 3 presents an extract of the same area in near infrared (NIR) band with a spatial resolution of 4 m. This NIR band is generally the most difficult to synthesize and is a good comparison case for the different methods.

4.3 Visual results

Figures 4, 5, 6 and 7 are the NIR images synthesized respectively by the UWT-RWM, UWT-ATS3, UWT-M1 and UWT-M2 methods. The look-up tables of each image are similar, allowing visual comparison of the different products.

The visual quality of each product is very different. The UWT-RWM image (Fig. 4) presents a smooth aspect. The objects are difficult to distinguish. One can observe a granular aspect (see e.g. the stadium). The objects are not enough contrasted. A kind of halo seems to surround many objects in the UWT-RWM image; see in particular the boats and the stadium. The UWT-ATS3 image (Fig. 5) is of better visual quality. The objects are easier to distinguish. However, the visual quality is not satisfactory. The UWT-M1 image (Fig. 6) presents a visual quality similar to the UWT-ATS3 one, but a bit inferior. The UWT-M2 image offers the best definition of objects. It allows a better recognition and identification of the objects in the image.
4.4 Quantitative results

The protocol of quantitative evaluation of the fused products defined by [1] was applied. All the computations were made on the full dynamic range of images (11 bits). The dynamic range of the analyzed information is not at all perceptible on the visual representation proposed in this paper. The human eye is only sensitive to a few colors. The different quantitative results are not detailed in this paper. It was found that the three properties detailed in part 1, are respected with different levels of accuracy for the four methods. This is in accordance with a similar study achieved on SPOT images [22].

The UWT-ATS3 method is the method given the best achievable results whatever the spectral band (second property), as well as on the multispectral set of products (third property). The UWT-RWM and UWT-M2 methods give similar results that are close to those of the UWT-ATS3 method. The UWT-M1 method is that giving the worst results of the four, but these are still satisfactory.

5 Conclusions

The results of the comparison between the different methods enhance the difficulty to answer to users needs with a single method. The UWT-M1 method answers to a need of easy implementation and speed of use and production. The UWT-RWM and UWT-ATS3 methods answer to the spectral quality need of the fused products and are more adapted to further processing such as classifications [23, 24]. The UWT-M2 method is a good compromise between the visual and spectral qualities of products.

The ARSIS concept is an excellent framework for the development of accurate methods that can be tailored to specific users needs and can be assembled within a
A dependency between the different models used in the ARSIS concept exists. The availability of a set of solutions for each model of the concept (MSM, IMM and HRIMM) allows the adaptation of the fusion process to users needs. They can be chained differently in order to obtain a result satisfying the targeted application. However, this should be done coherently in order to respect the mathematical and physical hypotheses of each model. Hence, the availability of a toolbox comprising all possible solutions should be linked with a training of the users. This will allow them to fully understand the results of their choice on the quality of the synthetic products obtained by image fusion.
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