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Abstract. Submarine landslides are known to occur disproportionately in a limited number of environments including fjords, deltas, canyons, volcanic islands and the open continental slope. An evaluation of the progress that has been made in understanding Pacific Ocean submarine landslides over the last 15 years shows that mapping technologies have improved greatly, allowing a better interpretation of landslide features. Some features previously identified as landslides are being reinterpreted by some as sediment waves. Previously underappreciated environments for landslides such as deep-sea trenches are being recognized and lava deltas are being found to be landslide prone. Landslides are also being recognized much more commonly as a potential source of tsunamis. Landslides that have produced tsunamis in the past are being mapped and in some cases modeled. The flow characteristics of turbidity currents produced by landslides in canyon heads have recently been monitored and the source of these failures has been identified using repeated multibeam mapping. Finally, some landslide deposits are being dated as part of assessing risk to coastal cities from landslide-tsunamis.

2 Environments for submarine landslides

Lee (1989) showed that landslides are not found evenly distributed throughout the floor of the world’s oceans. Rather they tend to congregate in certain locations that Hampton et al. (1996) termed “landslide territory”. Because of their unique sedimentologic and physiographic character, these areas experience slope failure to a much greater extent than other parts of the world’s seafloor. These locations are as follows:

2.1 Fjords

Fjords with high sedimentation rates represent the environment most susceptible to failure. Fjords are drowned, glacially eroded valleys fed by sediment-laden rivers and streams that drain glaciers. These factors lead to conditions that are conducive to slope failure: steep slopes that extend to 1000 m or more, steeply dipping, fjord-head deltas are being found to be landslide prone. Landslides are also being recognized much more commonly as a potential source of tsunamis. Landslides that have produced tsunamis in the past are being mapped and in some cases modeled. The flow characteristics of turbidity currents produced by landslides in canyon heads have recently been monitored and the source of these failures has been identified using repeated multibeam mapping. Finally, some landslide deposits are being dated as part of assessing risk to coastal cities from landslide-tsunamis.

1 Introduction

For the 1989 International Geological Congress, I was asked to prepare a paper on “Undersea landslides: extent and significance in the Pacific Ocean” (Lee, 1989). This paper followed over 20 years of active submarine landslide research and accordingly, presented a broad array of knowledge that had been gathered by the marine geology and geotechnical communities. With the convening of the 32nd International Geologic Congress in Florence, Italy, in 2004, it seemed appropriate to consider the progress that has been made in our understanding of submarine landslides in the Pacific Ocean over the last 15 years. The progress is truly impressive and indicates, in a sense, how little was known in 1989. Technology has expanded broadly and a new, unexpected level of interest in the field has developed. The present paper considers the improvements in knowledge gained over 15 years and provides a different perspective on the extent and significance of these features.
events, such as occurred in Kitimat Arm, Canada (Prior et al., 1982a, b).

2.2 Active river deltas on the continental shelf

Active river deltas are the next most likely sites for slope instability. Rivers contribute large quantities of sediment to relatively localized areas on the continental margins. Depending upon a variety of environmental factors, including wave and current activity and the configuration of the continental shelf and coastline, thick deltaic deposits can accumulate fairly rapidly. These thick, often underconsolidated and gas-charged deposits can fail even on very gentle slopes \((<1^\circ)\) as a result of storm-wave loading or earthquakes. The locations of the major sedimentary depocenters provide some information on where undersea landslides might be expected on the continental shelf.

Deltas on the Pacific margin that show slope failures include the active deltas on the continental shelf off southern Alaska (Schwab and Lee, 1983; Schwab et al., 1987), the Yukon River delta (Clukey et al., 1980), the Frazer River delta (Luternauer and Finn, 1983) and the Klamath River delta (Field et al., 1982), which failed along a 10 to 15 km front during a magnitude 7.0 earthquake in 1980. Deltas of some of the major rivers that deposit large amounts of sediment along continental margins do not display geomorphic evidence of submarine landsliding, including the delta of the Columbia River (Nittroeur and Sternberg, 1981).

In China, the Huanghe is the largest source of suspended sediment and deposits about \(10^9\) tons per year (Milliman and Meade, 1983). The modern delta exhibits many examples of sediment instability over a large area (Prior et al., 1986). The delta of the Changjing (Yangtze) River, however, does not display large-scale evidence of sediment failure features, nor does the Zhu Jiang (Pearl) River (Butenko et al., 1985; Liang and Lu, 1986).

2.3 Submarine canyon/fan systems

Submarine canyon-fan systems serve as conduits for passing large amounts of sediment from near the continental shelf to the deep sea. Landsliding appears to be one element in the system that allows massive deposition on submarine fans to occur. According to one model (Hampton, 1972), sediment accumulations in canyon heads begin to move as coherent landslide blocks following some triggering event, such as an earthquake or storm. As the blocks move downslope, the resulting jostling and agitation causes disintegration and subsequent incorporation of surface water. The debris flow that is produced displays increasingly fluid-like behavior. As the debris flow continues on its path, further dilution by surrounding water occurs, particularly as sediment is eroded from the front of the flow. Ultimately, a dilute turbulent cloud is created that can have a density below 1.1 g/cm\(^3\). The resulting turbidity current can flow for long distances (up to hundreds of kilometers) at moderate to high velocities. Other models are possible that might allow for nearly instantaneous fluidization of sands within canyon heads.

2.4 Volcanic islands

The existence of giant submarine landslides on the flanks of the Hawaiian Islands has been the subject of debate for at least 50 years (Normark et al., 1993). Using limited bathymetry data, Moore (1964) interpreted irregular blocky ridges extending downslope from giant amphitheaters on the submarine north flanks of Molokai and Oahu as representing giant landslides. The origin of these deposits was confirmed when a complete image of the Hawaiian Ridge was made, during GLORIA sidescan-sonar surveys of 1980's (Moore et al., 1989). In fact the GLORIA surveys showed that the Hawaiian Islands were surrounded by many giant submarine landslides. Further work (Holcombe and Searle, 1991) has shown that the Hawaiian Islands are not alone, and that many, if not most, oceanic volcanoes fail catastrophically during part of their existence.

Some component of oceanic volcanism is clearly a trigger for submarine landslides, but the nature of that component has not been determined. Most of the larger, older landslides seem to have occurred late in the shield-building phase of the host volcano (Moore et al., 1989). At this point the volcano is still producing significant magma and its stand is the highest above sea level. Many factors are present at this point and may combine to produce a trigger. Clearly there are earthquakes and significant gravitational downslope stresses resulting from the great topographic relief of the islands. However, such factors are also present on most active continental margins, but giant landslides are rare in these locations (McAdoo et al., 2000). Many volcanic islands, including Hawaii, build up over preexisting pelagic sediment bodies, often clay. This could produce a weak basal layer (Dietrich, 1988) that might contain excess pore water pressures, although the island basalts are fairly pervious and build over millions of years. Magma pressure in the rift zones has been suggested as a trigger and was evaluated by Iverson (1995) who showed that the zones of enhanced magma pressure are too small to trigger landslides wider that a few kilometers. Ground-water seepage forces provide another possible trigger (Iverson, 1995) but are probably unimportant except under rather special circumstances. Another intriguing possibility is that magma fractionates near erupting volcanoes producing a body of olivine cumulates. The resulting extensive cumulative body has a rheology similar to ice and should flow down and away from the summit of the volcano (Clague and Denlinger, 1994).

2.5 Open continental slope

Another common environment for underwater landsliding is the intercanyon area of the continental slope. Landslides have been reported all around the US and European margins along slopes removed from submarine canyon-fan systems (Lee, 1989; Canals et al., 2004). The observed failures are found
near river mouths and far removed from them, as well as in both arid and humid climates. Ages of the slope failures are seldom known, so we cannot determine whether they occurred under glacial or interglacial conditions. Many were probably seismically-induced because the typical continental slopes of $5^\circ$ or less would be expected to be statically stable, and storm wave loading is seldom a major factor much below the shelf break (Lee and Edwards, 1986). Occurrence seems to correlate with sedimentation rate, slope declivity, seismicity, and presence of bubble-phase gas and gas hydrate, but the relationship is complex (Field, 1981). The continental slope appears to be an area of extensive mass wasting. These landslides are likely an important sediment transport mechanism, pose hazards to offshore development and may be responsible for generating tsunamis.

3 Progress

Research since 1989 has not greatly altered our understanding of the environments within which submarine landslides occur. However, we have greatly expanded our understanding of the morphology, processes, temporal characteristics and effects of these events. Most of this expansion has occurred as a result of new technology, particularly multibeam bathymetry, which provides continuous high-resolution images of the seafloor. In addition, progress has been made as a result of natural events that have occurred over this time span, e.g. the Papua-New Guinea landslide tsunami of 1998 and the continuing eruption of Kilauea Volcano in Hawaii.

3.1 New technology

Locat et al. (1999) reviewed multibeam bathymetric surveying technology and how it has been applied to mapping submarine landslides. Multibeam techniques use acoustic signals emitted from a series of transmitters mounted on the hull of a vessel (Fig. 1). Greater numbers of transmitters and higher frequencies provide more precise bathymetric information. Bathymetry data from multiple sources can also be merged together (Orange, 1999). A typical, commonly used multibeam system is the EM1000® (Hughes Clarke et al., 1996), which works at a frequency of 95 kHz, producing a fan of 60 beams with $2.4^\circ$ by $3.3^\circ$ beam widths over a total angular swath sector of 150°. While the sonar can operate in water depths ranging from as little as 3 m to up to 1000 m, this system is at its best for water depths between about 10 to 600 m. The EM3000®, a more portable and recent version of the EM1000 system, can be used for water depths of less than 100 m. The EM1000 can be mounted on a vessel as small as 8 m (Fig. 1a). The EM3000 and the EM1000® systems are available from the Simrad Company. Similar systems are available from the Reson, GeoAcoustic and other Companies.

Data are collected along overlapping paths to cover the survey area. Ship speed can be as high as 14 knots without loss of accuracy depending on depth of water and ping rate. Precise differential positioning, tide data and data correction related to ship movement are essential. In addition, the acoustic velocity is corrected by a series of acoustic profiles taken during the survey. Examples of multibeam images are given below. If repeated multibeam surveys of the same
area are run, the differences in water depths between surveys can be calculated, identifying mass wasting and depositional events.

In addition to multibeam bathymetry, there also has been development and expanded use of improved subbottom profilers and sidescan sonar. For example Huntec DTS seismic-reflection profiles and Datasonics SIS-1000 chirp sidescan-sonar images (Gardner et al., 1999, Fig. 2) were obtained in 1995 across the “Humboldt Slide”, a feature described as a submarine landslide in Lee (1989) and many other publications. The profiles and images were of such an improved quality over earlier data that they sparked a new discussion of the basic interpretation of the feature; was it or was it not the result of a landslide?

The offshore oil industry has advanced to an even more sophisticated level in imaging geologic features using 3D seismic techniques, Such technology involves towing multiple seismic streamers behind a survey ship. As seismic sources, such as air guns, fire, the resulting acoustic energy is reflected from the seafloor surface and subbottom reflectors. The reflected energy is recorded by hydrophones on the streamers after having followed many different ray paths through a volume of the seafloor. So much data is obtained about a solid volume of the seafloor that data processing techniques can develop slices at any orientation or can follow any reflector surface, e.g. the sole of a landslide. These techniques are so expensive that they have not been applied extensively to submarine landslide research. However, in areas where 3D seismic surveys have been conducted for oil exploration or production and where landslide deposits happen to occur, the processed data can be used to describe the 3D geometry of submarine landslides (e.g. Gee, 2005). A system for obtaining high-resolution 3D imagery has been developed by IFREMER and used in the Adriatic Sea (Marsset et al., 2004).

Remotely operated vehicles (ROVs), submersibles, and towed camera systems have allowed direct contact with and detailed small-scale imaging of the features associated with submarine landslides (e.g. Morgan and Clague, 2003). With these devices, photographs and videos of small-scale landslide features can be obtained and extremely well positioned samples can be taken (within outcrops on landslide scarps and within tension cracks near the headwall). A limitation of ROV imagery is that many landslide features are so large that a close-up of a small part of the feature does not provide much information. Also, the landslides may be relatively old so that a short ROV sample on the feature may not penetrate post-landslide drape into actual landslide materials.

Oceanographic monitoring equipment has become more versatile and reliable. This allows us to deploy instruments in areas prone to turbidity currents and measure their characteristics. An example of such an application in Monterey Canyon is given below.

3.2 Conflicts in interpretations, the “Humboldt slide”

With much improved 3D imagery of seafloor features, we can now revisit previous studies and reevaluate interpretations. One such study that has been reevaluated is the “Humboldt Slide” near Eureka California USA. Lee (1989) listed this feature as an example of a “landslide identified by morphology”. No doubts were expressed as to its nature as a landslide deposit and analyses were quoted that showed that the landslide must have been caused by an earthquake.

The US Office of Naval Research-supported STRATAFORM project (Nittouer and Kravitz, 1996) obtained a wealth of data in the vicinity of the Eel River delta including the area of the “Humboldt slide”. These data included both a multibeam image of the surface of the feature and high resolution sub-bottom profiles (Figs. 3 and 4). Both types of images showed rhythmic beds, a wave-like surface and no obvious head scarp. Because these characteristics seemed unusual relative to those usually expected for a landslide (broken beds, clear head scarp, shear planes), some scientists began to question whether the feature represented a landslide, whereas others felt that the new data provided a better look at a clear landslide feature (Gardner et al., 1999).

Those who described the feature as a landslide (Gardner et al., 1999) interpreted the subbottom profile records (Figs. 2 and 4) as showing a series of back-rotated blocks moving relatively small distances down the slope. The amplitude of motion decreases toward the toe of the feature so that the toe represents compressional folding rather than shear rupture (Fig. 4). The seaward dipping reflectors between blocks...
Fig. 3. Overview of Eel River STRATAFORM area (after Field et al., 1999). Data include USGS on-shore digital elevation model, as well as shaded relief bathymetry from Simrad EM-1000 multibeam system (Goff et al., 1999). Location of track line shown in Fig. 4 is identified.

(Fig. 2) are interpreted to be diffractions from abrupt edges, as opposed to a contrasting interpretation given below that they represent continuous beds.

Those who doubted a landslide origin (Lee et al., 2002) noted similarities between the characteristics of the “Humboldt Slide” and those of turbidity-current sediment wave fields described elsewhere in the world (e.g., Wynn et al., 2000). Turbidity-currents are well accepted as a cause for certain sediment-wave fields. The waves form over a long time period under the influence of a large number of turbidity currents. The exact mechanism for the formation of sediment waves by turbidity currents is not well understood although internal lee waves may play a role (Lewis and Pantin, 2002). In any case, once an initial wave-like surface has formed (perhaps because of an ancient landslide) the process of wave migration and growth is self-perpetuating (Wynn and Stow, 2002). This is because the turbidity currents will slow and deposit more material as they rise over the stoss side of a wave-like irregularity and accelerate and deposit less material on the lee side. As long as turbidity currents continue to flow the waves will build up and migrate toward the source. Lee et al. (2002) suggested that a process like this likely formed the “Humboldt slide” deposits as indicated by the following:

1. The feature contains a series of either sediment waves or landslide blocks. The upstream flanks of the waves or blocks show a more rapid accumulation of sediment than the downstream flanks.

2. Although spacing between reflections may vary as a result of (1), acoustic reflections are commonly continu-
3.3 Previously underappreciated environment: deep-sea trenches

Scientists have long recognized that deep-sea trenches, characteristic of subduction zones, are locations of intense seismicity and irregular topography. However, because of the difficulty in performing high-resolution surveys in deep water, little was known about the occurrence of landslide features along the sides of trenches. Recent multibeam mapping efforts and the occurrence of landslide tsunamis in areas such as Papua New Guinea have shown that trenches are important locations for large and potentially tsunamigenic submarine landslides.

Von Huene et al. (2004) described slope failures in two settings along the sides of the Middle America Trench. Both along the Costa Rica and Nicaragua margins, numerous landslide deposits are found having a variety of sizes and other characteristics. Von Huene et al. (2004) described two major mechanisms that cause slope failures in trenches along these types of margins: (1) subduction of lower plate relief, particularly seamounts and (2) increase in slope angle from subduction erosion. Subduction of seamounts is perhaps the most dramatic (Fig. 5). Off Panama and Costa Rica about 40% of the oceanic crust is covered by seamounts. These seamounts in turn are typically 2–4 km high and 20 km in diameter and are translated into the trenches by means of plate tectonic processes. As the seamounts reach the floor of the trenches they come into contact with the inner trench wall or frontal prism. Considerable evidence, including clustered earthquakes beneath the shelf and local uplift along the coast, suggests that, rather than simply being sheared off, the seamounts appear to remain attached to the underthrust plate for more than 100 km landward of the trench axis. As the seamounts pass through the frontal prism, they cause massive fracturing, changes in relief, changes in physical properties and changes in fluid pressures and fluid flow (Fig. 6). All of these factors contribute to enhanced slope failure. Although the high degree of seismicity in subduction zones probably ultimately triggers slope failures, the slope conditioning factors associated with seamount subduction likely cause these locations to be much more prone to failure.

Subduction erosion is a more subtle effect than subduction of seamounts. Subduction erosion refers to removal (scraping off) of the bottom of the frontal prism (landward slope) of the trench by the subducting plate. When this occurs, the prism rotates into the trench steepening the slope (Ranero et al., 2000). In an area of high seismicity, steepening of slopes tends to increase the likelihood of slope failure. For the Middle America Trench, von Huene et al. (2004) felt that the area off Nicaragua, which also contains numerous landslides but involves few subducting seamounts, may have been partly destabilized by subduction erosion.

McAdoo et al. (2004) reviewed many of the world’s convergent margins, most of which are found in the Pacific, and determined that they vary considerably in the roughness of their slopes and extent of well-preserved submarine landslides. The Nankai and Kodiak margins have smooth, highly eroded lower slopes with little evidence of large, well-preserved submarine landslides. In contrast, the Sanriku, Nicaragua, and Aleutian margins have noticeable offshore landslides. Likewise the Cascadia margin has a number of large, well-preserved landslides but also contains
A. Active lava delta, bench and tube

B. Open mixing: complete collapse of lava bench severs active lava tube

C. Confined mixing: partial collapse of lava bench submerges and fractures a portion of active lava tube

Fig. 7. Hypothetical cross section of a lava delta and bench showing the locations of lava bench collapses and hydrovolcanic explosions. (a) Volcanic sands build a submarine debris slope that is subsequently capped by lava flows, (b) Ultimately the lava bench can collapse completely or (c) partially (from Mattox and Mangan, 1997).

numerous steep (~20°) depositional slopes that have not failed. McAdoo et al. (2004) concluded that the morphology of the trench slopes bordering convergent margins is indicative of the potential for producing large tsunamis.

3.4 Previously underappreciated process: lava-delta collapse

When Lee (1989) was written, the marine geology community was just beginning to accept the idea that truly gigantic subaerial/subaqueous landslides had taken away significant parts of the Hawaiian Islands and deposited the remains on the seafloor over areas that extended up to 200 km offshore (Moore et al., 1989). Some of these landslides are estimated to have had volumes of about 5000 km³, making them among the largest on earth (Lipman et al., 1988). They most certainly would have generated devastating tsunamis around the Pacific Rim. However, the average periodicity for the major landslide events is in the order of 100 000 years, lessening the risk of a catastrophic event in the near future.

During the early 1990's a more common but less catastrophic type of slope failure became better understood around the Hawaiian Islands: the failure of lava deltas built out when erupting volcanoes introduce large volumes of lava into the ocean (Mattox and Mangan, 1997). The longest continuous eruption of a Hawaiian volcano, the Puu Oo eruption of Kilauea, began in 1983 and continues to the present. When lava from the eruption first reaches the ocean, the flows drip over old sea cliffs or spread out along established beaches. The lava is quenched when it enters the surf zone and shatters into glassy, angular fragments, forming a type of sand. These fragments build out a loose submarine slope. Later, subaerial flows build out on this slope, forming lava deltas (Fig. 7), which eventually can build out hundreds of meters seaward. As the flow field matures, a tube system is established within the delta and lava enters the ocean at a few discrete points.

Lava deltas often advance rapidly for a few weeks and then slow as the leading edge moves into deeper water. The tube streams may consolidate into a single, well-defined tube entry. The leading edge of the delta then becomes prone to failure. Cracks form parallel to the coast and sections of the delta, extending perhaps 40 m seaward, collapse catastrophically into the ocean. Such collapses can occur repeatedly, gradually forming a steep scarp bounding the edge of the deltas. Almost all delta collapses lead to explosive mixing of seawater and lava.

The process of lava-delta collapse is clearly a hazard to visitors and provides insight into the formation of the Hawaiian Islands. The mixture of coarse, angular sand and broken lava benches forms a loose, weak structure for the islands, which can ultimately lead to much larger scale failures.

3.5 Previously underappreciated effects: landslide tsunamis

Scientists have understood for some time that coastal and marine landslides can generate potentially damaging tsunamis. For example, the 1975 landslide in Kitimat Fjord in British Columbia, Canada, was triggered by a low tide and produced waves up to 8.2 m in height causing considerable damage (Lee, 1989; Murty, 1979; Prior et al., 1982a). Likewise, the 1964 Alaska earthquake triggered submarine landslides
Features interpreted to be the slumps that were responsible for the damaging tsunamis that occurred in Papua New Guinea in 1998 (from Tappin et al., 2003).

The 1998 Papua-New Guinea tsunami was perhaps the most significant event defining the importance of offshore landslides in causing damaging tsunamis (Tappin, 2005; Tappin et al., 2001; Synolakis et al., 2002). This is perhaps partly because there has been an argument as to whether the tsunami was the result of an earthquake or an offshore slope failure. The maximum height of the tsunami, 10–15 m, is well known as is the scale of damage resulting from the tsunami: 2000 people killed and many villages damaged or destroyed. Also well known is the association of the tsunami with a magnitude 7.1 earthquake (Tappin et al., 2001). What has been in dispute is whether the earthquake itself caused the tsunami or if the earthquake caused a submarine landslide, which in turn caused the tsunami. Onshore investigations of the tsunami height distribution and estimates of the relative timing of the earthquake and the tsunami indicate that the local tsunami source was directly offshore and not the a direct result of the main earthquake rupture. Also, offshore surveys, incorporating multibeam imagery, subbottom profiling, sediment cores, and ROV dives, showed an apparent landslide deposit (Fig. 8) and characteristic landslide morphology in approximately the correct location to have produced the observed tsunami (Tappin et al., 2003, Tappin, 2005).

The 1998 Papua New Guinea tsunami brought many new and enthusiastic investigators into the field of submarine landslide research. International meetings have been held and a variety of special publications have been produced (Yalciner et al., 2003; Tappin, 2004; Tappin and Lee, 2005). These interactions have led far beyond the Papua New Guinea tsunami into reevaluations of anomalous historic tsunamis and a search for large landslide deposits near developed coastlines. If one of these landslides were to recur, the resulting tsunami would likely cause major damage to coastal cities or offshore facilities.

One example of a revisited anomalous tsunami is the great 1946 tsunami associated with an earthquake in the Aleutian forearc. The earthquake was not particularly large ($M_S=7.1$), but it produced a disproportionately large tsunami which killed 167 people (Fryer et al., 2004). The tsunami was very directional and projected its waves along a beam perpendicular to the Aleutian arc all the way to Antarctica. In the nearfield the tsunami had very high runup (42 m at the Scotch Cap lighthouse, Fig. 9) but decayed rapidly laterally. Fryer et al. (2004) suggested that no earthquake source could explain the narrow beam of the farfield and the rapid lateral attenuation in the nearfield. Fryer et al. (2004) and Okal et al. (2003) concluded that the characteristics of the tsunami suggest an earthquake-triggered landslide rather than a purely tectonic

---


source. However, the deposits from a large landslide in the area that could be assigned a very recent date have not been identified.

The search for landslide deposits near population centers that may suggest a future landslide tsunami hazard has focused on southern California, USA, where there are large coastal cities and many popular beaches. Two areas that have received particular attention are the Palos Verdes landslide complex off the Palos Verdes Peninsula and the Los Angeles-Long Beach Harbors (Bohannon and Gardner, 2004; Locat et al., 2004; Normark et al., 2004; Lee et al., 2003) and the Goleta/Gaviota landslide complexes in the Santa Barbara Channel (Eichhubl et al., 2002, Edwards et al., 1995, Lee et al., 2004, and Greene et al. this volume). The Goleta/Gaviota and Palos Verdes complexes (Figs. 10 and 11) include multiple events extending back 10s of thousands of years. The most recent large-scale failure episodes in both locations occurred in the early Holocene and involved approximately 0.5 km$^3$ of failing material (Lee et al., 2004). Locat et al. (2004) estimated that the most recent episode of the Palos Verdes landslide complex involved a catastrophic failure with peak velocities of over 40 m/s, generating a tsunami with an initial height of 10 m or more. Greene et al. (2005) predict that the most recent failure of the Goleta complex might have produced tsunami wave runup in the order of 12 m near Coal Oil Point and Goleta Point, west of Santa Barbara, California, USA.

3.6 New types of measurements are being made

Technological improvements allow us to make measurements that were previously impossible or very difficult. An example of the application of improved measurements being applied to submarine mass wasting events is the recent capture of turbidity-current-velocity-structure information within Monterey Canyon, offshore central California (Xu et al., 2004). Attempts to measure the characteristics of turbidity currents and mass wasting events in action have been made repeatedly over the last 30 years. Some of these efforts have met with success including studies of turbidity currents in Bute Inlet, a fjord in British Columbia (Prior et al.,...
1987; Bornhold et al., 1994). However, many have met with failure, including an attempt to generate a landslide and turbidity current by blasting (Syvitski, 1992). Also, instruments have been installed in deep-sea canyons only to be destroyed or seriously damaged by the passage of the turbidity currents that they were intended to monitor (Paull et al., 2003).

During a recent deployment of 3 oceanographic moorings in Monterey Canyon (Xu et al., 2004), the passage of a total of four turbidity currents was recorded over a 12 month period. Two events coincided with storms that produced the greatest swells and stream flows during the deployment and one coincided with dumping of dredge material at the head of the canyon. One event did not appear to be associated with any unusual occurrence. The records show the detailed velocity structure as a function of time for the range of water depths extending from the seafloor to 60 m above the seafloor (Fig. 12). A maximum velocity of almost 2 m/s was recorded with flow durations of a much as 8 h. Such results were previously unavailable and should provide example data for testing turbidity current models.

In addition to current and other oceanographic measurements, two serial multibeam surveys of the head of Monterey Canyon were conducted fortuitously by the California State University at Monterey Bay (Smith et al., 2005) bracketing the period of the observed turbidity currents (Fig. 13). By differencing these two bathymetric surveys, the investigators could estimate possible sources and volumes of material that may have been involved in the turbidity current flows. The surveys also indicated a field of sediment waves lying along the bottom of the canyon. These waves could be related to the passage of the recorded turbidity currents or they may be related to internal wave or other water current motion within the canyon.

In a related study of sediment transport in Monterey Canyon, Paull et al. (2005) obtained a series of very well positioned vibracores from an ROV. These were navigated within a multibeam image and showed that a veritable “river of sand” exists on the canyon floor. The sand apparently moves varying distances into the canyon on a regular basis during successive gravity-flow events. These sand deposits likely provide a reservoir for the occasional enormous gravity-flow event that periodically covers the associated submarine fan.

3.7 Much improved images

As has been seen above greatly improved bathymetric mapping, made possible through multibeam technology, has revolutionized the field of submarine landslide investigations over the last 15 years. Additional examples are provided by images now available in Resurrection Bay, Alaska, Commencement Bay, Washington, the seafloor north of Oahu and Molokai, Hawaii, and within submarine canyons.

1. Resurrection Bay, Alaska. The community of Seward, situated at the head of Resurrection Bay along the east side of the Kenai Peninsula was particularly hard hit by the great Alaskan earthquake of 1964 (Lemke, 1967; Hampton et al., 1993). Much of the damage and most of the 13 deaths in Seward were related to coastal and seafloor slope failure and the generation of local tsunamis (Lee et al., 2003). Although some information about the extent and morphology of the submarine and coastal landslides has been available for some time, it was not until a recent multibeam survey of the bay...
that the detailed character of the deposits became known (Fig. 14). The resulting imagery shows the front of the major fjord-head delta of the Resurrection River extending completely across the northern end of the Bay. Within the area mapped in Fig. 14a, the fronts of three smaller fan deltas produced by tributary creeks (Lowell Creek, Fourth of July Creek, and Spruce Creek) can also be identified. The town of Seward was built on one of these fan-deltas (Lowell Creek), and it was the face of this feature that failed catastrophically in 1964, removing significant parts of the town’s infrastructure. The remains of this failure appear as blocky debris extending offshore for about 500 m (Fig. 14b). Some of the blocks are 10 to 15 m high. The other two small fan deltas (Fourth of July Creek and Spruce Creek) do not show comparable blocky debris. The local tsunamis created in 1964 must have been related in part to the failure of the Lowell Creek fan-delta and the production of the blocky debris. Other failures throughout Resurrection Bay may have contributed to the tsunamis.

2. Commencement Bay, Washington. The Puyallup River flows into Commencement Bay near Tacoma, Washington, carrying much of the meltwater from the glaciers of Mount Rainier, including large quantities of glacial silt. The rapidly prograding delta has advanced an average of 2 km/10^3 years over the last 6000 years, leading to high excess pore water pressures and an increase in the likelihood of failure. At least two failures (1894 and 1992) of the delta front occurred in historic time (Gardner et al., 2001) under aseismic conditions. The 1894 failure resulted in a tsunami that caused the loss of railway track, roadway, and several buildings and led to two deaths.

A multibeam bathymetric survey of Commencement Bay (Fig. 15) was conducted in 2001 (Gardner et al., 2001), allowing the identification of a number of failure features, including a 2-km-long channel in the southwestern portion of the Bay that almost certainly corresponds to the 1894 failure. The head of the channel (identified as “1894 landslide” in Fig. 15) is 450 m wide and shows little remaining failure material. The landslide debris must have fully mobilized, flowed down the mapped channel, and dispersed along the floor of Puget Sound.
3. Giant Hawaiian landslides. When Lee (1989) was being written, long-range GLORIA sidescan-sonar surveys of the Hawaiian Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ) were being completed, and the great areal extent of submarine slope failure deposits around the Hawaiian Islands was becoming fully appreciated (Moore et al., 1989). The existence of giant submarine landslides off the north coasts of Oahu and Molokai had been suggested previously (Moore, 1964), based on state-of-the-art bathymetric surveys from 1957. These bathymetric charts (Fig. 16a), however, required considerable skill in interpretation and not all investigators agreed on a landslide origin for the features. The GLORIA images were a significant improvement, but they too, did not provide a very great level of resolution. In 1998 and 1999, deep-water multibeam surveys were conducted off the Nuuanu and Wailau landslide deposits (Smith et al., 2002, Fig. 16b) and these surveys show much finer details than could previously be observed. For example, 54 individual blocks larger in size than 1 km$^3$ have been mapped in the Nuuanu landslide and the geometries of the irregularly shaped blocks are well enough known that they can be reassembled in “jigsaw puzzle fashion” (Moore and Clague, 2002). These new results can provide a much better basis for exploring the conditions that led to the catastrophic landslides and for estimating the characteristics of the tsunamis that the landslides certainly produced.

4. Submarine canyons. Multibeam imagery in submarine canyons has disclosed an environment commonly dominated by submarine landslide processes. For example, in Monterey Canyon (Fig. 17, Greene et al., 2000) the
walls are typically covered by a variety of mass wasting features. In addition, the images show that landslides influence the development of the canyon. Undercutting at meanders can cause landslides to occur. The landslides, in turn, cause the development of new meanders, which undercut the opposite wall, generating second-generation landslides (Fig. 17).

3.8 Improved dating

Aside from submarine landslides that have clearly occurred in historic time, there has generally been an absence of reliable information on the age of landslide features. Rather, researchers have often made claims regarding the “freshness” of the appearance of acoustic records to speculate that the feature must be “young”. Such vague interpretations cannot allow us to estimate landslide recurrence intervals and cannot form the basis for probabilistic risk analysis. Recently, some progress has been made in dating large prehistoric landslide features so that a better estimate of future events can be gained. An example is provided by the Palos Verdes debris avalanche (Normark et al., 2004).

The technique used to date landslide deposits is to sample normal sediment above and below clear landslide debris and determine the age using radiocarbon techniques. In the case of the Palos Verdes debris avalanche, Normark et al. (2004) obtained a piston core directly below the most distal large block of the debris avalanche (Fig. 18). Within the sampled sediment, the authors could identify deposits that likely resulted from flows of finer-grained debris emplaced during the debris avalanche. Radiocarbon dating of foraminifera contained in the piston core above and below the debris flow showed that the slope failure likely occurred about 7500 years B.P. Similar dating techniques, which have been applied elsewhere in southern California, indicate that the recurrence intervals for large submarine landslides (roughly 0.5 km$^3$ or more) is on the order of several thousand years (Lee et al., 2004).

4 Conclusions and future directions

This brief review shows that much has been learned about submarine landslides over the last 15 years. Although the principle environments for submarine landslides still remain the same: fjords, deltas, canyons, volcanic islands and the open continental slope, much more information about the prevalence and morphologies of these features has become available. This is mainly the result of new mapping and profiling technologies that allow the production of images with the quality of aerial photographs. Other improved technologies such as remotely operated vehicles and current profilers have also helped to improve our state of knowledge.

With improved information about the seafloor, we have come to reassess the findings of some previous studies. For example, the large feature termed the “Humboldt Slide”, located off northern California has been reinterpreted by some
as a field of sediment waves and not a landslide at all. The
great tsunami resulting from a moderate earthquake in 1946
has been reconsidered as a possible example of landslide
generation. In the case of the Papua New Guinea event
of 1998, controversy has arisen over whether the tsunami
could have resulted from earthquake effects alone or if a large
earthquake-induced landslide was also needed.

Deep-sea trenches and lava deltas on volcanic islands are
becoming recognized as environments in which landslides
are common by virtue of the physical processes they are
undergoing. The potential for landslide-tsunamis is becoming
much better recognized as a hazard to coastal developments.
Earlier landslide tsunamis, such as occurred in Alaska in 1964 and Commencement bay in 1894 are being reevaluated
and the landslide deposits are being mapped using multibeam
technology. Limited modeling of landslide motions is being
undertaken.

Turbidity currents generated by landslides in the heads of
submarine canyons are finally being monitored and evaluated
in terms of measured bathymetry changes. Also, large land-
slide deposits on the seafloor are being dated so that the risk
of damaging landslide-tsunamis to coastal developments can
be determined.

Future directions need to include a better understanding of
the transitions between landslides, sediment flows and tur-
bidity currents. Also needed are more and better case his-
tories with improved measurement of environmental param-
ters such as pore pressure. Models of sediment failure and
flow need to have better ground truth and efforts to date exist-
ing features needs to be expanded. The roles of earthquake,
hydrates and glacial cycles in causing sediment failure need
to be better understood and modeled.
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