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Abstract

Suspended sediment sources in the Upper Severn catchment are quantified using a composite fingerprinting technique combining statistically-verified signatures with a multivariate mixing model. Composite fingerprints are developed from a suite of diagnostic properties comprising trace metal (Fe, Mn, Al), heavy metal (Cu, Zn, Pb, Cr, Co, Ni), base cation (Na, Mg, Ca, K), organic (C, N), radiometric \( ^{137}\text{Cs} \), \( ^{210}\text{Pb} \), and other (total P) determinands. A numerical mixing model, to compare the fingerprints of contemporary catchment source materials with those of fluvial suspended sediment in transit and those of recent overbank floodplain deposits, provides a means of quantifying present and past sediment sources respectively. Sources are classified in terms of eroding surface soils under different land uses and channel banks. Eroding surface soils are the most important source of the contemporary suspended sediment loads sampled at the Institute of Hydrology flow gauging stations at Plynlimon and at Abermule. The erosion of forest soils, associated with the autumn and winter commercial activities of the Forestry Commission, is particularly evident. Reconstruction of sediment provenance over the recent past using a sediment core from the active river floodplain at Abermule, in conjunction with a \( ^{137}\text{Cs} \) chronology, demonstrates the significance of recent phases of afforestation and deforestation for accelerated catchment soil erosion.

Introduction

Although recent research has demonstrated a growing awareness of the role of suspended sediment in the transport of nutrients and contaminants (Ongley et al., 1992), in non-point pollution (Knisel, 1980), in sediment-water interactions which influence water quality (UNESCO, 1978) and in damage to aquatic ecology (Cordone and Kelley, 1961), less attention has been focused upon the need to control and manage sediment in river basins (Braune and Looser, 1990). However, where attempts are made to develop sediment control and management strategies, the resources available are frequently so limited that effective targeting of such activities becomes an important requirement. One important constraint on the implementation of effective control and management strategies is the sparsity of information on sediment provenance (Walling, 1990; Hudson, 1990). In many drainage basins, the primary sediment sources have not been identified and it is therefore difficult to pinpoint the most cost-effective means of reducing sediment fluxes.

Traditionally, sediment source information has been assembled using a range of direct monitoring techniques. These have included visual appraisal of potential sources, both from photographs and in the field (Kirkbridge and Reeves, 1993); surveying of rills and gullies (Duck and McManus, 1987); and the monitoring of potential sources using profilometers (Toy, 1983), erosion pins (Haigh, 1977; Lawler, 1992), or aerial photogrammetry in combination with topographic mapping and GIS (Ritchie et al., 1994). Measurements of river loads have also been used to quantify the relative contributions of suspended sediment from individual sub-basins (Walling and Webb, 1987). Such direct monitoring is, however, costly and time-consuming and it is restricted by many operational difficulties as well as by important spatial and temporal sampling constraints (Peart and Walling, 1988; Loughran and Campbell, 1995).

In consequence, the fingerprinting approach has attracted increasing attention as an alternative indirect approach to identifying sediment sources (Walling and Kane, 1984; Peart and Walling, 1988). As such, it has proved valuable for elucidating the relative contributions from individual source types e.g. surface soils under different land uses and channel banks (Peart and Walling, 1986; Slattery et al., 1995), for establishing the spatial location of sediment sources defined in terms of tributary sub-basins (Caitcheon, 1993; Collins et al., 1996) or geological sub-areas (Klages and Hsieh, 1975; Walling and
Woodward, 1995), and for reconstructing recent changes in sediment provenance (Woodward et al., 1992; Collins et al., 1997b). In essence, the fingerprinting approach uses the physical and chemical properties of sediment to infer its provenance and involves, firstly, the selection of diagnostic properties which discriminate potential sources in an unequivocal manner, and secondly, comparison of the fingerprint properties in the potential sources with the corresponding values for sediment samples, in order to estimate the relative importance of individual sources.

Traditionally the search for diagnostic properties has concentrated upon single-component signatures. These have encompassed a wide range of mineralogic (Wall and Wilding, 1976; Garrad and Hey, 1989), colorimetric (Grimshaw and Lewin, 1980; Peart, 1993), mineral-magnetic (Oldfield et al., 1979; Bonnett et al., 1989), geochemical (Lewin and Wolfenden, 1978; Jones et al., 1991), organic (Brown, 1985; Santiago et al., 1992), radiometric (Walling and Woodward, 1992; Murray et al., 1993), isotopic (Salomans, 1975; Salomans and Eysink, 1981) and physical, e.g. absolute particle size (Lambert and Walling, 1986; Sutherland and Bryan, 1990), properties and property ratios. However, a realisation that the search for a single diagnostic property is likely to prove elusive and that spurious source-sediment linkages can result from the use of individual fingerprint properties, has resulted in the development of composite fingerprinting procedures. These employ multicomponent signatures comprising several diagnostic properties selected from either a particular property subset e.g. several mineral-magnetic (Oldfield and Clark, 1990) or radiometric (He and Owens, 1995) parameters, or from a range of different subsets e.g. several mineral-magnetic, radiometric and organic properties (Walling et al., 1993; Walling and Woodward, 1995). The use of composite fingerprints has been complemented by the replacement of simple qualitative interpretation with more rigorous quantitative procedures involving source discrimination using statistical testing and source ascertainment based on multivariate mixing models (Yu and Oldfield, 1989; 1993; Walling et al., 1993; Slattery et al., 1995; Collins et al., 1996; 1997a; 1997b).

This contribution applies a composite fingerprinting technique which combines statistically-verified multicomponent signatures and a multivariate mixing model, to quantify both present and past sediment sources in the Upper Severn catchment, upstream of Abermule (580 km²). Sediment sources are characterised in terms of eroding surface soils beneath different land uses (forestry and pasture) and eroding channel banks. The bulk of this work was undertaken during 1992–1994 as part of a wider study of sediment sources in the Severn basin above Bewdley (Collins, 1995).

Study Area

Figure 1 shows the location and extent of the Upper Severn catchment investigated in this study. The bulk of

Fig. 1. Location and extent of the Upper Severn study area.
The Approach

To quantify contemporary sediment provenance in the Upper Severn, the fingerprint properties of suspended sediment sampled in transit at the three Plynlimon flow gauging stations and at Abermule were compared with those of the equivalent size fraction of potential source materials within the upstream catchment. For reconstructing recent changes in sediment sources for the Upper Severn at Abermule, the physical and chemical properties of recent floodplain deposits taken from this site were compared with those of the same contemporary upstream source materials. Underpinning the latter is the assumption that downcore geochemical variability is actually diagnostically of historical fluctuations in the relative contributions from individual sources as opposed to diagenetic changes. Although the random variability associated with natural fluctuations in the relative significance of sources between distinct flood events may become obscured by other factors such as flood seasonality, this potential problem is minimized by the use of a coarse sectioning interval. However, interpretation of the geochemical record preserved in overbank deposits in terms of recent changes in sediment sources, using the fingerprinting approach, is also dependent upon the establishment of a chronology for the sediment profile. This study uses $^{137}$Cs dateable horizons to establish an absolute chronology for the overbank deposits (cf. Walling and He, 1992; Collins et al., 1997b). It should, however, be recognised that use of recent floodplain sediments permits reconstruction only of changes in the provenance of the sediment deposited by those flood events resulting in overbank deposition.

Sample Collection and Analysis

FIELD SAMPLING

Fieldwork involved collection of representative source material samples, bulk suspended sediment sampling, as well as floodplain coring. Source material sampling involved the collection of representative surface soil from forest and pasture areas and subsurface material from channel banks. Care was taken to ensure that only material susceptible to erosion (i.e. the top 0–2 cm of surficial sources and channel banks exhibiting active erosion scars) was sampled. Consequently, the sampling of surface soil within forest areas was biased towards likely sediment sources e.g. eroding trackways, roadsides and drains, as opposed to soils directly protected by the forest canopy and thick litter layer. Within the Severn headwater catchment at Plynlimon, 30 source material samples were collected, i.e. 10 surface samples from each of forest and pasture and 10 representative of channel banks. Within the Upper Severn catchment above Abermule, a further 30 source material samples were collected, i.e. 10 surface samples from each of forest and pasture and 10 from channel banks.

Representative bulk water samples were collected during storm events from each gauging station using a submersible pump powered by a portable generator. Sampling was stratified to encompass a range of ambient suspended sediment concentrations and anticipated seasonal, inter- and intra-storm variations in sediment sources and properties (Ongley et al., 1981). A total of 15 samples were collected from the Plynlimon gauging stations and 10 from Abermule.

In addition, a single sediment core was collected from the floodplain at Abermule using a motor-driven percussion corer and portable winch device. The core tube had an internal diameter of 6.9 cm and a length of 80 cm.

LABORATORY ANALYSIS

All source material samples were air-dried on porous plates, gently disaggregated using a pestle and mortar and then dry-sieved to <63 μm to facilitate direct comparison with contemporary suspended sediment samples which were found to consist almost exclusively of the <63 μm fraction. The latter were de-watered using a continuous-flow centrifuge and freeze-dried prior to more detailed laboratory analysis. The floodplain core from Abermule was sectioned at 2 cm intervals, oven-dried at low temperatures (40–50 °C) and dry-sieved to <63 μm to facilitate direct comparison with contemporary catchment source materials.

Laboratory analysis of source materials, suspended sediment samples and the floodplain core sections encompassed a range of potential fingerprint properties comprising several property subsets, i.e. trace metals (Fe, Mn, Al), heavy metals (Cu, Zn, Pb, Cr, Co, Ni), base cations (Na, Mg, Ca, K), organics (C, N), radionuclides ($^{137}$Cs, $^{210}$Pb) and other (P) determinands. Extraction of Fe, Mn and Al involved both pyrophosphate-dithionite (Bascomb, 1968) and oxalate (Deb, 1950) methods. Heavy metal extraction used direct acid digestion (Allen, 1989), whilst acid ammonium acetate extracted base cations (Qiu and Zhu, 1993). All extract concentrations were determined using AAS, with either an air/acetylene or nitrous oxide/acetylene flame. Direct determination of C and N used a Carlo Erba Elemental Analyser, and colorimetric determination of total P used UVS after extraction with perchloric acid (Olsen and Dean, 1965). $^{137}$Cs and excess $^{210}$Pb assay involved gamma spectrometry using low-background HPGe detectors linked to a multichannel analyser. Analysis of the depth distribution of $^{137}$Cs within the floodplain core from Abermule identified two peaks. Assuming the lower to be the peak of atomic weapons testing in 1963 and the upper as that relating to the Chernobyl accident of 1986, time-averaged deposition rates were established. Extrapolation of these rates over the depth of the core provided an approximate, absolute chronology (Walling and He, 1992; Foster and Walling, 1994; Collins et al., 1997b). To enable a particle size correction factor to be calculated, the absolute particle size composition of
individual samples was determined using a Malvern
Mastersizer, after sample pre-treatment with hydrogen
peroxide and sodium hexametaphosphate (McManus,
1988).

Fingerprinting Sediment Sources

THE PROCEDURE

The composite fingerprinting procedure documented by
Collins et al. (1997a; 1997b) was used to quantify present
and past sediment sources in the Upper Severn catchment.
Application of this procedure involved:

a) Use of a two stage statistical selection procedure to
identify, from an extensive list of individual properties,
composite fingerprints capable of discriminating con-
temporary catchment source materials characterised in
terms of individual source types, either within the
Plynlimon headwater catchment or in the Upper
Severn above Abermule.
b) Use of a multivariate sediment-mixing model to com-
pare the composite fingerprints of contemporary catch-
ment source and suspended sediment samples, in order
to estimate the relative contribution from individual
source types to the sediment load sampled at each flow
gauging station.
c) Use of the same model to compare the composite fin-
gerprints of contemporary source materials with those
of the individual horizons comprising the Abermule
floodplain core, in order to estimate, in combination
with a $^{137}$Cs chronology, historical fluctuations in the
relative contribution from individual source types to
the sampled overbank sediment profile.

SOURCE DISCRIMINATION

In stage one, of the two stage statistical selection procedure
proposed by Collins et al. (1997a; 1997b) all fingerprint
properties were tested for their ability to discriminate
source type on a simple surface (i.e. forest and pasture
material) versus subsurface (i.e. channel bank material)
basis, using the Mann-Whitney U-test. This statistical
selection procedure assumed that, if an individual fin-
gerprint property is unable to distinguish surface from
subsurface source types, it is unlikely to discriminate a more
complex classification of source types. Probabilities for the
Mann-Whitney U-test are presented in Tables 1 and 2.
The critical P-value is 0.05 and, in each case, most of the
fingerprint properties yield extremely significant probabili-
ties and so provide effective measures for source discrimi-
nation. These results confirm that there is a 95% proba-
bility that differences between the mean values of
these fingerprint properties for surface and subsurface
sources are not the result of random variations. A higher
probability was not selected to ensure that the majority of
fingerprint properties passed stage one. Success at this
stage was a criterion for entering parameters in stage two.

In stage two, Multivariate Discriminant Function
Analysis was employed to identify composite fingerprints
capable of distinguishing source types on a more detailed
basis, involving surface soils from forest and pasture areas
and channel banks. Composite fingerprints were con-
structed using the minimization of Wilks’ lambda as a step-
wise selection algorithm. A lambda of 1 occurs when all
group means are equal, whilst values close to zero occur
when within-group variability is less than inter-group vari-
ability. Composite fingerprints affording comprehensive
discrimination of individual source types will therefore be

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fingerprint Property</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pyrophosphate Fe</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dithionite Fe</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pyrophosphate Mn</td>
<td>0.0046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dithionite Mn</td>
<td>0.0156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pyrophosphate Al</td>
<td>0.0046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dithionite Al</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>total of pyro. and dith. Fe</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>total of pyro. and dith. Mn</td>
<td>0.0173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>total of pyro. and dith. Al</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>oxalate Fe</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>oxalate Mn</td>
<td>0.0539</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>oxalate Al</td>
<td>0.0006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>0.0013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>0.3176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>total P</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.0122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>0.0122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{137}$Cs</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{210}$Pb</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Mann-Whitney U-test probabilities for a comparison of surface and subsurface fingerprint properties in the Plynlimon head-
water catchment.

(critical P-value = 0.05)
Table 2. Mann-Whitney U-test probabilities for a comparison of surface and subsurface fingerprint properties in the Upper Severn above Abermule.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fingerprint Property</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>Fingerprint Property</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pyrophosphate Fe</td>
<td>0.0006</td>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dithionite Fe</td>
<td>0.0056</td>
<td>Co</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pyrophosphate Mn</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>0.0056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dithionite Mn</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>total P</td>
<td>0.0011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pyrophosphate Al</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.0122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dithionite Al</td>
<td>0.0048</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>0.0222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>total of pyro. and dith. Fe</td>
<td>0.0017</td>
<td>Na</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>total of pyro. and dith. Mn</td>
<td>0.0020</td>
<td>Mg</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>total of pyro. and dith. Al</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>oxalate Fe</td>
<td>0.0666</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>oxalate Mn</td>
<td>0.0049</td>
<td>$^{137}$Cs</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>oxalate Al</td>
<td>0.0333</td>
<td>$^{210}$Pb</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(critical P-value = 0.05)

associated with lower lambda values. Tables 3 and 4 present the final results of the Discriminant Function Analysis for the Plynlimon headwater catchment and the Upper Severn catchment upstream of Abermule, respectively. Both signatures yield lambda values close to zero and comprise individual properties from a range of different subsets. This highlights the importance of incorporating an extensive list of potential fingerprint properties with differing environmental behaviour into the composite fingerprinting approach (Walling et al., 1993; Collins, 1995; Collins et al., 1997a). This is further underscored by the fact that, although Cu, Zn, Pb and Ni correctly distinguish 100% of the source type samples upstream of Abermule, inclusion of dithionite Mn and Mg into this composite fingerprint, results in a further reduction of Wilks’ lambda (see Table 4). It should also be noted that $^{137}$Cs and $^{210}$Pb cannot be used to compare contemporary source materials with recent floodplain deposits as the downcore fluctuations of these radionuclides will reflect their depositional histories and environmental decay, as opposed to recent variations in the relative contributions from individual source types. However, neither of these radionuclides are included in the set of properties used to establish the composite fingerprint for historical sediment sources in the catchment area above Abermule, as the same composite fingerprint is employed to interpret the source of both contemporary suspended sediment and overbank floodplain deposits.

SOURCE QUANTIFICATION

The multivariate sediment-mixing model documented by Collins et al. (1997a; 1997b) was employed in conjunction with the information afforded by the composite fingerprints, to quantify present and past relative contributions from individual sediment source types within the Upper Severn study area. This model seeks to satisfy the following constraints:

a) The relative contributions from each individual source type to either the contemporary suspended sediment loads sampled at the flow gauging stations, or to each horizon comprising the floodplain core from Abermule, must all be non-negative, i.e.:

$$0 \leq p_s \leq 1$$

(1)

b) The relative contributions from each individual source type to either the contemporary suspended sediment loads sampled at the flow gauging stations, or to each horizon comprising the floodplain core from Abermule, must all sum to unity, i.e.:

$$\sum_{s=1}^{S} p_s = 1$$

(2)

Table 3. Final results of the stepwise Discriminant Function Analysis for the Plynlimon headwater catchment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fingerprint Property</th>
<th>Wilks’ Lambda</th>
<th>Cumulative % Source Type Samples Classified Correctly</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>0.0796</td>
<td>83.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>0.0013</td>
<td>96.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{137}$Cs</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
An equation (equation 3) is established for each property comprising each composite fingerprint. This equation compares the concentration of that property in either the contemporary suspended sediment sampled from each gauging station, or within each horizon constituting the Abermule floodplain core, with the sum of the concentrations characterising contemporary catchment source materials. As the set of equations pertaining to each composite signature is over-determined, optimization of the estimates for the relative contributions from individual source types is achieved by minimizing an objective function. This is measured by the sum of squares of the weighted relative errors, viz.:

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\{ (C_i - (P_{f_i}S_{f_i}Z_{f_i}O_f + P_{p_i}S_{p_i}Z_{p_i}O_p + P_{c_i}S_{c_i}Z_{c_i}O_c))/C_i \right\}^2 W_i
\]

where:

- \( C_i \) = concentration of tracer property (i) in either contemporary suspended sediment samples from each gauging station or in each horizon constituting the Abermule floodplain core
- \( P_t \) = relative contribution from each individual source type, where \( f = \) forest, \( p = \) pasture, \( c = \) channel bank
- \( S_i \) = concentration of tracer property (i) in each individual source type
- \( Z \) = particle size correction factor (ratio of either suspended sediment sample or core horizon specific surface area to mean specific surface area for each individual source type)
- \( O_i \) = organic matter content correction factor (ratio of suspended sediment sample organic carbon content to mean organic carbon content for each individual source type)
- \( W_i \) = tracer specific weighting

The fingerprint property data for the contemporary catchment source materials are aggregated to provide a single mean value for each individual source type; this is then used in the mixing model algorithm to estimate the relative importance of present or past sediment sources in the Upper Severn study area. As the fingerprint property concentrations characterising each source type will exhibit variation, some caution is inevitably required in interpreting the mixing model estimates. However, the mean concentration values calculated for each individual source type effectively represent values generated from a mixture of samples taken from a range of representative sites within the study area. It is therefore reasonable to assume that these mean values are directly comparable to the corresponding concentrations for suspended sediment samples or overbank deposits originating from a range of sites representing each individual source type.

The particle size correction factor \( Z \) is incorporated into the mixing model algorithm to permit a direct comparison between the fingerprint property concentrations of either the contemporary suspended sediment samples or the floodplain core horizons, and the contemporary catchment source materials. For quantifying present sediment sources, the ratio of the specific surface area of each individual suspended sediment sample to the mean specific surface area of each individual source type is utilised (see Collins et al., 1997a). Mean correction factors are presented in Table 5. The particle size correction factor used in the quantification of historical sediment provenance is calculated using the ratio of the specific surface area of each individual core horizon to the mean specific surface area of each source type (see Collins et al., 1997b). As examples, mean correction factors are presented in Table 6.

| Table 5. Mean contemporary sediment particle size correction factors (ratio of sediment to source type mean specific surface area). |
|---------------------------------|----------------|----------------|
| Forest  | Pasture | Channel Bank |
| Plynlimon | 1.17   | 1.03   | 0.93 |
| Abermule | 1.25   | 1.12   | 1.06 |

An organic matter content correction factor is included in the mixing model for similar reasons (see Collins et al., 1997a). However, this particular correction is not used in the quantification of historical sediment provenance, because the negligible organic carbon concentrations, which could be expected to characterise the lower horizons in any given floodplain core, negate the calculation of \( O \) in equation 3 (see Collins et al., 1997b). The correction fac-

| Table 6. Mean historical sediment particle size correction factors for the Upper Severn above Abermule (ratio of core horizon to source type mean specific surface area). |
|---------------------------------|----------------|----------------|
| Forest  | Pasture | Channel Bank |
| 0.95    | 0.95   | 0.87  |
Table 7. Mean contemporary sediment organic matter content correction factors (ratio of sediment to source type mean organic carbon content).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Forest</th>
<th>Pasture</th>
<th>Channel Bank</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Plylimon</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abermule</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>2.90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 8. Tracer specific weightings.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fingerprint Property</th>
<th>Weighting (W_i)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>0.459</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>0.664</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(^{137}\text{Cs})</td>
<td>0.105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>0.353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>0.200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>0.140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dithionite Mn</td>
<td>0.503</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg</td>
<td>0.489</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

tor employed in the estimation of contemporary sediment provenance is calculated in the same manner as that for particle size; mean values are shown in Table 7.

Tracer specific weighting \(W_i\) is used in the mixing model to ensure that the fingerprint property providing the greatest precision within each composite signature exerts the greatest influence on the mixing model estimations based upon that signature (see Collins et al., 1997a). Weightings are presented in Table 8.

The goodness-of-fit provided by the mixing model estimates for present and past sediment sources was tested. This involved a comparison between the actual fingerprint property concentrations measured in either individual sediment samples or core horizons and the corresponding values predicted by the model, based on the best estimates for the percentage contributions from each source type, respectively (see Collins et al., 1997a; 1997b). Tables 9 and 10 indicate that the mean relative errors are typically of the order of ±10%. This confirms that the optimized mixing model provides an acceptable prediction of the fingerprint property concentrations associated with either individual suspended sediment samples or core horizons. Nonetheless, it is important to recognise that, although a reasonable agreement between simulated and observed fingerprint property data is a necessary condition for a successful mixing model, it is not a sufficient criterion for model verification (Betson and Ardis, 1978). Validation requires sediment source information provided by alternative techniques e.g. direct monitoring programmes.

A further test of the sensitivity of the model output to the variability of fingerprint property concentrations characterising each individual source type involved repeating the mixing model solutions for individual sediment samples or core horizons, using ±2 S.E. of the source material sample means. In each case, the estimates for the relative contributions from individual source types remained similar (a maximum change of 6.0%) and in the same order of relative importance.

Results and Discussion

CONTEMPORARY SEDIMENT PROVENANCE

Figures 2 and 3 present the mean results of the mixing model calculations for each of the suspended sediment sampling sites within the Plylimon headwater catchment and the site at Abermule, respectively. Contributions from the surface erosion of forest soils are highest for the Hafren (76.9%) and lowest for the Upper Hore (10.8%) gauging stations. Enhanced erosion of forest soils is associated with forestry operations at Plylimon and three main activities in particular: (1) construction of plough furrows and drains; (2) road or track construction or modification; and (3) the general mechanical disturbance of the catchment surface (Marks, 1994). These activities, by increasing the surface erosion of forest soils throughout the forest rotation, result in suspended sediment concentrations stabilising well above pre-afforestation levels (Francis and Taylor, 1989). Track and road construction using heavy plant machinery and explosives, road modification to improve accessibility and turning, as well as the provision of timber processing, stacking and loading bays, all promote the widespread erosion of forest soils (Leeks, 1986; 1992). Sediment mobilised by these activities is transported directly to the stream network via road drains and culverts (Leeks, 1992). Mechanical disturbance of the catchment surface is associated primarily with the use of heavy felling machinery e.g. forwarders and skidders. Leeks and Roberts (1987) estimated that such disturbances increase sediment concentrations by an order of magnitude

Table 9. Mean percentage relative errors for the mixing model estimates for contemporary sediment provenance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Flow Gauging Station</th>
<th>Mean % Error</th>
<th>S.E. Mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hafren</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper Hore</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>1.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severn Trapezoidal Flume</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>1.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abermule</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>1.33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 10. Mean percentage relative error for the mixing model estimates for historical sediment provenance at Abermule.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mean % Error</th>
<th>S.E. Mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>2.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
the River Severn are dispersed downstream. In response to this severe erosion, minimum disturbance timber harvesting techniques e.g. cable crane extraction or skylining have become more popular (cf. Leeks and Roberts, 1987; Maitland et al., 1990).

Surprisingly, given the dominance of forest in the Plynlimon headwater catchment, sediment contributions from the surface erosion of pasture soils are most important for the Upper Hore and Severn Trapezoidal Flume gauging stations. Surface erosion in pasture areas has been associated with sheep burrowing (Thomas, 1964) and sheetwash associated with the high energy fluvial system promoted by the local steep slopes (Rudeforth et al., 1984). The surface erosion of forest soils is not the dominant source for the Upper Hore sampling site, as this is upstream of the area drained by this particular tributary which was subjected to an experiment (initiated in 1985) to investigate the role of clearfelling in enhancing suspended sediment yields from forest sources (cf. Leeks and Roberts, 1987). In addition, the Upper Hore itself was planted with forest in 1963–1964 and is a steep, freely draining catchment, with the result that sediment outputs from this now mature forest plantation are likely to have stabilised. Further downstream at Abermule, pasture contributions remain important (30.0%), reflecting the poaching of pasture surfaces and localised erosion during high intensity rainfall events.

Eroding channel banks are generally the least significant sediment source, although a mean contribution of 25.5% and 22.0% is calculated for the Upper Hore and Abermule flow gauging stations, respectively. Severe bank erosion in the Plynlimon headwater catchment is promoted by log jams and debris dams (Leeks and Roberts, 1987), following extreme hydrological events (Lawler and Leeks, 1992), as well as by the crossing of streams by heavy plant machinery (Marks, 1994); the last mentioned problem has resulted in the recommendation that heavy machines should not be permitted to work in streams (Forestry Commission, 1988; 1993). Extensive bank erosion is widespread between Plynlimon and Abermule and is particularly evident at Morfdinion and Maesmawr (see Fig. 1B).

Because a large proportion of the two main tributary
Sediment sources in the Upper Severn catchment: A fingerprinting approach

Subcatchments within the experimental catchment (48.0% of the Hafren tributary and 78.0% of the Lower Hore) are forested, the dominance of pasture contributions to the suspended sediment load sampled at the Severn Trapezoidal Flume flow gauging station is most surprising. However, the small number of suspended sediment samples collected during flood events at the Severn Trapezoidal Flume flow gauging station may not have adequately characterised the typical storm response at this site.

Further analysis of the mixing model results for contemporary suspended sediment provenance involved grouping the floods during which suspended sediment samples were collected into winter (Dec, Jan, Feb), spring (Mar, Apr, May) and autumn (Sep, Oct, Nov) events. Unfortunately, no summer flood samples were available. The contributions estimated for each source type for each flood event sampled within each season, were then averaged to provide the mean seasonal contribution from individual source types to the suspended sediment load sampled at each flow gauging station (see Fig. 4 and 5). Contributions from the surface erosion of forest soils are highest during autumn at the Hafren (82.0%) and during winter at the Upper Hore (13.7%), Severn Trapezoidal Flume (36.2%) and Abermule (65.0%) sampling sites. These trends coincide with the catchment disturbance associated with the logging activities of the Forestry Commission during autumn and winter (cf. Leeks and Roberts, 1987). Although logging activities occur throughout the year, it is during autumn and winter that the downstream impacts become most apparent as it is during these seasons that disrupted forest soils are more readily mobilised by higher rainfalls and stream discharges. The surface erosion of pasture soils exhibits less seasonal variability because such areas are not as influenced by seasonal disturbances. Channel bank contributions are higher during spring (e.g. 4.3% at the Hafren and 26.0% at Abermule) and autumn (e.g. 29.0% at the Upper Hore and 13.1% at the Severn Trapezoidal Flume). Higher discharges, reduced vegetation cover for channel bank surfaces, the greater efficacy of physical weathering processes e.g. freeze-thaw and bank erosion by timber harvesting machinery are all likely to promote higher contributions from channel banks during spring and autumn floods.

Fig. 4. Mixing model estimates for the seasonal mean relative contributions from individual source types to the sediment load sampled at each flow gauging station in the Plynlimon headwater catchment.

Fig. 5. Mixing model estimates for the seasonal mean relative contributions from individual source types to the sediment load sampled at the Abermule flow gauging station.
SEDIMENT PROVENANCE OVER THE RECENT PAST

Figure 6 presents a $^{137}$Cs depth-profile for the floodplain core collected at Abermule. Peaks of 50.0 mBq g$^{-1}$ and 192.2 mBq g$^{-1}$ are observed at the 22–24 cm and 4–6 cm horizons respectively. Taking the former as the peak of atomic weapons testing in 1963 and the latter as the Chernobyl accident of April 1986, permits the calculation of time-averaged deposition rates of 0.73 cm yr$^{-1}$ for the past 33 years and 0.60 cm yr$^{-1}$ for the past 10 years, respectively. Assuming that these values can be extrapolated over the entire period represented by this core, the 32 cm of deposited sediment in this floodplain profile represents between 43.8 and 53.3 years of catchment history (see timescale on Fig. 7).

![Fig. 6. $^{137}$Cs depth-profile for the Abermule floodplain core.](image)

Abermule floodplain profile. A maximum contribution of 48.7% is estimated for the 2–4 cm horizon, whilst a minimum of 5.0% is estimated for the 12–16 cm and 30–32 cm horizons.

The increase in the sediment contributions from eroding forest soils, evident for the 8–16 cm horizons, most probably reflects catchment disturbance associated with extensive afforestation during the mid-1960s. Afforestation with Sitka spruce and Lodgepole pine in the Hafren, Tanllwyth and Hore sub-catchments at Plynlimon during 1963–1964 and the associated road building and insertion of land drains resulted in the mobilisation of sediment from previously undisturbed areas of the Upper Severn catchment. Figure 7 demonstrates that this widespread mobilisation of forest soils continued throughout the 1970s. Enhanced erosion of the disturbed areas was aided by a significant increase in the peak of storm flows, with the typical time lag between the centroid of storm rainfall and consequent peak river flow being reduced from 2.7 hrs to 1.8 hrs. In contrast, the more restricted deforestation experiment involving the clearfelling of 157 ha in the Hore sub-catchment alone, which resulted in an increase in annual suspended sediment yields from 24.4 t km$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$ to 57.1 t km$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$ (Leeks and Roberts, 1987), caused no corresponding rise in forest contributions to the floodplain sediment profile sampled downstream at Abermule. Increments in the relative contributions from forest sources estimated for the 20–26 cm horizons are likely to reflect the continuing impact throughout the 1950s of disturbances associated with the planting of Norway spruce and Lodgepole pine in the Hafren, Tanllwyth and Lower

Major contributions from the surface erosion of pasture soils in the Upper Severn have been promoted by a 30% increase in the number of livestock grazing units to 100 per 100 ha between 1947–1971 (Rudeforth et al., 1984). Intensification has been associated with extensive pasture re-seeding and general improvement programmes during the 1960s and 1970s, as well as recent increases in the use of NPK fertilisers. Widespread poaching of pasture surfaces has been the result (Rudeforth et al., 1984).

Sediment contributions from eroding channel banks have remained fairly stable during the time period represented by the Abermule floodplain core. Peak contributions are likely to reflect periods of enhanced channel margin erosion associated with high discharges during extreme hydrological events, damage by heavy machinery, poaching by sheep or cattle and the circumvention of log jams and debris dams by local streams.

Conclusion

A composite fingerprinting technique has quantified the relative contributions of sediment from individual source types in the Upper Severn catchment both at present and during the recent past. In both cases, eroding surface soils represent the major sediment source, thereby highlighting the significance of anthropogenic activity associated with commercial coniferous forestry and the intensification of livestock farming. Ploughing and land drainage, road construction or modification, as well as mechanical disturbance or the poaching and burrowing of forest and pasture surface soils can all result in chronic erosion. If the adverse effects of forestry and agriculture on upland catchment environments are to be minimised, the sediment provenance data provided by techniques such as the composite fingerprinting approach, must be used to help produce well-informed guidelines regarding the continuing manipulation and management of such areas.
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