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ABSTRACT
Recent studies have shown that α Centauri B might be, from an observational point of view, an ideal candidate for the detection of an Earth-like planet in or near its habitable zone (0.5–0.9 au). We study here if such habitable planets can form, by numerically investigating the planet-formation stage which is probably the most sensitive to binarity effects: the mutual accretion of km-sized planetesimals. Using a state-of-the-art algorithm for computing the impact velocities within a test planetesimal population, we find that planetesimal growth is only possible, although marginally, in the innermost part of the habitable zone (HZ) around 0.5 au. Beyond this point, the combination of secular perturbations by the binary companion and gas drag drives the mutual velocities beyond the erosion limit. Impact velocities might later decrease during the gas removal phase, but this probably happens too late for preventing most km-sized objects to be removed by inward drift, thus preventing accretion from starting anew. A more promising hypothesis is that the binary formed in a crowded cluster, where it might have been wider in its initial stages, when planetary formation was ongoing. We explore this scenario and find that a starting separation roughly 15 au wider, or an eccentricity 2.5 times lower than the present ones, is required to have an accretion-friendly environment in the whole HZ.
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1 INTRODUCTION
The study of planet formation in binary stars is an important issue, as approximately ∼20 per cent of all detected exoplanets inhabit such systems (Desidera & Barbieri 2007). Even if most of these binaries are very wide, at least three planets have been found in binaries of separation ∼20 au, the most interesting of them being the M ≥ 1.6MJup planet at 2.1 au from the primary of the γ Cephei system, which has been the subject of several planet-formation studies (Thébault et al. 2004; Jang-Condell, Mugrauer & Schmidt 2008; Kley & Nelson 2008).

However, the system which has been most thoroughly investigated is one where no planet has been detected so far: α Centauri.1 Holman & Wiegert (1997) have shown that, for the coplanar case, the <3 au region around α Cen A can harbour planets on stable orbits, while Barbieri, Marzari & Scholl (2002) and Quintana et al. (2002, 2007) have found that the final stages of planetary formation, the ones leading from large planetary embryos to the final planets, are possible in the inner <2.5 au region. However, Thébault, Marzari & Scholl (2008, hereafter TMS08) showed that the earlier stage leading, through runaway growth in a dynamically quiet environment (e.g. Lissauer 1993), from km-sized planetesimals to the embryos is much more affected by the companion star’s perturbations. Indeed, the coupled effect of these secular perturbations and drag due to the gas in the nebulae leads to a strong orbital phasing according to planetesimal sizes. This differential phasing induces high collision velocities for any impacts between objects of sizes s1 ≠ s2, which can strongly slow down or even halt the accretion process. As a result, the region beyond 0.5 au (0.75 au for the most extreme cases explored) from the primary is hostile to mutual accretion of planetesimals, and thus to the in situ formation of planets. The presence of planets in these regions, however, cannot be ruled out, in the light of possible additional mechanisms not taken into account in the simulations, such as a change of the dynamical environment once gas has been dispersed, or outward migration of planets formed closer to the star, or a change in the binary’s separation during its early history.

We reinvestigate here these issues for the case of the secondary star α Centauri B. Indeed, a recent study by Guedes et al. (2008)
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1 Endl et al. (2001) rule out the presence of a M ≥ 2.5MJupiter planet at any radial distance.
has shown that, in addition to the final embryos-to-planets stage being possible within $\sim 2.5$ au from it, this star would be an almost perfect candidate for the detection of a potential terrestrial planet by the radial velocity method. This is mainly not only because of its great proximity, but also because it is exceptionally quiet. Moreover, because of its lower luminosity, its habitable zone (HZ) lies much closer, 0.5 to 0.9 au (Guedes et al. 2008), than that of $\alpha$ Cen A ($\sim 1$ to 1.3 au; e.g. Barbieri et al. 2002).

In this Letter, we first numerically study the dynamics of the planetesimal accretion phase in a similar way as for $\alpha$ Cen A, by estimating the extent of the accretion-friendly region around the central star (Section 2). We then push our studies a step further than in TMS08 by quantitatively exploring two mechanisms which could potentially increase the odds for planet formation in the HZ (Section 3). Conclusions and perspectives are given in the last section.

2 SIMULATIONS

2.1 Model and setup

We consider a disc of $10^4$ test planetesimals extending from 0.3 to 1.6 au from the central star, starting on quasi-circular orbits such as initial encounter velocities $\langle \Delta v \rangle < 1$ m s$^{-1}$, and with a physical radius $s$ in the $1 < s < 10$ km range. The binary’s parameters are taken from Pourbais et al. (2002): semimajor axis $a_B = 23.4$ au, eccentricity $e_0 = 0.52$, $M_A = 1.1$ M$_\odot$ and $M_B = 0.93$ M$_\odot$. We follow the dynamical evolution of the planetesimal population for $t = 10^4$ yr, the typical time-scale for runaway growth, under the coupled influence of the companion star’s (here $\alpha$ Cen A) perturbations and gas drag, using the deterministic code developed by Thébault & Brahic (1998). All test planetesimal collisions are tracked with the code’s build-in close-encounter search routine, looking at each time-step for all intersecting particle trajectories with the help of the classical ‘inflated radius’ procedure (see section 2.1 of TMS08 for more details). The gas disc is assumed axisymmetric with a volumic density profile following $\rho_g = \rho_0 exp(-\vert z \vert)$, where we consider as a nominal case the Minimum Mass Solar Nebula (MMSN), with $q = -2.75$ and $\rho_0 = 1.4 \times 10^{-11}$ g cm$^{-3}$ (Hayashi 1981), exploring $\rho_g$ and $q$ as free parameters in separate runs.

All mutual encounters and corresponding encounter velocities are tracked and recorded in order to derive $\Delta v_{s_1,s_2}$ matrices for all possible impactor pairs of sizes $s_1$ and $s_2$. These velocity values are then interpreted in terms of accreting or eroding encounters by comparing them, for each impacting pair, to two threshold velocities (for more details, see Thébault, Marzari & Scholl 2006):

(i) $v_{esc(s_1,s_2)}$, the escape velocity of the impacting pair. This velocity gives approximately the limit below which single-star-like runaway accretion is possible (when accretion rates are enhanced by the gravitational focusing factor).

(ii) $v_{\star(s_1,s_2)}$, the velocity above which impacts preferentially lead to mass loss rather than mass accretion. This value is obtained from estimates of the critical threshold energy for catastrophic fragmentation $Q_{\star(s_1,s_2)}$. Given the large uncertainties regarding the possible values for $Q_{\star(s_1,s_2)}$, we consider two limiting values, $v_{\star(s_1,s_2)low}$ and $v_{\star(s_1,s_2)high}$, corresponding to a weak and hard material assumption, respectively.

Finally, at any given location in the system, the global balance between accreting and eroding impacts is estimated assuming a size distribution for the planetesimal population, weighting the contribution of each $(s_1, s_2)$ impact by its normalized probability according to the assumed size distribution. As a nominal case, we assume a Maxwellian peaking at the median value $\rho_0 = 5$ km, but other distributions (Gaussians, power laws) are also explored.

2.2 Results: nominal case

The $(e,a)$ graph displayed in Fig. 1 clearly illustrates the effect of differential orbital phasing as a function of sizes. Smaller planetesimals align to orbits with lower eccentricities than that of the bigger objects. 2 Note that in the outer regions (beyond $\sim 1$ au), residual eccentricity oscillations are observed for the larger bodies. This is because these objects, which are less affected by gaseous friction, have not had the time yet to fully reach the equilibrium orbit forced by gas drag.

Fig. 2 shows how $\langle \Delta v \rangle_{s_1,s_2}$ resulting from this differential phasing can be interpreted in terms of accreting versus eroding impacts. This graph displays the relative weights of the possible types of collision outcomes as a function of distance to $\alpha$ Cen B, for our nominal case (MMSN gas disc), assuming that the planetesimal size distribution follows a Maxwellian centred on 5 km. By comparing this graph to the equivalent graph for $\alpha$ Cen A (fig. 3 of TMS08), we see that results are roughly comparable for both stars. Schematically, the region beyond $\sim 0.5$ au from the primary is hostile to planetary accretion. This similarity of the results is mostly due to the fact that the mass ratio between the two stars is close to 1 ($\sim 0.85$), and that the strongest perturbations of $\alpha$ Cen A on $\alpha$ Cen B are partly compensated by the lower Keplerian velocities around that smaller star. One important difference, however, is that the HZ around $\alpha$ Cen B lies closer to the star: 0.5 to 0.9 au (Guedes et al. 2008). This means that its innermost part lies at the outer-edge of the accretion-friendly region, thus opening a possibility, although marginal, for planet formation there.

2.3 Parameter exploration

To check the robustness of these results with respect to our choice of parameters for the nominal case, we first explore other possible gas-disc profiles by varying both the slope $q$ and the density $\rho_0$ at 1 au. We find that the only case which leads to a wider accretion-friendly zone is that of a dense $10 \times$ MMSN gas disc, for which
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![Figure 2](https://example.com/figure2.png)

**Figure 2.** Relative importance of different types of collision outcomes, as a function of distance to the primary star ($\alpha$ Cen B), at $t = 10^8$ yr for our nominal case. Each $\Delta V_{\text{esc}(1,2)}$ for an impact between bodies of sizes $s_1$ and $s_2$ is interpreted in terms of eroding impacts [if $\Delta V > \Delta V_{\text{esc}(1,2)}$ high], accreting impacts despite increased velocities $\Delta V_{\text{esc}(1,2)} < \Delta V < \Delta V_{\text{esc}(1,2)}$ low] or unperturbed ‘normal’ accretion $\Delta V < \Delta V_{\text{esc}(1,2)}$. (For a more detailed discussion and justification for our choice of $\Delta V_{\text{esc}(1,2)}$ see TMS08). Making the $10^4$ MMSN hypothesis even more questionable, although it cannot be completely ruled out. Apart from this massive gas-disc case, the $r_{\text{acc(out)}} \sim 0.5$ au limit in allows in principle for planetesimal accretion to occur in the innermost part of the HZ, which is estimated to extend from 0.5 to 0.9 au (as compared to $\sim 1$ to 1.3 au for $\alpha$ Cen A). However, even if some planetesimal accretion is possible, it could probably not be

### Table 1. Outer limit $r_{\text{acc(out)}}$ of the accretion-friendly inner zone, as defined by the region where the ‘green’ and ‘blue’ areas make up more than 50 per cent of the collision outcomes (see Fig. 2), for test runs exploring different free parameters: gas density $\rho_0$ at 1 au, slope $q$ of the $r_2 \propto r^q$ gas density profile and assumed size distribution for the planetesimal population.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Set-up</th>
<th>$r_{\text{acc(out)}}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nominal case</td>
<td>0.5 au</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho_0 \approx 1.4 \times 10^{-8}$ g cm$^{-3}$ (0.1 $\times$ MMSN)</td>
<td>0.3 au</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho_0 \propto r^{-2.25}$ ($\alpha$ viscous disc)</td>
<td>0.8 au</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho_0 \propto r^{-1.75}$</td>
<td>0.4 au</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size dist.: Gaussian, $\sigma^2 = (2 \text{ km})^2$</td>
<td>0.55 au</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size dist.: Gaussian, $\sigma^2 = (1 \text{ km})^2$</td>
<td>0.6 au</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size dist.: Gaussian, $\sigma^2 = (0.5 \text{ km})^2$</td>
<td>1.1 au</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size dist.: power law $dV \propto r^{-3.3}$</td>
<td>0.5 au</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

this region extends up to $\sim 0.8$ au (Table 1). All other gas-disc cases lead to equally narrow or even narrower accretion-friendly regions than for our nominal case, except for a purely academic gas-free case. Of course, our axisymmetric gas-disc assumption is probably a crude simplification of the real behaviour of a gas disc perturbed by a companion star. However, preliminary hydro+N-body runs performed by Paardekooper, Thébault & Mellema (2008) show that the build up of an eccentricity for the gas disc, although this mechanism is yet not fully understood, always leads to higher perturbations and impact velocities in the planetesimal population. As a consequence, our axisymmetric disc case might be regarded as a best-case scenario and our results to be on the conservative side (for a more detailed discussion and justification for our choice of an axisymmetric disc, see TMS08).

Another crucial parameter which has been explored is the assumed size distribution for the planetesimal population, as it is a parameter poorly constrained from planet-formation models (see discussion in Thébault et al. 2006). For any ‘reasonable’ distri-

### 3 DISCUSSION

The results of the previous section show that, as was the case for $\alpha$ Cen A, the region allowing km-sized planetesimal accretion is much more limited than the one allowing the final stages of planet formation (starting from large embryos): the accretion-friendly zone only extends up to $\sim 0.5$ au for our nominal case, as compared to the estimated 2.5 au for the embryos-to-planets phase (Guedes et al. 2008, and reference therein).

The only cases leading to an accretion-friendly environment in a wider area than for the nominal run are (a) the gas-free case, (b) the very-peaked Gaussian size distribution and (c) the $10^4$ MMSN run. Cases (a) and (b) are obviously very unrealistic test runs, but even case (c) is probably here of limited physical significance. Indeed, assuming such a high-mass disc around a 0.9 $M_\odot$ star appears as a rather extreme hypothesis, which is not backed by theoretical estimates or observational data (e.g. Andrews & Williams 2007). For a binary system, this issue gets even more critical, as the expected outer truncation of the circumprimary gas disc probably depletes it from a substantial amount of its mass reservoir (e.g. Jang-Condell et al. 2008), making the $10^4$ MMSN hypothesis even more questionable, although it cannot be completely ruled out.

Apart from this massive gas-disc case, the $r_{\text{acc(out)}} \sim 0.5$ au limit in allows in principle for planetesimal accretion to occur in the innermost part of the HZ, which is estimated to extend from 0.5 to 0.9 au (as compared to $\sim 1$ to 1.3 au for $\alpha$ Cen A). However, even if some planetesimal accretion is possible, it could probably not be what it is in the standard planet-formation scenario. Indeed, even if the $\sim 0.5$ au region is accretion friendly, relative velocities there have values higher than what they should be in an unperturbed system (i.e., approximately the escape velocities of km-sized bodies; e.g. Lissauer 1993). This means that the gravitational focusing factor, which is the cause of the fast runaway growth mode, is significantly reduced or cancelled. Growth would thus have to be much slower, maybe orderly or of the ‘type II’ runaway identified by Kornethamp, Wetherill & Inaba (2001). In short, planetesimal accretion is not possible in the HZ, except in the innermost region around 0.5 au where it should be significantly different from what it is around a single star.

However, no conclusions regarding the presence of planets in the HZ can be directly drawn from these results, because of the possible effect of additional processes not taken into account in our simulations. In TMS08, we reviewed some of these possible mechanisms, and concluded that while some of them might not significantly affect our conclusions (like bigger ‘initial’ planetesimals or re-accretion in the subsequent gas-free disc), others might indeed open for a possible presence of a planet in the terrestrial region. We reinvestigate here these mechanisms in more details, focusing specifically on the two most promising scenarios, that of orbital re-phasing during an extended gas dispersion phase and of a greater separation for the early binary.

#### 3.1 Re-phasing during gas dispersion

Xie & Zhou (2008) have investigated, for the specific case of the $\gamma$ Cephei binary, what happens to a planetesimal swarm during the gas dispersal period. They have identified an interesting mechanism: if
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see that present-day α Cent B lies almost at the limit where accretion, although highly perturbed, becomes possible in the innermost part of the HZ. For the same \( e \_b = 0.52 \) as today, perturbed accretion in part of the HZ is possible for \( 23 \leq a \_b \leq 37 \) au. In order to have the HZ become fully accretion friendly, one needs \( a \_b \geq 37 \) au, while unperturbed single-star-like accretion requires \( a \_b \geq 52 \) au, more than twice the present-day separation. The situation gets, of course, more favourable if one assumes a lower initial \( e \_b \) for the binary. As an example, with \( e \_b = 0.26 \) (half of the present-day value), the whole HZ becomes accretion friendly for \( a \_b \geq 26 \) au and allows unperturbed accretion for \( a \_b \geq 40 \) au.

These results are of course only a first step towards understanding this complex issue. A crucial point is to quantitatively estimate what the probability for a given \( \Delta e \_b \) and \( \Delta e \_p \) ‘jump’ is. As an example, how likely is it for a present-day α Centauri-like system to have originated from the accretion-friendly regions (3) or (4) in Fig. 4? The answer to these questions requires very detailed and encompassing numerical explorations, which have, to our knowledge, not been performed yet. Marzari & Barbieri (2007a,b) have studied in great detail the dynamical outcomes of individual encounters, but decoupled from the general cluster context, while the cluster evolution study of Malzberg et al. (2007) does not give statistical information about the amplitudes of binary orbital changes.

4 CONCLUSIONS AND PERSPECTIVES

We have numerically investigated planetesimal accretion around α Centauri B. Our main conclusions can be summarized as follows.

(i) Planetesimal accretion is marginally possible in the innermost parts, ∼0.5 au, of the estimated HZ. Beyond this point, high collision velocities, induced by the coupling between gas friction and secular perturbations, lead to destructive impacts. Moreover, even in the ∼0.5 au region, \( \langle \Delta v \rangle \) are increased compared to an unperturbed case. Thus, ‘classical’, single-star-like runaway accretion seems to be ruled out.

(ii) These results are relatively robust with respect to the planetesimal size distribution or gas-disc profile, except for a very massive, and probably unrealistic, \( 10 \times \text{MMSN} \) gas disc.

(iii) We confirm the conclusions of several previous studies that the planetesimal-to-embryo stage is much more affected by binarity effects than the subsequent embryos-to-final-planets stage.

(iv) As in Xie & Zhou (2008), we find that later progressive gas dispersal reduces all \( \langle \Delta \nu \rangle \) to values that might allow accreting impacts. However, we find that the system has first to undergo a long accretion-hostile transition period during which most of the smaller planetesimals are removed by inward drift and most bigger objects are probably fragmented into small debris. Thus, the positive effect on planetesimal accretion is probably limited.

(v) We quantitatively investigate to what extent a wider initial binary separation, later reduced by stellar encounters in an early cluster environment, could have favoured planetesimal accretion. We find that, for a constant \( e \_b \), an entirely accretion-friendly HZ requires an initial \( a \_b \geq 37 \) au, while normal unperturbed accretion is only possible for \( a \_b \geq 52 \) au. The statistical likelihood of such orbital changes in early open clusters remains to be quantitatively estimated.

We conclude that although the presence of planets further out cannot be fully excluded, the most likely place to look for a habitable terrestrial planet would be around 0.5 au. According to Guedes et al. (2008), the detection of such a planet could be possible, if its mass is \( \geq 1.8 \text{M}_\oplus \), after 3 yr of high cadence observations, provided that the noise spectrum is white. Let us, however, point out again that the ∼0.5 au region around α Cent B is dynamically perturbed by the binary, so that the formation of a planet there cannot have followed the standard single-star scenario (unless the initial binary separation was much larger; see Section 3.2). The planet-formation process in such a perturbed environment, which could concern many binary systems of intermediate (∼20 au) separations, is an important issue which remains to be investigated. Another crucial issue which remains to be investigated is what happens before the phase studied here. Indeed, our study implicitly assumes that km-sized planetesimals could form from smaller grains and pebbles, but the validity of this assumption has to be critically examined.
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