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FINITE DIMENSIONAL PROJECTIONS OF THE BROWNIAN MOTION ON LARGE UNITARY GROUPS

FLORENT BENAYCH-GEORGES

Abstract. In this paper, we are concerned with the large $n$ limit of the distributions of linear combinations of the entries of a Brownian motion on the group of $n \times n$ unitary matrices. We prove that the process of such a linear combination converges to a Gaussian one. Various scales of time and various initial distributions are concerned, giving rise to various limit processes, related to the geometric construction of the unitary Brownian motion. As an application, we propose a quite short proof of the asymptotic Gaussian feature of the linear combinations of the entries of Haar distributed random unitary matrices, a result already proved by Diaconis et al.

INTRODUCTION

There is a natural definition of Brownian motion on any compact Lie group, whose distribution is sometimes called the heat kernel measure. Mainly due to its relations with the object from free probability theory called the free unitary Brownian motion and with the two-dimentional Yang-Mills theory, the Brownian motion on large unitary groups has appeared in several papers during the last decade. Rains, in [R97], Xu, in [X97], Biane, in [B97a, B97b] and Lévy and Maida, in [L08, LM09], are all concerned with the asymptotics of the spectral distribution of large random matrices distributed according to the heat kernel measure. Also, in [D08], Demni makes use of the unitary Brownian motion in the study of Jacobi processes, and, in [BL08], Lévy and the author of the present paper construct a continuum of convolutions between the classical and free ones based on the conjugation of random matrices with a unitary Brownian motion. In this paper, we are concerned with the asymptotic distributions of linear combinations of the entries of an $n \times n$ unitary Brownian motion as $n$ tends to infinity.

We first give the joint limit distribution, as $n$ tends to infinity, of (possibly rescaled) random processes of the type $(\text{Tr}[A(V_t - I)])_{t \geq 0}$ for $(e^{-t/2}V_t)_{t \geq 0}$ a Brownian motion staring at $I$ on the group of unitary $n \times n$ matrices and $A$ an $n \times n$ matrix (Theorem 1.2). This theorem is the key result of the paper, since specifying the choice of the matrices $A$ and randomizing them will then allow us to prove all other results. As a first example, it allows us to find out, for any sequence $(\alpha_n)_n$ of positive numbers with a limit $\alpha \in [0, +\infty]$, the
limit distribution of any upper-left corner of \( \sqrt{n/\alpha_n}(V_{\log(\alpha_n t + 1)} - I)_{t \geq 0} \) (Corollary 1.4): for small scales of time (i.e. when \( \alpha = 0 \)), the limit process is purely skew-Hermitian, whereas for large scales of time (\( \alpha = +\infty \)), the limit process is a standard complex matricial Brownian motion. For intermediate scales of time (\( 0 < \alpha < +\infty \)), the limit process is an interpolation between these extreme cases. The existence of these three asymptotic regimes can be explained by the fact that the unitary Brownian motion is the “wrapping”, on the unitary group, of a Brownian motion on the tangent space of this group at \( I \) (which is the space of skew-Hermitian matrices), and that as the time goes to infinity, its distribution tends to the Haar measure (for which the upper-left corners are asymptotically distributed as standard complex Gaussian random matrices).

Secondly, we consider a unitary Brownian motion \( (e^{-t/2}V_t)_{t \geq 0} \) whose initial distribution is the uniform measure on the group of permutation matrices: its rows are exchangeable, as its columns. In this case, for any positive sequence \( (\alpha_n) \) and any positive integer \( p \), the \( p \times p \) upper left corner of \( \sqrt{n/\alpha_n}(V_{\log(\alpha_n t + 1)} - I)_{t \geq 0} \) converges to a standard complex matricial Brownian motion (Corollary 1.9).

Since the unitary Brownian motion distributed according to the Haar measure at time zero has a stationary distribution, our results allow us to give very short proofs of some well-known results of Diaconis et al, first proved in [ADN03], about the asymptotic normality of linear combinations of the entries of uniform random unitary matrices (Theorem 1.11 and Corollary 1.12).

It is clear that the same analysis would give similar results for the Brownian motion on the orthogonal group. For notational brevity, we chose to focus on the unitary group.

Let us now present briefly what problems underlie the asymptotics of linear combinations of the entries of a unitary Brownian motion.

**Asymptotic normality of random unit vectors and unitary matrices:** The historical first result in this direction is due to Émile Borel, who proved a century ago, in [B1906], that, for a uniformly distributed point \( (X_1, \ldots, X_n) \) on the unit euclidian sphere \( S^{n-1} \), the scaled first coordinate \( \sqrt{n}X_1 \) converges weakly to the standard Gaussian distribution as the dimension \( n \) tends to infinity. As explained in the introduction of the paper [ADN03] of Diaconis et al., this says that the features of the “microcanonical” ensemble in a certain model for statistical mechanics (uniform measure on the sphere) are captured by the “canonical” ensemble (Gaussian measure). Since then, a long list of further-reaching results about the entries of uniformly distributed random orthogonal or unitary matrices have been obtained. The most recent ones are the previously cited paper of Diaconis et al., the papers of Meckes and Chatterjee [M08, CM08], the paper of Collins and Stolz [CS08] and the paper of Jiang [J06], where the point of view is slightly different. In the present paper, we give a new, quite short, proof of the asymptotic normality of the linear combinations of the entries of uniformly distributed random unitary matrices, but we also extend these investigations to the case where the distribution of the matrices is not the Haar measure but the heat kernel measure, with any initial distribution and any rescaling of the time.
Second order freeness: A theory has been developed these last five years about Gaussian fluctuations (called second order limits) of traces of large random matrices around their limits, the most emblematic articles in this theory being [MN04, MS06, MSS07, CMS07]. The results of this paper can be related to this theory, even though, technically speaking, we do not consider the powers of the matrices here.

Brownian motion on the Lie algebra and Itô map: The unitary Brownian motion is a continuous random process taking values on the unitary group, which has independent and stationary multiplicative increments. The most constructive way to define it is to consider a standard Brownian motion \( (B_t)_{t \geq 0} \) on the tangent space of the unitary group at the identity matrix and to consider its image by the Itô map (whose inverse is sometimes called the Cartan map), i.e. to wrap it around the unitary group: the process \( (U_t)_{t \geq 0} \) obtained is a unitary Brownian motion starting at \( I \). Our results give us an idea of the way the Itô map alters the Brownian feature of the entries of \( (B_t)_{t \geq 0} \) at different scales of time. Moreover, the question of the choice of a rescaling of the time (depending on the dimension) raises interesting questions (see Remark 1.1).

Notation. For each \( n \geq 1 \), \( U_n \) shall denote the group of \( n \times n \) unitary matrices. The identity matrix will always be denoted by \( I \). For each complex matrix \( M \), \( M^* \) will denote the adjoint of \( M \). We shall call a standard complex Brownian motion a complex-valued process whose real and imaginary parts are independent standard real Brownian motions divided by \( \sqrt{2} \). For all \( k \geq 1 \), the space of continuous functions from \([0, +\infty)\) to \( \mathbb{C}^k \) will be denoted by \( \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^+), \mathbb{C}^k) \) and will be endowed with the topology of the uniform convergence on every compact interval.

1. Statement of the results

1.1. Brief presentation of the Brownian motion on the unitary group. There are several ways to construct the Brownian motion on the unitary group. For the one we choose here, all facts can easily be recovered by the use of the matricial Itô calculus, as exposed in Section 2.1.

Let \( n \) be a positive integer and \( \nu_0 \) a probability measure on the group of unitary \( n \times n \) matrices. We shall call a unitary Brownian motion with initial law \( \nu_0 \) any random process \( (U_t)_{t \geq 0} \) with values on the space of \( n \times n \) complex matrices such that \( U_0 \) is \( \nu_0 \)-distributed.
and \((U_t)_{t \geq 0}\) is a strong solution of the stochastic differential equation
\[
\left(1\right) \quad \mathrm{d}U_t = i\mathrm{d}H_t U_t - \frac{1}{2} U_t \mathrm{d}t,
\]
where \((H_t)_{t \geq 0}\) is a Brownian motion on the space of \(n \times n\) Hermitian matrices endowed with the scalar product \(<A, B> = n \text{Tr}(AB)\).

It can be proved that for such a process \((U_t)_{t \geq 0}\), for any \(t_0 \geq 0\), \(U_{t_0}\) is almost surely unitary and both processes \((U_{t_0+t}U_{t_0}^*)_{t \geq 0}\) and \((U_{t_0}U_{t_0+t})_{t \geq 0}\) are unitary Brownian motions starting at \(I_n\) and independent of the \(\sigma\)-algebra generated by \((U_s)_{0 \leq s \leq t_0}\).

**Remark 1.1** (Communicated by Thierry Lévy). There are other ways to scale the time for the Brownian motion on the unitary group. Our scaling of the time is the one for which the three limit regimes correspond respectively to small values of \(t\), finite values of \(t\) and large values of \(t\) and for which the limit non commutative distribution of \((U_t)_{t \geq 0}\) is the one of a free unitary Brownian motion. It also has a heuristic geometrical meaning: with this scaling, for any fixed \(t\), the distance\(^4\) between \(U_0\) and \(U_t\) has the same order as the diameter\(^5\) of the group. It means that for any fixed \(t > 0\), large values of \(n\), \(U_t\) is probably no longer too close to its departure point, while it also probably hasn’t “orbited” the unitary group too many times.

### 1.2. The three asymptotic regimes for the unitary Brownian motion starting at \(I_n\)

Let \((\alpha_n)_{n \geq 1}\) be a sequence of positive numbers with a limit \(\alpha \in [0, +\infty]\). Let us fix a positive integer \(k\) and let, for each \(n \geq 1\), \(A^n_1, \ldots, A^n_k\) be a family of non-random \(n \times n\) matrices and \((e^{-t/2}V^n_t)_{t \geq 0}\) be a Brownian motion on \(\mathbb{U}_n\) starting at \(I\). Suppose that there exists complex matrices \([a_{l,l'}]_{l,l'=1}^k\), \([p_{l,l'}]_{l,l'=1}^k\) and \([q_{l,l'}]_{l,l'=1}^k\) such that for all \(l, l' \in \{1, \ldots, k\}\), we have
\[
\left(2\right) \quad \frac{1}{n} \text{Tr}(A^n_l) \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} a_l,
\]
\[
\left(3\right) \quad \frac{1}{n} \text{Tr}(A^n_l A^n_{l'}) \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} p_{l,l'},
\]
\[
\left(4\right) \quad \frac{1}{n} \text{Tr}(A^n_l A^{*n}_{l'}) \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} q_{l,l'}.
\]

For each \(n\), let us define, for \(t \geq 0\),
\[
X^n_t = \alpha_n^{-1/2}(\text{Tr}[A^n_t(V^n_{\log(\alpha_n t+1)} - I)], \ldots, \text{Tr}[A^n_k(V^n_{\log(\alpha_n t+1)} - I)]).
\]

Let \(\mu\) be the probability measure on \(\mathcal{C}([0, +\infty), \mathbb{C}^k)\) defined by the fact that any process \(X_t = (X_{t,1}, \ldots, X_{t,k})\) distributed according to \(\mu\) is a Gaussian centered process with

\(^4\)\((iH_t)_{t \geq 0}\) is in fact the skew-Hermitian Brownian motion that the process \((U_t U_0^*)_{t \geq 0}\) wraps around the unitary group, as explained in Footnote \(^2\).

\(^5\)As explained in Footnotes \(^2\) and \(^3\) \((U_t)_{t \geq 0}\) is the wrapping of \((iH_t)_{t \geq 0}\) on the unitary group, hence the distance between \(U_0\) and \(U_t\) has the same order as the one between \(0\) and \(iH_t\), which, by the Law of Large Numbers, has order \(\sqrt{n}\).

\(^6\)By definition, the diameter of the group is the supremum, over pairs \(U,V\) of unitary matrices, of the length of the shortest geodesic between \(U\) and \(V\). Here, it is equal the length of the geodesic \(t \in [0, n\pi] \mapsto \exp(itI_n/n)\) between \(I_n\) and \(-I_n\), i.e. to \(n\pi\).
independent increments such that for all \( t > 0 \), for all \( l, l' = 1, \ldots, k \),

\[
(5) \quad E(X_{t,l}X_{t,l'}) = q_{l,l'}t,
\]

\[
E(X_{t,l}X_{t,l'}) = \begin{cases} 
-p_{l,l'}t & \text{if } \alpha = 0, \\
-p_{l,l'}\frac{\log(\alpha t + 1)}{\alpha} + a_la_l'\frac{\log^2(\alpha t + 1)}{2\alpha} & \text{if } 0 < \alpha < +\infty, \\
0 & \text{if } \alpha = +\infty.
\end{cases}
\]

**Theorem 1.2.** As \( n \) tends to infinity, the distribution of the process \((X^n_t)_{t \geq 0}\) converges weakly to \( \mu \).

**Remark 1.3.** Theorem 1.2 can easily be extended, using standard topological arguments, to the case where for each \( n \), the matrices \( A_{n1}^n, \ldots, A_{nk}^n \) are random, independent of \((V^n_t)_{t \geq 0}\) and the convergences of (2), (3) and (4) hold in probability (with no \( n \)-random limits). It will be useful in the proofs of Theorem 1.6 and Theorem 1.11.

Recall that a **principal submatrix** of a matrix is a matrix obtained by removing some columns, and the rows with the same indices.

**Corollary 1.4.** Let us fix \( p \geq 1 \) and let \((H_t), (S_t)\) be two independent standard Brownian motions on the euclidian spaces of \( p \times p \) respectively Hermitian and skew-Hermitian matrices endowed with the respective scalar products \( \langle X, Y \rangle = \text{Tr}(XY)/2 \), \( \langle X, Y \rangle = -\text{Tr}(XY)/2 \). Then, as \( n \) tends to infinity, the distribution of the \( \mathbb{C}^{p \times p} \)-valued process of the entries of any \( p \times p \) principal submatrix of \( \sqrt{n/\alpha_n}(V^n_{\log(\alpha_{n+1})} - I)_{t \geq 0} \) converges to the one of the random process \((H_{t-f_n(t)} + S_{t+f_n(t)})_{t \geq 0}\), where

\[
f_\alpha(t) = \begin{cases} 
t & \text{if } \alpha = 0, \\
\frac{\log(\alpha t + 1)}{\alpha} & \text{if } 0 < \alpha < +\infty, \\
0 & \text{if } \alpha = +\infty.
\end{cases}
\]

**Remark 1.5.** Note that when \( \alpha = 0 \), the limit process is simply a standard Brownian motion on the space of \( p \times p \) skew-Hermitian matrices, whereas, as \( \alpha \) grows from zero to \(+\infty\), the Hermitian part of the limit process keeps growing, and at last, when \( \alpha = +\infty \), the limit process is a standard Brownian motion on the space of \( p \times p \) complex matrices. As said in the introduction, the existence of these three asymptotic regimes can be explained by the fact that the unitary Brownian motion is the “wrapping”, on the unitary group, of a Brownian motion on the tangent space at \( I \) (which is the space of skew-Hermitian matrices), and that as the time goes to infinity, its distribution tends to the Haar measure (for which, as stated by Corollary 1.12, the upper-left corners are asymptotically distributed as standard complex Gaussian random matrices).

### 1.3. The particular case of unitary Brownian motions with exchangeable rows and columns

Let \((\alpha_n)_{n \geq 1}\) be a sequence of positive numbers (no hypothesis is made on its convergence). Let us fix a positive integer \( k \) and let, for each \( n \geq 1 \), \( A_{1}^n, \ldots, A_{k}^n \) be a family of non-random \( n \times n \) matrices and \((e^{-t/2}V^n_t)_{t \geq 0}\) be a Brownian motion on \( U_n \) such that \( V^n_0 \) is uniformly distributed on the group of matrices of permutations of \( \{1, \ldots, n\} \).
We suppose that there exists a complex matrix \([q_{l,l'}]^k_{l,l'=1}\) for all \(l, l' \in \{1, \ldots, k\}\),

\begin{equation}
\frac{1}{n} \text{Tr}[A^n_{l} A^{n*}_{l'}] \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} q_{l,l'} ,
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
\frac{1}{n^2} \sharp \{(i, j) : ((i, j)\text{-th entry of } A^n_{l}) \neq 0\} \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} 0
\end{equation}

and that there exists a probability measure \(\mu_0\) on \(\mathbb{C}^k\) such that

\begin{equation}
\alpha_n^{-1/2}(\text{Tr}[A^n_{l} V^n_{0}], \ldots, \text{Tr}[A^n_{l} V^n_{0}]) \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} \mu_0 \quad \text{(conv. in distribution)}.
\end{equation}

For each \(n\), let us define, for \(t \geq 0\),

\[X^n_{t} = \alpha_n^{-1/2}(\text{Tr}(A^n_{l} V^n_{\log(\alpha_n t+1)}), \ldots, \text{Tr}(A^n_{l} V^n_{\log(\alpha_n t+1)})).\]

Let \(P\) be an Hermitian matrix such that \(P^2 = [q_{l,l'}]^k_{l,l'=1}\), \((Z_1, \ldots, Z_k)\) be an independent family of standard complex Brownian motions and \(C\) be a \(\mu_0\)-distributed random variable, independent of the \(Z_i\)'s. Let us define \(\mu\) to be the distribution, on \(C([0, +\infty), \mathbb{C}^k)\), of the process \((C + (Z_1, \ldots, Z_k,P))_{t \geq 0}\).

**Theorem 1.6.** As \(n\) tends to infinity, the distribution of \(X_n\) converges weakly to \(\mu\).

**Remark 1.7.** As Theorem 1.2, Theorem 1.6 can be extended to the case where for each \(n\), the matrices \(A^n_{1}, \ldots, A^n_{k}\) are random, independent of \((V^n_{l})_{l \geq 0}\) and the convergences of (6) and (7) hold in probability (with non-random limits). In several examples given below, the matrices \(A^n_{l}\)'s are actually random.

**Examples 1.8.** Let us give a few examples of sequences \(A^n\) which satisfy the hypotheses (6), (7) and (8) (or their probabilistic versions mentioned in Remark 1.7).

a) Firstly, if \((\alpha_n)\) is bounded from below by a positive constant, if (6) holds and if for all \(l\), \(n^{-1} \sharp \{(i, j) : ((i, j)\text{-th entry of } A^n_{l}) \neq 0\} \to 0\), then (8) holds for \(\mu_0\) the Dirac mass at zero (this can easily be deduced from Lemma 2.3 bellow).

b) Secondly, if \(\alpha_n\) tends to one and if, for all \(n\), the matrices \(A^n_{l}, 1 \leq l \leq k\), are random real \(n \times n\) matrices which satisfy (6) and (7) for the convergence in probability, then (8) holds for \(\mu_0\) the Gaussian measure with covariance matrix \([q_{l,l'}]^k_{l,l'=1}\). This follows easily from [SSS]. As an example, if \(k = 1\) and if, for each \(n\), the entries of \(A^n_{1}\) are i.i.d. with distribution \(\tau_n\) such that as \(n\) tends to infinity,

\[\tau_n(\{0\}) \to 1 \quad \text{and} \quad n(1 - \tau_n(\{0\})) \int t^2 d\tau_n(t) \to 1,
\]

then (6), (7) and (8) hold for \(q_{1,1} = 1\) and \(\mu_0\) the standard Gaussian law.

c) Other examples can be found using [C78, Th. 5.1], where the laws \(\mu_0\) are other infinitely divisible laws.

Both following corollaries are direct applications of the previous theorem, the first one using implicitly the fact that any entry of \(V^n_{0}\) is null with probability \(1 - n^{-1}\), and the second one using implicitly the fact that the distribution of the number of fixed points of a uniform random permutation of \(\{1, \ldots, n\}\) converges weakly, as \(n\) tends to infinity, to the Poisson distribution with mean one [DS94].
Corollary 1.9. Let \((\alpha_n)\) be a sequence of positive numbers. For any \(p, q \geq 1\), as \(n\) tends to infinity, the distribution of any \(p \times q\) submatrix of
\[
\left(\sqrt{n/\alpha_n} V_n^{\log(\alpha_n+1)}\right)_{t \geq 0}
\]
converges weakly to the one of an independent family of \(pq\) standard Brownian motions on the complex plane, i.e. a Brownian motion on the space of \(p \times q\) complex matrices.

Corollary 1.10. As \(n\) tends to infinity, the distribution of \((\text{Tr}(V_n^{\log(\alpha_n+1)}))_{t \geq 0}\) converges weakly to the one of \((C + Z_t)_{t \geq 0}\), where \(C\) is a Poisson random variable with mean one and \((Z_t)_{t \geq 0}\) is a standard complex Brownian motion, independent of \(C\).

1.4. Application to the asymptotics of the uniform measure on the unitary group. Since the Brownian motion on the unitary group distributed according to the Haar measure at time zero has a stationary distribution, our results allow us to recover certain results of asymptotic normality of linear combinations of the entries of uniform random unitary matrices.

The following theorem is not new \[CS08, CM08\]. However, our method allows to give a very direct proof, even under these very general hypotheses.

Theorem 1.11. Let us fix \(k \geq 1\) and let, for each \(n \geq 1\), \(A^n_1, \ldots, A^n_k\) be \(n \times n\) non-random matrices and \(U_n\) be a random matrix with uniform distribution on the group of \(n \times n\) unitary matrices. Suppose that for all \(l, l'\), there is \(q_{l,l'} \in \mathbb{C}\) such that
\[
\frac{1}{n} \text{Tr}(A^n_l A^n_{l'}^*) \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} q_{l,l'}.
\]
Then as \(n\) tends to infinity, the distribution of the random vector \((\text{Tr}[A^n_l U_n], \ldots, \text{Tr}[A^n_k U_n])\) converges weakly to the one of a Gaussian centered family \((Z_1, \ldots, Z_k)\) of complex random variables such that for all \(l, l' = 1, \ldots, k\), \(\mathbb{E}(Z_l Z_{l'}) = 0\) and \(\mathbb{E}(Z_l Z_{l'}) = q_{l,l'}\).

The following corollary is immediate.

Corollary 1.12. Let us fix \(k \geq 1\) and let, for each \(n\), \(Z^n_1, \ldots, Z^n_k\) be \(k\) different entries of a random \(n \times n\) matrix with uniform distribution on the unitary group. Then the joint distribution of \(\sqrt{n}(Z^n_1, \ldots, Z^n_k)\) converges weakly, as \(n\) tends to infinity, to the one of a family of independent standard complex Gaussian random variables.

2. Proofs

2.1. Preliminaries on matricial Itô calculus. a) Let \(n\) be a positive integer. Let \((\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}\) be a filtration and \((H^*_t)_{t \geq 0}\) be an \((\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}\)-standard Brownian motion on the space of \(n \times n\) Hermitian matrices endowed with the scalar product \(\langle A, B \rangle = n \text{Tr}(AB)\), i.e. a process with values in the space of \(n \times n\) Hermitian matrices such that the diagonal and upper diagonal entries of \((\sqrt{n}H^*_t)_{t \geq 0}\) are independent random processes, the ones on the diagonal being standard real Brownian motions and the ones above the diagonal being standard complex Brownian motions. If one considers two matrix-valued semimartingales \(X, Y\) such that
\[
\mathrm{d}X_t = A_t(dH^*_t) B_t + C_t \mathrm{d}t, \quad \mathrm{d}Y_t = D_t(dH^*_t) E_t + F_t \mathrm{d}t,
\]
for some \((F_t)_{t \geq 0}\)-adapted matrix-valued processes \(A, B, C, D, E, F\), then, by Itô’s formula,
\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{dt} (XY)_t &= (dX_t)Y_t + X_t dY_t + \frac{1}{n} \text{Tr}(B_t D_t) A_t E_t dt, \\
\frac{d}{dt} \langle \text{Tr}(X), \text{Tr}(Y) \rangle_t &= \frac{1}{n} \text{Tr}(B_t A_t E_t D_t) dt.
\end{align*}
\]

We shall use these formulas many times in the paper, without citing them every time.

b) With the same notation, let us now consider a deterministic \(C^1\) function \(f\) with positive derivative such that \(f(0) = 0\). Then for \(X\) the process introduced above, the process \(\tilde{X}_t := X_{f(t)}\) satisfies
\[
\frac{d}{dt} \tilde{X}_t = \sqrt{f'(t) A_{f(t)} (d \tilde{H}^n_t) B_{f(t)} + f'(t) C_{f(t)} dt},
\]
where \(\tilde{H}^n\) is the \((F_{f(t)})_{t \geq 0}\)-Brownian motion defined by the formula \(\tilde{H}^n_t = \int_0^t \frac{1}{\sqrt{f'(s)}} d(B_{f(s)})\).

2.2. Proof of Theorem [1.2]. Let us first state some matricial inequalities we shall often refer to in the following. Let \(X, Y\) be two complex matrices and \(G, H\) be two Hermitian nonnegative matrices. Then we have
\[
\begin{align*}
&|\text{Tr}(XY)| \leq \sqrt{\text{Tr}(XX^*) \text{Tr}(YY^*)}, \\
&\text{Tr}(G^2) \leq (\text{Tr} G)^2, \\
&|\text{Tr}(GH)| \leq \text{Tr}(G) \text{Tr}(H).
\end{align*}
\]

Inequality (11) follows from (9) and (10), which are obvious.

Lemma 2.1. Let us fix \(n \geq 3\), an \(n \times n\) matrix \(A\) and a Brownian motion \((e^{-t/2} V_t)_{t \geq 0}\) on \(\mathbb{U}_n\) starting at \(1\). Then there exists some real numbers \(C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4\), independent of \(t\), whose absolute values are bounded by \(100(\text{Tr} AA^*)^2/n^2\) and such that for all \(t \geq 0\),
\[
\begin{align*}
&\mathbb{E}[\text{Tr}(AV_t AV_t)] = \text{Tr}(A^2) \cosh(t/n) - (\text{Tr} A)^2 \sinh(t/n), \\
&\mathbb{E}[|\text{Tr}(AV_t AV_t)|^2] = |\text{Tr}(A^2)|^2 + \left\{ \frac{|\text{Tr} A|^4}{2n^4} + \frac{C_1}{n^{3/2}} \right\} \left\{ \cosh \left( \frac{2t}{n} \right) - 1 \right\} \\
&\quad - \left\{ \Re[(\text{Tr} A)^2 \text{Tr}(AA)] \right\} + n^{3/2} C_2 \sinh \left( \frac{2t}{n} \right) \\
&\quad + n C_3 (e^t - 1) + C_4 (e^{2t} - 1).
\end{align*}
\]

Proof. Since the formulas we have to state are invariant under multiplication of \(A\) by a scalar, we can suppose that \(\text{Tr}(AA^*) = n\).

Note that for \(H^n_t\) as in Section 2.1 \((V_t)\) is a strong solution of \(dV_t = i(dH^n_t) V_t\). Hence by the matricial Itô calculus,
\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{dt} \text{Tr}(AV_t AV_t) &= 2i \text{Tr}(V_t AV_t AV_t H^n_t) - n^{-1} \text{Tr}(AV_t AV_t) \text{Tr}(AV_t AV_t) dt, \\
\frac{d}{dt} \text{Tr}(AV_t AV_t) &= 2i \text{Tr}(AV_t AV_t AV_t) - n^{-1} \text{Tr}(AV_t AV_t) \text{Tr}(AV_t AV_t) dt.
\end{align*}
\]

It follows that for \(x(t) = \mathbb{E}[\text{Tr}(AV_t AV_t)]\) and \(y(t) = \mathbb{E}[\text{Tr}(AV_t) \text{Tr}(AV_t)]\), we have
\[
x' = -n^{-1} y \quad \text{and} \quad y' = -n^{-1} x.
\]

Equation (12) follows.
Now, let us define, for \(C, D\) some \(n \times n\) matrices, \(u_{C,D}(t) = \mathbb{E}[\text{Tr}(V_i C V_i^* D)]\) and \(v_{C,D}(t) = \mathbb{E}[\text{Tr}(V_i C) \text{Tr}(V_i^* D)]\). By the matricial Itô calculus again, one has

\[
(14) \quad u_{C,D}(t) = \frac{1}{n}(e^t - 1) \text{Tr} C \text{Tr} D + \text{Tr}(CD), \quad v_{C,D}(t) = \frac{1}{n}(e^t - 1) \text{Tr}(CD) + \text{Tr}(C) \text{Tr}(D).
\]

Let us now prove (13). We introduce the functions

\[
\begin{align*}
\kappa &= n^{-1} \text{Tr}(AA^* AA^*) - 1, \\
\theta &= 2 - n^{-1} \text{Tr}(AA^* AA^*) - n^{-1} \text{Tr}(AAA^* A^*) - |\text{Tr} A|^2 + \Re \{\text{Tr}(A A^*)\},
\end{align*}
\]

hence

\[
g(t) = \mu \cosh \left( \frac{2t}{n} \right) + \nu \sinh \left( \frac{2t}{n} \right) + \frac{2n\kappa}{n^2 - 1} + \frac{4n\theta}{n^2 - 4},
\]

with

\[
\begin{align*}
\mu &= \Re \{\text{Tr}(A A^*)\} - \frac{2n\kappa}{n^2 - 1} - \frac{4n\theta}{n^2 - 4}, \\
\nu &= -\frac{1}{2} |\text{Tr} A|^2 - \frac{1}{2} |\text{Tr} A|^4 + 2\Re \{\text{Tr}(A A^*)\} - \frac{2n^2\kappa}{n^2 - 1} - \frac{2n^2\theta}{n^2 - 4}.
\end{align*}
\]

From (15), it follows that

\[
f(t) = -|\text{Tr} A|^2 - \mu \sinh \left( \frac{2t}{n} \right) - \nu \left( \cosh \left( \frac{2t}{n} \right) - 1 \right) + w(t),
\]

where

\[
w(t) = -\frac{2\kappa}{n^2 - 1}(e^{2t} - 1) - \frac{8\theta}{n^2 - 4}(e^t - 1) + 2(e^{2t} - 1) + 4(n^{-1} \text{Tr}(AA^* AA^*) - 1)(e^t - 1).
\]

Now, the conclusion follows from the fact that since \(\text{Tr}(AA^*) = n\), the inequalities (9), (10) and (11) allow to prove that \(|\text{Tr} A|, |\text{Tr} A|^2| \leq n, \text{Tr}(AA^* AA^*), |\text{Tr}(AAA^* A^*)| \leq n^2\) and \(|\text{Tr}(AA^* AA^*)| \leq n^{3/2}\). \(\square\)

**Lemma 2.2.** Let \((a_n), (b_n), (c_n)\) be sequences of real numbers such that \((a_n)\) tends to \(+\infty\) and \((b_n)\) and \((c_n)\) are both bounded. Then we have

\[
(16) \quad u_n := \frac{n^2 b_n}{a_n} \left( \cosh \left( \frac{\log a_n}{n} \right) - 1 \right) + \frac{n c_n}{a_n} \sinh \left( \frac{\log a_n}{n} \right) \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} 0.
\]

**Proof.** Let us define

\[
K = \max \left\{ \sup_{n \geq 1} |b_n|, \sup_{n \geq 1} |c_n|, \sup_{0 < x \leq 1} \frac{\cosh(x) - 1}{x^2}, \sup_{0 < x \leq 1} \frac{\sinh(x)}{x} \right\}.
\]
Then (16) follows from the fact that, since \( u_n \) can also be written
\[
 u_n = \frac{n^2b_n}{2a_n^{1-\frac{\lambda}{2}}} + \frac{n^2b_n}{2a_n^{1+\frac{\lambda}{2}}} - \frac{nc_n}{2a_n^{1-\frac{\lambda}{2}}} - \frac{nc_n}{2a_n^{1+\frac{\lambda}{2}}},
\]
we have the upper-bound: \(|u_n| \leq 5Kn^2\mathbb{1}_{a_n > e^n} + 2K^2\frac{\log a_n + \log a_n}{a_n}\mathbb{1}_{a_n \leq e^n}.
\]

\[\square\]

**Proof of Theorem 1.2.** For all \( n \), \( X^n \) is a \( \mathbb{C}^k \)-valued continuous centered martingale. To prove that its distribution tends to \( \mu \), by Rebolledo’s Theorem (see [PSV77] or [AGZ09, Th. H.14]), it suffices to prove that the bracket of \( X^n \) converges pointwise, in \( L^1 \), to the one of a \( \mu \)-distributed process. Hence it suffices to fix \( \lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k \in \mathbb{C} \), to define the process \( Y^n_t = \lambda_1X^n_{t,1} + \cdots + \lambda_nX^n_{t,k} \) and to prove that as \( n \) tends to infinity,
\[
\langle Y^n, Y^n \rangle_t \xrightarrow{L^2} qt \quad \text{and} \quad \langle Y^n, Y^n \rangle_t \xrightarrow{L^2} \begin{cases} -pt & \text{if } \alpha = 0, \\ -p\log(\alpha t+1) & \text{if } 0 < \alpha < +\infty, \\ 0 & \text{if } \alpha = +\infty. \end{cases}
\]

for \( q = \sum_{l',l=1}^k \lambda_l\lambda_{l'}q_{l,l'} \), \( p = \sum_{l',l=1}^k \lambda_l\lambda_{l'}p_{l,l'} \) and \( a = \sum_{l=1}^k \lambda_la_l \).

Let us define, for each \( n \), \( A^n = \sum_{l=1}^k \lambda_lA^n_l \). We have
\[
Y^n_t = \alpha_n^{-1/2} \text{Tr}[A^n(V^n_{\log(\alpha t+1)} - I)].
\]

Hence by Section 2.1 b), \( Y^n \) satisfies
\[
dY^n_t = \frac{i}{\sqrt{\alpha_nt+1}} \text{Tr}[V^n_{\log(\alpha nt+1)}A^n dH^n_t],
\]

where \( H^n_t \) is an Hermitian Brownian motion as introduced in Section 2.1. Thus, since \( V^n_{\log(\alpha nt+1)}V^n_{\log(\alpha nt+1)} = (\alpha nt + 1)I \), by the matricial Itô calculus, we have
\[
d\langle Y^n, Y^n \rangle_t = \frac{-1}{n(\alpha nt+1)} \text{Tr}[A^n V^n_{\log(\alpha nt+1)}A^n V^n_{\log(\alpha nt+1)}] dt.
\]

so that the first part of (17) follows directly from (4). Let us now prove the second part. By the matricial Itô calculus again, we have
\[
d\langle Y^n, Y^n \rangle_t = \frac{-1}{n(\alpha nt+1)} \text{Tr}[A^n V^n_{\log(\alpha nt+1)}A^n V^n_{\log(\alpha nt+1)}] dt.
\]

Hence it suffice to prove that as \( n \) tends to infinity, we have the convergence
\[
(18) \quad \frac{-1}{n(\alpha nt+1)} \text{Tr}[A^n V^n_{\log(\alpha nt+1)}A^n V^n_{\log(\alpha nt+1)}] \xrightarrow{L^2} \begin{cases} -p \at + 1 & \text{if } 0 \leq \alpha < +\infty, \\ 0 & \text{if } \alpha = +\infty, \end{cases}
\]

uniformly as \( t \) varies in any compact subset of \([0, +\infty)\). This follows easily from Lemma 2.1 (with Lemma 2.2 in the case where \( \alpha = +\infty \)). \[\square\]
2.3. Proof of corollary 1.4. By Theorem 1.2 and formula (5), applied with matrices \( A^n_t \) of the type \( \sqrt{n} \times (an \text{ elementary } n \times n \text{ matrix}) \), the distribution of any \( p \times p \) principal submatrix of \( \sqrt{n/\alpha_n} (V_{\log(\alpha_n t+1)}^n - I)_{t \geq 0} \) converges weakly to the one of \((C, A)\). Proof of Theorem 1.6.

\[ \mathbb{E}[\text{Tr}(M_t X)\text{Tr}(M_t Y)] = t \text{Tr}(XY^*), \quad \mathbb{E}[\text{Tr}(M_t X)\text{Tr}(M_t Y)] = -\frac{\log(\alpha t + 1)}{\alpha} \text{Tr}(XY), \]

where if \( \alpha = 0 \) or \(+\infty\), the second right-hand term has to be replaced by respectively \(-t\text{Tr}(XY)\) or 0. Since a standard Brownian motion \((B_t)\) on an euclidian space \((E, \langle \cdot , \cdot \rangle)\) satisfies, for all \( u, v \in E \), \( \mathbb{E}(\langle B_t, u \rangle \langle B_t, v \rangle) = \langle u, v \rangle t \), the result can easily be verified. \( \square \)

2.4. Proof of Theorem 1.6

Lemma 2.3. Let us fix \( n \geq 2 \), let \( S \) be the matrix of a uniform random permutation of \( \{1, \ldots, n\} \) and let \( A, B \) be \( n \times n \) non-random matrices. Then we have

\[ \mathbb{E}\{|\text{Tr}(AS)|\} \leq \frac{1}{n} \sqrt{C_A} \sqrt{\text{Tr}(AA^*)}, \]

\[ \mathbb{E}\{|\text{Tr}(ASBS)|\} \leq \frac{n - 1 + \sqrt{C_A C_B}}{n(n - 1)} \sqrt{\text{Tr}(AA^*)} \sqrt{\text{Tr}(BB^*)}, \]

where for each matrix \( X = [x_{i,j}]_{i,j=1}^n \), \( C_X \) denotes \#\{(i, j); x_{i,j} \neq 0\}.

Proof. Let us denote by respectively \( [a_{i,j}], [b_{i,j}], [s_{i,j}] \) the entries of \( A, B, S \). By Hölder’s inequality, we have

\[ \mathbb{E}\{|\text{Tr}(AS)|\} \leq \sum_{i,j} |a_{i,j}| \mathbb{E}(s_{i,j}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i,j} |a_{i,j}| \leq \frac{1}{n} \sqrt{C_A} \sqrt{\text{Tr}(AA^*)}. \]

Moreover, we have

\[ \mathbb{E}\{|\text{Tr}(ASBS)|\} \leq \sum_{j,k} |a_{k,j} b_{k,j}| \mathbb{E}(s^2_{j,k}) + \sum_{i,j,k,l \text{ at } k \neq i} |a_{i,j} b_{k,l}| \mathbb{E}(s_{j,k} s_{i,l}) \]

\[ \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j,k} |a_{k,j} b_{k,j}| + \frac{1}{n(n - 1)} \sum_{i,j,k,l} |a_{i,j} b_{k,l}|, \]

and the conclusion follows from Hölder’s inequality again. \( \square \)

Proof of Theorem 1.6. We consider \( C, Z_1, \ldots, Z_k, P \) as introduced above the statement of the theorem. For each \( t \geq 0 \), let us define \( K_t = (Z_{1,t}, \ldots, Z_{k,t})P \). It suffices to prove that as \( n \) tends to infinity, the joint distribution of \((X^n_0, (X^n_t - X^n_0)_{t \geq 0})\) converges weakly to the one of \((C, (K_t)_{t \geq 0})\).

First, Lemma 2.3 and the hypothesis (6) and (7) allow us to claim that as \( n \) tends to infinity, \( \frac{1}{n} \text{Tr}(A^n_{n,0} V_{n,0}) \) and \( \frac{1}{n} \text{Tr}(A^n_{n,0} V_{n,0} A^n_{n,0} V_{n,0}) \) both converge to zero in probability. Moreover, by a standard topological argument, one can suppose that \( (\alpha_n) \) admits a limit \( \alpha \in [0, +\infty] \).
Now, note that for all $n$, $(e^{-t/2}V_0^n V_t^n)_{t \geq 0}$ is a unitary Brownian motion starting at $I$, independent of $V_0^n$. By Theorem 1.2 and Remark 1.3 it implies that the joint distribution of $(X_0^n, (X_0^n - X_0^n)_{t \geq 0})$ converges weakly to the one of $(C, (K_t)_{t \geq 0})$, which closes the proof of the theorem.  

2.5. Proof of Theorem 1.11

Lemma 2.4. Let $U$ be Haar-distributed on $\mathbb{U}_n$ and $A$ be an $n \times n$ matrix, with $n \geq 3$. Then

\begin{align}
(19) & \quad \mathbb{E}\{|\text{Tr}(AU)|^2\} = \text{Tr}(AA^*)/n, \\
(20) & \quad \mathbb{E}\{|\text{Tr}(AUAU)|^2\} \leq 100(\text{Tr}(AA^*))^2/n^2.
\end{align}

Proof. Let $U = [u_{i,j}]_{i,j=1}^n$. One can write $A = VAW$, with $V, W$ unitary matrices and $A'$ a diagonal matrix whose diagonal entries $a_1, \ldots, a_n$ are the eigenvalues of $\sqrt{AA^*}$. Since the law of $U$ is invariant under the left and right actions of the unitary group, one can suppose that $A = A'$. These invariances of the law of $U$ also imply that for all $i, j, \mathbb{E}(u_{i,i}u_{j,j}) = \delta_i^j/n$. Equation (19) follows. Equation (20) follows from (13) and the fact that the Haar measure on the unitary group is the limit of the distribution of $e^{-t/2}V_t$ as $t$ tends to infinity. 

Proof of Theorem 1.11. Step I. Firstly, by Lemma 2.4 for all $l, l'$, $n^{-1}\text{Tr}(A_l^n U_n A_{l'}^n U_n)$ both tend in probability to zero as $n$ tends to infinity.

Step II. Following [DZ98] Th. D8], we fix a bounded real function $f$ on $\mathbb{C}^k$ which is $1$-Lipschitz for the canonical hermitian norm $|| \cdot ||$ on $\mathbb{C}^k$, and we shall prove that

\begin{equation}
\mathbb{E}\{f(\text{Tr}[A_l^n U_n], \ldots, \text{Tr}[A_{l'}^n U_n])\} \longrightarrow \mathbb{E}\{f(Z_1, \ldots, Z_k)\}.
\end{equation}

Let us fix $\varepsilon > 0$.

a) Let, for each $t \geq 0$, $(Z_{l,t}, \ldots, Z_{k,t})$ be a Gaussian family of centered complex random variables such that for all $l, l'$, $\mathbb{E}[Z_{l,t} Z_{l',t}] = 0$ and $\mathbb{E}[Z_{l,t} Z_{l',t}^*] = q_{l,l'}(1 - e^{-t})$ (such a family exists because the matrix $[q_{l,l'}]_{l,l'}$ is nonnegative). The distribution of $(Z_{1,t}, \ldots, Z_{k,t})$ tends to the one of $(Z_1, \ldots, Z_k)$ as $t$ tends to infinity. Hence there is $t_0 > 0$ such that

\begin{align}
(22) & \quad |\mathbb{E}\{f(Z_1, \ldots, Z_k)\} - \mathbb{E}\{f(Z_{1,t_0}, \ldots, Z_{k,t_0})\}| \leq \varepsilon, \\
(23) & \quad e^{-\frac{t_0}{2}} \sup_{n \geq 1} \{n^{-1} \text{Tr}[A_l^n A_{l'}^{*n} + \cdots + A_{l'}^n A_l^{*n}]\}^{1/2} \leq \varepsilon.
\end{align}

b) For each $n$, up to an extension of the probability space where $U_n$ is defined, one can suppose that there exists a unitary Brownian motion $(U_t^n)_{t \geq 0}$, starting at $I$, independent of $U_n$. Let us define, for each $n$,

\begin{align}
X^n & = \{\text{Tr}[A_l^n U_n U_{t_0}^n], \ldots, \text{Tr}[A_{l'}^n U_n U_{t_0}^n]\}, \\
Y^n & = e^{-\frac{t_0}{2}} \{\text{Tr}[A_l^n U_n], \ldots, \text{Tr}[A_{l'}^n U_n]\}, \\
D^n & = X^n - Y^n = e^{-\frac{t_0}{2}} \{\text{Tr}[A_l^n U_n(e^{\frac{t_0}{2} U_{t_0}^n} - I)], \ldots, \text{Tr}[A_{l'}^n U_n(e^{\frac{t_0}{2} U_{t_0}^n} - I)]\}.
\end{align}

By Step I and the randomized version of Theorem 1.2 stated in Remark 1.3, as $n$ tends to infinity, the distribution of $D^n$ converges to the one of $(Z_{1,t_0}, \ldots, Z_{k,t_0})$. It follows that
for $n$ large enough,
\begin{equation}
|\mathbb{E}\{f(Z_{1,t_0}, \ldots, Z_{k,t_0})\} - \mathbb{E}\{f(D^n)\}| \leq \varepsilon.
\end{equation}

c) At last, since $f$ is 1-Lipschitz for $\| \cdot \|$, for all $n$, we have
\begin{align*}
|\mathbb{E}\{f(D^n)\} - \mathbb{E}\{f(X^n)\}| &\leq \mathbb{E}\{||D^n - X^n||\} \\
&\leq (e^{-t_0} \mathbb{E}\{|\text{Tr}[A_1^n U^n]\|^2 + \cdots + |\text{Tr}[A_k^n U^n]\|^2\})^{1/2} \\
&\leq e^{-t_0/2} (n^{-1} \text{Tr}[A_1^n A_1^{n*}] + \cdots + n^{-1} \text{Tr}[A_k^n A_k^{n*}])^{1/2},
\end{align*}
the last inequality following from (19). By (23), it allows us to claim that for all $n$,
\begin{equation}
|\mathbb{E}\{f(D^n)\} - \mathbb{E}\{f(X^n)\}| \leq \varepsilon.
\end{equation}

d) To conclude, note that by the right invariance of the law of $U_n, X^n$ and the random vector $(\text{Tr}[A_1^n U_n], \ldots, \text{Tr}[A_k^n U_n])$ have the same distribution. By (22), (24) and (25), it follows that for $n$ large enough,
\begin{equation}
|\mathbb{E}\{f(Z_1, \ldots, Z_k)\} - \mathbb{E}\{f(\text{Tr}[A_1^n U_n], \ldots, \text{Tr}[A_k^n U_n])\}| \leq 3\varepsilon.
\end{equation}
It closes the proof of the theorem. \hfill $\square$
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