

# Cellulose-binding domains: cellulose associated-defensive sensing partners?

Bernard Dumas, Arnaud Bottin, Elodie Gaulin, Marie-Thérèse

Esquerré-Tugayé

## ► To cite this version:

Bernard Dumas, Arnaud Bottin, Elodie Gaulin, Marie-Thérèse Esquerré-Tugayé. Cellulose-binding domains: cellulose associated-defensive sensing partners?. Trends in Plant Science, 2008, 13 (4), pp.160-4. 10.1016/j.tplants.2008.02.004 . hal-00320691

## HAL Id: hal-00320691 https://hal.science/hal-00320691

Submitted on 11 Sep 2008  $\,$ 

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

## Dumas et al.

1	Cellulose Binding Domains: cellulose-associated defense sensing	
2	partners?	
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	Bernard Dumas*, Arnaud Bottin, Elodie Gaulin and Marie-Thérèse Esquerré-Tugayé*	
9		
10	UMR 5546 CNRS-Université Paul Sabatier Toulouse III, Pôle de Biotechnologie	
11	Végétale, 24 Chemin de Borde-Rouge, BP42617 Auzeville, 31326 Castanet-Tolosan,	
12	France	
13		
14	*Corresponding authors: Dumas, B. (dumas@scsv.ups-tlse.fr), Esquerré-Tugayé, M.T.	
15	(esquerre@scsv.ups-tlse.fr)	

Dumas et al.

## 17 Abstract

18 The cellulose-binding domains (CBDs) of CBEL, the cellulose-binding elicitor lectin of 19 Phytophthora, are potent elicitors of plant defense responses. Induction of defense has also 20 been reported in various cellulose-deficient mutants of Arabidopsis thaliana. Based on these 21 observations, we propose a model linking cellulose alteration to defense induction. This 22 integrates the fast increase in cytosolic calcium recorded in response to CBEL, mechano-23 stimulated calcium uptake mechanisms, and proteins that interact functionally with the 24 cellulose synthase complex. In this context, CBDs emerge as new tools to decipher the 25 signalling cascades that result from cell wall-cellulose perturbations.

#### 26 Introduction

27 Owing to their strategic location surrounding the cell, plant cell walls play an important role 28 in the outcome of plant-microorganism interactions. Understanding of the dialogue that takes 29 place at this interface has progressed as a result of the improved biochemical and molecular 30 knowledge of this cellular compartment. After the first report that plant cell walls contain 31 proteins [1], the concept of the cell wall as a dynamic structure involved in signalling and 32 defense has emerged, as notably illustrated by the ability of pectin-derived oligosaccharides to 33 elicit defense responses [2], and by cell wall reinforcement by structural components 34 following pathogen attack [3,4]. Perturbing the cell wall integrity by mechanical stress such 35 as wounding often induces similar signalling and strengthening effects, thereby suggesting 36 that the cell wall harbours its own surveillance system [5]. With the sequencing of a few plant 37 genomes, particularly of Arabidopsis thaliana, the study of plant cell walls has now entered 38 the genomic era, shedding new light on functional aspects of this important cell compartment. 39 Several reviews of current knowledge about cellulose [6,7], and cell wall sugars and proteins 40 as related to stress, have recently appeared [8,9].

41 Our interest in cellulose as a possible partner of the surveillance system has arisen from 42 several reports linking cellulose to plant defense through the study of Arabidopsis cell wall 43 mutants and microbial effectors. Thus, several mutants having defects in cellulose synthesis 44 were shown to be more resistant to various pathogens [8,9]. The possibility that cellulose 45 might be part of a sensing machinery was further supported by the finding that the cellulose-46 binding domains (CBDs) of the CBEL effector of *Phytophthora parasitica* are sufficient to 47 elicit plant defense [10]. It is unlikely that this effect implies the enzymatic release of oligo- $\beta$ -48 glucan elicitors from cellulose because CBEL and more generally CBDs are devoid of hydrolase activity. The presence of CBEL at the mycelium cell surface allows Phytophothora 49 50 to adhere to cellulosic substrates [11].

51 Since altering as well as touching cellulose induce plant defense, one might wonder whether 52 there is any crosstalk between the mechanisms underlying the response to these two different 53 stresses. Hence this article focuses on the emerging links between the cellulose 54 biosynthesizing machinery, plant defense, and CBDs as possible interactants.

55

## 56 **Proteins associated with cellulose**

57 Before reporting the few examples linking cellulose to defense sensing, we will give an 58 overview of the genes and proteins that interact directly or indirectly with cellulose at the 59 biosynthesis and structural levels.

60

## 61 Proteins of the cellulose biosynthesizing machinery.

62 The cell wall cellulose microfibrils consist of several chains of 1,4-linked  $\beta$ -D-glucose residues tightly assembled by hydrogen bonds. As a major metabolic, energy-demanding 63 64 process in plants, the biosynthesis of cellulose must be tightly programmed and linked to a 65 source of glucose monomers. The level of cellulose in the cell wall depends primarily on the activity of the cellulose synthase complex. After the discovery of the first cellulose synthase 66 67 gene (CESA) encoding the catalytic subunit of the complex in cotton (Gossypium hirsutum) [12], genome sequencing data revealed that a large set of CESA genes, comprising at least 10 68 69 members, is present in the genome of Arabidopsis, and of other plants. CESA proteins are 70 located within the plasma membrane, and share common structural features, notably 71 conserved and more variable domains, and the D,D,D,QXXRW motif for substrate binding 72 and catalysis. The phosphorylation status of cellulose synthase seems to be important for its 73 activity [6].

In *Arabidopsis*, mutant complementation analysis has shown that *AtCESA1*, *AtCESA2*,
 *AtCESA3*, and *AtCESA6* are involved in cellulose biosynthesis of the primary cell wall

ļ

[6,7,13,14], whereas *AtCESA4*, *AtCESA7* and *AtCESA8* are expressed during secondary cell wall formation [6,7]. A number of *cesA* mutants, with mutations scattered along the whole sequence of these various genes, are available. They exhibit growth defects and a decrease in the cellulose content of the cell wall which is accompanied in a few cases by deposition *in muro* of weakly esterified pectin [15], of callose, or of lignin at ectopic sites [16].

Genetic screening based on phenotypes has led to the identification of several additional genes [17-19] encoding KORRIGAN (KOR) and the cell surface proteins KOBITO (KOB) and COBRA (COB), mutations in which lead to cellulose deficiencies (*kor, cob*) and misorientation of cellulose microfibrils (*kob*).

A necessary requirement for cellulose biosynthesis is the supply of the UDP-glucose substrate. Among enzymes that might fulfil this role, sucrose synthase (SuSy) has received special attention because it produces UDP-glucose and fructose from sucrose, and has been shown to be tightly associated with the deposition of cellulose in cotton fibers [20-22]. However, a clear picture of its involvement awaits further investigation [23].

As a major structural component of the cell wall, cellulose has to be correctly orientated to 90 91 allow growth and optimal interactions with other polymers. A tight contact between the 92 cellulose synthase machinery and cytoskeleton dynamics has long been claimed [24]. Indeed, 93 confocal imaging has shown that isoxaben, an inhibitor of cellulose biosynthesis, alters the 94 organisation of cortical microtubules [25], and alters alignment of microtubules with the 95 cellulose synthases AtCESA7 and AtCESA6, tagged respectively with the green 96 (GFP:AtCESA7) and the yellow (YFP:AtCES6) fluorescent proteins [26,27]. Conversely, 97 marked changes in YFP-CESA6 organisation are observed when the microtubules (MT) are 98 disrupted using oryzalin. Even though the precise connection between cellulose synthase and 99 microtubules is not definitively established, it is clear that cellulose synthase and 100 microtubules do affect each other.

## 102 In muro cellulose-binding proteins

103 Once in the wall, the cellulose microfibrils are bound to hemicelluloses through hydrogen 104 bonds, thereby contributing to the architecture of the cell wall. The protein expansin can 105 loosen this architecture, probably by weakening the non-covalent adhesion between these 106 polysaccharides [28] without hydrolysing them. It has been shown that downregulation of one 107 gene encoding an  $\alpha$ -expansin in *Petunia* reduces the amount of crystalline cellulose in the cell 108 wall [29]. Cellulases (endo- $\beta$ -1,4-glucanase, cellobiohydrolase,  $\beta$ -glucosidase) are another 109 major category of plant and microbial enzymes that interact with cellulose, ultimately 110 degrading cellulose to β-D-glucose. The presence of CBDs in some plant and microbial 111 endocellulases participate in the efficacy of these enzymes by anchoring them to their 112 substrate [30].

113 A search for microbial effectors has led to the isolation of a glycoprotein that is located in the inner and outer layers of the cell wall of Phytophthora parasitica, a pathogen of the tobacco 114 115 plant Nicotiana tabacum [31]. Its protein moiety is composed of two direct cysteine-rich 116 repeats connected by a linker. Each repeat contains a motif that closely resembles the fungal 117 type I CBD consensus pattern found in cellulases from various fungi [32,33]. Further 118 characterization showed that this molecule is able to bind to crystalline cellulose and to 119 tobacco cell walls but does not possess any detectable hydrolytic activity on various 120 polysaccharides [32]. Due to its elicitor effect and lectin-like activity, the molecule was 121 named CBEL (for cellulose-binding elicitor lectin). CBEL is widespread in the genus 122 *Phytophthora* [34]. In *Phytophthora*, it serves to organise polysaccharide ( $\beta$ -glucan) 123 deposition in the cell wall, and it allows adhesion of the mycelium to cellulosic substrates 124 [11].

125	CBDs are found in proteins of microbial (bacteria, fungi, oomycetes) and plant
126	(endocellulases, expansin) origins [35,36], and are also encountered in non-enzymic effectors
127	secreted by nematode pathogens during plant colonisation [37]. Although exhibiting different
128	sizes depending on their origins, they share common structural features and aromatic amino-
129	acid residues implicated in cellulose binding affinity [35,36]. The aromatic residues of the
130	two CBDs of CBEL predicted to be surface-exposed and involved in cellulose binding were
131	deduced from sequence alignment with the cellobiohydrolase I CBD from the fungus
132	Trichoderma reesei. CBDs are thought to locally disrupt hydrogen bonding between cellulose
133	chains, resulting in local destabilization of cellulose microfibrils [35,36].
134	Proteomic studies have shown that plant cell walls contain numerous proteins. A comparison
135	of the patterns of wild-type and cellulose mutants might prove useful to uncover additional
136	cellulose-interacting proteins.
137	
137 138	Cellulose and associated defense sensing
137 138 139	Cellulose and associated defense sensing Recent studies provide evidence that alteration of cellulose integrity is a warning signal to
<ol> <li>137</li> <li>138</li> <li>139</li> <li>140</li> </ol>	Cellulose and associated defense sensing Recent studies provide evidence that alteration of cellulose integrity is a warning signal to which the plant cell responds by activating defense pathways.
<ol> <li>137</li> <li>138</li> <li>139</li> <li>140</li> <li>141</li> </ol>	<b>Cellulose and associated defense sensing</b> Recent studies provide evidence that alteration of cellulose integrity is a warning signal to which the plant cell responds by activating defense pathways.
<ol> <li>137</li> <li>138</li> <li>139</li> <li>140</li> <li>141</li> <li>142</li> </ol>	Cellulose and associated defense sensing Recent studies provide evidence that alteration of cellulose integrity is a warning signal to which the plant cell responds by activating defense pathways. Cellulose synthase-associated sensing
<ul> <li>137</li> <li>138</li> <li>139</li> <li>140</li> <li>141</li> <li>142</li> <li>143</li> </ul>	Cellulose and associated defense sensing Recent studies provide evidence that alteration of cellulose integrity is a warning signal to which the plant cell responds by activating defense pathways.  Cellulose synthase-associated sensing Cellulose is the main load-bearing polymer of the plant cell wall to which it notably
<ul> <li>137</li> <li>138</li> <li>139</li> <li>140</li> <li>141</li> <li>142</li> <li>143</li> <li>144</li> </ul>	Cellulose and associated defense sensing Recent studies provide evidence that alteration of cellulose integrity is a warning signal to which the plant cell responds by activating defense pathways. Cellulose synthase-associated sensing Cellulose is the main load-bearing polymer of the plant cell wall to which it notably confers mechanical strength. Therefore, cellulose-deficient plants would be expected to be
<ul> <li>137</li> <li>138</li> <li>139</li> <li>140</li> <li>141</li> <li>142</li> <li>143</li> <li>144</li> <li>145</li> </ul>	Cellulose and associated defense sensing Recent studies provide evidence that alteration of cellulose integrity is a warning signal to which the plant cell responds by activating defense pathways. Cellulose synthase-associated sensing Cellulose is the main load-bearing polymer of the plant cell wall to which it notably confers mechanical strength. Therefore, cellulose-deficient plants would be expected to be less resistant to pathogen ingress and abiotic stress. There are now several examples
<ul> <li>137</li> <li>138</li> <li>139</li> <li>140</li> <li>141</li> <li>142</li> <li>143</li> <li>144</li> <li>145</li> <li>146</li> </ul>	Cellulose and associated defense sensing Recent studies provide evidence that alteration of cellulose integrity is a warning signal to which the plant cell responds by activating defense pathways. Cellulose synthase-associated sensing Cellulose is the main load-bearing polymer of the plant cell wall to which it notably confers mechanical strength. Therefore, cellulose-deficient plants would be expected to be less resistant to pathogen ingress and abiotic stress. There are now several examples indicating that this is not the case.
<ul> <li>137</li> <li>138</li> <li>139</li> <li>140</li> <li>141</li> <li>142</li> <li>143</li> <li>144</li> <li>145</li> <li>146</li> <li>147</li> </ul>	Cellulose and associated defense sensing Recent studies provide evidence that alteration of cellulose integrity is a warning signal to which the plant cell responds by activating defense pathways. Cellulose synthase-associated sensing Cellulose is the main load-bearing polymer of the plant cell wall to which it notably confers mechanical strength. Therefore, cellulose-deficient plants would be expected to be less resistant to pathogen ingress and abiotic stress. There are now several examples indicating that this is not the case. The first contribution to this subject came from the report that a mutant ( <i>cev</i> ) of Arabidopsis
<ol> <li>137</li> <li>138</li> <li>139</li> <li>140</li> <li>141</li> <li>142</li> <li>143</li> <li>144</li> <li>145</li> <li>146</li> <li>147</li> <li>148</li> </ol>	Cellulose and associated defense sensing Recent studies provide evidence that alteration of cellulose integrity is a warning signal to which the plant cell responds by activating defense pathways. Cellulose synthase-associated sensing Cellulose is the main load-bearing polymer of the plant cell wall to which it notably confers mechanical strength. Therefore, cellulose-deficient plants would be expected to be less resistant to pathogen ingress and abiotic stress. There are now several examples indicating that this is not the case. The first contribution to this subject came from the report that a mutant ( <i>cev</i> ) of Arabidopsis showing constitutive expression of defense-related genes was mutated in the cellulose

150 defense-related jasmonate (JA) and ethylene (ET) signalling molecules, and enhanced 151 resistance to powdery mildew fungal diseases caused by Erysiphe cichoracearum, Erysiphe 152 oronti, and Oïdium lycopersicum. A clear link between cell wall alteration and signalling via 153 JA and ET was supported by the observation that chemical inhibition of cellulose biosynthesis 154 could reproduce the phenotype on wild-type plants, and that this\_phenotype i.e. cev was 155 suppressed by mutations that interrupt the JA and ET pathways [39]. The notion that 156 mutations of CESA3 activate defense responses through JA and ET was soon confirmed 157 independently [16] on the ectopic lignin mutant (eli) of Arabidopsis. In this case, the decrease 158 in cellulose content was compensated by an activation of lignin biosynthesis, thereby 159 indicating that cellulose perturbation has many cellular effects.

160 Mutations in cellulose synthase genes involved in secondary cell wall formation are further 161 examples linking cellulose deficiencies to biotic and abiotic stresses. Thus, the lew 2 mutant 162 alleles of AtCESA8 are more tolerant to drought and osmotic stress than wild-type plants, 163 possibly as a result of the accumulation of soluble sugars, proline and abscisic acid (ABA) 164 within the cell following inhibition of cellulose synthesis [40]. A role for ABA in signalling 165 was later illustrated in Arabidopsis mutants of the three CESA4, CESA7 and CESA8 166 subunits. These mutants had reduced levels of secondary cell wall cellulose, but showed 167 enhanced resistance to three fungal species (*Plectosphaerella cucumerina*, Botrytis cinerea, 168 Erysiphe cichoracearum), and to the vascular bacterial pathogen Ralstonia solanacearum 169 [41]. In-depth genetic and transcriptomic studies revealed that signalling was independent of 170 JA, ET and SA (salicylic acid), and correlated with ABA-induced defense, particularly 171 against Ralstonia.

172 In response to various stresses, plants synthesize and accumulate callose, a  $\beta$ -1,3-glucan 173 polymer, in their cell walls. The *pmr4* mutant of callose synthase, another plasma membrane 174 glucan synthase, was more resistant to the biotrophic pathogens *Erysiphe cichoracearum*,

#### Deleted: -

*Erysiphe oronti*, and *Peronospora parasitica*, an effect mediated by SA [42]. Since callose
deposition normally participates in plant defense, this suggests that either deprivation of
callose, or/and impairment of PMR4 behave as warning signals.

The above examples strongly support that defects in cell wall integrity are perceived by a
surveillance system, which likely relies on a variety of sensor and receptor molecules.

180

## 181 Cellulose Binding domains (CBDs) as emerging partners

While studies of cellulose mutants were very fruitful in investigating the link between cellulose and defense responses, another approach could be the use of peptides or proteins with a disruptive activity on cellulose.

185 CBEL is a potent elicitor in the *Phytophthora* host plant tobacco, in which it induces local 186 hypersensitive-like lesions, defense responses, and protection against subsequent infection 187 with the oomycete [32]. It is also active on various non-host plants, notably on Arabidopsis. 188 Using Arabidopsis mutants affected in the salicylic acid (SA), jasmonic acid (JA), and 189 ethylene pathways (ET), it was shown that all three pathways are triggered by the elicitor. JA 190 and ET are required for lesion formation, whereas induction of cell wall-associated defense 191 proteins depends on SA [43]. In planta delivery of truncated or CBD-mutated versions of 192 CBEL revealed that intact CBDs are essential for full elicitor and cellulose-binding activities 193 [10]. Indeed, synthetic peptides corresponding to either CBD were sufficient to induce gene 194 expression in tobacco, and to induce expression of a GUS reporter gene under control of the 195 defense *PR1* gene promoter in transgenic *PR1::GUS Arabidopsis*. Interestingly, these CBDs 196 did not provoke lesions following infiltration of tobacco and Arabidopsis. The fast (sec-min) 197 and transient increase in cytosolic calcium that was observed upon incubation of tobacco-198 aequorin cells with CBEL required intact CBDs, and the presence of the cell wall. Whether 199 other fungal type 1 CBDs are able to induce plant defense awaits further investigations. One

such example might be provided by the cellulase of *Trichoderma viride* whose membrane
depolarization and other defense-related signalling effects are retained by the heat denaturated
enzyme [44].

203 CBDs belong to a large superfamily of carbohydrate binding modules (CBMs) classified 204 into more 40 different families, based on amino acid sequences, binding specificity, and 205 structure. This large resource offers the opportunity to investigate precisely the cell wall 206 sensing machinery since several of these CBMs specifically bind various cell wall 207 components.

208

## 209

### 09 Cellulose and cell wall sensing: a proposed model

The above literature suggests that perturbation of the cellulose status, whether by mutation *(cesA)*, adhesion (CBD), or chemical treatment (inhibitors), is a warning signal for the plant cell and leads to defense responses.

213 Is there a surveillance system common to such different stimuli? What do we know about 214 the underlying mechanisms? A possible explanation is that they are perceived as a kind of 215 mechanical stress. A similar situation is best documented in yeast, in which agents that cause 216 cell wall stress, as well as mutations that impair cell wall biosynthesis, coactivate cell wall 217 integrity (CWI) signalling and calcium signalling pathways [45], ultimately leading to cell 218 wall biogenesis responses. Compared to yeast, what do we know of the mechanisms 219 underlying cell wall sensing in plants? Could examining the responses induced by CBEL and 220 CBDs provide answers?

In a proposed scenario, calcium would play a central role (Figure 1). Indeed the earliest effect related to cellulose perturbation recorded so far is a very fast increase (within the first minute) in cytosolic calcium in response to CBEL [10]. Interestingly, a plasma membrane protein named Mca1 that plays a crucial role in mechano-stimulated Ca<sup>2+</sup> uptake was recently

characterized in *Arabidopsis* [46]. Another plasma membrane protein called THESEUS1 (THE1) that partly mediates growth inhibitory and ectopic lignin deposition phenotypes of the *prc1-1* mutant of *CESA6* of *Arabidopsis* [47] was also reported. THE1 is a receptor-like kinase which autophosphorylates, and requires a mutant *cesA6* background for its activation, suggesting that THE1 is involved in cellulose-sensing.

230 Knowledge of Mca1 now affords the possibility to check whether it mediates calcium entry 231 in cellulose-deficient mutants and in response to CBEL and CBDs. In particular, does it 232 interact with CESA and THE1? Whatever the case, increased cytosolic calcium might 233 regulate phosphorylation processes, notably that of the THE1 and CESA proteins, leading to 234 changes in their activity and, consequently, in the activity of their cell partners. One likely 235 partner of CESA is SuSy, sucrose synthase, which catalyses the formation of UDPglucose, the 236 substrate of CESA. Changes in its activity, i.e. glucose formation and/or sucrose 237 consumption, might be perceived by a sugar-sensing machinery [48]. It was recently reported 238 that sucrose can mediate priming of plant defense responses, and bring about broad-spectrum 239 disease resistance in rice [49]. In the proposed model, a functional link between the CESA 240 complex and the cytoskeleton MT might also be altered, as a result of the known 241 depolymerisation of MT in the presence of increased calcium. MT depolymerisation has long 242 been associated with defense responses against microbial invaders [50].

Additionally, or alternatively, the kinase activity of THE1 might be the starting point of a phosphorylation cascade leading to defense activation. Crosstalk between such a cascade and the JA, ET, SA, and ABA signalling pathways that are activated in response to cellulose perturbations can be predicted.

Based on this model, several questions emerge (Box 1). In particular, it will be interesting to know whether cellulose can relay information from external stimuli such as CBDs to intracellular signalling *via* cellulose synthase. The availability of *Arabidopsis* mutants and of

#### Dumas et al.

- 250 CBDs together with cell biology imaging technology provides us with powerful tools to probe251 the proposed model.
- 252
- 253 Conclusion

Although the notion of cell wall integrity-sensing is not novel, the underlying mechanisms are still largely unknown. The recent findings that cellulose alterations are perceived as warning signals in *Arabidopsis* provide us with a powerful model system to study the surveillance system of the cell. Future work will aim at identifying novel genes involved in cell wall sensing. This might be accomplished by screening mutants for their response to CBD peptides. Understanding responses to cell wall damages might contribute to improve the resistance of plants to biotic stresses.

- 261
- 262 263

- How general among known CBDs is the effect induced by the CBDs of CBEL?
- Besides cellulose, do the CBDs interact with other cell-surface components such as the
- 267 wall-associated kinases (WAKs) or other protein kinases?
- Is the cellulose-CBD interaction perceived directly by a Mca1-like protein, or through the
   cellulose-synthesizing machinery?
- Does the chemical inhibition of cellulose synthase induce  $Ca^{2+}$  changes and associated
- signalling events in a way similar to the CBDs?
- Can sucrose sensing and a CESA-MT link be involved in CBD-induced defense?

<sup>264</sup> **Box 1.** Outstanding questions

#### 273 References

274

275	1	Lamport, D.T.A. and Northcote, D.H. (1960) Hydroxyproline in primary cell walls of
276		higher plants. Nature 188, 665-666

- 277 2 Darvill, A. and Albersheim, P. (1984) Phytoalexins and their elicitors: A defense
  278 against microbial infection in plants. *Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol. Plant Mol. Biol.* 35,
  279 243-275
- 2803Esquerré-Tugayé, M.T. (2006) Hydroxyproline-rich glycoproteins in plant-microbe281interactions. In *The science and lore of the plant cell wall* (Hayashi, T., ed.), pp. 303-
- 282309, Brown Walker Press
- 4 von Röpenack, E. *et al.* (1998) Structural analyses and dynamics of soluble and cell
  wall-bound phenolics in a broad spectrum resistance to the powdery mildew fungus in
  barley. *J. Biol. Chem.* 273, 9013-9022
- 5 Humphrey, T.V. *et al.* (2007) Sentinels at the wall: cell wall receptors and sensors. *New Phytol.* 176, 7-21
- Somerville, C. (2006) Cellulose synthesis in higher plants. *Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol.*22, 53-78
- Z90 7 Joshi, C.P. and Mansfield, S.D. (2007) The cellulose paradox--simple molecule,
  complex biosynthesis. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 10, 220-226
- 292 8 Vorwerk, S. *et al.* (2004) The role of plant cell wall polysaccharide composition in
  293 disease resistance. *Trends Plant Sci.* 9, 203-209
- Huckelhoven, R. (2007) Cell wall-associated mechanisms of disease resistance and
   susceptibility. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.* 45, 101-127
- Gaulin, E. *et al.* (2006) Cellulose binding domains of a *Phytophthora* cell wall protein
  are novel pathogen-associated molecular patterns. *Plant Cell* 18, 1766-1777

298	11	Gaulin, E. et al. (2002) The CBEL glycoprotein of Phytophthora parasitica var-
299		nicotianae is involved in cell wall deposition and adhesion to cellulosic substrates. J.
300		Cell Sci. 115, 4565-4575
301	12	Pear, J.R. et al. (1996) Higher plants contain homologs of the bacterial celA genes
302		encoding the catalytic subunit of cellulose synthase. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 93,
303		12637-12642
304	13	Persson, S. et al. (2007) Genetic evidence for three unique components in primary
305		cell-wall cellulose synthase complexes in Arabidopsis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 104
306		(39), 15566-15571
307	14	Desprez, T. et al. (2007) Organization of cellulose synthase complexes involved in
308		primary cell wall synthesis in Arabidopsis thaliana. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 104
309		(39), 15572-15577
310	15	Burton, R.A. et al. (2000) Virus-induced silencing of a plant cellulose synthase gene.
311		Plant Cell 12, 691-706
312	16	Cano-Delgado, A. et al. (2003) Reduced cellulose synthesis invokes lignification and
313		defense responses in Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant J. 34, 351-362
314	17	His, I. et al. (2001) Altered pectin composition in primary cell walls of korrigan, a
315		dwarf mutant of Arabidopsis deficient in a membrane-bound endo-1,4 $\beta$ -glucanase.
316		Planta 212, 348-358
317	18	Pagant, S. et al. (2002) KOBITO1 encodes a novel plasma membrane protein
318		necessary for normal synthesis of cellulose during cell expansion in Arabidopsis.
319		Plant Cell 14, 2001-2013
320	19	Roudier, F. et al. (2002) The COBRA family of putative GPI-anchored proteins in
321		Arabidopsis. A new fellowship in expansion. Plant Physiol. 130, 538-548

322	20	Amor, Y. et al. (1995) A membrane-associated form of sucrose synthase and its
323		potential role in synthesis of cellulose and callose in plants. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.
324		USA. 92, 9353-9357
325	21	Haigler, C.H. et al. (2001) Carbon partitioning to cellulose synthesis. Plant Mol. Biol.
326		47, 29-51
327	22	Ruan, Y.L. et al. (2003) Suppression of sucrose synthase gene expression represses
328		cotton fiber cell initiation, elongation, and seed development. Plant Cell 15, 952-964
329	23	Coleman, H.D. et al. (2006) Up-regulation of sucrose synthase and UDP-glucose
330		pyrophosphorylase impacts plant growth and metabolism. Plant Biotechnol. J. 4, 87-
331		101
332	24	Baskin, T.I. (2001) On the alignment of cellulose microfibrils by cortical
333		microtubules: a review and a model. Protoplasma 215, 150-171
334	25	Fisher, D.B. and Cyr, R.J. (1998) Extending the microtubule/microfibril paradigm.
335		Cellulose synthesis is required for normal cortical microtubule alignment in elongating
336		cells. Plant Physiol. 116, 1043-1051
337	26	Gardiner, J.C. et al. (2003) Control of cellulose synthase complex localization in
338		developing xylem. Plant Cell 15, 1740-1748
339	27	Paredez, A.R. et al. (2006) Visualization of cellulose synthase demonstrates functional
340		association with microtubules. Science 312, 1491-1495
341	28	Cosgrove, D.J. et al. (2002) The growing world of expansins. Plant Cell Physiol. 43,
342		1436-1444
343	29	Zenoni, S. et al. (2004) Downregulation of the Petunia hybrida α-expansin gene
344		PhEXP1 reduces the amount of crystalline cellulose in cell walls and leads to
345		phenotypic changes in petal limbs. Plant Cell 16, 295-308

346	30	Levy, I. et al. (2002) Modification of polysaccharides and plant cell wall by endo-1,4-
347		β-glucanase and cellulose-binding domains. <i>Biomol Eng.</i> 19, 17-30
348	31	Séjalon-Delmas, N. et al. (1997) Purification, elicitor activity, and cell wall
349		localization of a glycoprotein from Phytophthora parasitica var. nicotianae, a fungal
350		pathogen of tobacco. Phytopathology 87, 899-909
351	32	Villalba-Mateos, F. et al. (1997) Cloning and characterization of a cDNA encoding an
352		elicitor of Phytophthora parasitica var. nicotianae that shows cellulose-binding and
353		lectin-like activities. Mol. Plant-Microbe Interact. 10, 1045-1053
354	33	Gilkes, N.R. <i>et al.</i> (1991) Domains in microbial $\beta$ -1,4-glycanases: sequence
355		conservation, function, and enzyme families. Microbiol. Rev. 55, 303-315
356	34	Judelson, H.S. (2007) Genomics of the plant pathogenic oomycete Phytophthora:
357		insights into biology and evolution. Adv. Genet. 57, 97-141
358	35	Hashimoto, H. (2006) Recent structural studies of carbohydrate-binding modules. Cell
359		Mol Life Sci 63 (24), 2954-2967
360	36	Shoseyov, O. et al. (2006) Carbohydrate binding modules: biochemical properties and
361		novel applications. Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev. 70, 283-295
362	37	Ding, X. et al. (1998) A secretory cellulose-binding protein cDNA cloned from the
363		root-knot nematode (Meloidogyne incognita). Mol. Plant-Microbe Interact. 11, 952-
364		959
365	38	Ellis, C. and Turner, J.G. (2001) The Arabidopsis mutant cev1 has constitutively
366		active jasmonate and ethylene signal pathways and enhanced resistance to pathogens.
367		Plant Cell 13, 1025-1033
368	39	Ellis, C. et al. (2002) The Arabidopsis mutant cev1 links cell wall signalling to

jasmonate and ethylene responses. Plant Cell 14, 1557-1566

369

I

370	40	Chen, Z. et al. (2005) Disruption of the cellulose synthase gene, AtCesA8/IRX1,
371		enhances drought and osmotic stress tolerance in Arabidopsis. Plant J. 43, 273-283
372	41	Hernandez-Blanco, C. et al. (2007) Impairment of cellulose synthases required for
373		Arabidopsis secondary cell wall formation enhances disease resistance. Plant Cell 19,
374		890-903
375	42	Nishimura, M.T. et al. (2003) Loss of a callose synthase results in salicylic acid-
376		dependent disease resistance. Science 301, 969-972
377	43	Khatib, M. et al. (2004) The CBEL elicitor of Phytophthora parasitica var. nicotianae
378		activates defence in Arabidopsis thaliana via three different signalling pathways. New
379		Phytol. 162, 501-510
380	44	Carden, D.E. and Felle, H.H. (2003) The mode of action of cell wall-degrading
381		enzymes and their interference with Nod factor signalling in Medicago sativa root
382		hairs. Planta 216, 993-1002
383	45	Levin, D.E. (2005) Cell wall integrity signaling in Saccharomyces cerevisiae.
384		Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev. 69 (2), 262-291
385	46	Nakagawa, Y. et al. (2007) Arabidopsis plasma membrane protein crucial for Ca <sup>2+</sup>
386		influx and touch sensing in roots. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 104, 3639-3644
387	47	Hematy, K. et al. (2007) A receptor-like kinase mediates the response of Arabidopsis
388		cells to the inhibition of cellulose synthesis. Curr. Biol. 17, 922-931
389	48	Rolland, F. et al. (2006) Sugar sensing and signaling in plants: conserved and novel
390		mechanisms. Annu. Rev. Plant Biol. 57, 675-709
391	49	Gomez-Ariza, J. et al. (2007) Sucrose-mediated priming of plant defense responses
392		and broad-spectrum disease resistance by overexpression of the maize pathogenesis-
393		related PRms protein in rice plants. Mol. Plant-Microbe Interact. 20, 832-842

Gross, P. *et al.* (1993) Translocation of cytoplasm and nucleus to fungal penetration
sites is associated with depolymerization of microtubules and defence gene activation
in infected, cultured parsley cells. *EMBO J.* 12, 1735-1744

## 397 Legend to figure 1

398

399 Figure 1. A model for signal transduction linking CBD perception and cellulose alterations to 400 defense activation. (i) It is proposed that the fast increase in the cytosolic calcium induced by 401 CBEL treatment is mediated by a permeable mechanosensing channel system that relies on 402 Mcal or on a Mcal-like protein. (ii) Activation of Mcal would either occur directly in 403 response to a changing physicochemical environment of the cell wall or through its 404 interaction with a cellulose synthase-integrity sensor system (CESA, THE1). Mca1 and THE1 are two plasma membrane proteins related to Ca<sup>2+</sup> influx (Mca1) and to cell wall integrity 405 406 sensing (THE1) in Arabidopsis. (iii) Calcium might then activate phosphorylation processes, 407 notably on the phosphorylable sites of CESA and THE1. (iv) Changes in CESA and THE1 408 activities would finally be the starting points of downstream signalling cascades leading to 409 defense gene expression. Possible crosstalks with ethylene (ET), jasmonic acid (JA), salicylic 410 acid (SA) and abscisic acid (ABA) signalling pathways that are induced by cellulose 411 alterations are indicated.





# FIGURE 1