AUTOMATIC SOFTWARE SYNTHESIS OF DATAFLOW PROGRAM: AN MPEG-4 SIMPLE PROFILE DECODER CASE STUDY

Ghislain Roquier, Matthieu Wipliez, Mickaël Raulet

Jörn W. Janneck, Ian D. Miller, David B. Parlour

IETR/INSA, UMR CNRS 6164
Image and Remote Sensing laboratory
F-35043 Rennes, France
{groquier, mwipliez, mraulet}@insa-rennes.fr

Xilinx inc.
San Jose, CA, U.S.A
{jorn.janneck,ian.milller,dave.parlour}@xilinx.com

ABSTRACT

The MPEG Reconfigurable Video Coding (RVC) framework is a new standard under development by MPEG that aims at providing a unified high-level specification of current MPEG video coding technologies. In this framework, a decoder is built as a configuration of video coding modules taken from the standard “MPEG toolbox library”. The elements of the library are specified by a textual description that expresses the I/O behavior of each module and by a reference software written using the CAL Actor Language. A decoder configuration is written in an XML dialect by connecting a set of CAL modules. Code generators are fundamental supports that enable the direct transformation of a high level specification to efficient hardware and software implementations. This paper presents a synthesis tool that from a CAL dataflow program generates C code and an associated SystemC model. Experimental results of the RVC Expert’s MPEG-4 Simple Profile decoder synthesis are reported. The generated code and the associated SystemC model are validated against the original CAL description which is simulated using the Open Dataflow environment.

Index Terms— MPEG RVC, CAL Actor Language, dataflow modeling, software synthesis

1. INTRODUCTION

A large number of successful MPEG video coding standards have been developed since the first MPEG-1 standard in 1988. The standardization process has always aimed at providing appropriate forms of specifications for a wide and easy deployment. While at the beginning MPEG-1 and MPEG-2 were only specified by textual descriptions, starting with MPEG-4 C/C++ descriptions, reference software became the formal specification of the standard. Such descriptions are composed of non-optimized software packages and face now many limitations. These monolithic specifications hide the inherent parallelism and the dataflow structure of the video coding algorithms. For efficient implementations the reference software has to be rewritten to exploit this so-called

parallelism. Meanwhile, the growth of video coding technologies leads to solutions that are increasingly complex and more difficult to design. So far, no effort has been made to profit from the significant overlap between standards.

The observation of these drawbacks of current video standard specifications led to the development of the Reconfigurable Video Coding (RVC) standard. The key concept behind this project is to enable the design of decoders at a higher level of abstraction. An “abstract” model focusing on modularity, concurrency and reusability is a better starting point for any design and implementation process. RVC provides a high-level description of the MPEG standard using as new form of reference software, for each module of the standard library, a specific language called CAL. Once the high-level model is available the challenge is then to develop appropriate tools that implement the design flow and provide the optimization steps necessary for efficient implementations. This paper presents a non-normative (in terms of relation with the RVC standard) software synthesis tool called Cal2C that from a dataflow program generate C code and associated SystemC model in a completely automated process.

The paper is organized as follows: section 2 introduces the RVC framework. This is followed by the description of the CAL actor simulator and synthesis tools for RVC in section 3. Synthesis process of the CAL to C transformation are next explained in section 4. Results on the RVC expert’s MPEG-4 SP decoder are reported in section 5. Finally conclusions and future work are provided in section 6.

2. RVC FRAMEWORK

The MPEG RVC framework is currently under development by MPEG as part of MPEG-B and MPEG-C standards. RVC aims at providing a model of specifying existing or completely new MPEG standards at system-level [1]. An abstract decoder is built as a block diagram in which blocks define processing entities called Functional Units (FUs) and connections represent the data path. RVC provides both a normative standard library of FUs and a set of decoder descriptions ex-

Fig. 1. MPEG-4 Simple Profile decoder description

pressed as networks of FUs. Such a representation is modular and helps the reconfiguration of a decoder by modifying the topology of the network. RVC mainly focuses on reusability by allowing decoder descriptions to contain common FUs across standards.

2.1. FU specification

The CAL Actor Language [2] has been chosen as the language of the reference software that specify the normative I/O behaviors of the modules of the RVC standard library of FUs. A CAL actor is a computational entity with interfaces (input and output ports), internal state and parameters. An actor is strongly encapsulated; it can neither access nor modify the state of any other actor. An actor may only interact with others by sending data (called tokens) along channels. During an execution *actions* (also referred as *firing* an action), it can consume input tokens, produce output tokens and change its internal state. Each action specifies the number of tokens it consumes and produces. When an action is fired, it has been selected based on the availability of input tokens and optionally based on additional conditions (called *action guards*) which may depend on token values or the current actor state. Action selection may be further constrained using *action schedules* as a Finite State Machine (FSM). Action firing is thus state-dependent. Finally, *action priorities* can be used to impose a partial order among the actions to be selected, in case more than one action is otherwise enabled. In short, an action is fireable if it respects the following conditions:

- 1 – there are the necessary tokens on input ports;
- 2 – guard clauses, if present, evaluate to true;
- 3 – the current state enables the action to fire according to the FSM;
- 4 – no higher-priority action respects (1), (2) and (3).

2.2. RVC Decoder Description

An RVC decoder is described with the Decoder Description Language (DDL), an XML dialect that enables the description of the decoder connectivity. A decoder is a network formed by a set of interconnected actors. DDL is hierarchical - a network may be a part of a more general network - and is used to pass parameters to actors. For instance, the graphical representation of the macroblock-based MPEG-4 Simple Profile decoder description is shown Figure 1. The parser and the inverse DCT (Figure 2) blocks are hierarchical networks of actors (each of them described in a separate DDL file). They are represented with a shadowed block. All other blocks are atomic actors specified in CAL. Note that for readability, only one edge is represented when two actors are connected by more than one edge.

3. SUPPORT TOOLS FOR RVC

3.1. Simulator

CAL is supported by a portable interpreter infrastructure that can simulate a hierarchical network of actors. This interpreter was first used in the Moses¹ project. Moses features a graphical network editor, and allows the user to monitor actor execution (actor state and token values). The project being no longer maintained, it has been superseded by the Open Dataflow environment (OpenDF² for short). Contrarily to Moses, this project does not provide a network graphical editor. Networks have been traditionally described in a textual language called Network Language (NL), which can be automatically converted to DDL and vice versa. It is also possible to use the Graphiti editor³ to display networks in the

¹<http://www.tik.ee.ethz.ch/moses/>

²<http://opendf.sourceforge.net/>

³<http://sourceforge.net/projects/graphiti-editor>

Fig. 2. Inverse DCT description

DDL format.

3.2. Software synthesis

It is important to be able to automatically obtain a concrete software implementation from a dataflow description. The C language is particularly well-suited as a target language. The same code can be compiled on any processor, from embedded DSPs and ARMs to general-purpose microprocessors, which considerably eases the task of writing a software synthesis tool. The interest of having an automatic C software synthesis is two-folded. The code obtained can be executed, in which case it enables a considerably faster simulation of the dataflow program and the ability to debug the program using existing IDEs (Visual Studio, Eclipse CDT). Moreover, the C code description obtained may be a basis for an optimized tailor-made decoder. For these reasons, we created the Cal2C tool (detailed in section 4) that aims at producing functionally-equivalent, humanly-readable C code from CAL descriptions.

3.3. Hardware synthesis

Another tool is available that converts CAL to HDL [3]. After parsing, CAL actors are instantiated with the actual values for their formal parameters. The result is an XML representation of the actor which is then precompiled (transformation and analysis steps, including constant propagation, type inference and type checking, analysis of data flow through variables...), represented as a sequential program in static single assignment (SSA) form (making explicit the data dependencies between parts of the program).

Then follows the synthesis stage, which turns the SSA threads into a web of circuits built from a set of basic operators (arithmetic, logic, flow control, memory accesses and the like). The synthesis stage can also be given directives driving the unrolling of loops, or the insertion of registers to improve the maximal clock rate of the generated circuit.

The final result is a Verilog file containing the circuit implementing the actor, and exposing asynchronous handshake-style interfaces for each of its ports. These can be connected either back-to-back or using FIFO buffers into complete systems. The FIFO buffers can be synchronous or asynchronous, making it easy to support multi-clock-domain dataflow designs.

4. CAL2C SOFTWARE SYNTHESIS

4.1. Semantics of CAL dataflow

The system behavior of a dataflow program is determined by the interactions between actors (i.e. exchange of data tokens). Such interactions are governed by a Model of Computation (MoC) that defines which scheduling policies can be used to fire actors. The CAL language is not related to any particular dataflow MoCs. Indeed, several forms of dataflow exist to interpret the network from the general dataflow process network (PN) [4] model with multiple firing rules to the more restrictive synchronous dataflow (SDF) one [5, 6]. CAL extends the model in [4] by :

- 1 – state within actors,
- 2 – multiple overlapping (non-joinable in the parlance of [4]) firing rules, and
- 3 – priorities among firing rules.

CAL actors in the standard library often contain multiple actions and priorities, FSM or guards that lead to state-dependent or conditional execution. Therefore most of the CAL actors in the library are closer to the PN model which is then chosen (as a first milestone) for developing the tool described in this paper.

Fig. 3. Cal2C compilation process

When the PN model is chosen to interpret CAL networks, any environment which supports multithreading may be chosen for implementation. For instance, it can be done using POSIX threads by translating CAL actors into threads and by replacing connections with FIFOs. The PN model can also be executed by a discrete-event (DE) scheduler, such as the one provided by SystemC. Actors and FIFOs can be easily translated using SystemC classes. Additionally, its simulation environment permits high-level programming, well-adapted to functional verifications. A PN-oriented SystemC model is expressed as a network of modules communicating with each other via blocking FIFOs (with an additional way to support

```

ac: action AC:[i] ⇒ OUT:[ saturate( o )]
var
  int(size=SAMPLE_SZ) v =
    ( quant * ( lshift( abs(i), 1) + 1) ) - round,
  int(size=SAMPLE_SZ) o =
    if i = 0 then 0 else if i < 0 then -v else v end end
do
  count := count + 1;
end

```

(a) CAL “ac” action in the “Inversequant” actor

```

void Inversequant_ac(
    struct Inversequant_variables *_actor_variables ,
    int i , int *out )
{
  int v, o;
  int _call_6, _call_9;
  int _if_7, _if_8;
  _call_6 = Inversequant_fun_abs(_actor_variables, i);
  v = _actor_variables->quant * ((_call_6 << 1) + 1)
    - _actor_variables->round;
  if (i == 0) {
    _if_8 = 0;
  } else {
    if (i < 0) {
      _if_7 = -v;
    } else {
      _if_7 = v;
    }
    _if_8 = _if_7;
  }
  o = _if_8;
  (_actor_variables->count) ++;
  _call_9 = Inversequant_fun_saturate(_actor_variables, o);
  *out = _call_9;
}

```

(b) C translation of the “ac” action in the “Inversequant” actor

Fig. 4. C translation of a CAL action

token availability). Note that Cal2C does not intend to use SystemC as an hardware description language but only as a convenient PN modeling and simulation environment. Moreover, this work is a first step to an efficient “pure C” code generation (closer to an SDF model than a PN one). In short, the software synthesis from a network of CAL actors produces several files as explained in Figure 3. Each NL network is translated into a header file where FIFOs, modules and sub-networks are instantiated and connected. CAL actor translation is done in 2 different parts: the actor code (actions, functions, procedures) to express the functionality and the action scheduler (priorities, FSMs, guards) to control the execution. Finally an additional file is created to instantiate the top network and to launch SystemC simulation.

4.2. C code generation: Actors

4.2.1. Actor code translation

Translating the actor code produces a single C file wherein functions, procedures and actions are translated. The C language and compilers impose some limitations on the translated code. For example, (1) distinct functions should have different names to avoid linking problems, even if they are

in different actors. Another challenge is (2) the difference of programming paradigm between the source and the target language: CAL allows functional constructs that have no direct equivalent in C. The action translation process starts with an Abstract Syntax Tree (AST) issued from the CAL source code, and modifies it as needed to meet the previous requirements. Function names are prefixed with the actor name to prevent any potential name clashes; actor parameters are replaced by their values (when constant) or transformed to local variables otherwise; actions are converted to functions where input and output patterns become parameters. Finally, actor declarations are ordered by dependencies between locals, so that a variable or a function is defined before being used. At this point, we convert the AST to λ -calculus, apply Damas-Milner $\mathcal{W}$ -algorithm [7] to it, and augment the AST with the type information returned. Types are necessary for correct C code generation, and type-dependent transformations: we inline functions that return lists, and compute list sizes. The transformed CAL AST is expressed in the C Intermediate Language (CIL) [8], where CAL functional constructs are replaced by imperative ones. C code is generated by calling the pretty-printer included in the framework.

The actor code translation process is illustrated on figure 4. Figure 4(a) is an action from the *Inversequant* actor of the RVC reference MPEG4-SP decoder, and figure 4(b) is the translated C code. The resulting code exhibits a function whose name is composed of the actor name and the action name (requirement (1)). Its parameters are the same as the action’s, with an additional pointer to a structure containing the actor variables. The **if** expression has been transformed to assignments of temporary variables (**_if_7**, **_if_8**) (requirement (2)). As a matter of fact, function calls have also become assignments of temporary variables (**_call_6**, **_call_9**) because CIL semantics requires it. The action output expression is translated as a pointer parameter whose value is written at the end of the C function. The synthesized C code shown in figure 4(b) is functionally-equivalent to the CAL code and remains humanly-readable, which were two requirements listed in section 3.2.

4.2.2. Action scheduling

An action scheduler is created to control the action selection during execution. Priorities, guards, token consumption rates and FSM have to be translated to this end. Determining the overall order of action execution is required to have a consistent evaluation of actions that can be fired. Priorities are resolved by sorting actions in a total order and by adding a *if-then-else* statement around actions wherein the condition is given by the availability of input tokens and the guards conditions. FSMs are resolved using *switch-case* statement. Finally, the generated file consists of a thread with an infinite loop wherein its body consists of the result of the previous transformations and actions are replaced with their corre-

sponding C functions.

For instance, a downsampler by N is illustrated figure 5(a). It could be written in a simpler manner but this actor enables to highlight key features of the action scheduling translation. The synthesized C++ code is illustrated figure 5(b).

```

actor downsampler (N) In  $\Rightarrow$  Out :
  count := 1;
  pass: action In: [x]  $\Rightarrow$  Out: [x] end
  done: action  $\Rightarrow$  guard count = N do count := 1; end
  skip: action In: [x]  $\Rightarrow$  do count := count + 1; end

  schedule fsm pass:
    copy ( pass ) --> discard;
    discard ( done ) --> copy;
    discard ( skip ) --> discard;
  end
  priority
    done > skip;
  end
end

```

(a) CAL downsampler

```

struct downsampler_vars {
  int count;
  int N;
};
void downsampler::process() {
  int fsm_state, _call_6, _call_7, _out_1;
  struct downsamplerN_vars _actor_vars;
  _actor_variables.count = 1;
  fsm_state = 1;
  while (1) {
    switch (fsm_state) {
      case 1:
        _call_6 = In->get();
        downsampler_pass(&_actor_vars, _call_6, &_out_1);
        Out->put(_out_1);
        fsm_state = 2;
        break;
      case 2:
        if (_actor_vars.count == _actor_vars.N) {
          downsampler_done(&_actor_vars);
          fsm_state = 1;
        } else {
          _call_7 = In->get();
          downsampler_skip(&_actor_vars, _call_7);
          fsm_state = 2;
        }
        break;
    }
  }
}

```

(b) Synthesized action scheduler

Fig. 5. Action scheduling (FSM) of CAL actor

4.3. SystemC code generation: Networks

Expressing a NL network in SystemC is relatively straightforward: actor or network instantiations are transformed to module instantiations. A SystemC module is declared using the SC_MODULE macro. Its effect is that it creates a C++ class that can be used inside a SystemC simulation. For convenience, in Cal2C each module is translated to a definition file (*.h) and an implementation file (*.cpp). There are two

semantic differences between the SystemC implementation and the NL specification: FIFO channels, while implicit in NL, must be explicitly created in SystemC. Broadcasting data from a source to several sinks is transparent in NL, but requires additional logic in SystemC, namely a generic broadcast module.

5. RESULTS ON THE MPEG-4 SIMPLE PROFILE DECODER

In order to certify the correctness of Cal2C code translation, the first case study is a two-dimensional inverse DCT. The IDCT is a component of several MPEG standard decoders and is specified by the new Finite Precision IDCT Specification [9] based on [10]. The algorithm consists of applying one-dimensional IDCT along the row and column axis of an 8×8 pixel block. The network is composed of 2 input ports, 1 output port and 5 different actors; one actor can be instantiated several times in NL. Incoming tokens from *IN* port are dequantized data and a token from *SIGNED* enables to specify to the “clip” actor if incoming data are signed or not. The first row of Table 1 shows the number of files of the respective programs. An actor becomes a C, a C++ and a header file; a network simply becomes a header file; the additional C++ file is the main. The second row exhibits the corresponding source lines of code (SLOC). The testbed consists of applying a stimulus (streamed by a C-code reference software of MPEG4 SP decoder) to the top network and verifying the response against an expected result (from the CAL description simulated compared to a “golden reference” streamed by the C-code reference software).

IDCT	CAL	NL	C	C++	H
Number of files	5	1	5	6	6
Code Size (SLOC)	131	25	324	386	107

Table 1. Code size and number of files automatically generated for the IDCT

Another synthesized model of a more complex CAL dataflow program simulated with the Open Dataflow environment also validate the Cal2C tool. The compilation process has been successfully applied to the full MPEG-4 Simple Profile dataflow program written by the MPEG RVC experts (Figure 1). Table 2 shows that synthesized C-software is faster than the simulated CAL dataflow program (20 frames/s instead of 0.15 frames/s), and close to real-time for a QCIF format (25 frames/s). However it remains slower than the automatically synthesized hardware description by Cal2HDL [3]. Using Cal2C has also permitted to correct some actors which had a behavior depending on one particular code generator. Indeed, they made use of nondeterminism allowed by CAL (for instance, 2 fireable actions without priority relationship). The way to solve the nondeterminism (not expressible in C) is implementation-dependent.

MPEG4 SP decoder	Speed kMB/S	Code size kSLOC
CAL simulator	0.015	3.4
Cal2C	2	10.4
Cal2HDL	290	4

Table 2. MPEG4SP decoder speed and SLOC

The MPEG4 SP dataflow program is composed of 27 atomic actors; atomic actors can be instantiated several times, for instance there are 42 actor instantiations in this dataflow program. Its number of SLOC is shown in Table 3. All of the generated files are successfully compiled by gcc. For instance, the “ParserHeader” actor inside the “Parser” network is the most complex actor with multiple actions. The translated C-file (with actions and state variables) includes 1043 SLOC for actions and 1895 for action scheduling. The original CAL file contains 962 lines of codes as a comparison.

MPEG4 SP decoder	CAL	NL	C	C++	H
Number of files	27	9	27	28	36
Code Size (kSLOC)	2.9	0.5	5.8	3,7	0.9

Table 3. Code size and number of files automatically generated for MPEG4 SP decoder

6. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORKS

This paper presents a software synthesis tool that enables an automatic translation of dataflow programs written in CAL, showing the rules to translate the I/O behaviors (actions, functions and procedures), the relevant control structures (priority, guard and FSM) as well as the networks. Moreover, the process has been successfully applied to automatically translate the MPEG-4 SP decoder. The results obtained so far show the efficiency and soundness of the synthesized code.

The next milestone of Cal2C concerns the static scheduling of such dataflow programs. Indeed, its main purpose is to generate efficient embedded software for multiprocessor target. Even if the PN interpretation of the network gives relevant results for hardware, it is no longer adapted for software when it comes to hard real-time implementation. Liveness and memory boundedness are critical issues that cannot be guaranteed. More restrictive dataflow models (like SDF models) enable static scheduling with efficient code generations. However, only a subset of PN-actors can be scheduled statically (especially in the RVC standard library). The next step is to identify static regions that obey SDF restrictions. Indeed, it is sometimes straightforward to schedule actors (for instance an actor with one action and no guard is SDF). Unfortunately, several actors in the RVC library are not so trivial. Future work will have to provide analysis of such actors and/or CAL coding guidelines in order to achieve static

scheduling of (parts of) standard decoders.

Once this work is done, it may be possible to generate both hardware and software for implementation onto heterogeneous platforms with processors and programmable logic devices. Moreover, we are in the process of integrating Cal2C within the Open Dataflow framework, which should make various heterogeneous implementations easier to obtain.

7. REFERENCES

- [1] C. Lucarz, M. Mattavelli, J. Thomas-Kerr, and J. Janneck, “Reconfigurable Media Coding: a new specification model for multimedia coders,” in *Proceedings of SIPS’07*, Oct. 2007.
- [2] J. Eker and J. Janneck, “CAL Language Report,” Tech. Rep. ERL Technical Memo UCB/ERL M03/48, University of California at Berkeley, Dec. 2003.
- [3] J. Janneck, I. Miller, D. Parlour, G. Roquier, M. Wipliez, and M. Raulet, “Synthesizing hardware from dataflow programs: an MPEG-4 Simple Profile decoder case study,” in *Proceedings of SiPS’08*, 2008.
- [4] Edward A. Lee and Thomas M. Parks, “Dataflow Process Networks,” *Proceedings of the IEEE*, vol. 83, no. 5, pp. 773–801, May 1995.
- [5] E. A. Lee and D. G. Messerschmitt, “Static scheduling of synchronous data flow programs for digital signal processing,” *IEEE Trans. Comput.*, vol. 36, no. 1, pp. 24–35, 1987.
- [6] Shuvra S. Bhattacharyya, Praveen K. Murthy, and Edward A. Lee, “Synthesis of embedded software from synchronous dataflow specifications,” *J. VLSI Signal Processing Systems*, vol. 21, no. 2, pp. 151–166, 1999.
- [7] Luis Damas and Robin Milner, “Principal type-schemes for functional programs,” in *Proceedings of POPL’82*, 1982, pp. 207–212.
- [8] G. C. Necula, S. McPeak, S. P. Rahul, and W. Weimer, “CIL: An Infrastructure for C Program Analysis and Transformation,” in *Proceedings of CC’02*, Apr. 2002, pp. 213–228.
- [9] ISO/IEC FDIS 23002-2:2007(E), “Information technology – MPEG video technologies – Part 2: Fixed-point 8x8 inverse discrete cosine transform and discrete cosine transform,” 2007.
- [10] C. Loeffler, A. Ligtenberg, and G. Moschytz, “Practical Fast 1-D DCT Algorithms with 11 Multiplications,” in *Proceedings of ICASSP’89*, Feb. 1989.