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Abstract—In this text we present a Bayesian system of auditory localisation in distance, azimuth and elevation using binaural cues only. We describe its supporting sensor model and calibration procedure. The binaural system is also integrated in a spatial representation framework for multimodal perception of 3D structure and motion — the Bayesian Volumetric Map (BVM). This solution will enable the implementation of an active perception system with great potential in applications as diverse as social robots or even robotic navigation.

I. INTRODUCTION

Although vision might be the dominant sense in humans, we rely on hearing as our only panoramic, long-range sensory system. The ability not only to detect and identify a sound, but also to pinpoint swiftly and accurately the location of its source can bring substantial advantages. This applies equally to a predator stalking its prey in the wild [1] and to robotic applications such as [2], [3], [4], [5], [6], [7]. Moreover, auditory stimulus localisation is also an important component driving attention and gaze shifts, especially when the target is not in sight [6].

In this text we present a Bayesian system of auditory localisation in distance, azimuth and elevation using binaural cues only. We describe its supporting sensor model and calibration procedure. The binaural system is also integrated in a spatial representation framework for multimodal perception of 3D structure and motion, the Bayesian Volumetric Map (BVM) — for more details, please refer to [8].

To support our research work, an artificial multimodal perception system (IMPEP — Integrated Multimodal Perception Experimental Platform) has been constructed at the ISR/FCT-UC consisting of a stereovision, binaural and inertial measuring unit (IMU) setup mounted on a motorised head, with gaze control capabilities for image stabilisation and perceptual attention purposes — see Fig. 1. This solution will enable the implementation of an active perception system with great potential in applications as diverse as social robots or even robotic navigation (Fig. 2).

The Bayesian Program (BP) formalism, as first defined by Lebeltel [9], will be used to define the sensor model presented herewith.

II. BAYESIAN BINAURAL SENSOR MODEL

The Bayesian binaural system presented herewith is composed of three distinct and consecutive processors (Fig. 3):

- The monaural cochlear unit, which processes the pair of monaural signals \( \{x_1, x_2\} \) coming from the binaural audio transducer system by simulating the human cochlea, so as to achieve a tonotopic representation (i.e., a frequency band decomposition) of the left and right audio streams; the binaural unit, which correlates these signals and consequently estimates the binaural cues and segments each sound-source; and, finally, the Bayesian 3D sound-source localisation unit, which applies a Bayesian sensor model so as to perform localisation of sound-sources in 3D space.

A. Cochlear and auditory periphery processing

The first stages of auditory processing consist of cochlear and auditory periphery processing, which produces what is called an auditory image model (AIM) [10]. The AIM processor implements a functional model of a cochlea that...
Fig. 3. The IMEP Bayesian binaural system.

simulates the phase-locked activity that complex sounds produce in the auditory nerve.

Spectral analysis, the first stage of the AIM, is performed by a bank of auditory filters which converts each digitised wave that composes the stereo signal into an array of filtered waves. This processing is done using gammatone filters [11], [12], linearly distributed along a frequency scale measured in equivalent rectangular bandwidths (ERBs), as defined by [13] for simulating the cochlea, obtaining a model of basilar membrane motion (BMM) through frequency band decomposition.

The second stage of the AIM simulates the mechanical/neural transduction process performed by the inner hair-cells. It converts the BMM into a neural activity pattern (NAP), which is the AIM’s representation of the afferent activity in the auditory nerve [10]. In this stage the envelopes of the signals are first compressed, and then subjected to halfwave rectification followed by a squaring and lowpass filtering, resulting in m stereo audio signal pairs corresponding to m frequency channels with respective frequency centre \( f_k \), \( \{x'_1(n), x'_2(n)\} \) for \( k = 1 \ldots m \).

B. Binaural cue processing

Sound waves arising from a source on our left will arrive at the left ear first. This small, but perceptible, difference in arrival time (known as an ITD, interaural time difference) is an important localisation cue and is detected by the inferior colliculus in primates, which acts as a temporal correlation detector array, after the auditory signals have been processed by the cochlea. Similarly, for intensity, the far ear lies in the head’s “sound shadow”, giving rise to interaural level differences (ILDs) [1], [14]. ITDs vary systematically with the angle of incidence of the sound wave relative to the interaural axis, and are virtually independent of frequency, representing the most important localisation cue for low frequency signals (< 1500 Hz in humans). ILDs are more complex than ITDs in that they vary much more with sound frequency. Low-frequency sounds easily travel around the head and produce negligible ILDs. ILD values produced at higher frequencies are larger, and are increasingly influenced by the filter properties of each external ear, which imposes peaks and notches on the sound spectrum reaching the eardrum. Instead of being centred on the interaural axis, cones of confusion associated with particular ILD values take a different shape for each sound frequency.

Moreover, when considering sound sources within 1 – 2 meters of the listener, binaural cues alone can even be used to fully localise the source in 3D space (i.e. azimuth, elevation and distance). Iso-ITD surfaces form hollow cones of confusion with a specific thickness extending from each ear in a symmetrical configuration relatively to the median plane. On the contrary, iso-ILD surfaces, which are spherical surfaces delimit hollow spherical volumes, symmetrically placed about the medial plane and centred on a point on the interaural axis [15]. Thus, for sources within 2 meters range, the intersection of the ILD and ITD volumes is a torus-shaped volume [15]. If the source is more than 2 meters away, the change in ILD with source position is too gradual to provide spatial information (at least for an acoustically transparent head), and the source can only be localised to a volume around the correct cone of confusion [15].

Given this background, we have decided to adapt the solution by Fuller and Merimaa [16] to implement the binaural processor. Using this algorithm, interaural time difference and interaural level difference cues are only considered at time instants when only the direct sound of a specific source has nonnegligible energy in the critical band and, thus, when the evoked ITD and ILD represent the direction of that source (corresponding to the process involving the superior olivary complex (SOC) and the central nucleus of the inferior colliculus (ICc) in mammals). They show how to identify such time instants as a function of the interaural coherence (IC). The source localisation suggested by the selected ITD and ILD cues are shown to imply the results of a number of published psychophysical studies related to source localisation in the presence of distractors, as well as in precedence effect conditions [17]. This algorithm thus amplifies the signal-to-noise ratio and facilitates auditory scene analysis for multiple auditory object tracking, and is briefly summarised in the following paragraphs — for more details, please refer to [16].

The ITD and IC, denoted respectively by \( \tau(n) \) and \( c_{12}(n) \), where \( n \) indexes the sample currently being processed, are estimated from the normalised cross-correlation functions of the signals from left and right ear for each centre frequency \( f_c \), respectively \( x'_1 \) and \( x'_2 \). The normalisation of the cross-correlation function is introduced in order to get an estimate of the IC, defined as the maximum value of the instantaneous normalised cross-correlation function. This estimate describes the coherence of the left and right ear input signals. In principle, it has a range of \([0; 1]\), where 1 occurs for perfectly coherent \( x'_1 \) and \( x'_2 \). However, due to the DC offset of the halfwave rectified signals, the values of \( c_{12} \) are typically higher than 0 even for independent (nonzero) \( x'_1 \) and \( x'_2 \). Thus, the effective range of the interaural coherence \( c_{12} \) is compressed to \([a; 1]\) by the neural transduction. The
compression is more pronounced (larger \( a \)) at high frequencies, where the low pass filtering of the half-wave rectified critical band signals yields signal envelopes with a higher DC offset than in the signal wave forms [16].

The ILD, denoted as \( \Delta L(n) \), is then computed using the signal levels at the corresponding offsets [16]. Note that due to the envelope compression the resulting ILD estimates will be smaller than the level differences between the ear input signals. For coherent ear input signals with a constant level difference, the estimated ILD (in dB) will be 0.23 times that of the physical signals [16].

When several independent sources are concurrently active in free field, the resulting cue triplets \( \{ \Delta L(n), \tau(n), c_{12}(n) \} \) can be classified into two groups [16]: (1) Cues arising at time instants when only one of the sources has power in that critical band. These cues are similar to the free-field cues — localisation is represented in \( \{ \Delta L(n), \tau(n) \} \), and \( c_{12}(n) \approx 1 \). (2) Cues arising when multiple sources have non-negligible power in a critical band. In such a case, the pair \( \{ \Delta L(n), \tau(n) \} \) does not represent the direction of any single source, unless the superposition of the source signals at the ears of the listener incidentally produces similar cues. Furthermore, when the two sources are assumed to be independent, the cues are fluctuating and \( c_{12}(n) < 1 \). These considerations motivate the following method for selecting ITD and ILD cues. Given the set of all cue pairs, \( \{ \Delta L(n), \tau(n) \} \), only the subset of pairs is considered which occurs simultaneously with an IC larger than a certain threshold, \( c_{12}(n) > c_0 \). This subset is denoted

\[
\{ \Delta L(n), \tau(n) | c_{12}(n) > c_0 \}
\]  

(1)

The same cue selection method is applicable for deriving the direction of a source while suppressing the directions of one or more reflections. When the “first wave front” arrives at the ears of a listener, the evoked ITD and ILD cues are similar to the free-field cues of the source, and \( c_{12}(n) \approx 1 \). As soon as the first reflection from a different direction arrives, the superposition of the source signal and the reflection results in cues that do not resemble the free-field cues of either the source or the reflection. At the same time IC reduces to \( c_{12}(n) < 1 \), since the direct sound and the reflection superimpose as two signal pairs with different ITD and ILD. Thus, IC can be used as an indicator for whether ITD and ILD cues are similar to free-field cues of sources or not, while ignoring cues related to reflections.

Faller and Merimaa’s cue selection method, as the authors point out, can be seen as a “multiple looks” approach for localisation, which provides the motivation for our implementation. Multiple looks have been previously proposed to explain monaural detection and discrimination performance with increasing signal duration [18]. The idea is that the auditory system has a short-term memory of “looks” at the signal, which can be accessed and processed selectively. In the context of localisation, the looks would consist of momentary ITD, ILD, and IC cues. With an overview of a set of recent cues, ITDs and ILDs corresponding to high IC values are adaptively selected and used to build a histogram that provides a statistical description of gathered cues (see Fig. 4).

Finally, the binaural processor capitalises on the multiple looks configuration and implements a simple auditory scene analysis algorithm for detection and extraction of important auditory features to build conspicuity maps and ultimately a saliency map, thus providing a functionality similar to the role of the external nucleus of the inferior colliculus (ICx) in the mammalian brain. The first stage of this algorithm deals with figure-ground (i.e. foreground-background) segregation and signal-to-noise ratio. In signal processing, the energy of a discrete-time signal \( x(n) \) is given by [19]

\[
E = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} |x(n)|^2
\]

(2)

Using this notion, a simple strategy can be followed to selectively apply the multiple looks approach to a binaural audio signal buffer so that only relevant audio snippets are analysed. This strategy goes as follows: given a binaural signal buffer of \( N \) samples represented by the tuple \( \{ x'_1(n), x'_2(n) \} \), the average of the energies of the component signals \( x'_1(n) \) and \( x'_2(n) \) is

\[
E_{\text{avg}} = \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{N} |x'_1(n)|^2 + \sum_{n=1}^{N} |x'_2(n)|^2}{2}
\]

and can be used as a noise gate so that only when \( E_{\text{avg}} > E_0 \) ITDs, ILDs and ICs triplets are collected for the buffer, yielding multiple looks values only for relevant signals (just the ITD-ILD pairs corresponding to high IC values are kept in conspicuity maps per frequency channel), while every other buffer instantiation is labelled as irrelevant noise. \( E_0 \) can be fixed to a reasonable empirical value or be adaptive, as seems to happen with human hearing. A set of results exemplifying this algorithm is presented on Fig 5.

Once the multiple looks information is gathered, since ITDs are proven to be stable across frequencies for a specific sound source at a given azimuth regardless of range or elevation, the ITD conspicuity maps may be summed over all frequencies, in a process similar to what is believed to occur in the ICx, in computational terms known as a summary cross-correlogram (again see Fig. 4). From the resulting one-dimensional signal, the largest peaks may be
signal power above the interest threshold, the background noise (i.e., some other voice in the lab) was more salient than the main voice.

The second question corresponds to the estimation of the position of cells most probably occupied by sound sources, thereby promoting the assignment of a sound-source and close to the position of a sound-source, denoted by \( \mathbf{SS} \), which signals the presence or absence of a sound-source in cell \( S \), and the corresponding family of probability distributions \( P(S_C|O_C,C) \equiv P(S_C|O_C) \) promotes the assignment of probabilities of occupancy close to 1 for cells for which the binaural cue readings seem to indicate a presence of a sound-source and close to \( \mathbf{SS} \) otherwise (i.e., the absence of a detected sound-source in a cell doesn’t mean that the cell is empty). The family of distributions is given in tabular fashion: obviously, \( P([S_C=1][O_C=0]) = 0 \) and \( P([S_C=0][O_C=0]) = 1 \), while \( P([S_C=1][O_C=1]) = P_{SS} \) and \( P([S_C=0][O_C=0]) = 1 - P_{SS} \) are dependent on the probability assigned to an occupied cell corresponding to the position of a sound-source, denoted by \( P_{SS} \), which can be empirically chosen or statistically learned through the analysis of several typical perceptual scenarios.

The second question corresponds to the estimation of the position of cells most probably occupied by sound sources,
through the inversion of the direct model through Bayesian inference on the joint distribution decomposition equation. The former is used as a sub-BP for the BVM, while the answer to the latter yields a gazed direction of interest in terms of auditory features for the multimodal attention system, using a maximum a posteriori (MAP) method.

III. BAYESIAN BINURAL SYSTEM CALIBRATION

As can be seen on the BP in Fig. 6, calibration of the binaural system involves the characterisation of the families of normal distributions $P(\tau|S_C O_C \theta_{max})$ and $P(\Delta L(f^k_{c})|\tau S_C O_C C) \approx P(\Delta L(f^k_{c})|S_C O_C C)$ through descriptive statistical learning of their central tendency and statistical variability. This is done in an equivalent manner as with commonly used head-related transfer function (HRTF) calibration processes (see, for example, [20]) and is described in the following paragraphs.

A set $M_C$ of $n$-dimensional measurement vectors such as defined in equation (3) is consequently collected per cell $C \in C'$. The full set of collected measurement vectors for all cells in auditory sensor space $\mathcal{Y}'$ is expressed as $\mathcal{M} = \bigcup M_C$. Denoting $M_C = M \setminus M_C$ as the set of measurements for all cells other than $C$, the statistical characterisation process of each family of distributions is effected through

$$P(\tau|S_C = 1|O_C \theta_{max}) \equiv \mathcal{N}(\tau, \mu_{\tau}(M_C), \sigma_{\tau}(M_C)) \quad (4a)$$
$$P(\tau|S_C = 0|O_C \theta_{max}) \equiv \mathcal{N}(\tau, \mu_{\tau}(M_C), \sigma_{\tau}(M_C)) \quad (4b)$$
$$P(\Delta L(f^k_{c})|S_C = 1|O_C C) \equiv \mathcal{N}(\Delta L(f^k_{c}), \mu_{L}(f^k_{c})(M_C), \sigma_{L}(f^k_{c})(M_C)) \quad (4c)$$
$$P(\Delta L(f^k_{c})|S_C = 0|O_C C) \equiv \mathcal{N}(\Delta L(f^k_{c}), \mu_{L}(f^k_{c})(M_C), \sigma_{L}(f^k_{c})(M_C)) \quad (4d)$$

Auditory calibration is performed by presenting a broadband audio stimulus through a loudspeaker positioned in well-known spatial coordinates corresponding to the geometric centre of each cell $C \in C'$ so as to sample space according to the auditory sensor space $\mathcal{Y}'$. The experimental setup used for this purpose is described in Fig. 7.

The acquisition method may be simplified by a factor of 4 by taking into account the spatial redundancies of auditory sensing, namely the symmetry enforced by the back-to-front ambiguity and the left-to-right antisymmetry for both ITDs and ILDs, to reduce calibration space to the front-left quadrant.

A further simplification of the procedure consists in positioning the loudspeaker, for each of the $N_d$ considered distances from the binaural system, precisely in front of
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the active perception head (i.e. \( (\theta, \phi) = (0, 0) \)) and to rotate the active head so that the whole range of azimuths and elevations of the auditory sensor space is covered. This replaces the several minutes taken to reposition the loudspeaker by hand (now only happening \( N_d \) times) by a few seconds of head motions for each cell. The full procedure is depicted in Fig. 8 for a typical calibration context.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

Full 3D auditory localisation has rarely been explored in robotic applications (see, for example, [20] for a review on this subject); this work contributes with a novel probabilistic solution that produces localisation estimates based on binaural cues yielded by a robust binaural processing unit. This solution has been designed so as to provide a sensor model to be used by a multimodal perception framework, the Bayesian Volumetric Map, described in [8]. Further details on the implementation of these models can be found at [http://paloma.isr.uc.pt/~jfilipe/](http://paloma.isr.uc.pt/~jfilipe/).