

# Comparison of the efficacy and pharmacology of formulations of amphotericin B used in treatment of leishmaniasis.

Gillian Barratt, Philippe P. Legrand

# ▶ To cite this version:

Gillian Barratt, Philippe P. Legrand. Comparison of the efficacy and pharmacology of formulations of amphotericin B used in treatment of leishmaniasis.. Current Opinion in Infectious Diseases, 2005, 18 (6), pp.527-30. hal-00385448

HAL Id: hal-00385448

https://hal.science/hal-00385448

Submitted on 20 May 2009

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Comparison of the efficacy and pharmacology of formulations of amphotericin B used in treatment of leishmaniasis

# Gillian Barratt<sup>1</sup> and Philippe Legrand<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Correspondence: UMR CNRS 8612, Centre d'Etudes Pharmaceutiques, Université Paris XI, 5 rue J.B. Clément, 92296 CHATENAY-MALABRY Cedex, France. Tel: + 33 1 46 83 56 27; Fax: + 33 1 46 61 93 34; e-mail: Gillian.Barratt@cep.u-psud

## Purpose of the Review

Several lipid-based formulations of the anti-fungal and anti-parasitic drug Amphotericin B are now available on the market. The purpose of this review is to assess their efficacy against leishmaniasis in both experimental and clinical settings, and to point out new developments in the formulation of this antibiotic.

#### **Recent Findings**

The development of resistance to pentavalent antimony compounds has shifted the emphasis to amphotericin B for the treatment of visceral leishmaniasis in India. Lipid formulations show good efficacy but are expensive. However, the treatment period with lipid formulations is shorter, which reduces hospitalization costs. As a result, in developed countries where these costs are an important proportion of the treatment, lipid formulations are preferred, whereas they remain largely inaccessible in developing countries.

Lipid-associated amphotericin B has been found to be effective for secondary prophylaxis in HIV-positive patients, in studies carried out in European countries bordering the Mediterranean.

#### **Summary**

The reduced toxicity of lipid-based formulations of amphotericin B is no longer in doubt. In India, their efficacy against visceral leishmaniasis and shorter treatment periods compared with the conventional formulation with deoxycholate has to be counter-balanced against the very high cost. On the other hand, in developed countries around the Mediterranean, where leishmaniasis occurs mainly in immunocompromised subjects, lipid formulations have become the treatment of choice for visceral disease. On the other hand, the efficacy against cutaneous lesions is variable and in some reports oral miltefosine was active after failure of treatment with amphotericin B.

**Key Words:** Amphotericin B; HIV; leishmaniasis; lipid formulation; resistance

**Abbreviations**: AmB – Amphotericin B; GFP – green fluorescent protein; GM-CSF – Granulocyte-monocyte colony stimulating factor; HIV – human immunodeficiency virus.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Laboratoire de Technologie Pharmaceutique et Industrielle, Université Montpellier I, 15 avenue Charles Flahault, BP 14491, 34093 MONTPELLIER Cedex, France

#### Introduction

The profile of infection with *Leishmania* species is changing. Although the disease, in the visceral form known as kala azar, is endemic in India where it represents a major public health problem, it is also becoming increasingly prevalent as an opportunistic infection in Western countries, among individuals who are infected with the HIV virus or are immunocompromised for other reasons (1, 2\*\*). In the latter group, cutaneous and mucocutaneous manifestations are often found. This dichotomy is reflected in the literature which has been published recently.

#### **Immunological Factors**

The outcome of Leishmaniasis infection is closely linked to the immunological status of the patient. A mechanistic study by Murray (3\*) in various mouse strains lacking different components of the immune system demonstrated the importance of functional T cells (either CD8<sup>+</sup> or CD4<sup>+</sup>) in preventing recrudescence in animals in which 90-95% of the parasites had been eliminated by treatment with amphotericin B (as a conventional formulation). Despite the particular immunomodulatory properties of AmB (4), the authors suggest that these observations can be transposed to other forms of antileishmanial chemotherapy.

Taking into account the importance of immunological status, recent clinical results will be discussed according to whether the patients were immunocompromised or not.

#### **Immunocompetent Patients**

Recent studies from India have concentrated on pin-pointing the most effective therapeutic regime in the immuno-competent population suffering from kala-azar. A review by Singh and Sivakumar (2\*\*) shows that with the development of resistance to pentavalent antimony compounds the emphasis has shifted to AmB, as reported by two recent trials (5\*, 6). The ability of lipid-based formulations of AmB to reduce the substantial toxicity of the conventional form containing deoxycholate is no longer in doubt (7), but the prohibitive cost of these new formulations, combined with the fact that it is not clear that they are as effective as the conventional formulation in curing visceral leishmaniasis, although higher doses can be given.

This question of conventional versus lipid formulations of AmB was addressed in a recent study by Sundar et al., (8\*\*) on patients from Bihar state, India. Both a liposomal formulation (AmBisome®) and a lipid complex (Abelcet®) were tested. The cure rates were essentially similar and the lipid formulations provoked less infusion-related toxicity; however, their extremely high cost was only partly offset by the reduced hospitalisation necessary – 5 days at 2mg/kg as opposed to 30 days at 1 mg/kg for the conventional formulation. Several recent review articles underline the lack of toxicity and short treatment cycles of lipid formulations of AmB, while commenting on the cost (9-11\*\*, 12-14). In a European situation, when cost is not such a preponderant issue, lipid-associated amphotericin B has become the treatment of choice, for example in Italy (9).

In developed countries, Leishmaniasis in adults is generally linked to an immunocompromised state. However, a few reports have described cases, both visceral and mucocutaneous in immunocompetent individuals (15-18). This emphasizes the importance of

awareness by general practitioners of this disease as a possible diagnosis in patients who travel for work or leisure, and the necessity for new diagnostic tools (see below).

A recent review has covered the neglected subject of treatment of visceral leishmaniasis in pregnancy (19). AmB is recommended as the first choice drug because of lower side-effects. In particular, lipid-associated AmB has been shown to have no adverse effects on the foetus (20).

As far as infantile visceral leishmaniasis is concerned, epidemiological features studied over a 5 year-period in a Brazilian endemic area showed this infection is mainly associated with underprivileged people with a tendency towards urban areas (21). In this region Glucantime is used in 98% of the cases. On the other hand, in the Mediterranean area (Greece, Italy and France) all the long-term studies have revealed that amphotericin B lipid formulations are safe and effective and improve the comfort of patients by reducing hospitalisation without increasing of the overall cost of treatment (22\*\*, 23, 24). In Greece 4 mg/kg/day for 5 days, 4 mg/kg/day on day 1-5 and 9, 5 mg/kg/day for 4 days or 10mg/kg/day for 2 days with liposomal AmB are as effective as pentavalent antimony in all infants and are preferable to antimonate (20 mg/kg/day for 21 days) (22\*\*). In Italy and in France six doses of 3mg/kg of liposomal AmB on days 1-5 and 10 have been the first-line treatments of infantile visceral leishmaniasis for 10 years. A two-day regimen with 10 mg/kg or even 20 mg/kg would have been be preferable, although allergic reactions to the infusion forced the patients to be hospitalized for 7 days. These allergic reactions seem to be caused by the lipid. AmB lipid complexes have higher AmB/lipid ratios than AmB liposomes. It therefore might be worthwhile testing AmB lipid complexes at higher doses, to see whether cures can be achieved quickly without allergic reactions.

#### **Immunocompromised Patients**

The diagnosis and management of Leishmania/HIV co-infection is a major concern (25). In these immunocompromised patients, visceral disease may relapse as cutaneous manifestations (26). Recent results obtained with lipid-associated AmB in cases of co-infection have been variable.

In India, responses to AmB, in conventional or lipid formulations, as to antimony compounds, have been limited (25). On the other hand, treatment with oral miltefosine was promising. However, a case study (27) reported that AmB was effective in a triple infection with HIV, pulmonary tuberculosis and visceral leishmaniasis.

A case of cutaneous leishmaniasis in an African migrant infected with HIV did not respond to AmB in either the conventional or liposomal form, but was cured by oral miltefosine (28). On the other hand, a patient from Italy who developed cutaneous lesions after an initial visceral disease was cured by liposomal AmB (26). A report from Brazil has also shown the efficacy of lipid formulations of AmB in cutaneous disease (29). An HIV-positive patient was treated with Amphocil™, while another who had undergone a kidney transplant was treated with AmBisome®. The combination of liposomal AmB with GM-CSF to boost the immune system has been found beneficial in one study (30).

Two recent studies have investigated the use of lipid formulations of AmB for secondary prophylaxis in HIV-infected patients. A multicentre study in Spain and Portugal compared treatment with AmB lipid complex (Abelcet®, 3 mg/kg every 3 weeks for 51 weeks) with no

treatment in HIV-positive patients who had previously experienced at least one episode of leishmaniasis but were currently parasite-free. They concluded that the treatment with lipid-associated AmB was effective in preventing recurrence of visceral leishmaniasis and was well tolerated (31\*\*). Another study, from Marseille, France, has investigated the use of liposomal AmB for prevent relapse of visceral leishmaniasis in HIV-infected patients (32). Although the number of evaluable patients was low, they concluded that a successful outcome depended on using a high initial dose (cumulative doses ranging from 60 to 86 mg/kg at day 30), higher than those recommended by the manufacturers, to avoid therapeutic escape.

As referred to above, kidney transplant patients are also at risk of developing leishmaniasis, which poses a diagnostic and therapeutic challenge to the clinician (33). In this category, liposomal AmB was found to be both better tolerated and more effective than AmB lipid complex. Visceral leishmaniasis has also been described as an opportunistic infection in bone-marrow-transplant patients (34) and in connective tissue disease (35).

#### **Drug Resistance**

The possibility of developing drug resistance to AmB is discussed by Ouellette et al, (36\*\*) citing in-vitro work by Mbongo et al. (37). For this reason, it is recommended that dogs carrying Leishmania parasites in the Mediterranean area should not be treated systematically with AmB (38).

A recent study has looked at the drug sensitivity of Indian kala-azar field isolates in experimental models (mouse macrophages infected in vitro and hamsters, 39\*). The sensitivity to pentavalent antimonials was retained after repeated passages in vitro and in vivo, showing that the unresponsive strains showed true resistance. These strains were sensitive to both AmB (used as the conventional formulation) and miltefosine.

## **New Perspectives**

Maesabalide III, an oleane triterpene saponin isolated from the Vietnamese plant *Maesa balansae* with antileishmanial activity has been evaluated in vivo against *Leishmania donovani* (MHOM/ET/67/L82)-infected golden hamsters after one single subcutaneous dose as compared with liposomal AmB as a reference (40\*). Prophylactic and curative administration at 0.2 mg/kg and 0.8 mg/kg respectively were as efficient as AmBisome in reduction of liver amastigotes burdens. Multiple dose treatments should now be tested to determine whether a better clinical protection can be obtained with this interesting new drug.

In the search for new delivery systems for AmB, hydrophilic albumin microspheres of AmB were also evaluated in *Leishmania infantum* infected hamsters (41, 42). These formulations did not show any signs of toxicity for single injected doses up to 40mg/kg. They led to higher drug levels in spleen and liver as compared with free AmB and a greater reduction of the parasite burdens in these organs at both early and later stages of infection. These new delivery systems would be economical alternative to liposomes for the treatment of visceral leishmaniasis if they demonstrate their efficacy and their tolerance as compared with liposomal amphotericin B.

An article from the same group demonstrates how the physical state of AmB can influence toxicity and activity (43). A formulation which organized AmB as large aggregates (detected by spectrophotometric techniques) was less toxic than AmB complexed with cyclodextrin in a

monomolecular form, which released the drug rapidly. The multi-aggregate form was also slightly more effective against Leishmaniasis than the conventional formulation; however, no comparison was made with lipid formulations.

LicTXNPx, a recombinant protein conserved among different Leishmania species, was identified as a possible new marker for diagnosis and for monitoring the efficacy of treatment. In fact the results of a Portuguese study (44) showed that this protein presented the highest immunoreactivity and the evolution of the production of antibodies against LicTXNPx followed the evolution of the number of parasites even during the treatment with AmB or antimoniate. It is now necessary to determine whether these results, which were obtained with a group of 36 children, can be extended to a wider population.

Dube et al. (39\*) have developed an interesting technique for the in-vitro evaluation of drug sensitivity. *Leishmania* parasites were transfected with the GFP gene. The green fluorescent labelling allowed their survival as amastigotes within mouse macrophages to be monitored easily. In general, the amastigote form of the parasite living within macrophages is considered to be a more relevant disease model for drug screening that the free-living promastigote form.

#### Conclusion

The use of lipid formulations of AmB to treat leishmaniasis is now more a question of economics than efficacy. There is still room for the development of new formulations which could reduce the cost of treatment. Apart from one report in 2003 (45), there have been no recent studies of formulations which could increase the efficacy of AmB by the oral route, although such a formulation would be a considerable breakthrough in treatment.

#### References and recommended reading

- 1. Gaeta GB. [Clinical signs of visceral leishmaniasis in adults: is the manner of presentation changing?] Parassitologia 2004; 46:225-226. Italian.
- 2. Singh S, Sivakumar R. Challenges and new discoveries in the treatment of leishmaniasis. J Infect Chemother 2004; 10:307-315.
- \*\* A fairly short but exhaustive review
- 3. Murray HW. Prevention of relapse after chemotherapy in a chronic intracellular infection: mechanisms in experimental visceral leishmaniasis. J Immunol 2005; 174:4916-4923.
- \* An interesting study using mouse strains with different genetic backgrounds to determine the role of immune mechanisms in clearing infection
- 4. Vonk AG, Netea MG, Denecker NE, *et al.* Modulation of the pro- and anti-inflammatory cytokine balance by amphotericin B. J Antimicrob Chemother 1998; 42:469-474.
- 5. Thakur CP, Narayan S, Ranjan A. Epidemiological, clinical and pharmacological study of antimony-resistant visceral leishmaniasis in Bihar, India. Indian J Med Res 2004; 120:166-172.
- \* This study concludes that amphotericin B should replace sodium antimony gluconate as a first-line treatment.

- 6. Thakur CP, Narayan S. A comparative evaluation of amphotericin B and sodium antimony gluconate, as first-line drugs in the treatment of Indian visceral leishmaniasis. Ann Trop Med Parasitol 2004; 98:129-138.
- 7. Aguado JM, Lumbreras C, Gonzalez-Vidal D, Grupo de Farmacovigilancia de Abelcet. Assessment of nephrotoxicity in patients receiving amphotericin B lipid complex: a pharmacosurveillance study in Spain. Clin Microbiol Infect 2004; 10:785-790.
- 8. Sundar S, Mehta H, Suresh AV, *et al.* Amphotericin B treatment for Indian visceral leishmaniasis: conventional versus lipid formulations. Clin Infect Dis 2004; 38:377-383

# \*\* Open label study comparing lipid formulations of AmB with the deoxycholate formulation.

- 9. Gradoni L, Gramiccia M, Scalone A. [Change in human visceral leishmaniasis treatment in Italy: retrospective study of 630 patients] Parassitologia 2004; 46:199-201. Italian.
- 10. Goldsmith DR, Perry CM. Amphotericin B lipid complex: in visceral leishmaniasis. Drugs 2004; 64:1905-1911; discussion 1912-1913.
- 11. Murray HW. Treatment of visceral leishmaniasis in 2004. Am J Trop Med Hyg 2004; 71:787-794. Erratum in: Am J Trop Med Hyg 2005; 72:359.
- \*\* A review which puts new developments in the treatment of kala-azar into perspective.
- 12. Murray HW. Progress in the treatment of a neglected infectious disease: visceral leishmaniasis. Expert Rev Anti Infect Ther 2004; 2:279-292. Erratum in: Expert Rev Anti Infect Ther 2004; 2:462.
- 13. Berman J. Recent developments in leishmaniasis: epidemiology, diagnosis, and treatment. Curr Infect Dis Rep 2005; 7:33-38.
- 14. Dupouy-Camet J. [New drugs for the treatment of human parasitic protozoa] Parassitologia 2004; 46:81-84. French.
- 15. Paradisi A, Capizzi R, Zampetti A, *et al.* Atypical multifocal cutaneous leishmaniasis in animmunocompetent patient treated by liposomal amphotericin B. J Infect 2005 Jun 1; [Epub ahead of print]
- 16. Markle WH, Makhoul K. Cutaneous leishmaniasis: recognition and treatment. Am Fam Physician 2004; 69:1455-1460.
- 17. Virgilio GR, Hale BR. A case of mucocutaneous leishmaniasis. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2005; 132:800-801.
- 18. Ju O, Grove DI, Jaksic WJ, Dart GW. Visceral leishmaniasis: a trip to the Greek Islands is not always idyllic. Med J Aust 2004; 181:446-447.
- 19. Figueiro-Filho EA, Duarte G, El-Beitune P, *et al.* Visceral leishmaniasis (kala-azar) and pregnancy. Infect Dis Obstet Gynecol 2004; 12:31-40.
- 20. Pagliano P, Carannante N, Rossi M, *et al.* Visceral leishmaniasis in pregnancy: a case series and a systematic review of the literature. J Antimicrob Chemother 2005; 55:229-233.

- 21. Queiroz MJ, Alves JG, Correia JB [Visceral leishmaniasis: clinical and epidemiological features of children in an endemic area] J Pediatr (Rio J). 2004; 80:141-146. Portuguese, English text available on-line.
- 22. Kafetzis DA, Velissariou IM, Stabouli S, *et al.* Treatment of paediatric visceral leishmaniasis: amphotericin B or pentavalent antimony compounds? Int J Antimicrob Agents 2005; 25:26-30.
- \*\* Study from Greece which includes an analysis of costs.
- 23. Cascio A, di Martino L, Occorsio P, *et al.* A 6 day course of liposomal amphotericin B in the treatment of infantile visceral leishmaniasis: the Italian experience. J Antimicrob Chemother 2004; 54:217-220.
- 24. Minodier P, Robert S, Noel G, *et al.* [First-line liposomal amphotericin B for pediatric visceral leishmaniasis in southern France.] Arch Pediatr. 2005 Jul; 12:1102-1108. French.
- 25. Sinha PK, Pandey K, Bhattacharya SK. Diagnosis and management of leishmania/HIV co-infection. Indian J Med Res 2005; 121:407-414.
- 26. Calza L, D'Antuono A, Marinacci G, et al. Disseminated cutaneous leishmaniasis after visceral disease in a patient with AIDS. J Am Acad Dermatol 2004; 5:461-465.
- 27. Pandey K, Sinha PK, Ravidas VN, *et al.* Nexus of infection with human immunodeficiency virus, pulmonary tuberculosis and visceral leishmaniasis: a case report from Bihar, India. Am J Trop Med Hyg 2005; 72:30-32.
- 28. Schraner C, Hasse B, Hasse U, *et al.* Successful treatment with miltefosine of disseminated cutaneous leishmaniasis in a severely immunocompromised patient infected with HIV-1. Clin Infect Dis 2005; 40:120-124.
- 29. Amato VS, Rabello A, Rotondo-Silva A, *et al.* Successful treatment of cutaneous leishmaniasis with lipid formulations of amphotericin B in two immunocompromised patients. Acta Trop 2004; 92:127-132.
- 30. Mastroianni A. Liposomal Amphotericin B and rHuGMCSF for treatment of visceral leishmaniasis in AIDS. Infez Med 2004; 12:197-204.
- 31. Lopez-Velez R, Videla S, Marquez M, *et al.* Amphotericin B lipid complex versus no treatment in the secondary prophylaxis of visceral leishmaniasis in HIV-infected patients. J Antimicrob Chemother 2004; 53:540-543.
- \*\* Multicentre trial with 115 patients showing that lipid-associated AmB can prevent relapse, with minimal toxicity.
- 32. Montana M, Chochoi N, Monges P, *et al.* Liposomal amphotericin B in secondary prophylaxis of visceral leishmaniasis in HIV-infected patients: report of five clinical cases] Pathol Biol (Paris) 2004; 52:66-75. French.
- 33. Maggi P, Gaudiano V, Valente M, *et al.* Leishmaniasis in patients with chronic renal failure: a diagnostic and therapeutic challenge for the clinician. J Nephrol 2004; 17:296-301.
- 34. Sirvent-von Bueltzingsloewen A, Marty P, Rosenthal E, *et al.* Visceral leishmaniasis: a new opportunistic infection in hematopoietic stem-cell-transplanted patients. Bone Marrow Transplant 2004; 33:667-668.
- 35. Castellino G, Govoni M, Lo Monaco A, *et al.* Visceral leishmaniasis complicating a connective tissue disease: three case reports from Italy. Lupus 2004; 13:201-203.

36. Ouellette M, Drummelsmith J, Papadopoulou B. Leishmaniasis: drugs in the clinic, resistance and new developments. Drug Resist Updat 2004; 7:257-266.

# \*\* An interesting review which details resistance mechanisms

- 37. Mbongo N, Loiseau P, Billion MA, et al. Mechanism of amphotericin B resistance in *Leishmania donovani* promastigotes. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 1998; 42: 352-357.
- 38. Oliva G, Foglia Manzillo V, Pagano A. [Canine leishmaniasis: evolution of the chemotherapeutic protocols] Parassitologia 2004; 46:231-234. Italian.
- 39. Dube A, Singh N, Sundar S, Singh N. Refractoriness to the treatment of sodium stibogluconate in Indian kala-azar field isolates persist in in vitro and in vivo experimental models. Parasitol Res 2005; [Epub ahead of print]

# \* Drug sensitivity of clinical isolates

40. Maes L, Germonprez N, Quirijnen L, *et al.* Comparative activities of the triterpene saponin maesabalide III and liposomal amphotericin B (AmBisome) against Leishmania donovani in hamsters. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2004; 48:2056-2060.

## \* A report of an interesting new drug

- 41. Dea-Ayuela MA, Rama-Iniguez S, Sanchez-Brunete JA, *et al.* Anti-leishmanial activity of a new formulation of amphotericin B. Trop Med Int Health 2004; 9:981-990.
- 42. Sanchez-Brunete JA, Dea MA, Rama S, *et al.* Treatment of experimental visceral leishmaniasis with amphotericin B in stable albumin microspheres. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2004; 48:3246-3252.
- 43. Sanchez-Brunete JA, Dea MA, Rama S, *et al.* Amphotericin B molecular organization as an essential factor to improve activity/toxicity ratio in the treatment of visceral leishmaniasis. J Drug Target 2004; 12:453-460.
- 44. Santarem N, Tomas A, Ouaissi A, *et al.* Antibodies against a Leishmania infantum peroxiredoxin as a possible marker for diagnosis of visceral leishmaniasis and for monitoring the efficacy of treatment. Immunol Lett 2005 Apr 26; [Epub ahead of print]
- 45. Kayser O, Olbrich C, Yardley V, et al. Formulation of amphotericin B as nanosuspension for oral administration. Int J Pharm 2003; 254:73-75.