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Abstract. A new water mass analysis technique is used to analyse the BATS oceanographic data set in the Sargasso Sea of 1988–1998 for changes in Labrador Sea Water (LSW) properties. The technique is based on a sequential quadratic programming method and requires careful definition of constraints to produce reliable results. Variations in LSW temperature and salinity observed in the Labrador Sea are used to define the constraints. It is shown that to minimize the residuals while matching the observed temperature and salinity changes in the source region the nitrate concentration in the Labrador Sea has to be allowed to vary as well. It is concluded that during the period of investigation nitrate underwent significant variations in the Labrador Sea.

1 Introduction

The Bermuda Atlantic Time-series Study (BATS), a project of the Bermuda Biological Station for Research (BBSR) and the Centre for Integrated Ocean Observations (CINTOO), has been producing high quality hydrographic data on a monthly basis since 1954 and now represents one of the best long-term oceanographic time series available. The study was primarily set up to investigate the biogeochemistry of the Sargasso Sea and its relation to climate variations (Steinberg et al., 2001). Consequently, much of the research done into the BATS data set concentrated on the upper few hundred metres (e.g. Anderson and Pondaven, 2003; Babiker et al., 2004; DuRand et al., 2001; Hood et al., 2001).

Work at the BATS site has contributed greatly to our understanding of nutrient processes in the upper levels of the ocean (Steinberg et al., 2001). In particular, our understanding of nutrient behaviour under different atmospheric conditions has been greatly improved. Hood et al. (2001) suggested that interannual fluctuations in N₂ fixation might be linked to climate fluctuations over the North Atlantic, in particular to the North Atlantic Oscillation. Babiker et al. (2004) suggested a link with the direction of the prevailing winds over the Sargasso Sea.

There has been some work performed on the BATS data set looking at the deeper water properties and the hydrographic history. Joyce and Robbins (1996) suggested that a cooling in the deep layers of the BATS data might be linked to earlier cooling in the Labrador Sea. Curry and McCartney (1996) also suggested this scenario and gave a transit time of approximately six years.

In this paper we concentrate on the depth range 900–1300 m and investigate the question whether it is possible to link variations in hydrographic properties observed at the BATS location to variations of hydrographic properties in water mass formation regions at significant distance from the observation site in a quantitative manner. We use a new water mass analysis technique, called Time Resolving Optimum Multi-Parameter (TROMP) analysis, for that purpose. The method requires observations of temperature, salinity, oxygen and nutrients. Sample collection for nutrient analysis was only taken up at the BATS site in 1988, so our analysis covers the ten year period 1988–1998.

2 Data and method

TROMP analysis was developed as an extension of classical OMP analysis (Tomczak, 1981) when a previous application of OMP analysis to the BATS dataset (Leffanue and Tomczak, 2005) indicated the disappearance of LSW at the BATS location during 1995–1997. The apparent disappearance was accompanied by a significant increase of the residual error. This led Leffanue and Tomczak to suggest that the disappearance of LSW was an artefact of the method, produced
by changes of LSW source water properties that cannot be accounted for in the OMP analysis.

A detailed description of TROMP analysis is given in Henry-Edwards and Tomczak (2006). To apply TROMP analysis to the BATS data, the data set had to be fitted to a uniform grid in space and time. Outliers in the BATS time series were removed, and the measurements were averaged into five vertical bins of 100 m thickness, starting from 850–950 m for the uppermost layer to 1250–1350 m for the lowest layer. The time step was defined by the sampling interval (1 month). A five-month running mean was applied to the time series in order to filter short-term variations from the BATS data.

Tests of the TROMP analysis during its development and theoretical considerations with regard to the sequential programming technique used suggested that the method achieves the most reliable results if any changes of source water mass properties are small and evolve slowly in time. To meet that requirement the data sampling rate was increased from monthly to weekly by linear interpolation.

Figure 1 shows the resulting BATS data as used in the TROMP analysis. It is worth noting the level of variation in the nutrient data; nitrate concentrations in particular decrease at all depths during the period 1994–1997 when LSW disappeared in the analysis of Leffanue and Tomczak (2005). At the same time, the salinity concentration is increasing, and similar though smaller variations in the other water properties are taking place.

The investigation followed the conclusions of Henry-Edwards and Tomczak (2006), who suggested the following sequence of steps:

Step 1: a series of TROMP analyses in which one source water property is allowed to vary across all source water types simultaneously, while all other source water properties are kept constant.

Step 2: inspection of the resulting error fields and analysis output, to identify source water properties which may have varied during the analysis period.

Step 3: a targeted TROMP analysis in which variations are restricted to the source water properties and SWTs identified as likely to have varied.

The constrained minimization method that underlies TROMP analysis has an unlimited number of solutions, and additional sources of information are required to provide guidance towards the most acceptable scenario. We used the temperature and salinity documented in Dickson et al. (1996) for the period 1982/83–1991/92 (Fig. 2) as a guide for the time evolution of LSW temperature and salinity. Additional guidance came from the results of Leffanue and Tomczak (2005), who gave LSW contributions of approximately 40% in the depth ranges 1100 to 1300 m at times when LSW was not absent from their analysis altogether. Assuming that LSW was still present but with different source water properties, it seems reasonable to expect that the volumetric contribution of LSW to water samples collected at the BATS site should remain close to 40% throughout the entire time period. Any TROMP analysis result that did not satisfy this requirement was thus deemed unrealistic.

Four source water types were included in the analysis of the depth range 900–1300 m. These were upper and lower Western North Atlantic Central Water (WNACW), Iceland-
Table 1. Source water definitions used in the BATS data analysis. Temperatures are in °C, oxygen and nutrient data in μmol/L.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Water Type</th>
<th>potential temperature</th>
<th>salinity</th>
<th>oxygen</th>
<th>phosphate</th>
<th>nitrate</th>
<th>silicate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WNACW (upper)</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>36.6</td>
<td>190.0</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WNACW (lower)</td>
<td>9.40</td>
<td>35.1</td>
<td>135.0</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSW</td>
<td>3.165</td>
<td>34.832</td>
<td>305.0</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISOW</td>
<td>3.060</td>
<td>34.970</td>
<td>280.0</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>14.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Parameter weights given by Leffane and Tomczak (2005). All parameters are non-dimensionalised by dividing through the difference between the largest and smallest corresponding parameter values from Table 1, and all weights are non-dimensional.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>potential temperature</th>
<th>salinity</th>
<th>oxygen</th>
<th>phosphate</th>
<th>nitrate</th>
<th>silicate</th>
<th>mass conservation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>271</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>271</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Scotland Overflow Water (ISOW) and Labrador Sea Water (LSW). The source definitions, taken from Leffane and Tomczak (2005), are given in Table 1. OMP analysis of the BATS data set showed that in the depth range of interest, relative contributions for upper WNACW are low and generally less than 10%. Application of TROMP analysis to simulated data showed that source water type variations are only recognized reliably if the water mass in question contributes significantly to the water sample. Therefore, though upper WNACW is still present and its contribution cannot be ignored, its water properties were not considered as possible variables but were kept constant throughout the analysis.

3 Results

A large number of TROMP analysis runs were performed for step 1 of the analysis. In each run a single source property was defined as a variable for the analysis and allowed to vary in all source water masses. The error fields generated in this way were not as clear as those generated for the simulated data sets in Henry-Edwards and Tomczak (2006).

Figures 3 and 4 show examples of runs from step 1 of the analysis and demonstrate what can be learnt from an ensemble of runs (step 2). To begin with, the apparent relative contribution of LSW decreases rapidly during the first two years and remains low during the remainder of the investigation period. It falls below 30% during most of the time at the 900 m level and on several occasions at the 1000 m level. Through our experience with the TROMP analysis, we have found that if a water mass contributes less than a third of the measured sample, the results are usually unreliable. We therefore excluded data from the 900 m and 1000 m levels from further consideration in the analysis.

The results for the 1100 m, 1200 m and 1300 m levels generally follow the same trend in time but differ quantitatively. The variations of LSW potential temperature and salinity are much larger than observed and are certainly unrealistic. Comparison of Fig. 3 with Fig. 4 also shows that the quantitative result is quite dependent on the weights used. Leffane and Tomczak (2004) derived their weights (Table 2) in the standard manner for OMP analysis to reflect the measurement accuracy and spread between water masses of each parameter. In a TROMP analysis weights play quite a different role; they influence the search direction of the line search procedure in the quadratic optimisation (Henry-Edwards and Tomczak, 2006), and there is no objective rule that determines their choice. We performed the analysis with numerous weightings, ranging from those of Leffane and Tomczak (2005) to uniform weights. Our final choice of weights (those
Fig. 3. Time development of the relative LSW contribution to the water samples and of the LSW source water properties potential temperature $\Theta$ (°C), salinity $S$, oxygen $O$ ($\mu$mol/L), phosphate $Ph$ ($\mu$mol/L) and nitrate $Ni$ ($\mu$mol/L) if one source water property is varied in all source water types. Weights from Leffanue and Tomczak (2004).

Fig. 4. As Fig. 3, but with uniform weights.

of Leffanue and Tomczak, 2005) was determined by the quality of the result as outlined below.

The insight gained from the runs shown in Figs. 3 and 4 as well as many other runs determined the conditions for step 3 of the analysis. LSW temperature and salinity were selected as variables, based on our knowledge from Dickson et al. (1996). It was also noted that the nitrate concentration showed a consistent decrease over time in all runs regardless of the chosen weights (compare Figs. 3 and 4), while the other nutrients and oxygen behaved erratically and did not show clear trends. Nitrate was therefore included as a variable in the analysis, while oxygen, phosphate and silicate concentrations were kept as constants.

An interesting consequence of this is the implicit assumption that the Redfield ratio varied significantly in the Labrador Sea during the investigation period. To gain
support for this assumption we performed a simple reduced major axis (Pearson, 1901) regression of the BATS data over the depth range 700 m–1600 m to determine the ratios of nitrate to phosphate and nitrate to silicate at the BATS station as functions of time. The results shown in Fig. 5 indicate significant variations in the nutrient ratios. Though it is possible to think of many reasons for the observed variations, the possibility that changes in the nitrate concentration of LSW may be responsible cannot be ruled out.

Figure 6 shows the final result of step 3 of the analysis (LSW potential temperature, salinity and nitrate concentrations defined as variables). It is seen that the relative water mass contributions remain relatively stable, starting at a slightly higher level before settling on the range 30–50%. The largest LSW contribution is found at the 1300 m level, which should therefore be considered to give the most reliable result. Significant differences between solutions from different depth levels are observed as soon as the contribution of LSW falls below about 30%. We conclude that TROMP analysis requires a minimum contribution of about 30% of a water mass if it is to give a physically realistic result. The only data set that satisfies this requirement for the entire observation period is the data set from the 1300 m depth level.

In contrast to the results of the runs of step 1, variations of LSW potential temperature and salinity in time are now comparable with the observations, and different depth levels
often give identical results. Direct comparison requires an estimate of the transit time from the Labrador Sea to the BATS location. The calculated decrease of the potential temperature is about 0.6–1.0°C, which compares well with the observed decrease of 0.9°C between 1970 and 1995 (Fig. 2). Transit times of the order of 6–10 years place the observed LSW potential temperature in the range 2.8–3.2°C, slightly higher than derived by TROMP analysis. The LSW salinity in the range for the period is 34.83–34.88, somewhat lower than derived by TROMP analysis.

4 Discussion

The results presented in Fig. 6 show that it is possible to achieve reasonable agreement between observed and calculated time changes of LSW potential temperature and salinity by restricting the selection of variables to three LSW properties, namely potential temperature, salinity and nitrate. This does of course not imply that the source properties of all other water masses remained unchanged during the period. But the analysis suggests that of all possible variations in source water mass properties, the ones that had the greatest impact at the BATS location were the three properties identified through TROMP analysis. Many combinations of source water property changes can be imagined to explain the remaining difference between observed and calculated potential temperatures and salinities. Without additional information they would remain pure speculation.

Identifying the most appropriate solution to a nonlinear underdetermined system of equations is a complex task, and more work will be required to develop TROMP analysis into a standard technique of water mass analysis. The present paper has to be seen as a pilot project in that process. Future efforts will probably have to concentrate on methods to find the best weighting procedure, since the weights determine the search direction in parameter space for the minimization scheme and therefore have a large influence on the solution, as demonstrated through Figs. 3 and 4. The finally accepted solution (Fig. 6) displays similar or even larger ranges of time variability but opposite trends, with potential temperature and salinity both decreasing over time instead of increasing, which brings it much closer to the observed time development of LSW properties.

Reproducing observations through a highly underdetermined minimization technique does not add anything to the existing oceanographic knowledge unless it produces new insight in the process. The main result from this study is that knowledge of the time history of potential temperature and salinity in the Labrador Sea, combined with the knowledge of the time history of the complete suite of physical and nutrient data at the BATS location, provides strong evidence that the nitrate content of the Labrador Sea varied during the period, while oxygen and phosphate did not vary significantly. In our analysis the nitrate values for LSW show a clear trend, falling steadily from 16.1 µmol/L at the beginning of the analysis to 11 µmol/L towards the end but recovering to 18.7 µmol/L in the final six months. This corresponds approximately to the time when potential temperature and salinity began to diverge as deep convection in the Labrador Sea started to excavate the underlying North Atlantic Deep Water (Dickson et al., 1996).

The reasons for the change in LSW nitrate concentration are difficult to determine. Interannual variations in the convection depth can lead to changes in all water mass properties (the observed variations in temperature and salinity are generally attributed to that process). If NADW has a different Redfield ratio from the ratio found in the mixed layer, entrainment of NADW can result in a change of the LSW Redfield ratio; but it is unlikely that this leads only to a change in nitrate concentration and does not affect the other nutrients or oxygen. A more promising candidate for a satisfactory explanation would be a change in air-sea interaction processes, similar to those invoked by Hood et al. (2001) and Babiker et al. (2004). Our analysis can only serve as an incentive to look at long-term atmospheric conditions over the Labrador Sea and their impact on nutrient levels.

It is possible that the difference between observed and modelled potential temperature and salinity (Fig. 2 vs. Fig. 6) contains new insight as well. The observations that served to define the constraints for the model were taken by weather ship Bravo. The ship was located at approximately 56°30’N 51°00’W, half way between the easternmost point of mainland Canada and the southern tip of Greenland. This places it in the centre of the Labrador Basin but on the southern border of the Labrador Sea proper, where the water mass formation occurs. It is possible that the LSW contribution at the BATS station was formed in the inner Labrador Sea. The observations taken at Bravo see LSW at the time of leaving the region, when it already had sufficient opportunity to be diluted and warm up from mixing. The result from TROMP analysis invites speculation that LSW at the source may have been colder and more saline than at weathership Bravo. While this cannot be verified in the current context it is comforting to note that the difference between observed and modelled potential temperature and salinity has the correct sign for such an interpretation.

Though originally developed for use in the analysis of oceanographic time series, TROMP analysis has several other potential applications. Tomczak and Liefrink (2005) recently completed an OMP analysis of WOCE section SR03 between Tasmania and Antarctica, which produced five oceanographic sections across the Circumpolar Current between 1991 and 1996. They found a significant increase of the volume of Lower Circumpolar Deep Water at the expense of Upper Circumpolar Deep Water over the five year period and raised the question whether this could at least partly be an artefact produced by variations in the source water properties of the water masses found in the section. A repeat analysis using the TROMP technique indicates that for the
data collected in 1994–1996 the residual error cannot be reduced any further by changing the source water type definitions, confirming the correct choice of source water types in the OMP analysis. Significant reductions of the residual error are possible for the years 1991 and 1993. TROMP analysis is currently being used to determine the most appropriate water type definitions for these years and to construct a time history of Antarctic water mass properties (Tomczak and Liefrink, 2006).

Another possible application of TROMP analysis is the determination of variations in the Redfield ratios. Historically it was assumed that these ratios are constant. During the last two decades it became evident that the ratios are functions of space (Takahashi et al., 1985; Anderson and Sarmiento, 1994; Shaffer, 1996; Hupe and Karstensen, 2000). There is now evidence to suggest that they can vary not only from region to region but also in time (Pahlow and Riebesell, 2000). TROMP analysis could prove a useful tool for the identification of variations in Redfield ratios in space or time.
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