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Abstract

We investigated the spatial distribution and seasonal variation of dissolved inorganic nitrogen in a large perturbed estuary, the Pearl River Estuary, based on three cruises conducted in winter (January 2005), summer (August 2005) and spring (March 2006). On-site incubation was also carried out for determining ammonium and nitrite oxidation rates (nitrification rates). We observed a year-round pattern of dramatic decrease in NH$_4^+$, increase in NO$_3^-$ but insignificant change in NO$_2^-$ in the upper estuary at salinity ~0–5. However, species and concentrations of inorganic nitrogen at estuary significantly changed with season. In winter with low runoff the most upper reach of the Pearl River Estuary showed relatively low rates of ammonia oxidation (0–5.4 µmol N L$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$) and nitrite oxidation (0–5.2 µmol N L$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$), accompanied by extremely high concentrations of ammonia (up to >800 µmol L$^{-1}$) and nitrate (up to >300 µmol L$^{-1}$). In summer, the upper estuary showed higher nitrification rates (ammonia oxidation rate ~1.5–33.1 µmol N L$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$, nitrite oxidation rate ~0.6–32.0 µmol N L$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$) with lower concentrations of ammonia (<350 µmol L$^{-1}$) and nitrate (<120 µmol L$^{-1}$). The Most Probable Number test showed relatively lower nitrifier abundance in summer at most sampling stations, indicating a greater specific nitrification rate per cell in the warm season. Temperatures appeared to control nitrification rates to a large degree in different seasons. In addition to aerobic respiration, nitrification contributed significantly to the consumption of dissolved oxygen (DO) and production of CO$_2$ at the upper estuary. Nitrification-induced DO consumption accounted for approximately up to one third of the total water column community DO consumption in the upper estuary during surveyed periods, boosting environmental stress on this large estuarine ecosystem.

1 Introduction

Rivers play a crucial role in the delivery of nutrients to the ocean, making coastal waters particularly prone to eutrophication, which may cause harmful algal blooms and/or hy-
poxia, disrupting coastal ecosystems (e.g. Galloway et al., 2004; Howarth and Marino, 2006; Rabalais et al., 2002; Smith et al., 2006). Major research efforts have thus been devoted to the environmental consequence of the progressive increase in nutrient discharges associated with human activities, such as untreated domestic and industrial wastewaters, into estuarine and coastal environments (e.g. Gunnarsson et al., 2000; Howarth et al., 1996; National Research Council, 2000; Verity et al., 2006).

Among the various nutrients, nitrogen has received particular attention because of the magnitude of the associated environmental concerns and the complexity of nitrogen cycling after discharge into the aquatic environment (Galloway et al., 2004; Howarth and Marino, 2006). It is now recognized that oxidation of ammonium plays a pivotal role in generating a source of nitrate for denitrifying bacteria. The coupling of this obligately aerobic process (nitrification) with an anaerobic process (denitrification) leads to the loss of nitrogen to the atmosphere. Therefore, nitrification is crucial to the understanding of the nitrogen cycle in aquatic systems, particularly, in river/estuarine systems (Bianchi et al., 1997; Lomas and Lipschultz, 2006).

There have been many examples showing intensive nitrification in polluted rivers/estuaries that directly or indirectly (through organic nitrogen mineralization) receive a large amount of ammonium favorable to the development of nitrification (e.g. de Wilde and de Bie, 2000; Garnier et al., 2006; Magalhaes et al., 2005; Xu et al., 2005). Transformation of nitrogen species from ammonia and nitrite to nitrate in river/estuaries during transportation not only modulates their relative distributions but also enhances oxygen consumption (e.g. Bianchi et al., 1997; Brion et al., 2000; Lipschultz et al., 1986). However, the inter-relationship between environmental conditions and nitrification in aquatic environments remains unclear (Strauss and Lamberti, 2000) and only a few reports, which are mainly limited to the seasonal variation of nitrification rates, include any attempt to elucidate factors regulating estuarine/coastal nitrification (Berounsky and Nixon, 1990; de Bie et al., 2002; Huesemann et al., 2002; Xia et al., 2004).

The Pearl River (Zhujiang) Estuary is one of the most complex estuarine systems
in the world. The Pearl River discharges to the South China Sea through three sub-
estuaries, the Lingdingyang, Maodaomen and Huangmaohai sub-estuaries (Fig. 1a),
via eight main outlets, or distributaries. This estuary is located in what has been one
of the most rapidly developing areas of the world during the past two decades. The
massive economic growth and urban development in this region has led to excessive
release of wastes into the estuarine region (Tang, 1997). As a consequence, many en-
vironmental issues have emerged, such as ammonium contamination and hypoxia (Dai
et al., 2006; Zhai et al., 2005), which at the same time offers an opportunity to examine
nitrogen transformation in human-perturbed estuaries. Unfortunately, available data on
the spatial and temporal variations of dissolved inorganic nitrogen are still limited in the
open literature though research devoted to this region has grown recently in response
to progressively worsening environmental conditions (Cai et al., 2004; Yin, 2002; Yin
et al., 2001; Zhang et al., 1999). Moreover, most of the previous studies have focused
on the Lingdingyang sub-estuary (one of the three sub-estuary complexes) leaving
information on nutrient biogeochemistry in the other two sub-estuaries unavailable.
Based upon the distribution of inorganic nitrogen species and the stoichiometry of
dissolved oxygen (DO) consumption and CO$_2$ production, we inferred that strong ni-
trification should occur in the water column in the upper reaches of the Pearl River
Estuary (Dai et al., 2006). However, rates and controlling factors of nitrification have
not been addressed. In this study, we conducted temporal and spatial investigations
on dissolved inorganic nitrogen and nitrification rates; special attention being given to
their interrelationship with environmental conditions.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Study area

The Pearl River is the second largest river in China next to the Yangtze River
(Changjiang) in terms of freshwater discharge. The Pearl River has three main tribu-
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The annual mean river discharge of the Pearl River is $3.26 \times 10^{11} \text{ m}^3 \text{ yr}^{-1}$, of which 80% occurs in the wet season from April to September (Zhao, 1990). The water discharge rate shows significant seasonality. During the dry winter, monthly average flow rate is around $2000 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$, while, in the wet summer, monthly average water flow rate can be 8 times higher, reaching $16 000 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ in July.

The Pearl River Delta is formed by two funnel-shaped bays, the Lingdingyang and the Huangmaohai sub-estuaries (Fig. 1a). The Lingdingyang sub-estuary, which is conventionally regarded as the Pearl River Estuary, is the largest sub-estuary with an area of 1180 km$^2$ and collects about 55% of the runoff and 46% of the suspended sediment load (see Table 1) of the entire Pearl River system (Zhao, 1990). The Lingdingyang is surrounded by a number of metropolises such as Guangzhou, Shenzhen, Macao and Hong Kong. The Huangmaohai sub-estuary has a surface area of 440 km$^2$ with an annual mean fresh water input of $4.05 \times 10^{10} \text{ m}^3 \text{ yr}^{-1}$, accounting for 13% of the total river discharge (Zhao, 1990). The Huangmaohai sub-estuary is also surrounded by rapidly developing cities such as Xinhui and Jiangmen (not shown in Fig. 1). Between the two bays is an arc like siltation zone with its apex at Modaomen. The Modaomen sub-estuary receives 28% of the total freshwater input. Eight outlets are distributed within this delta-estuary system. Four of the eight outlets, the Humen, Jiaomen, Hongqimen and Hengmen, drain water runoff from the East River, the North River and several branches of the West River into the Lingdingyang, which is a microtidal estuary with an average tidal range of 0.8–1.9 m increasing towards the Humen. The West River mainly discharges into the Modaomen sub-estuary via the Modaomen and Jitimen outlets. The Yamen and Hutiaomen deliver water runoff from two branches of the West River and a small local river (the Tanjiang River, not shown) to the Huangmaohai sub-estuary, which is a macrotidal estuary (Wu and Shen, 1999).

The water residence time in summer is shorter than in winter in all three sub-estuaries. The Lingdingyang sub-estuary has the shortest residence time (faster exchange) among the three sub-estuaries in both wet and dry seasons (Cheung et al., 1999).
2000). The estuarine water is usually stratified during the summer wet season and well mixed during the winter dry season. The salt wedge and turbidity maximum migrate seasonally due to changes in freshwater runoff (Mao et al., 2004). In the wet seasons, the fresh water affects the entire Lingdingyang resulting in a salinity of <20–25 at the surface; while in dry seasons, seawater may occupy the whole estuary with a salt wedge, even reaching Guangzhou (Tian, 1994).

To obtain a more comprehensive view of the Pearl River Estuary, this study not only focused on the Lingdingyang sub-estuary but also the Huangmaohai sub-estuary and off-estuary zones. To ease the discussion, we divided the Lingdingyang sub-estuary into three parts based on the relative distance to the Humen Outlet, namely, Guangzhou upstream (−100 to −30 km), Guangzhou downstream (−30 to 0 km) and Humen downstream (>0 km) (see Fig. 4). Similarly, the Yamen is used as a reference point for the transect in the Huangmaohai sub-estuary.

2.2 Sampling

Water samples were collected during three cruises undertaken in January 2005, August 2005 and March 2006, representing the cold and dry season with low water flow, the warm and flood season with high water flow and the transitional season with medium flow, respectively. During our sampling cruises in January 2005 and March 2006, the monthly average discharge was slightly higher than the long-term average, while in August 2005 the monthly average discharge was 54% lower than the long-term average discharge.

Samples were taken along a main transect as shown in Fig. 1 where stations are dotted (Fig. 1b–d). In January 2005 and August 2005, we expanded our sampling to the Huangmaohai sub-estuary. Discrete sampling was guided by the salinity gradient within the estuarine mixing zone and by distance when no salinity changes occurred at the upstream Humen in the wet season. At selected stations, we took incubation samples to determine nitrification rates. These stations are numbered in Fig. 1b. It should be noted that Stations 1–2 and Station 4 are located in the main channel of
the upstream Humen, and Stations 3 and 5 are located at the branches of the lower East River. Station 6 is located in the downstream Humen of the upper Lingdingyang sub-estuary and Station 7 is located upstream of the Yamen Outlet (Fig. 1).

Water column samples for nutrients, bacterial abundance, nitrifying bacteria and pH were collected using 2.5 L Go-Flo bottles. Surface samples for nitrifying bacteria were taken with a clean pumping system equipped with a FloJet® pump and Teflon lined tubing. Surface samples for nutrients were collected using the same system with the addition of on-line cartridge filters (pore size $\sim 1 \mu m$).

### 2.3 Incubation experiments

To determine nitrification rates, on-deck incubation was carried out in 4 L narrow neck amber glass bottles using an inhibitor technique, which has been widely used to measure nitrification rates in coastal marine environments (Bianchi et al., 1997; de Bie et al., 2002; Feray et al., 1999). Water samples were homogenized in a 20-L pre-cleaned polyethylene container, and then dispensed into the incubation bottles. One aliquot was incubated with the addition of allythiourea (ATU, at 100 mg L$^{-1}$), which inhibits the oxidation of ammonia (NH$_3$) to nitrite (NO$_2^-$). Another aliquot was incubated by adding NaClO$_3$ (10 mg L$^{-1}$) to inhibit oxidation of NO$_2^-$ to nitrate (NO$_3^-$). A third aliquot acted as a control, without adding any inhibitors. The concentrations of both inhibitors were optimized based on a set of pre-experiments both in the laboratory and on site (data not shown). The experiments were carried out on deck with running through water to maintain the in situ water temperature. Sub-samples were taken out at 4–8 h intervals for analyzing nutrients. Nitrification rates were estimated from the evolution of nutrient concentrations in incubation bottles during the exponential phase of increase or decrease in NO$_2^-$ (Bianchi et al., 1992). Bulk oxygen consumption rates were determined as previously described in Dai et al. (2006).
2.4 Analyses

2.4.1 Nutrients

Samples were stored at −20°C until analysis except for NH$_4^+$, which was analyzed on deck with the indophenol blue spectrophotometric method (Pai et al., 2001). NO$_2^-$ and NO$_3^-$ measurements were run in our land-based laboratory at Xiamen University according to classic colorimetric methods with a Technicon AA3 Auto-Analyzer (Bran-Lube). The detection limits for NO$_2^-$, NO$_3^-$ and NH$_4^+$ were 0.02, 0.07 and 0.16 µmol L$^{-1}$, respectively.

2.4.2 Abundance of nitrifying bacteria

The abundance of nitrifying bacteria (nitrifiers) was determined using the most probable number (MPN)-Griess technique (Abril et al., 2000; Man, 1975; Pauer and Auer, 2000). Prior to incubation, all the tubes, the culture media, and the NaCl solution (0.9%, for dilution) were sterilized in an autoclave at 120°C for 20 min. The media that we used were identical to those used by Chen and Zheng (1985), which dissolve 2.0 g of (NH$_4$)$_2$SO$_4$, 1 g of K$_2$HPO$_4$, 0.5 g of MgSO$_4$, 2 g of NaCl, 0.4 g of FeSO$_4$ and 5g of CaCO$_3$ in 1 L of distilled water. The pH of the media solution was adjusted to 7.2. Samples were diluted to a different degree (from $10^{-1}$ to $10^{-7}$) using sterilized NaCl solution. One mL of inoculum with a different degree of dilution was then added to a series of four tubes containing 9 mL of the culture media. These tubes were then incubated for 45–60 days at 28±2°C in the dark. Finally, Griess reagent and diphenylamine were applied to examine whether nitrite or nitrate was formed. The abundance of nitrifiers was estimated based on classic MPN statistics (Man, 1975).

This MPN-Griess technique has been widely used and it is capable of diagnosing the existence of nitrifiers and estimating bacterial densities (e.g. Diab et al., 1993; Koops and Pommerening-Roser, 2001; Pauer and Auer, 2000). It should be noted that the MPN-Griess method has been criticized for its potential underestimation of bacterial
populations (Belser, 1979). However, when compared with the immunofluorescence technique or MPN-PCR method, it is considered to lead to an insignificant underestimation of cell counts (Feray et al., 1999; Malhautier et al., 1998). The MPN-Griess technique is also considered to be advantageous for enumerating the autotrophic nitrifying community because many defects may exist in other methods (Feray et al., 1999; Konuma et al., 2001). We have conducted a series of laboratory experiments using model nitrifiers and the results revealed a good consistency of cell abundances in duplicate samples. Standard deviations between replicates were 30%, 38% and 21% for cell ranges of <50 cell mL\(^{-1}\), >100 cell mL\(^{-1}\), and >1000 cell mL\(^{-1}\), respectively. Replicates were also taken for field samples in March 2006. Results showed that the standard deviation for field samples was better than 40%.

2.4.3 Temperature, salinity, DO and pH

Temperature and salinity were measured continuously using a YSI 6600 multi-parameter meter fitted in the underway measurement system described in Zhai et al. (2005) and Dai et al. (2006). Discrete DO was measured on board using the Winkler method. pH was measured on board within 4 h of sampling with a Corning® 350 pH/ions analyzer and Orion Ross combination pH electrode calibrated against 3 NIST traceable buffers.

3 Results

3.1 Spatial-temporal distributions of inorganic nutrients and DO

Table 2 summarizes chemical data collected in this study and reported in the literature at (or near) the freshwater endmembers (the Humen and Yamen outlets) of the Pearl River. All the data listed in Table 2 (except that in January 2005 at the upstream Yamen) were measured under a salinity <5. Wide concentration ranges at the freshwater
endmembers were noticeable for all nutrients and DO in the study area (Figs. 3 and 4). The highly variable endmember concentrations were apparently influenced by the seasonal variation of river discharge, which had profound effects on the supply of organics and nutrients as well as the water mixing of the estuary.

The maximum NO$_3^-$ concentrations observed in this study were 190 µmol L$^{-1}$ in January 2005, 260 µmol L$^{-1}$ in March 2006 and 110 µmol L$^{-1}$ in August 2005. PO$_4^{3-}$ was overall at a level of 1 µmol L$^{-1}$ with the maximum concentration (5.6 µmol L$^{-1}$) observed in August 2005 at the upstream Humen. These very high NO$_3^-$ and considerably low PO$_4^{3-}$ concentrations are characteristic of the Pearl River as compared to other world major rivers (Cai et al., 2004; Yin et al., 2001; Zhang et al., 1999). Observed N/P ratios (total dissolved inorganic nitrogen to phosphate) in the upper reaches of the Pearl River Estuary ranged from 470–510 in January 2005 to 300–470 in March 2006, and 70–230 in August 2005 showing a significant seasonal variability with higher N/P ratios in the dry winter. This N/P ratio declined seaward (not shown), reaching ~80–100 in the vicinity of the Humen in both winter and summer, similar to what was previously documented (Cai et al., 2004; Dai et al., 2006; Zhang et al., 1999). Silicate ranged between 50 and 150 µmol L$^{-1}$, which is comparable with historical data (Cai et al., 2004; Dai et al., 2006; Lin et al., 1985; Zhang et al., 1999).

For the upstream Humen, extremely high NH$_4^+$ concentration (>800 µmol L$^{-1}$) was observed in January 2005, but it was lower (~560 µmol L$^{-1}$) in March 2006 and dropped to ~340 µmol L$^{-1}$ in August 2005. For comparison, NH$_4^+$ at the Yamen was considerably lower, ranging from a few µmol L$^{-1}$ in August 2005 to <50 µmol L$^{-1}$ in January 2005, revealing a similar seasonal pattern. The NH$_4^+$ decreasing tendency from dry to wet seasons was likely to be due to freshwater dilution. It must be pointed out that these high levels of NH$_4^+$ were observed in the upstream Humen, in the Guangzhou section (see Fig. 3) of the Pearl River, which has been directly impacted by regional waste discharge. This waste discharge has been increased due to regional development that began ~20 years ago. This is manifested in Fig. 2 which clearly shows the
increase in discharges of total wastewater, chemical oxygen demand, and ammoniacal nitrogen, which began to be intensified in the new century.

The spatial distributions of \( \text{NH}_4^+ \), \( \text{NO}_2^- \) and \( \text{NO}_3^- \) and DO during different cruises are demonstrated, respectively, in Fig. 3b–e for the Lingdingyang sub-estuary and in Fig. 3g–j for the Huangmaohai sub-estuary. Concentrations against salinity along the two transects are presented, respectively, in Fig. 4a–d and Fig. 4e–h. In the dry season (January 2005) with low freshwater input, surface salinity could be as high as 18 in the vicinity of the Humen Outlet (similar to February 2004 reported in Dai et al., 2006). By contrast, surface salinity was <1 at the same station even at high tide in August 2005 and ~2 in March 2006 (Fig. 3a). A similar salinity pattern was observed in the vicinity of the Yamen Outlet (Fig. 3f), which was ~0 and ~13 in August 2005 and January 2005, respectively. During low flow, seawater apparently intruded landward and this seawater intrusion supplied DO-rich seawater to the upstream area (Fig. 3b and g).

Sampling in all cruises covered a full range of salinity from 0 to >30. Over such a wide range, sampling from the upstream Guangzhou to the downstream Humen (left panels in Fig. 3), covered total DIN ranges of 90–1000 \( \mu \text{mol L}^{-1} \), 40–700 \( \mu \text{mol L}^{-1} \) and 0–380 \( \mu \text{mol L}^{-1} \) in January 2005, March 2006 and August 2005, respectively. Short water residence time and larger freshwater dilution may have accounted for this overall lower total DIN in August. Yet, a few peaks of \( \text{NH}_4^+ \), \( \text{NO}_2^- \) and \( \text{NO}_3^- \) appeared at the upper reach above the Humen. Occurrence of those peaks was related to branch or local sewage inputs from major cities, and meanwhile, their locations may have been affected by the tidal motion superimposed by the complex geometry of the Lingdingyang sub-estuary (Fig. 3). Low-nutrient and DO-rich seawater mixing accounted for the significant seaward-increasing trend in DO and seaward-decreasing trend in all nitrogen species. High levels of inorganic nitrogen and similar distribution patterns have been observed in the hypoxic area of the polluted Scheldt and the Seine estuaries (Abril and Frankignoulle, 2001; de Wilde and de Bie, 2000; Garnier et al., 2001).

In all seasons, \( \text{NH}_4^+ \) was the dominant species of inorganic nitrogen in the upper reach of the Lingdingyang sub-estuary. In both Fig. 3 and Fig. 4, we see that \( \text{NH}_4^+ \)
dropped rapidly against salinity following the typical removal curve. This removal was associated with an increase in NO$_3^-$ concentration. The relative abundance of nitrate became important seaward, reaching a concentration equivalent with that of NH$_4^+$ at a salinity $\sim$10 (Fig. 4b–d). The maximum NO$_2^-$ concentration was 65 $\mu$mol L$^{-1}$ at Station 1 in January 2005 and it decreased seaward as salinity increased. In March 2006 and August 2005, the highest NO$_2^-$ concentrations appeared at the sites where branches of the East River merge to the main channel (Fig. 3e). In March 2006, NO$_2^-$ concentration was lowest, with a maximum of 30 $\mu$mol L$^{-1}$, although the concentrations of NH$_4^+$ and NO$_3^-$ were not the lowest among the seasons surveyed.

Surface DO was $>$6 mg L$^{-1}$ in the vicinity of the Humen Outlet (Station 6) and decreased upstream in January 2005. At Station 2, DO was $<$1 mg L$^{-1}$, similar to what was reported for February 2004 (Dai et al., 2006). In August 2005, surface DO ranged from $\sim$4.5 mg L$^{-1}$ at Station 6 to near zero at Station 2. Among the three cruises, the low DO area was largest in March 2006 (Fig. 3b). The most oxygen depleted zone (always $<$3 mg L$^{-1}$) was between Station 4 and Station 2, where branches of the East River merge into the main channel of the Pearl River. DO concentration remained at a level of 1–2 mg L$^{-1}$ at the upstream Humen in March 2006. DO concentration between Station 1 and Station 2 was slightly higher than at the area between Station 2 and Station 4 in the summer cruise, implying the input of oxygenated freshwater. It is interesting to note that in March 2006, DO concentrations in areas around Station 6 were the lowest among the three seasons. In all three seasons, DO concentration along the salinity gradient of the estuary ranged from hypoxic conditions at low salinity to nearly saturated in the Lingdingyang. Saturation was overall lower in summer due mainly to the higher water temperature. It should also be noted that DO at salinity $\sim$15 in August 2005 was very high (Fig. 4a), likely due to a regional algae bloom, where partial pressure of CO$_2$ ($pCO_2$) was as low as 180 $\mu$atm (Dai, unpublished data) as a result of enhanced photosynthesis. During all seasons, estuarine water upstream of the Humen was well mixed but stratified downstream as seen from the salinity and temperature vertical distributions as well as from the vertical profiles of both DO and
nutrients (data not shown).

In the Huangmaohai sub-estuary (right panels in Fig. 3), DO concentrations mostly remained $>\sim 6 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ in the upstream Yamen (Figs. 3g, 4e); yet, lower DO concentrations were found seaward around the sand bars (see Fig. 1b, c), which might be caused by local circulation and re-suspension. Similar to what was observed in the Lingdingyang sub-estuary, all inorganic nitrogen concentrations decreased with increasing salinity in the Huangmaohai sub-estuary, yet concentrations were much lower and the distribution pattern was much simpler due to less sewage input. In the Huangmaohai sub-estuary, both NO$_3^-$ and NH$_4^+$ followed theoretical conservative mixing (Fig. 4f–g). Compared to the winter cruise, NH$_4^+$ concentrations in August 2005 were much lower ($<5 \mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$) due to runoff dilution; however, NO$_3^-$ concentrations were slightly higher. This inverse trend is attributable to the enhanced nitrification process and runoff dilution in summer. Contrasting to the upstream Humen, nitrate was the dominant species of inorganic nitrogen in the upper reaches of the Huangmaohai sub-estuary (Fig. 3h–j). This pattern should be due to the higher oxygen content in the water column.

3.2 Nitrifying activity and nitrifiers

Figure 5 shows an example of the evolution of NO$_2^-$, NH$_4^+$ and NO$_3^-$ during the incubation experiment for the determination of nitrification rates. This example sample was taken from the surface at Station 2 in August 2005. With the addition of ATU, and hence an inhibition of NH$_4^+$ oxidation, this sample showed a linear decrease in NO$_2^-$ with an increase in NO$_3^-$ while NH$_4^+$ stayed constant (Fig. 5a). This suggests the production of NO$_3^-$ from NO$_2^-$. In contrast, there was a linear NH$_4^+$ decrease and NO$_2^-$ increase with no change of NO$_3^-$ in the sample with NaClO$_3$ added, indicating that NO$_2^-$ oxidation was efficiently blocked by NaClO$_3$ as expected (Fig. 5b). On the other hand, decreases in both NO$_2^-$ and NH$_4^+$ and an increase in NO$_3^-$ appeared due to the oxidation sequence from NH$_4^+$ to NO$_2^-$ and then to NO$_3^-$ in the control sample (Fig. 5c). The
changing rate of \( \text{NH}_4^+ \) in the control was similar to the sample with \( \text{NaClO}_3 \) added while the rate of \( \text{NO}_2^- \) change in the control apparently represented the difference resulting from the two reactions. It should be noted that \( \text{NO}_2^- \) in the control showed nearly no change at the beginning of the incubation due to the fact that the changing rate of \( \text{NO}_2^- \) in the \( \text{NaClO}_3 \) added sample was almost equal to that in the ATU added sample. After this initial stage, \( \text{NO}_2^- \) in the control decreased when most of the \( \text{NH}_4^+ \) was consumed. Throughout the incubation experiment, all three species of inorganic nitrogen presented a constant sum (data not shown) indicating that a mass balance was reached during the transformation among nitrogen species, which strongly supported that our incubation experiments and analytical measurements were in order. The \( \text{NH}_4^+ \) and \( \text{NO}_2^- \) oxidation rates can therefore be estimated from the linear regression of nitrite in \( \text{NaClO}_3 \) or ATU added samples, respectively. The incubation experiment suggested a decline of the nitrification rate after 60 h, and so the last data point was excluded from the rate calculation.

Table 3 lists all the nitrification rates from the incubation experiments and concurrent inorganic nitrogen concentrations during sampling. The \( \text{NH}_4^+ \) oxidation rate ranged from 0 to 5.4 \( \mu \text{mol N L}^{-1} \text{ d}^{-1} \) in January 2005; 0.1 to 14.8 \( \mu \text{mol N L}^{-1} \text{ d}^{-1} \) in March 2006; and 1.5 to 33.1 \( \mu \text{mol N L}^{-1} \text{ d}^{-1} \) in August 2005. Similarly, the \( \text{NO}_2^- \) oxidation rate ranged from 0 to 5.2 \( \mu \text{mol N L}^{-1} \text{ d}^{-1} \) in January 2005; 0.2 to 5.2 \( \mu \text{mol N L}^{-1} \text{ d}^{-1} \) in March 2006; and 0.6 to 32.0 \( \mu \text{mol N L}^{-1} \text{ d}^{-1} \) in August 2005. The maximum nitrification rate appeared at Station 2. \( \text{NH}_4^+ \) and \( \text{NO}_2^- \) oxidation rates varied concomitantly showing similar spatial distributional patterns in all seasons. It is noticeable that the \( \text{NH}_4^+ \) oxidation rate was higher than the \( \text{NO}_2^- \) oxidation rate at most stations regardless of season. Such difference may cause \( \text{NO}_2^- \) accumulations. The nitrification activity at the end of the south branch of the East River (Station 5) was high, and the \( \text{NH}_4^+ \) oxidation rate reached 5.9 \( \mu \text{mol N L}^{-1} \text{ d}^{-1} \) in March 2006 and 33.1 \( \mu \text{mol N L}^{-1} \text{ d}^{-1} \) in August 2005. At Station 2, \( \text{NH}_4^+ \) and \( \text{NO}_2^- \) oxidation rates showed insignificant differences between bottom and surface samples. Similarly, hydro-chemical parameters showed insignificant
differences in depth profiles (data not shown), suggesting that nitrification took place throughout the water column.

At Station 2, the highest NH$_4^+$ oxidation rate occurred in August 2005, ~2–3 times higher than that in March 2006, and ~5–6 times higher than that in January 2005. The nitrite oxidation rate did not show such a significant sequence. At Station 6 in the upper Lingdingyang, the nitrification rate peaked in March 2006 rather than in August 2005, when the nitrification rate reached 12.5 µmol N L$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$ for NH$_4^+$ oxidation and 5.0 µmol N L$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$ for NO$_2^-$ oxidation. In comparison, nitrification activities at Station 7 in the Yamen upstream of the Huangmaohai sub-estuary showed a very low rate in August 2005 (Table 3). Figure 6c presents the sectional nitrification rates, classifying the study area into the upstream Guangzhou, downstream Guangzhou, and downstream Humen for the Lingdingyang sub-estuary; and the result from the upstream Yamen is also shown. The mean nitrification rates declined gradually seaward from the upstream Guangzhou, the region most impacted by regional wastewater discharges.

Compared to other estuaries in the world with low NH$_4^+$ concentrations, the nitrification rate in the Pearl River Estuary is high. For example, in the Rhone River plume, a nitrification rate of 0.2–2.2 µmol N L$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$ at NH$_4^+$ ~1–10 µmol L$^{-1}$ is reported (Bianchi et al., 1997). Similarly in the Tamar Estuary with NH$_4^+$ ~5 µmol L$^{-1}$, a nitrification rate of up to 3 µmol L$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$ is reported (Owens, 1986). In Narragansett Bay, this level is 0.9–11.0 µmol N L$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$ at NH$_4^+$ ~45 µmol L$^{-1}$ (Berounsky and Nixon, 1990). However, nitrification rates in the Pearl River Estuary are lower than estuaries with high NH$_4^+$ concentrations, such as in the Scheldt Estuary, where the nitrification rate is reported to be ~45–80 µmol N L$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$ at a NH$_4^+$ concentration level of 150–500 µmol L$^{-1}$ (de Bie et al., 2002; Somville, 1984). Elsewhere in the turbid water and fluid mud of the Gironde Estuary, the potential nitrification rate is very high, reaching 240–336 µmol N L$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$, although the NH$_4^+$ concentration in the fluid mud is <15 µmol L$^{-1}$ (Abril et al., 2000). This may suggest that nitrification reactions are also modulated by other environmental factors in addition to NH$_4^+$ being the primary substrate. This will be further addressed in the Discussion section.
The abundance of nitrifying bacteria in the water column of the Pearl River Estuary ranged between 2 and 2500 cells mL\(^{-1}\), 3–3000 cells mL\(^{-1}\) and 2–1150 cells mL\(^{-1}\), respectively, in January 2005, March 2006 and August 2005 (Fig. 6). The density of nitrifying bacteria was overall high in spring (March 2006) and low in summer (August 2005), while the level in winter was intermediate. The highest nitrifier densities occurred at the upstream Guangzhou with low DO (Fig. 6). Nitrifier populations in most observed stations showed a dramatic decrease with increasing salinity in the Lingdingyang sub-estuary in all seasons. In summer and spring, the whole water column had an approximately similar nitrifier density (Table 3). The distribution pattern in nitrifier abundance was broadly consistent with that of the nitrification rates based on section category (Fig. 6).

Compared to other estuaries in the world, the maximum nitrifier density observed in the water column of the Pearl River Estuary was \(3 \times 10^3\) cell mL\(^{-1}\), which is close to the level in the fluid mud of the Gironde Estuary (\(4 \times 10^3\) cell mL\(^{-1}\)) (Abril et al., 2000), but this density value was much lower than those in the Seine Estuary (Cébron and Garnier, 2005), where the nitrifier density was \(3 \times 10^7\) cell mL\(^{-1}\).

The maximum total prokaryote density was \(2.9 \times 10^6\) cell mL\(^{-1}\) and \(2.63 \times 10^6\) cell mL\(^{-1}\) in March 2006 and August 2005, respectively, in the pelagic waters of the Pearl River Estuary (Zhang and Jiao, unpublished data), the highest of which was also located at the upstream Humen. Bacterial density gradually decreased downstream due to mixing with the low-nutrient seawater, and the density dropped to \(3.6 \times 10^5\) cell mL\(^{-1}\) and \(7.7 \times 10^5\) cell mL\(^{-1}\) at the sea end in March 2006 and August 2005, respectively. The distribution of total prokaryotes against salinity was similar to the nitrifiers, although the ratio of nitrifiers to total prokaryotes was low.
4 Discussion

4.1 Interrelationship between nitrification and environmental conditions

Nitrification is typically composed of two reactions: ammonia oxidation (Eq. 1) and nitrite oxidation (Eq. 2).

\[ \text{NH}_3 + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{NO}_2^- + 3\text{H}^+ + 2e^- \]  
(1)

\[ \text{NO}_2^- + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{NO}_3^- + 2\text{H}^+ + 2e^- \]  
(2)

Nitrifiers are obligate for nitrification reactions and are commonly observed in terrestrial and aquatic environments (Holt et al., 1995). Their growth rates are controlled by substrate (ammonia-N) concentrations, temperature, pH, light, DO, microbial abundance and community composition. In wastewater treatment systems and the soil environment, there has been extensive research on the media conditions affecting nitrifier growth and nitrification rates (e.g. Bhaskar and Charyulu, 2005; Kemmitt et al., 2006; Kesik et al., 2006; Lyssenko and Wheaton, 2006). In real aquatic systems, however, such research has been limited (Chenier et al., 2006; Dollhopf et al., 2005) due to the magnitude and the complexity of natural systems like the Pearl River Estuary. Below, we attempt to elucidate the interplay between nitrification and environmental conditions.

4.1.1 Nitrification vs. temperature

Much literature has documented that the nitrification rate in both marine and freshwater systems increases exponentially with temperature. For example, the nitrification rate in Narragansett Bay ranges from near zero in winter to \( \sim 1 \mu\text{mol N L}^{-1} \text{ d}^{-1} \) in summer, with an apparent Q10~6.8. (Q10 represents the increase in the rate of a process at each \( 10^\circ \text{C} \) increase in temperature (See Berounsky and Nixon, 1990)). It is reported that the mean Q10 for the potential nitrification rate is \( \sim 2.5 \) within the temperature range...
2–22°C (Hansen et al., 1981). In this study, the nitrification rate was consistently higher in summer, ~2–6 times higher than in other seasons except at Station 6. Especially at Station 2 in the Guangzhou section of the Pearl River, the ammonia oxidation rate in August 2005 was ~2 times higher than that in March 2006, and ~3 times higher than that in January 2005. At the same time, the surface water temperature in August 2005 was 15°C higher than in January 2005. Good correlation existed between the mean nitrification rate and temperature, exemplified in Fig. 7a, upstream and downstream of Guangzhou, suggesting a strong control of temperature on the nitrification rate. Moreover, regressions of the logarithm of the NH₄⁺ oxidation rate vs. temperature also showed a significant positive relationship \( R^2 = 1.0 \), and the calculated apparent \( Q_{10} \) value was 1.60 at Station 2, which is close to the value of ~2.0, based on the conservative estimate by the EPA (EPA, 1993). This value also is within the typical range of 1–3.5 for nitrification processes by cultured marine and freshwater nitrifiers (Jones et al., 2005). It is noteworthy that in coastal marine systems, other nitrogen species transformations such as denitrification, nitrogen fixation, phytoplankton growth, and regeneration of ammonium, are also sensitive to temperature with a \( Q_{10} \) ~1.2 to 9.4 (Berounsky and Nixon, 1990).

4.1.2 Nitrification vs. nitrogen substrate

Excess nitrogen in the form of ammonia may drive nitrification. As mentioned above, a dramatic decrease in NH₄⁺, an increase in NO₃⁻ and the invariant NO₂⁻ were observed at salinity 0 to 5 in the Pearl River Estuary in January 2005. A similar trend was observed in August 2005, when NO₃⁻ dropped to ~110 µmol L⁻¹ and remained at this level from Station 4 to Station 6 despite the fact that NH₄⁺ and NO₂⁻ concentrations were low. High nitrifying activity dominated this section of the Pearl River Estuary between Station 2 and Station 6, which may explain the high NO₃⁻ concentration at this location. At the end of the south branch of the East River, we also observed high nitrifying activity, coinciding with the decrease of NH₄⁺ and increase of NO₃⁻ downstream. This nitrifying
activity and nutrient distribution pattern, together with the nitrogen transformation inferred, strongly supported the contribution of nitrification processes in this location. A very similar case is documented in the Delaware River (Lipschultz et al., 1986). However, being a primary substrate for nitrification, low \( \text{NH}_4^+ \) concentration may also limit the nitrification activity. For example, the nitrification activity at Station 7 in the Yamen upstream of the Huangmaohai sub-estuary showed a very low rate in August 2005, which could be explained by the low level of \( \text{NH}_4^+ \) (<5 \( \mu \)mol L\(^{-1} \)) despite the relatively high nitrifier abundance.

It should be noted that we did not find a linear and simple correlation between the nitrifying activity and inorganic nitrogen in the Pearl River Estuary. In the winter (January 2005), the most upper reaches of the Pearl River Estuary showed relatively low \( \text{NH}_4^+ \) oxidation (5.4 \( \mu \)mol N L\(^{-1} \) d\(^{-1} \)) and \( \text{NO}_2^- \) oxidation rates (5.2 \( \mu \)mol N L\(^{-1} \) d\(^{-1} \)). However, this region was characterized by very high \( \text{NH}_4^+ \) (>800 \( \mu \)mol L\(^{-1} \)) and \( \text{NO}_3^- \) (>300 \( \mu \)mol L\(^{-1} \)) concentrations. In summer, both surface and bottom waters of the upper estuary showed very high nitrification rates (\( \text{NH}_4^+ \) oxidation rate \( \sim \)31.5 \( \mu \)mol N L\(^{-1} \) d\(^{-1} \), \( \text{NO}_2^- \) oxidation rate \( \sim \)29.5 \( \mu \)mol N L\(^{-1} \) d\(^{-1} \)) accompanied by relatively low \( \text{NH}_4^+ \) and \( \text{NO}_2^- \) concentrations as compared to winter. Significant positive correlations between the \( \text{NH}_4^+ \) and \( \text{NO}_2^- \) oxidation rates (\( R^2 = 0.70 \), data not shown), and between \( \text{NO}_2^- \) oxidation rates and \( \text{NO}_2^- \) concentration (Fig. 7b) were observed. This phenomenon elucidates the substrate effect on the nitrification rate. On the other hand, no significant correlation between \( \text{NH}_4^+ \) and \( \text{NO}_2^- \) oxidation rates was found, which is similar to the case in the Rhone River plume and the Indian sector of the Southern Ocean (Bianchi et al., 1994, 1997).

4.1.3 Nitrification vs. nitrifier activity

Nitrifier abundance is reflective of nitrification potential. As shown in Fig. 6c–d, the distribution of the nitrification rate was overall consistent with that of nitrifier abundance, except at downstream Humen in March 2006, when a low nitrifier density was asso-
associated with a higher nitrifying activity. In addition, nitrifier density at Station 2 were relatively low but with the highest nitrifying activity when compared with other stations in August 2005 (Table 3). The low nitrifier densities and high ammonium oxidation rate revealed a much greater specific nitrification rate per cell. There are other reports on similar cases. In Lake St. George, less than 1–2 cells mL$^{-1}$ were found, however, it would need a nitrifier population of 1.6×10$^2$–1.0×10$^4$ cells mL$^{-1}$ according to the in situ nitrifying activity of 13 µg N L$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$ (Knowles and Lean, 1987).

It should be pointed out that most nitrifiers are attached to particles (Stehr et al., 1995). Particle aggregates provide microsites in which oxygen concentrations are usually lower than in the surrounding waters, which favors bacterial growth. The accumulation of particles in estuaries was shown to enhance the growth of the nitrifying population and nitrifying activity in the Gironde, Seine and Yellow River/Estuary (Abril et al., 2000; Brion et al., 2000; Xia et al., 2004). In August 2005, Station 2 showed a high nitrification rate despite a relatively low nitrifier density, which may be due to the high turbidity (total suspended solids (TSS) up to be 49 mg L$^{-1}$). In March 2006, nitrifier densities and inorganic nitrogen was low at Station 6 located at downstream Humen as compared to other stations, however it showed moderate nitrifying activity, which may be explained again by high TSS concentration (Table 3). Finally, it must be pointed out that our MNP method for determining nitrifier abundance does not distinguish between the two groups of nitrifiers.

### 4.2 Nitrification and community oxygen consumption

Coupling the two oxidation processes (Eqs. 1 and 2) and assimilation reactions, the overall reaction describing the complete nitrification process should be (see Dai et al., 2006, after US EPA, 1993):

$$\text{NH}_4^+ + 1.89\text{O}_2 + 1.98\text{HCO}_3^- \rightarrow 0.984\text{NO}_3^- + 0.016\text{C}_5\text{H}_7\text{O}_2\text{N} + 1.90\text{CO}_2 + 2.93\text{H}_2\text{O} \quad (3)$$

The stoichiometric coefficients imply that 1 mole NH$_4^+$ removal through nitrification required a 1.98 mole of TAlk consumption and produced 1.90 mole of CO$_2$. Implied from
the above reaction is that the carbonate system was greatly related to the nitrification process, which consumes oxygen and produces CO$_2$ and hence acid. Below we further elucidate the importance of nitrification in community oxygen consumption in our system.

Oxygen is essential during both the ammonia and nitrite oxidation processes (Deri, 1991). DO, as the growth-limiting substrate, values for the half-saturation coefficient are reported as 0.15–2.0 mg L$^{-1}$ in biological waste treatment systems (EPA, 1993). Nitrification reactions typically happen within a DO range of 0.5–2.5 mg L$^{-1}$ although they can still proceed at a DO level as low as 0.05 mg L$^{-1}$ (Abeliovich, 1987). Under certain conditions, nitrification can impose significant oxygen demands leading to localized oxygen minima in the upper reaches of estuaries (Balls et al., 1996).

Here in the Pearl River Estuary, we found complex relationships between DO and nitrification rates, but an overall reverse trend was observed in all seasons and most of the higher ammonia oxidation rates were associated with a DO range of 0.5–2.5 mg L$^{-1}$ (Fig. 7c), consistent with the previous studies mentioned above. The decrease in DO concentration also coincided with an increase in NO$_2^-$ in most cases, which may suggest that DO is one of the most important factors interplaying with nitrification in the Pearl River Estuary. At station 6, DO concentration was lowest in March 2006, while the nitrification rate was the highest among the three surveyed seasons (Fig. 3 and Table 3). This suggests that nitrification may represent an important mechanism contributing to O$_2$ consumption.

In fact, nitrification-induced DO consumption has been reported previously. Nitrification accounts for around 25% of the total biological oxygen demand in the West Schelde Estuarine maximum turbidity zone and for >20% in the water column (Pakulski et al., 1995; Soetaert and Herman, 1995). Capers (1981) reports that intense nitrification is correlated with a depletion of the oxygen concentration in a large zone of the freshwater section in the Elbe Estuary. In the Mississippi River plume, DO consumption associated with potential nitrification amounts to 20–34% of the community DO consumption at the mid-salinity zone (Pakulski et al., 1995). At Lake St. George,
nitrification even results in 71% of average oxygen consumption under winter ice, and promotes oxygen depletion and possibly fish kills in winter (Knowles and Lean, 1987).

It must be pointed out that the DO level and its consumption involves many complex processes, such as aerobic respiration, water residence times, and air-sea oxygen exchanges (Dai et al., 2006; Griffith et al., 1990; Hopkinson et al., 1989; Zhai et al., 2005). The contribution of nitrification to DO consumption is typically confined to a narrow range of an estuarine zone, such as in the upper reaches. In the present study, if we assumed that nitrification consumed 1.4 mol and 0.5 mol O₂ when oxidizing 1 mol of NH₄⁺ and 1 mol of NO₃⁻, DO consumption caused by nitrification was 0.3 μmol O₂ L⁻¹ h⁻¹ in January 2005, 0.7 μmol O₂ L⁻¹ h⁻¹ in March 2006 and 2.5 μmol O₂ L⁻¹ h⁻¹ in August 2005 at Station 2. At Station 1, this value was 0.4 μmol O₂ L⁻¹ h⁻¹ in January 2005. At the same time, the total oxygen consumption rate was 2.7 μmol O₂ L⁻¹ h⁻¹ at Station 2 in March 2006 and 7.5 μmol O₂ L⁻¹ h⁻¹ in August 2005. Therefore, the ratio of O₂ consumption due to nitrification to community O₂ consumption was ~33%, ~26% for summer and spring, respectively, at Station 2. Although this ratio cannot represent the entire Pearl River Estuary and the respiration process involved a complex suit of variables, what can be certain is that community O₂ consumption by nitrification was significant in the upper Pearl River Estuary. Heterotrophic bacteria are generally considered to be the principle consumers of O₂ in marine ecosystems. As a comparison, Jensen et al. (1990) reported that organisms other than heterotrophic bacteria were responsible for >50% of community O₂ consumption in a highly eutrophic region of the shallow Danish Estuary. So we assert that heterotrophic bacteria and other organisms (zooplankton, protozoa etc.) consumed most O₂ in spring or winter, while nitrifiers were the key consumers of DO in summer in the upper Pearl River Estuary.

As shown by the formation of hydrogen ions (acid) in Eqs. (1) and (2), reductions in pH and alkalinity are expected to inhibit the nitrification reaction. An ideal pH range for nitrification is between 7.5 and 8.5 (Engel and Alexander, 1958; Wild et al., 1971) although nitrifying bacteria can adapt to environments outside this range. Alkalinity is also consumed during the nitrification process and thus sufficient alkalinity must be
present to buffer the acids produced during nitrification. A number of studies have suggested that \( \text{NH}_4^+ \) oxidation might be inhibited at low pH. Huesemann et al. (2002) found that relative to pH 8, the nitrification rate dramatically drops with pH, by \( \sim 50\% \) at pH 7 and by \( >90\% \) at pH 6.5 when incubating seawater samples taken from either the euphotic or aphotic zone. Earlier, Srna and Baggaley (1975) report a \( \sim 50\% \) reduction in marine ammonium oxidation rates when pH drops from 7.8 to 7.3.

During our March 2006 cruise, pH ranged between 6.94 and 7.25. The ammonia oxidation rate showed a significant positive correlation with pH (Fig. 7d). This trend is similar to the result observed by Huesemann (2002). However, the correlation between pH and nitrification did not appear to exist in other cruises, which again emphasized the complexity of the interplay between these two variables. pH is regulated by many physicochemical factors, such as \( \text{NH}_4^+ \), TAlk, DIC concentration, acid wastewater, biological production and respiration, and the fact that the Pearl River Estuary is indeed a complex system with large temporal or spatial variations of physicochemical factors.

Nitrification apparently interplayed with other carbonate parameters according to our observation in all cruises. We observed that high nitrifying activities accompany high \( p\text{CO}_2 \), TAlk and DIC in the upper estuary of the Pearl River in winter (Dai et al., 2006; Guo et al., 2008). Dai et al. (2006) speculate that nitrification together with aerobic respiration contribute to the consumption of DO and may have significant impact on the distribution pattern of the carbonate system in the upper Pearl River Estuary. In summer (August 2005), our incubation experiment showed very high nitrification rates. Meanwhile, we found significant DIC change and TAlk decrease during the incubation due likely to the \( \text{CO}_2 \) outgassing and nitrification for the surface or bottom water of the upper estuary (data not shown). If DIC change in the incubation was completely due to the \( \text{CO}_2 \) outgassing, then the rate of DIC decrease would be equal to the rate of \( \text{CO}_2 \) outgassing. The \( \Delta[\text{CO}_2]/\Delta[\text{NH}_4^+] \) quotient at both the surface and bottom of Sta 2 in Aug. 2005 ranged between 1.78 and 2.33, which was close to the theoretical nitrification quotient of 1.90 shown in Eq. (3).
Taken together, $pCO_2$ mirrored DO for all incubation stations in all seasons (Fig. 7e) though the correlation coefficient for both was not very high ($R^2 = 0.47$). This phenomenon has been indicated previously (Dai et al., 2006; Zhai et al., 2005). Nitrification rates varied concomitantly with $pCO_2$, particularly in summer, revealing its important role in consuming DO and producing free CO$_2$ in the upper Pearl River Estuary.

5 Concluding remarks

Extremely high concentrations of NH$_4^+$ and depleted DO in the water sound an alarm concerning the ecosystem changes due to heavy pollution in the Pearl River Estuary. Freshwater runoff, sewage input and in-estuary nitrification processes strongly affected the concentration and relative distribution of various inorganic nitrogen forms on a spatial scale. A strong nitrification process was observed in the upper Pearl River Estuary and its intensity changed over time with the highest nitrification rate occurring in summer, when temperature was high and O$_2$ solubility was low. Evidence from the present investigations indicated that nitrification was an important mechanism contributing to O$_2$ consumption in the upper Pearl River Estuary, which counted for about 20–30% of the community O$_2$ consumption in the oxygen depleted zone. Temperature appeared to control the nitrification rate to a large degree; additionally, the nitrification rate may also have been influenced by oxygen, pH, nitrifier density and TSS.
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Table 1. Basic hydrograph of major sub-estuaries of the Pearl River.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sub-estuary</th>
<th>Outlet</th>
<th>Area(a) (km(^2))</th>
<th>Volume(a) (10(^6) m(^3))</th>
<th>Water(b) discharge (%)</th>
<th>Sediment(c) load (%)</th>
<th>Tidal(d) exchange (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lingdingyang</td>
<td>Humen (HUM)</td>
<td>1180</td>
<td>8068</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>~60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jiaomen (JOM)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hongqimen (HQM)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hengmen (HEM)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modaomen</td>
<td>Modaomen (MDM)</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>1750</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jitimen (JTM)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hutiaomen (HTM)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yamen (YAM)</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>1760</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>~28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(a\) Wong and Cheung (2000)
\(b\) Cheung et al. (2000)
\(c\) PRWRC/PRRCC (1991)
\(d\) Cheng (2001)
Table 2. Summary of nutrient (µmol L⁻¹) and DO (mg L⁻¹) data in the literature and in this study at the low-salinity zone of the two sub-estuaries of the Pearl River: Lindingyang and Huangmaohai. DIN denotes the sum of NO₂⁻, NH₄⁺ and NO₃⁻.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Survey time</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Sal.</th>
<th>NO₂⁻</th>
<th>NH₄⁺</th>
<th>NO₃⁻</th>
<th>DIN</th>
<th>PO₄³⁻</th>
<th>SiO₂</th>
<th>DO</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jan 1984</td>
<td>Humen vicinity</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>91.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Lin et al. (1985)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb 2004</td>
<td>Upstream Humen</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>36.2</td>
<td>455.9</td>
<td>272.0</td>
<td>764.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>85.6</td>
<td>0.4–2.2</td>
<td>Dai et al. (2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan 2005</td>
<td>Upstream Humen</td>
<td>0.7–0.9</td>
<td>46.7–67.8</td>
<td>615.3–832.1</td>
<td>107.1–190.1</td>
<td>852.1–1007.0</td>
<td>1.8–2.0</td>
<td>31.3–84.7</td>
<td>0.3–2.6</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan 2002</td>
<td>Jiaomen vicinity</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>143.5</td>
<td>157.0</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Wang et al. (2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan 2005</td>
<td>Upstream Yamen</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>42.3</td>
<td>58.4</td>
<td>118.7</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>48.7</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar 2006</td>
<td>Upstream Humen</td>
<td>0.1–0.9</td>
<td>12.5–33.0</td>
<td>194.0–559.2</td>
<td>91.6–266.2</td>
<td>326.0–698.2</td>
<td>1.0–1.8</td>
<td>96.7–157.3</td>
<td>0.9–2.7</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar 1997</td>
<td>Jiaomen vicinity</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Yang and Zhang (1999)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug 1996</td>
<td>Humen vicinity</td>
<td>~</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>up to 22.0</td>
<td>up to 76.0</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>up to 1.0</td>
<td>up to 180.0</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Zhang et al. (1999a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jul 2000</td>
<td>Humen vicinity</td>
<td>&lt;1.0</td>
<td>1.6–7.8</td>
<td>4.8–12.0</td>
<td>70.0–100.0</td>
<td>75.0–110.0</td>
<td>0.2–1.2</td>
<td>126.0–141.0</td>
<td>3.5–7.2</td>
<td>Cai et al. (2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug 2005</td>
<td>Upstream Humen</td>
<td>0.0–0.8</td>
<td>7.5–55.8</td>
<td>29.4–341.9</td>
<td>16.9–111.5</td>
<td>151.0–381.8</td>
<td>1.0–5.6</td>
<td>61.6–165.4</td>
<td>0.2–0.4</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jul 1996</td>
<td>Jiaomen vicinity</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>746.0</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Yang and Zhang (1999)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug 2005</td>
<td>Upstream Yamen</td>
<td>~0.1</td>
<td>1.9–8.9</td>
<td>1.8–4.9</td>
<td>75.3–119.3</td>
<td>80.0–124.4</td>
<td>0.5–1.6</td>
<td>52.3–128.3</td>
<td>3.6–7.3</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. Ammonia oxidation rate (Va), nitrite oxidation rate (Vn), and other parameters at all incubation stations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Station</th>
<th>Dates</th>
<th>Va</th>
<th>Vn</th>
<th>NO$_2^-$</th>
<th>NH$_4^+$</th>
<th>NO$_3^-$</th>
<th>Nitrifier (cell mL$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>TSS (NTU)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Downstream Guangzhou</td>
<td>Station 1</td>
<td>Jan 2005</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>67.8</td>
<td>832.1</td>
<td>107.1</td>
<td>950</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Aug 2005</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>23.1</td>
<td>341.9</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>37.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mar 2006</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>25.8</td>
<td>341.9</td>
<td>266.2</td>
<td>3500</td>
<td>16.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Jan 2005</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>188.3</td>
<td>185.3</td>
<td>2500</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Station 2 (surface)</td>
<td>Aug 2005</td>
<td>31.5</td>
<td>29.5</td>
<td>65.0</td>
<td>111.5</td>
<td>60.4</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>49.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mar 2006</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>19.9</td>
<td>377.8</td>
<td>131.5</td>
<td>3500</td>
<td>20.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Aug 2005</td>
<td>28.2</td>
<td>32.0</td>
<td>65.8</td>
<td>100.1</td>
<td>62.0</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mar 2006</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>367.1</td>
<td>133.2</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Downstream Guangzhou</td>
<td>Station 2 (bottom)</td>
<td>Aug 2005</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Station 3</td>
<td>Aug 2005</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>62.7</td>
<td>62.7</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>35.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mar 2006</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>133.7</td>
<td>102.1</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>25.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Jan 2005</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>100.5</td>
<td>95.0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mar 2006</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>236.9</td>
<td>99.4</td>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Station 4</td>
<td>Aug 2005</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>58.6</td>
<td>42.7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>23.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Aug 2005</td>
<td>33.1</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>61.0</td>
<td>97.2</td>
<td>1150</td>
<td>35.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mar 2006</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>261.8</td>
<td>95.3</td>
<td>1650</td>
<td>21.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Downstream Humen</td>
<td>Station 5</td>
<td>Aug 2005</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>50.2</td>
<td>74.3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>16.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mar 2006</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>31.7</td>
<td>132.2</td>
<td>121.5</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>51.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yamen</td>
<td>Station 6</td>
<td>Aug. 2005</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>119.4</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>42.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Fig. 1. Map of the Pearl River Estuary (a) and sampling sites (b, c, d) in different cruises. HUM, JOM, HQM and HEM designate Humen, Jiaomen, Hongqimen, and Hengmen, respectively, which are the eastern four outlets of the Pearl River Estuary. YAM and MDM designate, respectively, the Yamen and Maodaomen outlets. The three sub-estuaries, Lindingyang, Maodaomen and Huangmaohai, are also indicated. The red dashed line in panel (a) shows the main cruise track of the cruises. Nutrient sampling sites for January 2005, August 2005 and March 2006 cruises are in yellow symbols in panels (b), (c) and (d), respectively. Open triangles in panel (b) mark those stations for incubation.
Fig. 2. Quantity of wastewater discharge from Guangdong Province, China between 1990 and 2005. Data between 1990 and 1997 are from Ho and Hui (2001), while the rest of the data are based on Environmental Status Bulletins of Guangdong Province, China (http://www.gdepb.gov.cn).
Fig. 3. Salinity, DO and inorganic nitrogen vs. distance from Humen or Yamen along the sampling transects in this study. The broken vertical lines represent the location of the Humen and Yamen outlets. Positive numbers denote downstream and negative values are upstream of the outlets. The left panels are for the Lingdingyang sub-estuary and the right ones are for the Huangmaohai sub-estuary.
Fig. 4. Dissolved oxygen and dissolved inorganic nitrogen vs. salinity along the Humen and Yamen transects.
Fig. 5. Evolution trend of ammonium ($\text{NH}_4^+$), nitrite ($\text{NO}_2^-$), and nitrate ($\text{NO}_3^-$) concentrations in the course of nitrification incubations for samples taken from Station 2 in August 2005. (a) oxidation of ammonium inhibited; (b) oxidation of nitrite inhibited; (c) control sample without any inhibitors.
Fig. 6. Spatial distributions of nitrifier and ammonia oxidation rates in the Pearl River Estuary. (a) Nitrifier density vs. distance from the Humen and; (b) Nitrifier density vs. salinity along the Humen transects; (c) Sectional distribution of ammonia oxidation rate, and (d) Sectional distribution of nitrifier density. Upstream of Guangzhou is defined as a distance of −100 to −30 km from the Humen; downstream of Guangzhou is defined as a distance of 0 to −30 km from the Humen; downstream of the Humen is defined as a distance >0 km; upstream of the Yamen is defined as a distance <0 km from the Yamen.
Fig. 7. Interrelationship between ammonia oxidation rates and environmental variables. (a) average ammonia oxidation rates at upstream and downstream of Guangzhou vs. temperature during all cruises; (b) nitrite oxidation rates vs. nitrite concentration for all cruises; (c) ammonia oxidation rates vs. DO concentration for different cruises; (d) ammonia oxidation rates vs. pH for spring (March 2006) cruise; and (e) ammonium oxidation rates vs. $p$CO$_2$ and DO for all nitrification incubation stations for all the three cruises. $p$CO$_2$ data of January 2005 and August 2005 are cited from Dai et al. (unpublished data). $p$CO$_2$ data in March 2006 are derived from DIC and TAlk, salinity, in situ temperature, silicate and phosphate concentration with the CO$_2$SYS program (Lewis and Wallace, 1998).