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Nanometric aluminum oxide particles were modified by phosphonic acid-based oligomers of aromatic polyester, polyether, or polymethylsiloxane (PDMS). The grafting process was characterized by FTIR spectroscopy and thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) showing that covalent bonds must have formed between the oxide and oligomers. The highest yield of grafting was achieved in dichloromethane (CH₂Cl₂) solvent. Then, nanocomposites were prepared by melt-blending in a poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA) matrix. The best results in terms of thermal stability and flammability were obtained with the bis-phosphonicpolydimethylsiloxane-based formulation. With this latter, the peak of heat released rate (pHRR) decreased during the combustion, whereas PyGC/MS experiments led to the conclusion that PDMS-covered nanoparticles played a role in the composition of the gaseous phase as well.
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INTRODUCTION

Nanocomposites have drawn considerable attention over the last two decades. The incorporation of nanosized fillers in polymeric materials allows to enhance considerably a large range of properties. Among them, flammability appears to be improved by choosing nanoparticles as additives in flame-retardant compositions. Their high specific surface area enables to engender many favorable interfacial interactions between the polymer matrix and fillers. Flame-retardancy of a wide variety of polymer layered silicate nanocomposites was studied and explained by barrier formation,¹,² catalytic action, and paramagnetic radical trapping.³,⁴ The differences observed for peak of heat released rate (pHRR) of several polymers using cone calorimeter have been ascribed to polymer degradation pathway.³

Flame-retardancy of novel nanofillers as carbon nanotubes,³ layered double hydroxides,⁵ and also metal oxides⁶–¹⁰ was deeply studied in poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA).

Laachachi et al.⁷ investigated the impact of TiO₂ and Fe₂O₃ on thermal properties of PMMA nanocomposites and linked the increase of thermal stability (–70°C) to the restriction of polymer chain mobility. Kashiwagi et al.⁸–¹⁰ explained that, in the condensed phase, the physical processes which significantly reduced pHRR were the accumulation of silica to the burning surface and its complete coverage. The fact that the nanosilica migrates toward the surface is not enough to provide an effective protective barrier; thanks to the formation of an in situ network, silica gel increases the effectiveness of flame-retardancy, however, without improving the thermal stability so much in this case.

The surface modification of oxide nanoparticles is a novel way for enhancing mechanical,¹¹–¹² optical,¹³ and thermal¹⁴ properties of nanocomposites. Moreover, several possibilities of formation of hybrids between inorganic particles and organic compounds are available, according to literature:

- the “grafting onto” method which consists in making polymeric chains interact physically or chemically to inorganic particle surface¹⁵
- the “grafting from” method where polymerization is induced directly from oxide surface¹⁶ leading to inorganic–organic nanostructure
• and the functionalization of the inorganic particles by coupling agents to ensure, for instance, high compatibility with a polymer matrix or to modify the hydrophobic/hydrophilic balance of the nanoparticles.17

The present work concerns the modification of the aluminum oxide nanoparticle surface by phosphonic acid-based oligomers using a “grafting onto” method. The incorporation of the treated particles was carried out in PMMA and then, thermal/fire properties were evaluated and discussed. Specifically, the goal of the present work was to modify the interphase region of PMMA/alumina in order to achieve better thermal and fire properties and to investigate the degradation pathway of these nanocomposites.

EXPERIMENTAL

Materials
Pellets of PMMA (Altuglas V 825T, Arkema) were first reduced into powder in order to mix them physically with nanoparticles before being blended in an internal mixer. Commercial nanoalumina (Aeroxide AluC, Evonik Degussa), of 13 nm average particle size, was used as received (AluC) and also treated with organic compounds. Dichloromethane (CH2Cl2) and tetrahydrofuran (THF) were purchased from Prolabo (France).

Bis-phosphonic acid compounds of polytetramethylene glycol ether (Terathane® 650 or TER) and an aromatic polyester diol obtained through glycolysis of polyethylene terephthalate by diethylene glycol (PET) were prepared in two steps.18 Basically, an esterification by thiglycolic acid under acid conditions was followed by a radically controlled thiol-ene addition of vinylphosphonic acid. The purity of the intermediate and final bis-diphosphonic acid molecules were controlled by chain-end titrations and 1H NMR.

Since PDMSs are sensitive to acid or base environment, a slightly different procedure has been chosen. In the first step, the reaction of esterification of the PDMS by the thiglycolic acid was carried out in the absence of the catalyst (dodecyl benzene sulphonic acid (DBSA)); the equilibrated reaction was simply moved toward the formation of the product by eliminating the water formed by drive azeotropic with toluene in Dean–Stark during 48 hr. In a second step, the radical addition of PDMS thiols onto vinyl phosphonate ester was performed under stoechiometric conditions. Finally, the cleavage of one ester unit per phosphonated groups was carried out by reaction with sodium iodide in anhydrous methylethylketone (MEEK) at reflux of solvent during 10 hr followed by a protonation of the disalt in 1 M HCl water solution.19 1H and 31P NMRs confirmed the monodealkylation (not shown).

In the following, bis-phosphonic polydimethylsiloxane will designate the phosphonic acid derivated oligomer of PDMS, and so on. The chemical structures of the macromolecules are illustrated in Fig. 1.

Grafting process onto the alumina nanoparticles
Metallic oxide nanoparticles (20 g) AluC were dried 12 hr at 110 °C before being dispersed in the solvents (THF or CH2Cl2) with a concentration of 1 g per 50 ml. Meanwhile, the oligomers were solubilized in 40 ml of the same solvent. The mass of the oligomers was relative to the calculated amount needed for the coverage of the oxide surface. The mass of the oligomers was relative to the calculated amount needed for the coverage of the oxide surface. The specific surface area of AluC was measured at 85.4 m² g⁻¹. The area occupied by the bis-phosphonic acid compounds was determined according to an estimation of the radius of gyration calculated from a randomcoil model:20

$$R_g = aN^\nu$$

where a is the Kuhn length estimated at 1.54 Å, N the number of unit per molecule, and \(\nu\) is an exponent equal to 0.6 that describes how \(R_g\) varies with the length of the oligomer. The estimated masses of PDMS, PET, and TER molecules are given in Table 1, from which five excesses were added to alumina for ensuring an efficient surface covering and coupling reaction. Then, the solution was added to the suspension of AluC and stirred for 24 hr at room temperature (or with a reflux condenser at 40°C for CH2Cl2). Afterward, the mixture was centrifugated a first time. Then, after washing with the organic solvent and after another centrifugation, the collected solid phase was finally dried at 80°C for 6 hr and then dried under vacuum at 80°C for 4 hr.

Figure 1. Bis-phosphonic acid oligomers based on polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS), polyethylene terephthalate diethylene glycol (PET) and Terathane® (TER).
Preparation of nanocomposites
The test samples were prepared by mixing PMMA pellets and alumina fillers (each formulation containing 5 wt% of inorganic filler) in a Haake PolyLab internal mixer at 225 °C and 50 rpm. The total mixing time was typically 8 min. The resulting nanocomposites were crushed in a rotary cutter mill before being compression molded at 225 °C for 5 min at 100 bars to obtain 100 × 100 × 4 mm³ specimens of around 50 g for cone calorimeter measurements. The same procedure was applied to pristine PMMA in order to compare it properly with filled compositions.

Instrumentation
Thermogravimetric analyses (TGA) were performed using a Perkin Elmer Pyris-1 TGA thermobalance operating under both air and nitrogen conditions in alumina crucibles containing around 10 ± 2 mg of material. The runs were carried out under dynamic conditions at the heating rate of 10 °C min⁻¹ from room temperature to 700 °C.

Glass transition temperatures (Tg) were determined by differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) using Pyris Diamond DSC Perkin Elmer apparatus with a heating rate of 10 °C min⁻¹ between room temperature and 200 °C. Nitrogen was used as purge gas. Tg values are the average of two measurements.

ATR-FTIR spectra were recorded at room temperature on a Bruker IFS66 FTIR spectrometer (Golden Gate reflection system) to analyze the surface modification of nanoalumina. The spectra were measured with a spectral resolution of 2 cm⁻¹.

Images of nanocomposites were obtained with a scanning transmission electron microscopy (STEM) detector coupled with a SEM microscope (FEI Quanta 200 SEM). All images were obtained under high vacuum at a voltage of 25.0 kV with a spot size of 2.8 and a working distance of 8.2 mm. X-ray microanalysis (EDX) performed with the same device was used to obtain information about powder surface.

Evaluation of the flammability properties of PMMA and its nanocomposites was made using a cone calorimeter device (Fire Testing Technology). Specimen sheets were exposed to a radiant cone under a heat flux of 35 kW m⁻². Time to ignition (TTI), total heat release (THR), average and peak of heat released rate (HRR, Ave and pHRR) will be discussed. Results correspond to mean values obtained from two or three experiments.

PyGC/MS analyses were performed with a 6890 HP Agilent chromatograph equipped with a CDS pyroprobe 2000 for flash pyrolysis. Flash pyrolysis was used during 60 sec from 25 to 600 °C (heating rate of 5000 °C sec⁻¹). GC samples were injected in 20:1 split mode. GC oven was set to 100 °C and equipped with a Permadong capillary column (cross linked 5%PH-ME siloxane, 100 m length, 0.25 μm film thickness). The GC was connected to a mass selective detector (HP Agilent 5973) operating in the electron impact mode at 70 eV energy. As the carrier gas, helium was set at a constant flow of 40 ml min⁻¹. Initial sample weight was 3 ± 0.1 mg for each experiment.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
Grafting of oligomers onto alumina surface
The phosphonic acid chain ends of each oligomer were chosen to ensure covalent bonds with the aluminum oxide nanoparticles. The possibility of modifying metallic oxide nanoparticles was successfully demonstrated by Guerrero et al. who modified nanoalumina by grafting phenylphosphonic acid and its organic-soluble ester derivatives. Thus, they verified the formation of Al–O–P leading to tridendate PO₃ unit. Nevertheless a dissolution–precipitation process may compete with surface modification according to severe experimental conditions. A schematic representation of the grafting process is illustrated in Fig. 2 involving the general structure of our oligomers with two potential active sites for coupling with the alumina nanoparticles.

![Figure 2. Schematization of the grafting process of phosphonic acid derivated compounds onto the oxide surface.](image-url)
TGA measurements of pristine and modified powders up to 700°C under air flow at 10°C min⁻¹ allowed the ratio of organic compound to be determined (Table 2). The weight loss of AluC at such a temperature revealed the dehydration and dehydroxylation reactions of oxide surface leading to a 3 wt% mass loss. The grafting conditions were optimized for Alu-PDMS. CH₂Cl₂ solvent favored a larger number of grafted macromolecules, but the temperature of reaction did not affect the organic/inorganic ratio. Comparing the estimated ratios toward experimental ones, we surprisingly obtained a higher yield of grafting than the estimated values except for TER. Furthermore, the decrease in specific surface area for treated aluminas could indicate the formation of an organic shell covering the surface of alumina particles or condensation processes between adjacent particles.

Figure 3 displays the DTG curves of Alu-PET and PET and Table 3 indicates the maximal degradation rate temperatures (T_{dm}) of the three types of modified alumina. T_{dm} of hybrids particles are significantly shifted toward higher temperatures (+108°C for Alu-PET). This supposes that chemical interactions between the oxide and the oligomers could take place. The stabilization of the oligomers on the oxide surface rules out any possibility of decomposition during melt-blending.

Figures 4–6 display the FTIR spectra of AluC, oligomers, and hybrid powders whereas IR absorption bands are detailed in Table 4. Since all the powders were dried before measurements, water or solvent residues could not be responsible for any kind of absorption. On the whole, the IR peaks of oligomers well match the peaks of hybrid particles which clearly indicate the success of grafting. In detail, the main IR band of AluC centered around 3400 cm⁻¹ is the stretching signal of Al–OH hydroxyl groups. This signal is significantly decreased for hybrid alumina which shows an efficient coverage of the oxide surface by macromolecules or condensation processes between adjacent particles.

**Table 2. Alumina nanoparticles treated with phosphonic acid derivatives**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Powders and grafting conditions</th>
<th>Mass loss at 700°C (%)</th>
<th>Experimental organic/inorganic mass ratio (%)</th>
<th>Estimated organic/inorganic mass ratio (%)</th>
<th>S_{BET} (m² g⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AluC</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>85.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alu-PDMS THF 25°C</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alu-PDMS CH₂Cl₂ 25°C</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alu-PDMS CH₂Cl₂ 40°C</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>68.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alu-PET CH₂Cl₂ 25°C</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>76.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alu-TER CH₂Cl₂ 25°C</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>61.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mass loss was measured at 700°C by TGA analyses leading to the experimental ratio of organic compounds grafted onto the oxide.

![Figure 3. DTG curves of Alu-PET and PET at a heating rate of 10°C min⁻¹.](image)

Table 3. Maximal degradation rate temperatures of oligomers and the corresponding modified particles, highly shifted to higher temperatures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>T_{dm} (°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PDMS</td>
<td>353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alu-PDMS</td>
<td>378</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PETDEG</td>
<td>275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alu-PET</td>
<td>383</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TER</td>
<td>247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alu-TER</td>
<td>294</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Figure 4. IR spectra of AluC and Alu-PDMS powders and PDMS oligomer.](image)
of oligomers chain ends. Thus, this IR study demonstrates the modification of both alumina surface and oligomers chain ends which is in agreement with the formation of chemical bonds. Finally, the absence of P––O vibrations in Alu-TER spectrum in Fig. 6 could indicate a tridentate coordination whereas in Fig. 5, Alu-PET IR spectrum exhibits this vibration at 1273 cm\(^{-1}\). Thus, the reaction with the oxide surface resulted in bidentate or tridentate coordination depends on the type of grafting molecule.

Morphology of nanocomposites

STEM micrographs of PMMA nanocomposites are presented in Fig. 7. The inorganic moieties are rather well dispersed and distributed in the polymeric matrix for any composition. The size range of particles is 10–200 nm. The lower limit fits the primary particle size (13 nm for AluC). The morphology and size range of aggregates are similar for each composition. Even if the formation of bigger alumina aggregates could not be avoided, they are not displayed in the images since they are not representative of the general morphology of the nanocomposites. Such a dispersion was possible owing to both the proper shear rate reached in internal mixer and the good affinity between oxide and PMMA. Indeed, numerous interactions of the ester groups along the chain with oxide surface are ensured.\(^{23}\)

Thermal stability of nanocomposites

TGA of PMMA and its nanocomposites are illustrated in Figs. 8 and 9 under air and nitrogen. The values of characteristic temperatures are detailed in Table 5. \(T_{1\%}\) and \(T_{5\%}\) are the temperatures corresponding respectively to 1 and 5% of weight loss.

First, gas-phase oxygen affects drastically the thermal degradation of PMMA (\(-52\) °C for \(T_{5\%}\) in comparison with nitrogen atmosphere). Under air, depolymerization of PMMA occurs at a lower temperature, since oxygen may favor random scission of macromolecules initiating unzippering of PMMA chain.\(^{24}\) The introduction of metallic oxide nanoparticles leads to a better thermal stability under air conditions as previously observed by others.\(^{25,26}\)

The temperature of maximal degradation rate for AluC 5% composition is almost the same under air and inert atmosphere (respectively 379 °C and 383 °C) which means that the catalytic effect of oxygen observed in the thermal degradation of PMMA is suppressed by the presence of alumina. Physical interactions occur in the interfacial region of the nanocomposite avoiding the scissions at low temperature.\(^{23–26}\) Besides, chemical reactions may happen between ester side groups of PMMA and the hydroxyl groups of alumina creating carboxylate bonds.\(^{27,28}\) Therefore, all these interactions can annihilate early chain scissions caused by gas-phase oxygen effects. The restriction of chain backbone motions would be a consequence of these strong interactions.

Moreover, surface modification affects the thermal stability of the nanocomposites. Values between brackets

| Table 4. IR absorption of the observed bands |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Bond            | Vibration       | Intensity       | cm\(^{-1}\)       |
| O–H             | Stretching      | Weak, wide      | 3425             |
| CH\(_2\)         | Stretching      | Medium          | 2960             |
| (O=)PO–H        | Stretching      | Weak, wide      | 2750–2525, 2350–2050 |
| C=O             | Stretching      | Strong          | 1740–1720        |
| P–CH\(_2\)–R    | Deformation     | Medium to weak  | 1420–1405        |
| Si–CH\(_2\)(–R) | Wagging         | Medium          | 1260             |
| P–O             | Deformation     | Strong          | 1320–1200        |
| P–O             | Stretching      | Strong          | 1200–1100        |
| (Si–O)\(_n\)    | Stretching      | Strong          | 1100–1000        |
| RPC=O–OH        | Stretching      | Medium          | 1040–960, 950–900 |
| P–C             | Stretching      | Medium to weak  | 780–620          |
| Si–CH\(_3\) siloxane | Stretching/rocking | Strong      | 830–740          |
in Table 5 are the differences between characteristic temperatures of modified powders and temperatures of AluC compositions. These data give direct information on the stabilization or destabilization effect of the surface modification. Alu-PDMS filled nanocomposites are more stable in air atmosphere for any temperature than all AluC-based materials. The stability of nanocomposites in air follows the order of stability for grafted nanoparticles.

**Table 5.** Characteristic temperatures of degradation from TGA experiments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>AIR</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$T_{1%}$</td>
<td>$T_{5%}$</td>
<td>$T_{dm}$</td>
<td>$T_{1%}$</td>
<td>$T_{5%}$</td>
<td>$T_{dm}$</td>
<td>$T_g , (^{\circ}C)$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMMA</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>362</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>385</td>
<td>116</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AluC 5%</td>
<td>297</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>379</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>383</td>
<td>115</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alu-PDMS 5%</td>
<td>307 (+10°C)</td>
<td>343 (+15°C)</td>
<td>386 (+7°C)</td>
<td>308 (+8°C)</td>
<td>352 (+4°C)</td>
<td>387 (+4°C)</td>
<td>115</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alu-PET 5%</td>
<td>303 (+6°C)</td>
<td>341 (+13°C)</td>
<td>378 (+1°C)</td>
<td>284 (+16°C)</td>
<td>343 (+5°C)</td>
<td>380 (+3°C)</td>
<td>113</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alu-TER 5%</td>
<td>283 (+14°C)</td>
<td>332 (+4°C)</td>
<td>376 (+3°C)</td>
<td>279 (+21°C)</td>
<td>340 (+8°C)</td>
<td>375 (+8°C)</td>
<td>115</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Alu-PET and Alu-TER are destabilized under inert atmosphere. It is clear that the nature of the interphase region influences the degradation pathway of the polymer. Polyester and particularly polyether compounds tend to make easier the depolymerization of PMMA whereas the PDMS units, more thermally stable (as shown in Table 3), delay the unzipping of PMMA of 15°C at 5% weight loss compared to AluC-based formulation.

Fire behavior and analysis of evolved products
Figure 10 shows the evolution of HRR in function of time for the different compositions. All the corresponding data are presented in Table 6. The incorporation of AluC leads to a slight decrease of pHRR and HRR Ave value, as noticed in a previous work for a higher percentage of alumina (15% wt).29 This improvement of fire behavior has been interpreted in relation to both physical and physico–chemical processes, among which the modification of heat transfer through the material due to the presence of high specific surface area of particles was considered. Catalysis mechanisms28 can also modify the degradation pathway of filled PMMA, since charred residues are formed around the oxide particles. Grafting does not lead to dramatic modifications of fire reaction, particularly for THR values. Nevertheless, a further decrease of peak of HRR is noticed for Alu-PDMS composition in comparison with AluC one, owing to the stability conferred by the PDMS-based oligomer. One has to notice that this pHRR decrease is achieved using a very low amount of oligomer, corresponding to only 0.37% of the total weight of PMMA composite.

The increase in TSR can be ascribed to the specific degradation products of this latter. Conversely, the presence of Alu-TER entails a reduction for smoke opacity. Alu-PET

Table 6. Cone calorimeter data of PMMA compositions with 5% nanofillers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Composition</th>
<th>TTI (sec)</th>
<th>THR MJ m⁻²</th>
<th>TSR m² m⁻²</th>
<th>HRR Ave kW m⁻²</th>
<th>pHRR kW m⁻²</th>
<th>Mass loss of chars at 800°C (ATG)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PMMA</td>
<td>57 ± 5</td>
<td>126 ± 2</td>
<td>479 ± 44</td>
<td>332 ± 5</td>
<td>639 ± 7</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AluC 5%</td>
<td>52 ± 3</td>
<td>124 ± 1</td>
<td>456 ± 39</td>
<td>259 ± 16</td>
<td>601 ± 15</td>
<td>5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alu PDMS 5%</td>
<td>51 ± 5</td>
<td>122 ± 1</td>
<td>545 ± 12</td>
<td>282 ± 6</td>
<td>560 ± 8</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alu PET 5%</td>
<td>67 ± 2</td>
<td>124 ± 1</td>
<td>441 ± 30</td>
<td>271 ± 14</td>
<td>593 ± 2</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alu TER 5%</td>
<td>58 ± 2</td>
<td>122 ± 1</td>
<td>363 ± 53</td>
<td>293 ± 0</td>
<td>600 ± 3</td>
<td>8.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 11. Photographs of char residues after cone calorimeter experiments from samples with PMMA 95% and (a) AluC 5%, (b) Alu-PDMS 5%, (c) Alu-PET 5%, (d) Alu-TER 5%. This figure is available in color online at www.interscience.wiley.com/journal/pat
composition tends to delay the TTI. To evaluate the amount of carbonaceous compounds in the residues, mass losses of cone calorimeter chars at 800°C have been determined by TGA (Table 6). It gives a quantitative indication of char promotion. AluC-based chars had the lowest mass loss whereas Alu-TER residues had the highest values. This observation seems to be confirmed by the pictures of residues displayed in Fig. 11. Modified particles led to higher rate of carbonaceous matter above all for Alu-PDMS and Alu-TER compositions. Comparing this two latter formulation, char promotion did not lead to better fire properties for Alu-TER composite which means that the improvement of Alu-PDMS fire properties could be caused by actions in gas phase as well.

In order to detail the behavior of Alu-PDMS composition, studies of AluC and Alu-PDMS compositions were carried out using PyGC-MS. The results are shown in Fig. 12. It revealed that the major degradation product was the MMA monomer as expected and that the two chromatograms were rather similar. The main differences are ascribed to different siloxane compounds (tricyclosiloxane in particular, as in the case of PDMS thermal degradation) in the case of Alu-PDMS which could account for the rise of smoke opacity. No compound containing sulfur and phosphorus could be detected indicating that they probably have a flame retardant action only in the condensed phase.

CONCLUSION

The modification of nanometric aluminum oxides particles by bis-phosphonic acid-based oligomers was achieved with the formation of covalent bonds between the oxide and the oligomers. The melt blending of the unmodified as well as the modified particles with PMMA led to well-dispersed nanocomposite structures. Thermal stability and fire behavior of PMMA were improved due to physical and physico-chemical processes involving the presence of the nanometric alumina. Significant improvements for these properties in relation to the grafting of the mineral were only noticed for the PDMS phosphonic acid-based formulation, despite the very low amount of oligomer present in PMMA. It is suggested that this compound could act in the condensed phase due to its important thermal stability and could also promote modifications of the degradation pathway of PMMA in the interphase region surrounding the alumina particles.
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