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Abstract. During winter 2003 and 2004, zooplankton and hydrographic data were collected in the northern parts of the Norwegian Sea (68–72° N, 8–17° E) west of the Norwegian shelf break at depths down to 1800 m. The results cover both inter and intra annual changes of hydrography and distribution of Calanus spp. For the whole survey area, average seawater temperature down to 1000 m was higher in 2004 than in the same period in 2003. For the upper 500 m the difference was ca. 1°C. Calanus finmarchicus dominated at ca. 75% of the total copepod abundance. Typical abundance of C. finmarchicus in the survey area was 30 000–40 000 m−2. C. hyperboreus was found deeper than C. finmarchicus while other copepods were found at the depth of C. finmarchicus or shallower. From January to February 2004, the peak of abundance of C. finmarchicus and C. hyperboreus shifted approximately 300 m upwards indicating that ascent from overwintering depth took place at a speed of 10 m d−1 during this period. In general, high abundance of copepods was found adjacent to the shelf slope while oceanic areas had low and intermediate abundance. In the southern part of the survey area, location of high and low copepod abundance shifted both between and within years. In the northern part of the survey area where the shelf slope is less steep, copepods was present at intermediate and high abundance during all surveys.

1 Introduction

The essential role of copepods in the marine food web and its potential sensitivity to changes in the physical properties of the the sea has prompted an enhanced research focus on these organisms. In the North Atlantic, Calanus finmarchicus is by biomass the dominating species (Planque and Batten, 2000), making it a prime target for several recent research projects (e.g. ICOS Heath et al., 1999 and TASC Tande and Miller, 2000). Yet, compared to the pool of data available from the productive period during spring and summer, information during the overwintering phase is rudimentary. The interactions between biological processes related to overwintering (e.g. active and passive vertical movement, metabolism) and physical processes of the ocean can act as a strong moderator on the recruitment and distribution during spring and summer. In addition, these physical-biological interactions might represent a prerequisite for persistence within populations of Calanus in the North Atlantic (Heath et al., 2004; Irigoien, 2004).

At higher latitudes Calanus typically enters a state of diapause during autumn after descending to depths below 600 m (Østvedt, 1955; Hirche, 1991, 1996). The winter months is spent living on accumulated lipid reserves at low respiration rates (Hirche, 1996). Enhanced probability of survival due to low temperatures and reduced exposure to predators and parasites are considered to be the main reason for escaping surface waters during winter (Krause and Radach, 1989; Kaartvedt, 1996). In spring the overwintering population ascends to surface waters where it matures and spawns. In the southern part of the Norwegian Sea the depth layers occupied by the species Calanus finmarchicus corresponds to the upper parts of Norwegian Sea deep water, a polar water mass found below and flowing in the opposite direction to the poleward North Atlantic Current running along the continental shelf slope (Heath et al., 1999). During diapause, animals are transported southwards from the Norwegian Sea through the Faroe-Shetland Channel into the Atlantic and then carried back again when ascending in spring (Backhaus et al., 1994; Bryant et al., 1998; Heath et al., 1999).

From a 15 year data series on abundance of C. finmarchicus during the spring and early summer, Tande et al. (2000) showed that the abundance co-varied throughout the entire region from the NE Norwegian Sea to the eastern part of the
Barents Sea. Thus, in periods of high abundance of *C. finmarchicus* in the NE Norwegian Sea, the abundance was also found to be high within the Barents Sea and vice versa. They also found that seawater temperature in the Barents Sea, indicating the extent of Atlantic water advection into the area, showed no clear relationship with the observed biomass of *C. finmarchicus*. Therefore, the project “Plankton Climatology in the Barents Sea” was initiated to seek the mechanisms governing the biomass variability of *C. finmarchicus* observed in the Barents Sea. Based on data from 2000–2002, Halvorsen et al. (2003) argued that deep-water, meso-scale eddies in the Lofoten Basin might provide favorable retention areas for the overwintering population of *C. finmarchicus*. Consequently, it is likely that these meso-scale physical features can influence the abundance and advection paths of the overwintering population the following spring and summer. As the project is terminated, time series data on the overwintering phase of copepods will be available from 2000–2006. This body of data will also contain deep water current measurements from moorings placed in the Lofoten Basin from November 2005 to March 2006. Based on the conceptual model proposed by Halvorsen et al. (2003), the current paper assess in more detail the distribution and dynamics of mainly *C. finmarchicus*, but also *C. hyperboreus*, compared to observed and modelled physical properties of the North Norwegian Sea in 2003 and 2004.

### Table 1. Cruise names, sampling periods, numbers of stations and instruments used during the surveys in 2003 and 2004.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cruise</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Stations</th>
<th>Instruments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PCB7</td>
<td>19 Jan–1 Feb 2003</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>CTD, Multinet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCB9</td>
<td>11–25 Jan 2004</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>CTD, Multinet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCB11</td>
<td>16–29 Feb 2004</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>CTD, Multinet</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2 Material and methods

Field sampling was carried out in January and February, 2003–2004 with R/V “Jan Mayen” in the shelf slope and off shelf areas of the NE Norwegian Sea (Fig. 1, Table 1). Sampling locations were designed to cover the distribution of overwintering zooplankton. For each station temperature, and salinity was measured using a Seabird 911 Conductivity-Temperature-Depth (CTD) profiler (Sea-Bird Electronics, inc., Washington, USA). For each profile, a water sample was collected at maximum depth for on-shore calibration of the conductivity sensor. Each profile was performed down to 10 m above the seabed or to a maximum depth of 1800 m. Due to a broken conductivity sensor causing non-systematic drift in the measurements, salinity data were not available in January 2003. After each CTD profile, a MultiNet (Type Midi; Hydro-Bios Apparatebau GmbH, Kiel-Holtenau, Germany) was lowered to 10–50 m above the seabed or to a maximum depth of 1800 m for collection of zooplankton. The MultiNet was equipped with five 180 µm mesh-size plankton nets giving discrete sampling of five depth strata during ascent of each haul. A flowmeter inside the 0.25 m² opening measured the water flowing through the nets. The MultiNet was hauled at a vertical speed of 0.5 m s⁻¹. After retrieval, flow data were uploaded to the computer. For the surveys conducted in January 2003 and 2004, samples were collected at the depth intervals bottom, 500, 400, 300, 200, 100, 0 m at shallow stations. For the deep stations, one of the depth intervals was defined by the 0–2°C temperature range, hence depth intervals were bottom/1800 m, 1200 m, 0°C, 2°C, 200 m, 0 m. For the survey conducted in February 2004, the sampling scheme was altered using fixed 300 and 600 m intervals giving bottom, 1200, 900, 600, 300, 0 m and bottom/1800, 1500, 1200, 900, 600, 0 m depth intervals. Upon retrieval zooplankton samples were collected from the cod-ends after flushing the nets. After processing (see below), all samples were preserved in hexamine buffered formaldehyde/seawater solution.

Prior to preservation, the biomass volume of each sample was measured after filtering out excessive water. Biomass...
data was used for underway mapping of the copepod biomass distribution. In order to gain more information in areas of high copepod biomass variability, additional sampling was performed in those areas after finishing the predefined stations. Counting was performed to stage specific abundance of *Calanus finmarchicus*, *C. hyperboreus* and *C. glacialis* in addition to one group containing other copepod taxa. *C. finmarchicus* and *C. glacialis* was distinguished based on prosome length, even though this method might be unreliable in some cases (Lindeque et al., 2006). Quantitative species composition within the group of other copepods was not examined further. Copepods smaller than *C. finmarchicus* stage CI were not counted. To map the horizontal distribution of *C. finmarchicus* in the survey area, depth averaged abundance at each station was fed into a meridional-zonal grid. Abundance was distinguished based on prosome length (Fig. 2). In January, the average abundance of the AW was 1°C warmer in 2004 than in 2003. In 2004, more CW was found in the 0–100 m depth layer in January compared to February.

### 3 Results

#### 3.1 Bathymetry and hydrography

The survey covered an area from the shelf break at a depth of 500 m to the deeper part of the Northern Norwegian Sea at maximum depth of 3000 m (Fig. 1). Bathymetry of the survey area is characterized by a steep shelf slope in the south with a drop from 500 to 2000 m over a distance of approximately 10 km. As the Barents Sea opens to the east the shelf slope widens out and in the northern part of the survey area the distance between the 500 and 2000 m isobath is approximately 120 km. Several small canyons intersects the shelf break forming channels from the continental shelf into the deep basin areas.

Four hydrographic regions were defined based on the physical properties of seawater found in the survey area (Table 2). In the surface, the relatively low saline Coastal Water (CW) overlay the warmer and saltier Atlantic Water (AW). A transition layer of Intermediate Water (IW) was defined between the AW and the cold Deep Water (DW). The depth of each of these water masses varied in space and time, and there were transition zones of mixed water between them. However, in 2004 the 200–400 m layer of the survey area contained AW, while the DW predominated below 800 m (Fig. 2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Water domain</th>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Salinity (psu)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coastal Water (CW)</td>
<td>≥4</td>
<td>&lt;35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atlantic Water (AW)</td>
<td>&gt;4</td>
<td>≥35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermediate Water (IW)</td>
<td>0–4</td>
<td>&lt;35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deep Water (DW)</td>
<td>≤0</td>
<td>&lt;35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2 Abundance of copepods

*C. finmarchicus* dominated the meso-zooplankton community in terms of abundance and biomass. On average this species accounted for approximately 75% of the total abundance for all three surveys. *C. hyperboreus* occurred at approximately 5–10% of the *C. finmarchicus* abundance. *C. glacialis* was found in 20 net samples during January 2003. In January and February 2004, *C. glacialis* was found in 2 and 1 net samples, respectively. For all occurrences of this species, the abundance was very low (0.01–0.33 m⁻³). Other copepods (*i.e.* *Metridia* spp., *Pareuchaeta* spp. and *Gaidius* spp.) made up approximately 20% of the total abundance, but less so in terms of biomass. In the following, *C. finmarchicus* and *C. hyperboreus* will be dealt with in more detail.

The average abundance of *C. finmarchicus* in the survey area was in the range 30 000–38 000 m⁻² (Table 3). Distribution of developmental stages was on average 17:80:2:1 for copepodite stages IV, V, female and male, respectively. *C. hyperboreus* was present at and average abundance of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>Jan 2003</th>
<th>Jan 2004</th>
<th>Feb 2004</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>0.00 (5)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>0.21 (6 568)</td>
<td>0.13 (3 891)</td>
<td>0.16 (6 229)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>0.78 (23 747)</td>
<td>0.85 (25 360)</td>
<td>0.78 (29 801)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f</td>
<td>0.02 (470)</td>
<td>0.02 (558)</td>
<td>0.03 (1 252)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m</td>
<td>0.00 (63)</td>
<td>0.00 (16)</td>
<td>0.02 (796)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>1.01 (30 853)</td>
<td>1.00 (29 825)</td>
<td>0.99 (38 078)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>Jan 2003</th>
<th>Jan 2004</th>
<th>Feb 2004</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>0.17 (306)</td>
<td>0.08 (120)</td>
<td>0.12 (289)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>0.25 (438)</td>
<td>0.21 (297)</td>
<td>0.25 (588)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>0.31 (550)</td>
<td>0.29 (412)</td>
<td>0.26 (618)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f</td>
<td>0.21 (371)</td>
<td>0.35 (488)</td>
<td>0.30 (705)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m</td>
<td>0.06 (107)</td>
<td>0.07 (97)</td>
<td>0.06 (138)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>1.00 (1 772)</td>
<td>1.00 (1 414)</td>
<td>0.99 (2 338)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1400–2300 m$^{-2}$ (Table 4). Developmental stage distribution was approximately 2:4:5:5:1 for copepodites III, IV, V, female and male, respectively.

3.3 Vertical distribution of copepods

In January 2003 and 2004, the abundance of *C. finmarchicus* peaked at 600–1200 m depth, while the highest abundance of *C. hyperboreus* was found below 1200 m (Fig. 3), and in January 2003, the highest abundance was found in the depth range 1500–1800 m indicating that part of the *C. hyperboreus* population resided below the depth of sampling. For the other copepod groups, abundance was highest above 1200 m.

A shift in the depth distribution for both *C. finmarchicus* and *C. hyperboreus* indicated that upward migration took place from January to February 2004 (Fig. 4). For both species, the abundance peak shifted approximately one depth bin, i.e. 300 m, over a period of one month. Hence, the vertical upward migration speed was of the order of 10 m d$^{-1}$.

3.4 Horizontal distribution of copepods

Due to the dominance of *C. finmarchicus*, this species was used for the horizontal mapping of copepod abundance in the survey area. In general, high concentrations were found in a band adjacent to the shelf break west of the 1000 m isobath (Fig. 5), but location of high abundance patches along this band shifted between years (January 2003 and 2004) and within years (January and February 2004). Hence, the horizontal position of overwintering copepods was indeed dynamic. However, adjacent to the 1000 m isobath at 70–72$^\circ$ N the abundance was always intermediate or high. Both in January 2003 and 2004, maximum abundances were found in
Fig. 3. Relative abundance for each copepod group in 300 m depth bins during the surveys in January 2003 (upper panels) and 2004. Number of samples in each depth bin are given in the left panels.

Fig. 4. Vertical distributed abundance of *C. finmarchicus* (upper panels) and *C. hyperboreus* during January (left panels) and February 2004. See also Fig. 3.
between *C. finmarchicus* ing the water domains as defined in Table 2, the segregation different copepod species occupied different habitats. Us-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Water domain</th>
<th><em>C. finmarchicus</em></th>
<th><em>C. hyperboreus</em></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coastal Water (CW)</td>
<td>5.18 (0.05)</td>
<td>0.08 (0.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atlantic Water (AW)</td>
<td>7.41 (0.07)</td>
<td>0.14 (0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermediate Water (IW)</td>
<td>61.92 (0.60)</td>
<td>0.76 (0.28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deep Water (DW)</td>
<td>28.86 (0.28)</td>
<td>1.70 (0.63)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

this area. In February 2004, the maximum abundance was found in the southern part of the survey area at 68° N. The western parts of the survey area was recognized by intermediate or low abundance of *C. finmarchicus* during all three surveys.

3.5 Hydrographic distribution of copepods

Results from the depth distribution (Fig. 3) indicated that the different copepod species occupied different habitats. Using the water domains as defined in Table 2, the segregation between *C. finmarchicus* and *C. hyperboreus* was more pronounced. The average abundance of *C. hyperboreus* in Deep Water (DW) was more than twice of that found in the Intermediate Water (IW, Table 5).

For *C. finmarchicus* the average abundance in the IW was 62 m\(^{-3}\) and 29 m\(^{-3}\) in the DW domain. The majority of the *C. finmarchicus* population was found at temperatures below 2°C in January 2004, while in February 2004, *C. finmarchicus* was more evenly distributed among the DW, IW and AW domains (Fig. 6).

4 Discussion

During January and February 2003 and 2004, the distribution of overwintering copepods was measured in the Lofoten Basin, an off shelf, oceanic region of the North Norwegian Sea. In 2003, the survey area seemed to have been influenced by colder water masses than in 2004. The difference in average temperature was approximately 1°C throughout the top 500 m. Even though found at low numbers, the arctic species *C. glacialis* was found in 20 samples during January 2003, but only in two samples during January 2004, further indicating that 2003 was the colder year of the two.

*C. finmarchicus* was the dominant species making up 75% of the total abundance of meso zooplankton. *C. hyperboreus* occurred at approximately 10% of the *C. finmarchicus* abundance. *C. finmarchicus* was also dominant during 2000–2002 (unpublished data, but see also Halvorsen et al., 2003). Hence, this species is indeed a robust indicator of the overall biomass of copepods in the Northern Norwegian Sea. *C. finmarchicus* was mainly found as copepodite stage V (78–85%), but also as stage IV (13–21%). Adult females and males were present at 2–3% and 0–2%, respectively. The distribution of developmental stages was very similar to that found in waters around Iceland during winter (Gislason and Astthorsson, 2000). However, data from the southern Norwegian Sea during winter 1996 (Heath et al., 2000) showed that the portion of stage IV was more important and even dominant at some depths making up more than 60% of the *C. finmarchicus* abundance. Table 3 indicate that the ratio of adult males increased from January to February 2004. This was probably due to ontogenetic development within the population rather than transportation of males into the survey area. For *C. hyperboreus*, abundance was more evenly distributed among the copepodite stages, but dominated by stages IV, V and adult females, reflecting the two year life cycle of this species. This is in accordance with data from the Irminger Sea during winter where stage IV and V made up 50–60% and adult females 30–40% (Gislason, 2003, his Fig. 9).

The overall depth distribution (Figs. 3 and 4) showed that the population of *C. finmarchicus* resided above that of *C. hyperboreus* indicating different habitat preferences between these two species. Further, the abundance peak of *C. hyperboreus* was close to 1800 m suggesting that the maximum sampling depth was insufficient to cover the overwintering population of this species. The fact that the average abundance of *C. hyperboreus* doubled in the survey area from January to February 2004 (Table 4) and that during December, Gislason (2003) found parts of the *C. hyperboreus* below 1800 m in the Irminger Sea, indicated that deeper sampling should be used for this species. From the depth distribution it was also possible to conclude that an upward displacement had taken place between January and February 2004. The magnitude of displacement was approximately 300 m, providing a speed of 10 m d\(^{-1}\) for both *C. finmarchicus* and *C. hyperboreus*. According to data compiled by Hirche (1996), the termination of the diapause in *C. finmarchicus* is to take place at the end of January with a ascent phase from February to March. To meet this scheme, the upward migration speed will have to increase over the ascent period. Since the body lipid of *C. finmarchicus* is more compressible than seawater and due to thermal expansion of lipids, an increased ascent speed approaching the surface due to buoyancy forces, is likely (Visser and Jónasdóttir, 1999; Campbell and Dower, 2003).

For 2003 and 2004, some general features in the distribution of *C. finmarchicus* should be noted: 1) high concentrations occurred in a band adjacent to the shelf break, 2) to the west of this band concentration was low or intermediate and 3) in the north eastern part of the survey area concentrations were always intermediate or high. Even though not conclusive, similar pattern were observed by Halvorsen et al. (2003) during January 2000–2002. They argued that aggregation and dispersal of *C. finmarchicus* occurred as a combined effect of late summer and autumn downward migration and meso scale eddy activity in the area resulting in
a meso scale patchy distribution of the overwintering population. For the water column above the continental margin, such eddy activity has been shown to be a prominent feature (Poulain et al., 1996; Orvik and Niiler, 2002), but in the deeper part of the water column there is a lack of empirical data.

For each station, the MultiNet allowed for sampling in five discrete depth intervals from the bottom/1800 m to the surface. Hence, the vertical resolution must be regarded as crude. This must be taken into account when linking the distribution of copepods to the CTD data averaged over the depth interval of each net. During the surveys in January 2003 and 2004 sampling was not performed independently on the hydrographic properties since one of the depth intervals was fixed to the 0–2°C temperature range. However, from the abundance of *C. finmarchicus* it was clear that the population was found below 2°C during January (Fig. 6), similar to that reported by Halvorsen et al. (2003) for 2000–2002. It was also evident that this pattern was changing during February 2004 as the overwintering population had started the ascent. The temperature range previously reported for overwintering *C. finmarchicus* in the Nordic Seas is broad. During November and December *C. finmarchicus* was mainly found at 3–8°C in the Iceland Basin and at 3–6°C in the Irminger Basin (Gislason and Astthorsson, 2000). Similar, high temperatures was also reported from the Norwegian Trench and the Rockall Basin (Heath et al., 2004). At the cold end, the population of *C. finmarchicus* north of the Iceland-Scotland ridge and in the Faroe-Shetland Channel was found from −0.5 to 0.5°C (Heath et al., 2004).

It is likely that the variability in temperature, at which an overwintering populations is found, is governed by seabed

---

Fig. 5. Map interpolated from average abundance of *C. finmarchicus* (m$^{-3}$) at each station (●+) during the surveys in January 2003 (upper panel) and January and February 2004 (lower left and right panels, respectively).
depth and/or in situ seawater-copepod density contrast, rather than different temperature preferences among local populations of *C. finmarchicus* in the North Atlantic Ocean. Where the seabed does not represent a boundary for downward migration, diapause is expected to take place close to neutral buoyancy (Visser and Jónasdóttir, 1999), even though this state might not represent a fully stable condition (Campbell and Dower, 2003). During January, most *C. finmarchicus* IV, V and females were found at a mean in situ water density of approximately 1032 kg m\(^{-3}\), and *C. finmarchicus* males at approximately 1029.5 kg m\(^{-3}\) (Fig. 7).

Assuming a state of hibernation and hence neutral buoyancy, females were slightly more dense than stage IV copepodites, which in turn were slightly more dense than stage V copepodites. The same pattern was found in a lab experiment by Knutsen et al. (2001), but at higher levels of density. There were no data to support the density found for males. One month later, as the overwintering phase was terminated, only females had moved to waters of lower density. For the other stages, changes in the density of the water in which they were found were minor. Males are believed to be the first to enter the surface in spring (Hirche, 1996, and references therein). However, during February 2004 males were found at higher in situ water density than one month earlier, indicating that upward migration of males started later than for females. The moult into males that probably occurred from January to February (see above), would also have contributed to the increased in situ density of water were most males were found. In the Faroe-Shetland Channel, the first animals that emerged from the overwintering habitat in February moulted into males and remained at 300–500 m depth as females ascended towards the surface later in the season (Heath, 1999). Both in the Irminger Basin and the Iceland Basin, Gislason and Astthorsson (2000) found that males resided deeper than females in April. During this period, only parts of the population had entered surface waters (Gislason and Astthorsson, 2000).

The density of copepods is determined by the relative composition of lipid, water, protein and chitin (Visser and Jónasdóttir, 1999), e.g. for copepods the diapause habitat will be a function of development stage and feeding history. With regard to horizontal displacement during winter and spring, the variability of density among species and developmental stages within species, will therefore cause variability in the spatial trajectories of the population. It has been suggested that a variation of lipid content among *C. finmarchicus* in the North Atlantic can represent an adaption for retention within the circulation domains of the different populations (Heath, 1996).
Fig. 7. Indications of in situ seawater density preferences among the copepodite stages of C. finmarchicus during January (□) and February (△) 2004. Data represents the mean in situ seawater density weighted by the abundance of C. finmarchicus (m$^{-3}$) for each sample. The number of samples are given to the left of each data point.

Fig. 8. Overall pathways of the water masses holding the overwintering population of copepods as suggested by the SINMOD current simulations.

et al., 2004; Irigoien, 2004). For the C. finmarchicus population in the Lofoten Basin, advection to the Norwegian shelf, the Barents Sea and north towards the polar basin during spring and summer will represent an effective sink. However, parts of the population will be drained back into the Lofoten Basin from shelf areas and the Barents Sea as the advanced copepodite stages descend during late summer and autumn. It is not known if this is sufficient to sustain a persistent population in the Lofoten Basin system, but it is likely that the Lofoten Basin represents a retention area for copepods advected to the area both during autumn and winter.

Simulated deep water current data from the hydrodynamical model SINMOD (for a detailed description of SINMOD, see Moseidjord et al., 1999; Slagstad et al., 1999; Slagstad and Mcclimans, 2005) suggests that the observed dynamics in distribution of the overwintering population of C. finmarchicus is caused by interaction between bottom topography and large scale ocean circulation with the North Atlantic Current (NAC) as the major driving force, and episodic events governed by local and distant atmosphere and ocean forcing acting on the target area altering this process (Slagstad and Tande, in review). South of 70° N, the NAC piles up water towards the narrow shelf break and main land of Norway causing a steep surface slope towards the coast. The pressure gradient in most of the water column will be dominated by the sea surface slope and the currents tend to be barotropic causing the water below the NAC to move north, parallel to the shelf slope. North of 70° N the Barents Sea opens up to the east and the shelf slope is less steep. Due to a decrease of the Taylor column thicknesses there will be an increase of anticyclonic vorticity causing the northwards flowing water to deflect westward. The pressure gradient across the shelf break is less dominated by the sea surface slope and horizontal pressure gradients become more important in deep water. The result is an anticyclonic eddy situated outside the shelf north of 70° N capable of retaining overwintering copepods (Fig. 8).

During episodic strengthening of the NAC, water masses below the NAC is effectively transported from the south towards the area of the eddy. From January to February 2004, an area of low biomass seemed to have shifted northwards while an area of very high biomass concentration was observed in the southernmost part of the area. This could have been caused by such an episodic strengthening of the NAC and hence increased flow of deep water towards the northeast. As data from the current measurements in the Lofoten Basin over the period from November 2005 to March 2006 become available, the above model results can be evaluated.
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