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ASYMPTOTICS FOR A GRADIENT SYSTEM WITH MEMORY TERM

ALEXANDRE CABOT

ABSTRACT. Given a Hilbert space $H$ and a function $\Phi : H \to \mathbb{R}$ of class $C^1$, we investigate the asymptotic behavior of the trajectories associated to the following dynamical system

\[ (S) \quad x(t) + \frac{1}{k(t)} \int_{t_0}^{t} h(s) \nabla \Phi(x(s)) \, ds = 0, \quad t \geq t_0, \]

where $h, k : [t_0, +\infty) \to \mathbb{R}_+^*$ are continuous maps. When $k(t) \sim \int_{t_0}^{t} h(s) \, ds$ as $t \to +\infty$, this equation can be interpreted as an averaged gradient system. We define a natural energy function $E$ associated to system $(S)$ and we give conditions which ensure that $E(t)$ decreases to $\inf \Phi$ as $t \to +\infty$. When $\Phi$ is convex and has a set of non-isolated minima, we show that the trajectories of $(S)$ cannot converge if the average process does not “ privilege” the recent past. A special attention is devoted to the particular case $h(t) = t^\alpha, k(t) = t^\beta$, which is fully treated.

1. INTRODUCTION

Given a Hilbert space $H$ with scalar product and corresponding norm respectively denoted by $(.,.)$ and $|.|$, let us consider a smooth function $\Phi : H \to \mathbb{R}$ that we wish to minimize. A powerful method consists in following the orbits of a continuous dynamical system, hopefully converging toward some minimizer of $\Phi$. The most classical one is the steepest descent equation

\[ \dot{x}(t) + \nabla \Phi(x(t)) = 0, \quad t \geq t_0, \quad (1) \]

which falls into the framework of the theory of dissipative dynamical systems (see for example [9, 10]). The trajectories of the above system are known to converge under various assumptions (Brezis-Bruck’s theorem [5, 6], Lojasiewicz theorem [12]...)

The purpose of this paper is to analyse the effect of a memory term in the previous gradient system. More precisely, we study the following integro-differential equation

\[ (S) \quad \dot{x}(t) + \frac{1}{k(t)} \int_{t_0}^{t} h(s) \, \nabla \Phi(x(s)) \, ds = 0, \quad t \geq t_0, \]

where $h, k : [t_0, +\infty) \to \mathbb{R}_+^*$ are continuous maps. When $k(t) \sim \int_{t_0}^{t} h(s) \, ds$ as $t \to +\infty$, this equation can be interpreted as an averaged gradient system. In the recent papers [7, 8], a special attention is devoted to the particular case corresponding to $h(t) = 1, k(t) = t$ for $t \geq 0$, thus modelling a situation of uniform
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memory. When $\Phi$ is convex and has a set of non-isolated minima, it is proved in [7] that the non-stationary solutions cannot converge. This result is striking since the corresponding trajectories of the basic gradient system are convergent (at least weakly) under the same assumptions. We show in this paper that the trajectories of (S) converge if and only if the weighted memory privileges enough the recent past. A precise quantification of this phenomenon is given in section 5.

It is immediate that the solutions of (S) satisfy the following second-order differential equation

$$k(t) \ddot{x}(t) + k(t) \dot{x}(t) + h(t) \nabla \Phi(x(t)) = 0, \quad t \geq t_0. \quad (2)$$

A key idea of the paper consists in observing that a suitable change of variable $t = \tau(s)$ allows to rewrite equation (2) as follows

$$\ddot{y}(s) + a(s) \dot{y}(s) + \nabla \Phi(y(s)) = 0, \quad s \in [0, s_{max}), \quad (3)$$

where the map $y$ is defined by $y = x \circ \tau$. The quantity $s_{max} \in \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{+\infty\}$ and the map $a : [0, s_{max}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ depend respectively on $h, k$ and their derivatives. Equation (3) has been recently studied in [7, 8], where the mechanical interpretation is emphasized via the use of a suitable energy function. When the map $a$ is constant, the underlying dynamical system is known under the terminology of “Heavy Ball with Friction” system. The (HBF) system has given rise to an abundant literature, see for example [2, 3, 11], and many results of convergence for the trajectories have been established under various assumptions like convexity, analyticity, ...

In this paper, we exploit fully the recent results of [7, 8] to derive new results with respect to the generalized averaged gradient system (S). A special attention is devoted to the particular case corresponding to $h(t) = t^\alpha$, $k(t) = t^\beta$, for $t \geq 1$. In this case, our general results allow us to determine the asymptotic behavior of (S) for every couple $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Moreover, when the corresponding equation is linear, it is shown in section 2 that its solutions can be explicitly computed, via the Bessel functions.

2. Study of a Model Example

Throughout this section, we assume that $H = \mathbb{R}$ and we consider the following linear differential equation

$$t^\beta \ddot{x}(t) + \beta t^{\beta-1} \dot{x}(t) + t^\alpha x(t) = 0, \quad t \geq 1. \quad (4)$$

This is the second-order differential equation obtained from (S) when $\Phi = \frac{1}{2} \| \cdot \|^2$, $h(t) = t^\alpha$ and $k(t) = t^\beta$ for every $t \geq 1$. We are going to show that the solutions of equation (4) can be explicitly computed. By multiplying equation (4) by $t^{2-\beta}$ we obtain

$$t^2 \ddot{x}(t) + \beta t \dot{x}(t) + t^{\alpha-\beta+2} x(t) = 0, \quad (5)$$

which is the starting point of our analysis. First assume that $\alpha - \beta + 2 = 0$. In this case, we have $t^2 \ddot{x}(t) + \beta t \dot{x}(t) + x(t) = 0$, which is an Euler differential equation. After the change of variable $t = e^s$, the previous equation becomes a second-order
ODE with constant coefficients. Setting $\Delta = \frac{(a+\beta)^2}{4} - 4$, we let the reader check that there exist $A, B \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for every $t \geq 1$,

$$x(t) = \begin{cases} 
  t^{-a/\beta} & A \cos \left( \frac{\sqrt{-\Delta}}{2} \ln t \right) + B \sin \left( \frac{\sqrt{-\Delta}}{2} \ln t \right) \quad \text{if } |a + \beta| < 4 \\
  t^{a/\beta} & A + B \ln t \quad \text{if } |a + \beta| = 4 \\
  t^{a/\beta} & A t^{\pi} + B t^{-\pi/2} \quad \text{if } |a + \beta| > 4.
\end{cases}$$

Now assume that $a - \beta + 2 \neq 0$ and set $\mu = \frac{2}{a - \beta + 2}$. In this case, the change of variable $t = \frac{s}{|\mu|}$ shows that equation (5) is a transformed version of the Bessel differential equation. Standard references on Bessel equations are [1, 4]. We let the reader check that there exist $\hat{\alpha}$ of variable $(\hat{\alpha})$. The formula of Lommel states that the Wronskian of the functions $J_\nu(s)$, for a suitable amplitude constant $\hat{C}$ and phase shift $\hat{\phi}$.

Case $a - \beta + 2 > 0$. Then we have $\mu > 0$, hence the variable $s = \mu t^{1/\mu}$ tends to $+\infty$ as $t \to +\infty$. Recall that the asymptotic behavior of Bessel functions of the first and second kind is respectively given by

$$J_\nu(s) \sim \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi s}} \cos \left( s - \frac{\pi}{4} - \frac{\nu \pi}{2} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad Y_\nu(s) \sim \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi s}} \sin \left( s - \frac{\pi}{4} - \nu \frac{\pi}{2} \right)$$

as $s \to +\infty$. From the expression (6) for $x(t)$, we derive that

$$x(t) \sim \hat{C} t^{-\frac{\beta - 1}{\beta}} \cos \left( \mu \frac{t^{1/\mu}}{\mu} - \frac{\gamma}{\mu} \right) = \hat{C} t^{-\beta/2} \cos \left( \mu \frac{t^{-\beta/2}}{\mu} - \frac{\gamma}{\mu} \right),$$

as $t \to +\infty$, for a suitable amplitude constant $\hat{C}$ and phase shift $\hat{\phi}$.

Case $a - \beta + 2 < 0$. Then we have $\mu < 0$, hence the variable $s = |\mu| t^{1/\mu}$ tends to 0 as $t \to +\infty$. Recall that the behavior of $J_\nu(s)$ and $Y_\nu(s)$ as $s \to 0$ is given by

$$J_\nu(s) \sim \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu + 1)} \left( \frac{s}{2} \right)^{\nu} \quad \text{and} \quad Y_\nu(s) \sim \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
  -\frac{\Gamma(\nu)}{\pi |s|^{\nu/2}} & \text{if } \nu \neq 0 \\
  \frac{1}{\pi \ln s} \left( \frac{s}{2} \right)^{-\nu} & \text{if } \nu = 0,
\end{array} \right.$$
• if $\beta < 1$ then $x(t) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
-\hat{B} \frac{\Gamma(v)}{\pi} \left( \frac{|\mu|}{2} \right)^{-v} t^{1-\beta} + o(t^{1-\beta}) & \text{if } \hat{B} \neq 0 \\
\hat{A} \left( \frac{|\mu|}{2} \right)^{v} t + o(1) & \text{if } \hat{B} = 0,
\end{array} \right.$

as $t \to +\infty$. Formula (8) shows that the circumstance $\hat{B} = 0$ is exceptional since it occurs only if $\left( \frac{\hat{B} - 1}{2} x_1 + \hat{x}_1 \right) \int_0 (|\mu|) + x_1 \int_0 (|\mu|) = 0$.

Let us now summarize all the possible asymptotic behaviors of the trajectory $x$, depending on $\alpha$, $\beta$ and the initial data. Denoting by $\varpi_{x_1, \hat{x}_1}$ the $\omega$-limit set as $t \to +\infty$ associated to the initial data $(x_1, \hat{x}_1) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{(0,0)\}$, we have

• If $\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\alpha - \beta + 2 & \geq 0 \\
\alpha + \beta & > 0
\end{array} \}$ then $\lim_{t \to +\infty} x(t) = 0$.

• If $\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\alpha - \beta + 2 & \geq 0 \\
\alpha + \beta & = 0
\end{array} \}$ then $\varpi_{x_1, \hat{x}_1} = [-C, C]$, for some $C > 0$.

• If $\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\alpha - \beta + 2 & > 0 \\
\alpha + \beta & < 0
\end{array} \}$ or if $\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\alpha - \beta + 2 & = 0 \\
\alpha + \beta & \in (-4,0)
\end{array} \}$ then $\varpi_{x_1, \hat{x}_1} = (-\infty, +\infty)$.

• If $\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\alpha - \beta + 2 & = 0 \\
\alpha + \beta & \leq -4
\end{array} \}$ then $\lim_{t \to +\infty} x(t) = \pm \infty$.

• If $\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\alpha - \beta + 2 & < 0 \\
\beta & \leq 1
\end{array} \}$ then $\lim_{t \to +\infty} x(t) = \pm \infty$ or $\lim_{t \to +\infty} x(t) = l \in \mathbb{R}$.

• If $\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\alpha - \beta + 2 & < 0 \\
\beta & > 1
\end{array} \}$ then $\lim_{t \to +\infty} x(t) = l' \in \mathbb{R}$.

The model example considered above will serve us as a guideline throughout the paper.

3. GLOBAL EXISTENCE AND UNIQUENESS

Given a Hilbert space $H$ and a function $\Phi : H \to \mathbb{R}$ of class $C^1$, let us consider the following dynamical system

\[(S) \quad \dot{x}(t) + \frac{1}{k(t)} \int_{t_0}^t h(s) \nabla \Phi(x(s)) \, ds = 0, \quad t \geq t_0,\]

with initial data $x(t_0) = x_0$. If $h : [t_0, +\infty) \to \mathbb{R}_+$ and $k : [t_0, +\infty) \to \mathbb{R}_+^*$ are continuous, then any solution $x$ of class $C^1$ satisfies $\dot{x}(t_0) = 0$. Assuming moreover that $k$ is of class $C^1$, let us multiply equation $(S)$ by $k(t)$ and let us differentiate; we obtain

\[k(t) \ddot{x}(t) + \dot{k}(t) \dot{x}(t) + h(t) \nabla \Phi(x(t)) = 0. \quad (9)\]

If $\nabla \Phi$ is locally Lipschitz continuous, the Cauchy-Lipschitz theorem gives the local existence and uniqueness of a maximal solution $x$ of class $C^2$ satisfying equation $(9)$ and the initial conditions $(x(t_0), \dot{x}(t_0)) = (x_0, 0)$. The next statement summarizes the above analysis.

**Proposition 3.1.** Assume that $h : [t_0, +\infty) \to \mathbb{R}_+$ is continuous and that $k : [t_0, +\infty) \to \mathbb{R}_+^*$ is of class $C^1$. Suppose also that the smooth function $\Phi : H \to \mathbb{R}$ is such that

\[\text{As seen above, the second eventuality is exceptional and occurs only if } \hat{B} = 0.\]
\[ \nabla \Phi \text{ is locally Lipschitz continuous. Given any } x_0 \in H, \text{ there exists a unique solution } x(.) \in \hat{C}^2([t_0, T), H) \text{ of (S) satisfying } x(t_0) = x_0 \text{ on some maximal time interval } [t_0, T) \subset [t_0, +\infty). \]

Given the change of variable \( t = \tau(s) \), let us define the map \( y \) by \( y = x \circ \tau \). It is immediate to check that

\[ \dot{x}(t) = \frac{y(s)}{\tau(s)} \quad \text{and} \quad \dot{x}(t) = \frac{y(s)}{\tau(s)^2} - \frac{y(s)}{\tau(s)}, \]

If we rewrite equation (9) by using the variable \( s \) and the map \( y \), we obtain after a division by \( h(\tau(s)) \)

\[ \frac{k(\tau(s))}{h(\tau(s))} \frac{y(s)}{\tau(s)^2} + \frac{y(s)}{h(\tau(s))\dot{\tau}(s)} \left[ k(\tau(s)) - k(\tau(s)) \frac{\tau(s)}{\tau(s)^2} \right] + \nabla \Phi(y(s)) = 0. \] (10)

Let us choose the map \( \tau \) so as to have \( \tau(s) = \sqrt{\frac{\ln(\tau(s))}{h(\tau(s))}} \). Let us define the map \( F : [t_0, +\infty) \to \mathbb{R}_+ \) by \( F(t) = \int_t^{t_0} \sqrt{\frac{h(u)}{k(u)}} \, du \) and let us set \( s_{\max} = \int_{t_0}^{+\infty} \sqrt{\frac{h(u)}{k(u)}} \, du \in \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{ +\infty \} \). The function \( F : [t_0, +\infty) \to [0, s_{\max}) \) defines an increasing one-to-one map. It is then immediate that \( \tau = F^{-1} \). From now on, let us assume that \( h, k \) are both of class \( C^1 \). By differentiating formula \( \tau(s)^2 = \frac{k(\tau(s))}{h(\tau(s))} \), we easily obtain

\[ \dot{\tau}(s) = \frac{k(\tau(s))h(\tau(s)) - k(\tau(s))\dot{h}(\tau(s))}{2h(\tau(s))^2}. \]

The differential equation (10) then becomes

\[ y(s) + a(s) \dot{y}(s) + \nabla \Phi(y(s)) = 0, \quad s \in [0, s_{\max}), \] (11)

where the map \( a : [0, s_{\max}) \to \mathbb{R} \) is defined by

\[ a(s) = \frac{k(\tau(s))h(\tau(s)) + k(\tau(s))\dot{h}(\tau(s))}{2h(\tau(s))^2 k(\tau(s))}. \] (12)

By introducing the map \( \eta : [t_0, +\infty) \to \mathbb{R} \) defined by

\[ \eta = \frac{k h + k h}{h^2 k^2}, \] (13)

we then have \( a = \frac{1}{2} \eta \circ \tau \). Notice that an alternative expression for the map \( a \) is given by

\[ a(s) = \frac{k(\tau(s))h(\tau(s)) + k(\tau(s))\dot{h}(\tau(s))}{2h(\tau(s))^2 k(\tau(s))} \dot{\tau}(s) \] (14)

\[ = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{ds} \ln[h(\tau(s))k(\tau(s))]. \] (15)

The dynamical system (11) has been intensively studied in [7, 8]. Let us recall that the function \( E \) defined by \( E(s) = \frac{1}{2} |y(s)|^2 + \Phi(y(s)) \) is a Lyapunov function associated to the trajectories of (11). We define the corresponding energy function \( E \) for the trajectories of (S) by \( E = E \circ \tau^{-1} \) and its expression is given by \( E(t) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{k(t)}{h(t)} |\dot{x}(t)|^2 + \Phi(x(t)) \). The existence of the Lyapunov function \( E \) is a crucial tool to derive a result of global existence for the trajectories of (S).
Proposition 3.2. Let \( h, k : [t_0, +\infty) \to \mathbb{R}_+^* \) be two maps of class \( C^1 \) such that \( hk \) is non decreasing. Let \( \Phi : H \to \mathbb{R} \) be a function of class \( C^1 \) such that \( \nabla \Phi \) is Lipschitz continuous on the bounded subsets of \( H \). Assume moreover that the function \( \Phi \) is bounded from below on \( H \). Then, we have:

(a) There exists a unique maximal solution \( x : [t_0, +\infty) \to H \) of class \( C^2 \) satisfying equation (S) and the initial condition \( x(t_0) = x_0 \).

(b) For every \( t \in [t_0, +\infty) \), the following equality holds:

\[
\dot{E}(t) = -\frac{k(t)h(t) + k(t)\dot{h}(t)}{2h(t)^2} |\dot{x}(t)|^2.
\]

(c) If \( \sqrt{\frac{h}{k}} \in L^1([t_0, +\infty)) \), then \( |\dot{x}| \in L^1([t_0, +\infty)) \) and hence \( \lim_{t \to +\infty} x(t) \) exists.

Proof. (a) Let \( s_{\max} = \int_{t_0}^{+\infty} \sqrt{\frac{h(t)}{k(t)}} \, dt \). The assumptions on \( h, k \) imply that the map \( a : [0, s_{\max}) \to \mathbb{R} \) defined by (12) is non negative and continuous. An immediate adaptation of [7, Prop. 2.2] shows that the maximal solution \( y \) of the differential equation (11) satisfying \( (x(t_0), \dot{x}(t_0)) = (x_0, 0) \) is defined on \( [0, s_{\max}) \). Hence the map \( x : [t_0, +\infty) \to H \) defined by \( x = y \circ \tau^{-1} \) is the unique solution of class \( C^2 \) satisfying equation (S) and the initial condition \( x(t_0) = x_0 \).

(b) Recalling from [7, Prop. 2.2] that \( \dot{E}(s) = -a(s) |\dot{y}(s)|^2 \), we derive that

\[
\dot{E}(t) = -a(s) |\dot{y}(s)|^2 \frac{1}{\tau(s)} = -\frac{k(t)h(t) + k(t)\dot{h}(t)}{2h(t)^2} |\dot{x}(t)|^2.
\]

(c) The decay of the energy function \( E \) combined with the fact that \( \inf \Phi > -\infty \) implies that

\[
\forall t \geq t_0, \quad \frac{k(t)}{h(t)} |\dot{x}(t)|^2 \leq 2(E(t) - \inf \Phi).
\]

Hence there exists \( C \geq 0 \) such that \( |\dot{x}(t)| \leq C \sqrt{\frac{h(t)}{k(t)}} \). Since \( \sqrt{\frac{h}{k}} \in L^1([t_0, +\infty)) \) by assumption, we conclude that \( |\dot{x}| \in L^1([t_0, +\infty)) \).

Remark 3.1. When \( \sqrt{\frac{h}{k}} \in L^1([t_0, +\infty)) \), the limit point \( x_\infty = \lim_{t \to +\infty} x(t) \) is not in general a critical point of \( \Phi \), see for example section 2. The damping term \( a(s) \) blows up as \( s \to s_{\max} \), which forces the dynamics associated to (11) to stop at \( x_\infty \) even if it is not a critical point of \( \Phi \).

Example 3.1. Let us assume that \( h(t) = t^a \) and \( k(t) = t^\beta \) for every \( t \geq 1 \). When \( \alpha + \beta \geq 0 \), the assumption \( hk \) non decreasing is satisfied and Proposition 3.2 applies. In particular, the result of existence and uniqueness holds. Condition \( \sqrt{\frac{h}{k}} \in L^1([1, +\infty)) \) is satisfied if and only if \( \beta - \alpha > 2 \). In this case, we deduce from Proposition 3.2 (c) that \( |\dot{x}| \in L^1([1, +\infty)) \). The expression of the map \( \eta \) defined by (13) is given by

\[
\forall t \geq 1, \quad \eta(t) = (\alpha + \beta) \frac{t^{\alpha + \beta - 1}}{t^{\alpha + \beta} - t^{\alpha - 2}} = (\alpha + \beta) \frac{t^{\beta - 2}}{t^{2\beta - 2}},
\]

for every \( \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R} \). In particular, if \( \beta = \alpha = 2 \) the map \( \eta \) is constant and equal to \( \alpha + \beta \). Since \( a = \frac{1}{2} \eta \circ \tau \) we deduce in view of equality (11) that the map \( y = x \circ \tau \)
satisfies the following (HBF) system
\[
\ddot{y}(s) + \frac{\alpha + \beta}{2} \dot{y}(s) + \nabla \Phi(y(s)) = 0.
\] (17)

When $\beta - \alpha = 2$, the solutions of system (4) can then be viewed as re-parametrized (HBF) trajectories, via the change of variable $t = \tau(s)$.

4. Convergence of the energy function

The first result below provides a lower estimate for the quantity $E(t) - \inf \Phi$ as $t \to +\infty$. It is shown that if the map $hk$ does not tend toward $+\infty$ then the energy function cannot converge toward $\inf \Phi$ as $t \to +\infty$.

**Proposition 4.1.** Let $h, k : [t_0, +\infty) \to \mathbb{R}^*_+$ be two maps of class $C^1$ such that $hk$ is non decreasing. Let $\Phi : H \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function of class $C^1$ such that $\nabla \Phi$ is Lipschitz continuous on the bounded subsets of $H$. Assume moreover that the function $\Phi$ is bounded from below on $H$. Then, we have

\[
\forall t \geq t_0, \quad E(t) - \inf \Phi \geq (E(t_0) - \inf \Phi) \frac{h(t_0)k(t_0)}{hk(t)}.
\] (18)

If $\lim_{t \to +\infty} hk(t) = +\infty$ and if $\Phi(x(t_0)) > \inf \Phi$, then $\lim_{t \to +\infty} E(t) > \inf \Phi$.

**Proof.** From [7, Prop. 2.6], the following inequality holds

\[
\forall s \in [0, s_{\text{max}}), \quad E(s) - \inf \Phi \geq (E(0) - \inf \Phi) e^{-2 \int_0^s a(\sigma) d\sigma}.
\]

In view of expression (15), we have $\int_0^s a(\sigma) d\sigma = \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{h(t_0)k(t_0)}{hk(t)}$. Since $t = \tau(s)$ and $E = E \circ \tau^{-1}$, inequality (18) follows directly. The last assertion is immediate.

The next result shows conversely that, if $\lim_{t \to +\infty} hk(t) = +\infty$ then the energy function $E(t)$ satisfies a suitable property of summability, thus implying its convergence toward $\min \Phi$ as $t \to +\infty$.

**Proposition 4.2.** Let $h, k : [t_0, +\infty) \to \mathbb{R}^*_+$ be two maps of class $C^2$ such that $hk$ is non decreasing and $\lim_{t \to +\infty} h(t)k(t) = +\infty$. Assume that the map $\eta = \frac{hk+kk}{h^2+k^2}$ is non increasing. Let $\Phi : H \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function of class $C^1$ such that $\nabla \Phi$ is Lipschitz continuous on the bounded subsets of $H$. Assume moreover that the function $\Phi$ is convex, coercive and such that $\arg \min \Phi \neq \emptyset$. Then, the following estimate holds

\[
\int_{t_0}^{+\infty} \frac{h(t)k(t) + h(t)k(t)}{hk(t)} (E(t) - \min \Phi) dt < +\infty.
\] (19)

Moreover, we have $\lim_{t \to +\infty} E(t) = \min \Phi$. As a consequence, $\lim_{t \to +\infty} \frac{k(t)}{h(t)} |\dot{x}(t)|^2 = 0$ and $\lim_{t \to +\infty} \Phi(x(t)) = \min \Phi$.

**Proof.** Let us first prove that $s_{\text{max}} = \int_{t_0}^{+\infty} \frac{h(t)k(t)}{k(t)} dt = +\infty$. From the definition of the map $\eta$, we have

\[
\eta(t) = \frac{d}{dt} \ln(h(t)k(t)) \sqrt{\frac{k(t)}{h(t)}}.
\]
Since the map $\eta$ is non increasing by assumption, we derive that
\[
\frac{d}{dt} \ln(h(t)k(t)) \leq \eta(t_0) \frac{k(t)}{h(t)}.
\]
Integrating the previous inequality on $[t_0, +\infty)$ and recalling that $\lim_{t \to +\infty} h(t)k(t) = +\infty$ by assumption, we conclude that $\int_{t_0}^{+\infty} \frac{k(t)}{h(t)} dt = +\infty$.

The assumptions on $h, k$ imply that the map $a$ defined by (12) is non negative, non increasing and of class $C^1$ on $[0, s_{max}] = [0, +\infty)$. From [7, Prop. 3.1] we deduce that $\int_0^{s_{max}} a(s) \, (E(s) - \min \Phi) \, ds < +\infty$. It suffices then to replace $a(s)$ by its expression given by (14) and estimate (19) follows.

Let us now prove that $\lim_{t \to +\infty} E(t) = \min \Phi$. Let us argue by contradiction and assume that $\lim_{t \to +\infty} E(t) > \min \Phi$. This implies the existence of $\epsilon > 0$ such that $E(t) - \min \Phi > \epsilon$ for every $t \geq t_0$. We deduce that
\[
\int_{t_0}^{+\infty} \frac{h(t)k(t)}{h(t)k(t)} \, (E(t) - \min \Phi) \, dt \geq \epsilon \int_{t_0}^{+\infty} \frac{h(t)k(t)}{h(t)k(t)} \, dt = \epsilon \int_{t_0}^{+\infty} \frac{\ln(h(t)k(t))}{h(t)k(t)} \, dt = +\infty,
\]

since $\lim_{t \to +\infty} h(t)k(t) = +\infty$. This contradicts estimate (19), which proves that $\lim_{t \to +\infty} E(t) = \min \Phi$. The last assertions are immediate. □

**Example 4.1.** Take $h(t) = t^a$ and $k(t) = t^b$ for every $t \geq 1$. Let us assume that $a + \beta > 0$ so that $\lim_{t \to +\infty} h(t)k(t) = +\infty$. Recalling formula (16), the map $\eta$ is non increasing if and only if $\beta - a \leq 2$. If both conditions $a + \beta > 0$ and $\beta - a \leq 2$ hold and if the map $\Phi$ satisfies the same assumptions as in Proposition 4.2, we derive that $\lim_{t \to +\infty} E(t) = \min \Phi$.

In view of Proposition 4.2, we are able to investigate the question of the convergence of the trajectories in the case of a unique minimum. The case of non-isolated minima is more delicate and will be discussed in section 5.

**Corollary 4.1.** Under the hypotheses of Proposition 4.2, assume moreover that $\arg \min \Phi = \{ \bar{x} \}$ for some $\bar{x} \in H$. Then any solution $x$ to the differential equation (S) weakly converges to $\bar{x}$ in $H$.

The proof is classical and left to the reader. In the same direction, if we assume that $\bar{x}$ is a strong\textsuperscript{3} minimum for $\Phi$, we can easily obtain a result of strong convergence toward $\bar{x}$.

5. THE PROBLEM OF CONVERGENCE OF TRAJECTORIES FOR CONVEX POTENTIALS WITH NON-ISOLATED MINIMA

We are going to investigate the question of convergence of the trajectories associated to (S) when the potential $\Phi$ is convex and has non-isolated minima. Let us first consider the particular case $\Phi \equiv 0$. The differential equation (9) then becomes $k(t) \dot{x}(t) + \dot{k}(t) \dot{x}(t) = 0$ and a double integration immediately shows that its solution is given by $x(t) = x(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^{t} \frac{dx}{k(s)}$. If $x(t_0) \neq 0$, it ensues that the solution $x$ of equation (9) converges if and only if $\int_{t_0}^{+\infty} \frac{ds}{k(s)} < +\infty$. Therefore it

\textsuperscript{3}We say that $\bar{x}$ is a strong minimum for $\Phi$ if for every $x \in H$, $\Phi(x) \geq \Phi(\bar{x}) + a(|x - \bar{x}|)$, where the map $a : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ is such that $a(t_n) \to 0 \implies t_n \to 0$ for every sequence $(t_n) \subset \mathbb{R}_+$.}
is natural to ask whether for a general potential \( \Phi \), the trajectory \( x \) is convergent under this condition.

5.1. A general result of non-convergence. First, we give a general result of non-convergence for the trajectories of \((S)\) under the assumption \( \int_{t_0}^{+\infty} \frac{dt}{k(t)} = +\infty \). This condition expresses that the map \( k \) does not tend rapidly to infinity as \( t \to +\infty \). For example, when the map \( k \) is of the form \( k(t) = t^\beta \) for \( t \geq 1 \), the previous condition is satisfied if and only if \( \beta \leq 1 \).

**Theorem 5.1.** Let \( h, k : [t_0, +\infty) \to \mathbb{R}^*_+ \) be two maps of class \( C^1 \) such that \( hk \) is non decreasing. Assume moreover that

\[
\int_{t_0}^{+\infty} \frac{dt}{k(t)} = +\infty.
\]

Let \( \Phi : H \to \mathbb{R} \) be a convex function of class \( C^1 \) such that \( \nabla \Phi \) is Lipschitz continuous on the bounded sets of \( H \). Assume that for every \( \tau \in \text{bd} (\text{argmin} \Phi) \), \( \lim_{x \to \tau \text{ s.t. } x \notin \text{argmin} \Phi} \frac{\nabla \Phi(x)}{d(x, \tau)} \)

exists. Given \( x_0 \in H \), consider the unique solution \( x \) of \((S)\) satisfying \( x(t_0) = x_0 \). If \( x_0 \notin \text{argmin} \Phi \), then the trajectory \( x \) of \((S)\) does not converge.

**Proof.** Let us first remark that the assumption \( \int_{t_0}^{+\infty} \frac{dt}{k(t)} = +\infty \) implies that \( s_{\max} = \int_{t_0}^{+\infty} \frac{h(t)}{k(t)} dt = +\infty \). Indeed, since the map \( hk \) is non decreasing, we have

\[
\int_{t_0}^{+\infty} \frac{h(t)}{k(t)} dt = \int_{t_0}^{+\infty} \frac{\sqrt{h(t)k(t)}}{k(t)} dt \geq \sqrt{h(t_0)k(t_0)} \int_{t_0}^{+\infty} \frac{dt}{k(t)} = +\infty.
\]

We deduce from the assumptions on \( h, k \) that the map \( a \) defined by (12) is continuous and nonnegative on \( [0, s_{\max}] = [0, +\infty) \). It suffices now to check that

\[
\int_{0}^{+\infty} e^{-\int_{0}^{t} a(\tau) d\tau} ds = +\infty
\]

and then to apply [7, Theorem 4.1]. We have

\[
\int_{0}^{+\infty} e^{-\int_{0}^{t} a(\tau) d\tau} ds = \int_{0}^{+\infty} \frac{h(t_0)k(t_0)}{h(\tau(s))k(\tau(s))} ds = \frac{\sqrt{h(t_0)k(t_0)}}{\sqrt{k(\tau(s))}} \int_{0}^{+\infty} \frac{dt}{k(t)} = +\infty,
\]

which ends the proof.

**Example 5.1.** Let \( \Phi : H \to \mathbb{R} \) be as in the previous theorem. Assume that \( h(t) = t^\alpha \) and \( k(t) = t^\beta \) for every \( t \geq 1 \). Theorem 5.1 shows that if \( \alpha + \beta \geq 0 \) and \( \beta \leq 1 \), the non stationary trajectories are divergent.

**Remark 5.1.** Coming back to the interpretation of \((S)\) as an averaged gradient system, let us assume that \( k(t) \sim \int_{t_0}^{t} h(s) ds \) as \( t \to +\infty \). In this case, condition (20) becomes

\[
\int_{t_0+1}^{+\infty} \frac{dt}{\int_{t_0}^{+\infty} h(s) ds} = +\infty.
\]

\[\text{If } H = \mathbb{R} \text{ and if the set argmin} \Phi \text{ is not a singleton, this condition is automatically satisfied. In dimension greater than one, this condition is directly connected with smoothness of the set argmin} \Phi \text{ at } \tau. \text{ For further details, the reader is referred to [7].} \]
For example, if \( h(t) = t^a (\ln t)^{a'} \) for every \( t \geq 2 \), condition (21) is satisfied if and only if \( a < 0 \) or \( (a, a') \in \{0\} \times (−\infty, 1) \). Theorem 5.1 can be interpreted as follows: if the weighted memory associated to the density \( h \) does not privilege enough the recent past, then the non stationary trajectories of \( (S) \) cannot converge. In particular, when the memory is uniform with respect to time (corresponding here to \( a = a' = 0 \)), we recover the fact that non stationary trajectories of \( (S) \) are divergent.

**Remark 5.2.** Assume that \( H = \mathbb{R} \), that \( \text{argmin} \Phi = [c, d] \) with \( c < d \), and all other hypotheses of Theorem 5.1. If \( x_0 \not\in [c, d] \), the corresponding solution \( x \) of \( (S) \) does not converge and moreover the \( \omega^s \)-limit set \( \omega(x_0) \) contains \([c, d]\). To see this, it suffices to apply the result of [7, Prop. 4.1].

**5.2. A result of convergence in dimension one.** We can now ask if the converse assertion is true: do the trajectories \( x \) of \( (S) \) converge under the condition \( \int_{t_0}^{+\infty} \frac{dt}{h(t)} < +\infty \)? In its full generality, this question is difficult and still open. We now give a positive answer in dimension one under a slightly stronger condition.

**Theorem 5.2.** Let \( h, k : [t_0, +\infty) \to \mathbb{R}^*_+ \) be two maps of class \( C^2 \) such that \( hk \) is non decreasing and tends to \( +\infty \) as \( t \to +\infty \). Assume that the map \( \eta = \frac{hk+hk'}{h^2} \) is non increasing and tends to 0 as \( t \to +\infty \). Suppose moreover that there exists \( \varepsilon > 0 \) such that

\[
\int_{t_0}^{+\infty} \frac{(h(t) k(t))^{\varepsilon}}{k(t)} dt < +\infty.
\]

Let \( \Phi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \) be a convex function of class \( C^1 \) such that \( \Phi' \) is Lipschitz continuous on the bounded sets of \( \mathbb{R} \). Assume that \( \text{argmin} \Phi = [c, d] \) with \( c \leq d \) and that there exists \( \delta > 0 \) such that

\[
\forall \xi \in (−\infty, c], \quad \Phi'(\xi) \leq 2 \delta (\xi - c) \quad \text{and} \quad \forall \xi \in [d, +\infty), \quad \Phi'(\xi) \geq 2 \delta (\xi - d).
\]

Then, any solution \( x \) to the differential equation \( (S) \) converges as \( t \to +\infty \) toward some \( x_\infty \in [c, d] \).

**Proof.** Let us first remark that the assumptions on \( h, k \) imply that \( s_{\text{max}} = \int_{t_0}^{+\infty} \sqrt{\frac{h(t)}{k(t)}} dt = +\infty \) (see the proof of Proposition 4.2). We also deduce from these assumptions that the map \( a \) defined by (12) is of class \( C^1 \) on \([0, s_{\text{max}}] = [0, +\infty)\), it is non negative, non increasing and satisfies \( \lim_{s \to +\infty} a(s) = 0 \). It suffices now to check that

\[
\int_{0}^{+\infty} e^{-\theta} \int_{0}^{s} a(e^r) dt \, dr < +\infty \quad \text{for some } \theta < 1 \quad \text{and then to apply [8, Theorem 4.1].}
\]

Taking \( \theta = 1 - 2 \varepsilon \), we have

\[
\int_{0}^{+\infty} e^{-\theta} \int_{0}^{s} a(e^r) dt \, dr = \int_{0}^{+\infty} \left( \frac{h(t_0)k(t_0)}{h(t_0)k(t_0)} \right)^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} ds = (h(t_0)k(t_0))^{\frac{1 - \varepsilon}{2}} \int_{0}^{+\infty} \frac{(h(t)k(t))^{\varepsilon}}{k(t)} \tau(t) dt \leq (h(t_0)k(t_0))^{\frac{1 - \varepsilon}{2}} \int_{0}^{+\infty} \frac{(h(t)k(t))^{\varepsilon}}{k(t)} dt < +\infty,
\]

which ends the proof.

**Example 5.2.** Let \( \Phi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \) be as in the previous theorem. Assume that \( h(t) = t^a \) and \( k(t) = t^\beta \) for every \( t \geq 1 \). Suppose that \( a + \beta > 0 \) and \( \beta - a < 2 \) so that
we have $h(t)k(t) \to +\infty$ and $\frac{hk + \dot{hk}}{k^2 k^2} \to 0$ as $t \to +\infty$. On the other hand, if $\beta > 1$ condition (22) is clearly satisfied with $\varepsilon = \frac{\beta - 1}{2(\alpha + \beta)}$. Then we derive from the previous theorem that if $\beta > 1$, any trajectory converges toward some minimum $x_\infty$ of $\Phi$.

**Remark 5.3.** Observe that in the previous example, the case $\beta - \alpha = 2$ is not covered by Theorem 5.2. In this case, the map $y = x \circ \tau$ satisfies the (HBF) equation (17). If $\alpha + \beta > 0$ and if $\Phi$ is convex with $\text{argmin} \Phi \neq \emptyset$, we know from [2, 3] that any trajectory $y$ of equation (17) weakly converges toward a minimum of $\Phi$. It ensues immediately that the result of Theorem 5.2 remains true when $\beta - \alpha = 2$.
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