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Abstract. This study explores the applicability of DNA analyses for the characterization of primary biogenic aerosol (PBA) particles in the atmosphere. Samples of fine particulate matter (PM$_{2.5}$) and total suspended particulates (TSP) have been collected on different types of filter materials at urban, rural, and high-alpine locations along an altitude transect in the south of Germany (Munich, Hohenpeissenberg, Mt. Zugspitze).

From filter segments loaded with about one milligram of air particulate matter, DNA could be extracted and DNA sequences could be determined for bacteria, fungi, plants and animals. Sequence analyses were used to determine the identity of biological organisms, and terminal restriction fragment length polymorphism analyses (T-RFLP) were applied to estimate diversities and relative abundances of bacteria. Investigations of blank and background samples showed that filter materials have to be decontaminated prior to use, and that the sampling and handling procedures have to be carefully controlled to avoid artifacts in the analyses.

Mass fractions of DNA in PM$_{2.5}$ were found to be around 0.05% in urban, rural, and high-alpine aerosols. The average concentration of DNA determined for urban air was on the order of $\sim 7$ ng m$^{-3}$, indicating that human adults may inhale about one microgram of DNA per day (corresponding to $\sim 10^8$ haploid bacterial genomes or $\sim 10^5$ haploid human genomes, respectively).

Most of the bacterial sequences found in PM$_{2.5}$ were from Proteobacteria (42) and some from Actinobacteria (10) and Firmicutes (1). The fungal sequences were characteristic for Ascomycota (3) and Basidiomycota (1), which are known to actively discharge spores into the atmosphere. The plant sequences could be attributed to green plants (2) and moss spores (2), while animal DNA was found only for one unicellular eukaryote (protist).

Over 80% of the 53 bacterial sequences could be matched to one of the 19 T-RF peaks found in the PM$_{2.5}$ samples, but only 40% of the T-RF peaks did correspond to one of the detected bacterial sequences. The results demonstrate that the T-RFLP analysis covered more of the bacterial diversity than the sequence analysis. Shannon-Weaver indices calculated from both sequence and T-RFLP data indicate that the bacterial diversity in the rural samples was higher than in the urban and alpine samples. Two of the bacterial sequences (Gammaproteobacteria) and five of the T-RF peaks were found at all sampling locations.

1 Introduction

Biogenic aerosols are ubiquitous in the Earth’s atmosphere, where they influence atmospheric chemistry and physics, the biosphere, climate, and public health. They play an important role in the spread of biological organisms and reproductive materials, and they can cause or enhance human, animal, and plant diseases. Moreover, they influence the Earth’s energy budget by scattering and absorbing radiation, and they can initiate the formation of cloud droplets and precipitation as cloud condensation and ice nuclei (Dingle, 1966; Schnell and Vali, 1972; Cox and Wathes, 1995; Andreae and Crutzen, 1997; Pruppacher and Klett, 1997; Hamilton and Lenton, 1998; Andreae et al., 2002; Mikhailov et al., 2004; Taylor and Jonsson, 2004; Jaenicke, 2005; Kanakidou et al., 2005; Lohmann and Feichter, 2005; Pöschl, 2005; Dusek et al., 2006; Fuzzi et al., 2006; Hakola et al., 2006; Kloster et al.,
Bacteria and Archaea are the 16S ribosomal genes in the domains for taxonomic identification are the ribosomal RNA genes. These are emitted directly from the biosphere to the atmosphere. Primary biogenic aerosol (PBA) particles and components range in size from millimeters down to tens of nanometers, and may thus be much smaller than originally thought (Jaenicke, 2005). Particles of biological origin, like pollen, bacteria, spores, viruses, plant and animal fragments (e.g., dandruffs, skin fragments), are all within this size range (Simoneit and Mazurek, 1982; Matthias-Maser and Jaenicke, 1994; Artaxo, 1995; Bauer et al., 2005; Ahern et al., 2007; Elster et al., 2007; Zhang et al., 2007). The actual abundance and origin of biogenic aerosol particles and components are, however, still poorly understood and quantified.

Compared to conventional methods of PBA analysis (microscopy, protein staining, cultivation of microorganisms, etc.), DNA analyses can provide much more information. They enable the identification and characterization of cultured and uncultured microorganisms (90 to 99% of fungi and bacteria presently cannot be cultured in the laboratory; Amann et al., 1995), of viable and dead cells, and of plant and animal fragments. The polymerase chain reaction (PCR) enables very efficient amplification of characteristic regions of deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA), which can be analyzed, e.g., by sequencing and terminal restriction fragment length polymorphism analysis (T-RFLP), and identified by comparison with genetic databanks. Even minute amounts of DNA – as little as one molecule – are sufficient to identify biological organisms and materials. The high sensitivity of this technique, however, also bears the risk of amplifying trace amounts of DNA with which the investigated aerosol sample or the sampling material (filters, impaction foils, etc.) may have been contaminated in the course of material production, aerosol sampling, sample transport, storage, and analysis. Furthermore, long-term storage of samples can lead to chemical modification and degradation of DNA. Contamination and degradation effects can lead to substantial analytical artifacts and loss of information.

Depending on the genomic region that is sequenced, organisms can be identified to the domain, class, order, family, genus or even species level. Regions commonly used for taxonomic identification are the ribosomal RNA genes. These are the 16S ribosomal genes in the domains Archaea and Bacteria, and the 18S ribosomal genes in the domain Eukarya (animals and plants). For eukaryotic fungi usually the internal transcribed spacer (ITS) region, which is localized between the 18S, 5S and 28S regions, is used for the taxonomic identification. All these gene sequences are useful, because they exhibit both conserved regions for the binding of universal primer pairs and variable regions that are characteristic for different groups or individual species of biological organisms. For plants, the ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase large subunit gene (rbcL) in the plastid genome provides additional taxonomic information (Vilgalys and Hester, 1990; Weisburg et al., 1991; Gardes and Bruns, 1993; Whiting et al., 1997; Little and Barrington, 2003; Fierer et al., 2005). For bacteria and archaea, T-RFLP analysis provides information about the diversity and relative abundance, which is complementary to the information obtained by the analysis and blasting of DNA sequences (Liu et al., 1997; Lukow et al., 2000).

First applications of molecular genetic techniques for the analysis of PBA have been reported only recently. These studies had been focused on the sequence analysis of DNA from specific groups of organisms, mostly from bacteria or fungi collected at one or two sampling locations (Radosevich et al., 2002; Boreson et al., 2004; Hughes et al., 2004; Maron et al., 2005; Kuske et al., 2006; Brodie et al., 2007). In the present study we combine sequence analyses of different groups of organisms (bacteria, archaea, fungi, plants, animals) with T-RFLP investigations and DNA quantification in urban, rural, and high-alpine aerosol samples. Moreover, we investigate potential contamination problems, which have not been addressed in earlier publications. This pilot study has been limited to a set of exemplary samples, and the measurement results should not be over-interpreted with regard to the spatial and temporal variability of PBA. Nevertheless, they shall serve for orientation and planning of more comprehensive future investigations of PBA by DNA analysis.

2 Material and methods

2.1 Filter samples

Samples were collected on three different filter types (glass fiber, cellulose nitrate, and polypropylene) from urban, rural and high-alpine air at five different locations in Southern Germany, as detailed below and summarized in Table 1. Fine air particulate matter (PM$_{2.5}$; aerodynamic diameter $<2.5\ \mu m$) was collected on glass fiber filters (15 cm diameter, MN 85/90 binder-free, Macherey-Nagel, Düren, Germany) using a stand-alone high-volume filter sampler (HVFS, Digital DHA-80, Riemer, Hausen, Germany; sample air flow 500 L min$^{-1}$; sampling time 4 to 5 days). For decontamination (removal of organic compounds), the glass fiber filters were baked in a muffle furnace at 300°C for 12 h prior to use. The mass of particulate matter collected on each filter was determined by weighing the filter before and after sampling (Schauer et al., 2004) and the samples were stored at $-20\ ^\circ$C. Urban PM$_{2.5}$ samples were collected by the IWC (Institute of Hydrochemistry, Technical University of Munich, Munich-Grosshadern) on the campus of the University Hospital Grosshadern in the southwestern outskirts of Munich at 550 m above sea level (a.s.l.). The HVFS was positioned on a lawn in the front yard of the Institute building, about ten meters away from a minor on-campus road and several hundred meters away from the nearest parking lots and city roads. Rural PM$_{2.5}$ samples were collected at
Table 1. Investigated filter samples of fine particulate matter (PM$_{2.5}$). The sample ID specifies the sampling location: U = urban, Munich; R = rural, Hohenpeissenberg; HA = high-alpine, Zugspitze. The sampled air volume is normalized to standard temperature and pressure (STP: 0°C, 1013 hPa). The mass collected on the filter, the corresponding concentration in the sampled air volume, and mass fractions are given for particulate matter (PM) and DNA. In addition information on the total number of sequences, number of different measured sequences, the total number of detected T-RF peaks as well as the number and position of T-RF peaks corresponding to identified sequences per filter is given.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>Sampling period</th>
<th>Air Volume (m$^3$)</th>
<th>PM mass (µg)</th>
<th>PM conc. (µg/m$^3$)</th>
<th>DNA mass (ng)</th>
<th>DNA conc. (ng/m$^3$)</th>
<th>DNA/PM</th>
<th>Total Number of sequences (incl. plants etc.)</th>
<th>Number of different sequences</th>
<th>Total Number of T-RFs</th>
<th>Number of T-RFs correspond to sequences</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>U-A1</td>
<td>18.02.05 – 22.02.05</td>
<td>2617.8</td>
<td>61.6</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2 (158, 493)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U-A2</td>
<td>26.02.05 – 02.03.05</td>
<td>2651.8</td>
<td>62.2</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3 (152, 493, 494)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U-A3</td>
<td>22.03.05 – 26.03.05</td>
<td>2582.4</td>
<td>64.8</td>
<td>25.1</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2 (493, 494)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U-A4</td>
<td>17.05.05 – 21.05.05</td>
<td>2589.0</td>
<td>25.9</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2 (493, 494)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U-A5</td>
<td>17.05.05</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2 (158, 498)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-A10</td>
<td>02.06.04</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1 (58)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-A11</td>
<td>02.06.04 – 07.06.04</td>
<td>3167.8</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1 (493)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-A12</td>
<td>17.06.04 – 22.06.04</td>
<td>3146.2</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2 (71, 498)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-A13</td>
<td>16.08.04 – 21.08.04</td>
<td>3028.8</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1 (493)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-A14</td>
<td>31.04.04 – 05.09.04</td>
<td>3126.4</td>
<td>30.1</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1 (494)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HA-A6</td>
<td>13.09.03 – 17.09.03</td>
<td>2269.1</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HA-A7</td>
<td>17.09.03 – 21.09.03</td>
<td>2243.3</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1 (493)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HA-A8</td>
<td>21.09.03 – 25.09.03</td>
<td>2272.0</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2 (493, 494)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HA-A9</td>
<td>21.09.03</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1 (493)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

the Meteorological Observatory Hohenpeissenberg (MOHp), which is located on top of a small mountain (990 m a.s.l., 200–300 m higher than the surrounding terrain) about halfway between Munich and Mt. Zugspitze. The HVFS was positioned on an open platform on top of the meteorological observatory. High-alpine PM$_{2.5}$ samples were collected at the Environmental Research Station Schneefernerhaus, Zugspitze (UFS). The sampling site (2650 m a.s.l.) was located on the southern slope close to the summit of Mt. Zugspitze (2962 m a.s.l.) at the northern edge of the Alps. The HVFS was located on the south-western platform on top of the research station.

Samples of total suspended particles (TSP) on polypropylene filters (44×44 cm$^2$) were provided by the Institute of Radiation Protection, GSF-National Research Center for Environment and Health, Neuherberg. The samples were collected with a high-volume air sampler (ASS – 500 Central Laboratory for Radiological Protection, Warsaw, Poland, PTI Ulf Fischer, Erlangen) situated on the east side of the GSF Campus in Munich, next to one of the biggest dry lawns in southwestern Bavaria (sample air flow 700 L h$^{-1}$; sampling time 10 d). TSP samples on cellulose nitrate membrane filters (15 cm diameter, Schleicher and Schüll) were provided by the Forschungszentrum Karlsruhe GmbH, Institut für Meteorologie und Klimaforschung, IMK-IFU. They were collected with a filter sampler (Digitel DHA-80) at the summit of Mt. Zugspitze (2962 m a.s.l.; air sample volume 1181 L; sampling time 24 h). After sampling the filters were compressed into compact tablets and used for radionuclide measurements ($^{210}$Be and $^{210}$Pb activity on Mt. Zugspitze TSP filter, while $\gamma$-spectrometry on Munich TSP filter). The TSP filter substrates had not been decontaminated prior to use, and the samples were stored at room temperature because they had originally not been foreseen for molecular genetic analysis.

2.2 DNA extraction and quantification

PM$_{2.5}$ filter sample pieces (0.5×1 cm, ~0.2 g filter loaded with 0.5–8 mg PM$_{2.5}$) were lysed and extracted with a commercial soil DNA extraction kit (LysingMatrixE, Fast DNA Spin Kit, Biomedicals) according to the supplier’s instructions with the following modifications: 10-min centrifugation step after the lysis, additional 900 µl buffer, and repeated beating and centrifugation. Both generated supernatants were combined for the further extraction process. Finally, the DNA was dissolved in 100 µl elution buffer, and the DNA concentration was measured by UV spectrophotometry at 260 nm.

The other filter samples were extracted with the same kit and procedures, except for extracting twice with 400 µl sodium phosphate buffer.

2.3 DNA amplification

PCRs were performed for T-RFLP and sequence analyses (1–5 µl sample extract used as template DNA). The reaction mixtures always contained ca. 18 ng µl$^{-1}$ template DNA, 1×PCR buffer, 1.5 mM MgCl$_2$, 0.2 mM deoxynucleoside triphosphate (dNTPs) (MP Biomedicals), 0.33 mM of each primer (MWG-Biotech, Ebersberg, Germany), 10 µg BSA and 5 U of Taq DNA polymerase (Invitrogen, Netherlands).

PCRs for sequence analyses of bacteria, archaea, fungi, plants and animals were performed with the primer pairs listed in Table 2 under the following experimental conditions: 50 µl reaction volume (thermal cycler model 2400, PE Applied Biosystems or PTC 200/225, MJ Research); thermal profile: initial denaturing at 94°C for 3 min; 35 cycles with denaturing at 94°C for 30 s, annealing at primer pair specific temperature for 30 s, and elongation at 72°C for 30 s; final extension step at 72°C for 5 min. The specific annealing
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polymerase chain reaction (PCR) primer information. Sequences of the forward and reverse primers are listed with target locations, product lengths, annealing temperatures, and literature references.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organism</th>
<th>Forward primer</th>
<th>Reverse Primer</th>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Product length</th>
<th>Annealing temperature</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bacteria</td>
<td>92F: 5′ gag ttt gat cta ctt ggc tca g 3′</td>
<td>1492r: 5′ aec gct racc tgt taac cga cct 3′</td>
<td>16S (nuclear)</td>
<td>1564 bp</td>
<td>57°C</td>
<td>(Weisburg et al., 1991)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Archaea</td>
<td>109F: 5′ ac (gt) cag taa cac gtc 3′</td>
<td>934r: 5′ gtc ecc ccc cgc caa ctc ct 3′</td>
<td>16S (nuclear)</td>
<td>825 bp</td>
<td>52°C</td>
<td>(Großkopf, 1998)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fungi</td>
<td>5.8s: 5′ ggc tgc gtg cct cat cg c3′</td>
<td>ITSf: 5′ tcc gta ggt gaa cct gcg g 3′</td>
<td>ITS (nuclear)</td>
<td>~300 bp</td>
<td>55°C</td>
<td>(Vilgalys and Hester, 1990; Gardes and Bruns, 1993; Fuerer et al., 2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plants</td>
<td>F1F: 5′ aag cta cca aca aca gaa act aac aca aag t3′</td>
<td>F1379R: 5′ gag ttc cca gca gca gca gtg tca gga ctc 3′</td>
<td>rbcL (chloroplast)</td>
<td>1392 bp</td>
<td>57°C</td>
<td>(Little and Barrington, 2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animals</td>
<td>18SatrF: 5′ tcc gta gaa cgg ctc cca ctc c3′</td>
<td>18Sbi_r: 5′ gag ttc cgt ctc ttg tta cgc g 3′</td>
<td>18S (nuclear)</td>
<td>1140 bp</td>
<td>55°C</td>
<td>(Whiting et al., 1997)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Temperatures for the different primer pairs were determined in temperature gradient experiments (Table 2).

PCRs for T-RFLP were carried out using a carboxyfluorescein (fam)-labeled oligonucleotide primer and an unlabelled primer (MWG, Ebersberg). For bacteria we used 9 / 27f (fam) 5′-GAG TTT GAT C(AC)T GGC TCA G-3′ and 907 / 926r 5′-CCG TCA ATT C(AC)T TTR AGT TT-3′, which amplify 16S rRNA genes (Weisburg et al., 1991). For archaea we used 109f 5′-AC(GT) GCT CAG TAA CAC GT-3′ and 934r (fam) 5′-GTG TGC CTC CCC GCC CAA TTC CT-3′ (Großkopf et al., 1998).

The thermal profile of the T-RFLP-PCR for bacteria was as follows: 94°C for 3 min; 35 cycles each with 45 s at 94°C, 30 s at 57°C; and 80 s at 72°C; 5 min at 72°C. PCR products were separated by electrophoresis (80V to 120V) on a 1 to 1.5% agarose gel and visualized by ethidium bromide staining. The amplification of archaea for the T-RFLP analysis was performed with the same thermal profile, except that the annealing step at 52°C lasted 45 s, elongation at 72°C 90 s and denaturing again 30 s at 94°C.

2.4 T-RFLP analysis

PCR products for T-RFLP were purified using the GenElute PCR clean up kit (Sigma-Aldrich Chemie GmbH, Taufkirchen). Approximately 100 ng of the amplicons were digested (3h, 37°C, total volume 10μl) with 5 U of the restriction endonuclease MspI (bacteria) or TaqI (archaea) (Fermentas). Aliquots (1.25 μl) of the digested amplicons were mixed with 0.85 μl of formamide and 0.4 μl of an internal lane standard (GeneScan-1000 ROX; PE Applied Biosystems, Darmstadt), denatured at 94°C for 2 min and then chilled on ice. Electrophoresis was performed on a polyacrylamide gel (automated DNA sequencer model 373; PE Applied Biosystems, Darmstadt) for 6 h at the following settings: 2500 V, 40 mA, and 27 W (2 cm gel length).

After electrophoresis, the lengths (peak positions) of the terminal restriction fragments (T-RFs) and the intensities (peak areas) of their fluorescence emission signals were automatically calculated by the GeneScan Analysis software, version 2.1 (PE Applied Biosystems, Darmstadt).

For each of the 14 filter samples the sum of all measured peak heights of the T-RF fragments (50–928 bp) was calculated. Every peak was normalized by division through the peak-height sum of its sample and multiplication with the smallest peak-height sum of all samples. Normalized peak heights and normalized peak-height sums were then used to calculate relative abundances of the T-RF peaks for the different samples and locations (Lüdemann et al., 2000).

2.5 Cloning and sequencing

Amplification products for sequencing were cloned into Escherichia coli using the TOPO TA Cloning TM Kit (Invitrogen, Netherlands) following the supplier’s instructions. The cells of E.coli were transformed by heat-shock for 30 s at 42°C and cultivated on LB plates containing ampicillin and X-Gal at 37°C for approximately 16 h. Colonies containing inserts were identified by blue-white selection and lysed in 20 μl water for 10 min at 95°C. The inserts of 24 colonies were amplified using 3 μl Lysate in a 40 μl reaction (PCR master mix: 2.5 mM MgCl₂, 1 × PCR Buffer, 250 nM of each primer, 250 μM of each dNTP, 1.25 U Taq (Invitrogen, Netherlands)). The only primer pair used in these PCRs was M13F-40 and M13R, and the temperature programme was as follows: 94°C for 5 min; 40 cycles at 93°C for 30 s, 55°C for 1 min, and 72°C for 1 min; final extension step at 72°C for 15 min. DNA sequences were determined with ABI Prism 377, 3100, and 3730 sequencers (Applied Biosystems, Darmstadt) using BigDye-terminator v3.1 chemistry at the DNA Core Facility of the Max Planck Institute for Plant Breeding Research.

The measured sequences were aligned using the program BioEdit (BioEdit 7.05; http://www.mbio.ncsu.edu/BioEdit/bioedit.html). For comparison with known sequences, database queries using the Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST) were performed via the website of the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI, http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/). For each of the measured sequences, the
first hit in the list of databank search results was taken as the “best-match sequence”. The degree of accordance (identity) and accession number of the best-match sequences were recorded and are presented below.

2.6 Inhibition tests

To test inhibitory effects of components (extracted in association with the DNA) within the filter extract on the DNA amplification process, an artificial vector pET44a was used as a DNA template (20 ng) to amplify a 2004 bp long product with the forward primer T7 5′-TAA TAC GAC TCA TCA CTA TAG GG -3′ and the reverse primer pET44rev 5′-TAC GGC GTT TCA CTT CTG A-3′ (Nowoisky, 2005). The PCR (50 µl) was performed as described above. The PCR program was: 94°C for 3 min, 35 cycles of 94°C for 30 sec, 55°C for 30 sec and 72°C for 1.5 min. The final elongation step was 72°C for 7 min.

The degree of inhibition a DNA extract causes was estimated by adding 1 µl of this extract to a PCR master mix containing the pET44a vector. After PCR and gel electrophoresis, inhibition effects were detected by optical inspection of the gel and comparison to a positive vector control. Bands that were less bright than the vector control were assumed to indicate partial inhibition. Missing bands were assumed to indicate complete inhibition. This inhibition test was performed for one urban PM$_{2.5}$ DNA extract. The brightness of the band was reduced about 50% compared to the vector control.

Additional tests were performed with a polypropylene filter TSP sample (TSP-U-5) and with a cellulose nitrate TSP sample (TSP-HA-12). For each sample, a 2–3 mm piece of the filter was added to the PCR mastermix, then the vector was added and the PCR and detection were performed as described above. The cellulose nitrate filter caused complete inhibition of the PCR reaction, and the polypolypropylene filter reduced the brightness of the amplified vector band by about 60% compared to the vector control.

2.7 Phylogenetic analysis

With the ARB programme (Ludwig et al., 2004) a phylogenetic analysis was performed for all bacterial sequences determined in this study. In this approach a parsimony tree was calculated using sequences provided by ARB. These sequences were calculated into a tree. Complete sequences, about 300, which were closely related, were extracted and used to calculate a Neighbor-Joining tree. Into this Neighbor-Joining tree the sequences from this study were included without changing the topology of the tree. All sequences that added no information to the tree were excluded again without changing the topology of the tree.

2.8 Statistical analysis

For each of the PM$_{2.5}$ sampling locations the sequence and T-RFLP data were used to calculate the Shannon-Weaver diversity index ($H$) and the evenness ($e$) as follows:

$$H = (C/N) \left( N \times \log N - \sum n_i \log n_i \right),$$

where $C$ is 2.3, $N$ the total number of obtained sequences (peaks) at the sampling location, and $n_i$ the number of sequences (peaks) belonging to a certain group of bacteria (e.g., Gammaproteobacteria); $e = H/S$, where $S$ is the number of bacterial groups (peaks) at the sampling location.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 DNA Detection

3.1.1 Atmospheric aerosol samples

A commercial soil DNA extraction kit was used to extract DNA from 28 filter samples of air particulate matter from urban, rural and high-alpine locations as detailed in Table 1. DNA was found in all extracts (2–38 ng µl$^{-1}$ in 100 µl), which demonstrates that the extraction method is applicable for different aerosol filter types such as glass fiber, cellulose nitrate, and polypropylene filters (as well as a quartz fiber filter test sample).

The results show that DNA is present not only in coarse particles but also in fine particulate matter (PM$_{2.5}$, aerodynamic diameter ≤2.5 µm), and that small sample aliquots with small amounts of air particulate matter (0.5–8 mg PM$_{2.5}$) are sufficient to extract measurable amounts of DNA. Moreover, they show that DNA can be extracted not only from fresh samples, but also from samples that have been taken for other purposes and stored for extended periods of time (in the present case three years at −20°C or one year at room temperature). DNA could be recovered even from the compact tablets into which the cellulose nitrate filters and polypropylene filters had been compressed and which had already been used for radionuclide measurements.

Nevertheless, DNA starts to degrade as soon as an organism dies. It breaks into smaller pieces and is chemically modified (Piäbo, 1989; Lindahl, 1993; Höss et al., 1996; Smith et al., 2001). Under cool, dark, and dry conditions DNA can be preserved for several thousand years (Piäbo et al., 2004), but the DNA amount decreases and DNA information is lost. Thus, air filter samples for molecular genetic analysis should be kept dry and frozen to slow down degradation processes.

From the DNA concentration in the sample extracts we calculated the equivalent mass of DNA per filter sample, the mass fraction of DNA in the sampled air particulate matter, and the concentration of DNA in the sampled air volume. Across sampling locations, the DNA concentrations in air varied in the range of 0.8–9.8 ng m$^{-3}$. Among the PM$_{2.5}$ glass fiber filters, the urban samples exhibited the highest DNA concentrations, with an average value of 7 ng m$^{-3}$. The
DNA mass fraction in urban PM$_{2.5}$ was nearly constant at $\sim 0.03\%$, which is confirmed and illustrated by the plot and linear trend ($r^2=0.98$) of DNA vs. PM in Fig. 1. The observation of a high and near-constant relative abundance of DNA from biological particles in urban PM$_{2.5}$ is consistent with the high mass fraction of proteins (up to $\sim 5\%$) in the large set of samples, from which the filters investigated in this study were taken (Franze, 2004; Franze et al., 2005; Pöschl, 2005; Fehrenbach, 2006). The DNA/protein ratio of $\sim 1/100$ is also consistent with the typical proportions of DNA and proteins in living organisms (e.g., 1/15 in prokaryotes in Voet and Voet, 1995).

To put the abundance of DNA in fine, and thus respirable, air particulate matter into perspective with bacterial and human genomes, we have performed the following back-of-the-envelope calculations: Under the assumption of an average DNA concentration of $\sim 7$ ng m$^{-3}$, average DNA amounts of $\sim 4$ fg per haploid bacterial genome (E.coli) or $\sim 3$ pg DNA per haploid human genome, and adult human breathing rates between 5 and 120 L min$^{-1}$ (sleep vs. sports), an adult person living in a city can be expected to inhale every day about 0.05–1.2 $\mu$g of DNA, corresponding to $10^7$–$10^8$ haploid bacterial genomes (E.coli) or $10^4$–$10^5$ haploid human genomes, respectively. The rural and high-alpine PM$_{2.5}$ glass fiber filter samples exhibited lower PM and DNA concentrations, but similar or higher mass fractions of DNA (0.03–0.16%), which are again consistent with high mass fractions of proteins observed in these and related samples (Franze, 2004; Franze et al., 2005; Fehrenbach, 2006). These findings confirm that DNA is not rapidly degraded in frozen glass fiber filter samples of air particulate matter.

The absolute and relative concentrations of DNA determined for the urban and high-alpine TSP samples collected on cellulose nitrate and polypropylene filters were generally lower than those determined for the PM$_{2.5}$ glass fiber filters. This may be due to stronger inhibition effects (see Sect. 2.6) or faster degradation of DNA in the samples stored at room temperature. On the other hand, the TSP samples are likely to have been affected by elevated blank levels of DNA, because the filters had not been decontaminated prior to use (Sect. 3.1.2).

The above results are subject to uncertainties related to contamination, extraction efficiency, degradation, and photometric quantification of DNA. They certainly need to be corroborated by future investigations of larger sample numbers and complementary test experiments and measurement techniques. Nevertheless, we think that the observed trends, correlations, and orders of magnitude reported above provide a reasonable first estimate and basis for further comprehensive and systematic investigations of the abundance of DNA in air particulate matter. To our knowledge, no comparable measurement data on the abundance of DNA in air have been published yet.

3.1.2 Blank and background samples

In parallel to the filter samples of air particulate matter, four different types of blank and background samples have been investigated to check for possible sources of contamination: (1) six freshly pre-baked glass fiber filters (“glass fiber laboratory blank”); (2) a polypropylene filter freshly taken from the sealed original packing of the commercial supplier (“polypropylene supplier blank”); (3) a polypropylene filter pressed to a tablet but not exposed to air sampling (“polypropylene tablet blank”); (4) one pre-baked glass fiber filter treated exactly like air samples but exposed to the sampling flow for only 0.5 min ($\sim 0.25$ m$^3$ of air; “glass fiber sampling background”) at each of the PM$_{2.5}$ sampling locations (Munich, Hohenpeissenberg, Mt. Zugspitze). No blanks were available for the cellulose nitrate filter samples.

DNA was not detected on any of the glass fiber laboratory blanks, indicating that contamination could be excluded during the filter handling and extraction process in the laboratory. In contrast, up to $1 \mu$g of DNA was detected on the polypropylene supplier and tablet blanks. The polypropylene fiber blank DNA could be amplified and cloned using universal bacterial primer, indicating bacterial contaminations. The sequencing reaction, however, failed and thus the exact identity of the DNA could not be determined. Nevertheless, these findings indicate that decontamination of sampling substrates by baking at high temperature or alternative methods such as autoclaving is a necessary prerequisite for reliable molecular genetic analyses of atmospheric aerosol samples. DNA was also found on each of the glass fiber sampling background filters: urban 1.6 $\mu$g, rural 4.8 $\mu$g, high-alpine 2.4 $\mu$g. The urban and high-alpine background filters were lower by factors of 3–4 than the lowest values determined for real PM samples. The rural background filter, however, was as high as the lowest PM sample, although the sampled volume was smaller by a factor of $\sim 1000$. These findings indicate that the handling of filters at the beginning and end of the sampling period can be a major source of contamination and lead to high background DNA concentration levels. The composition and molecular signature of the biological
material and DNA collected during this process may just be more concentrated but similar to that in the sampled air flow. On the other hand, it might also be characteristic for the sampling equipment rather than for the sampled air flow and investigated environment. In any case, analytical artifacts related to contamination of sampling materials prior to use or during sample collection and handling can strongly influence the molecular genetic analysis of atmospheric aerosols. In earlier studies, this aspect had not been addressed at all. Our results clearly demonstrate the necessity and effectiveness of material decontamination and parallel blank extractions.

### 3.2 Molecular genetic analyses

With the DNA extract from each of the filters listed in Table 1, five PCRs were performed to amplify DNA from bacteria, archaea, fungi, land plants, and animals for sequence analysis. PCR products were obtained for all categories except archaea. All PCR products were cloned, and from about 100 clones, 24 were randomly selected, lysed, and amplified separately again. Depending on the success rate of the second step of amplification, up to seven products of the 24 PCRs were sequenced. The obtained sequences were blasted in the databank of the National Center for Biotechnology Information.

### Table 3. DNA sequences found in fine particulate matter (PM$_{2.5}$). For each of the different measured sequences (bacteria S1–S34, fungi S35–S38, plants S39–S42, animals S43) information on the best-match sequences from the NCBI databank search (identity score and accession number) is given together with the organism and taxon determined by phylogenetic analysis. Additionally, the PCR primer pair used for their amplification (B=Bacteria, A=Animal, F=Fungi; Table 2) is given and how often and on which filter samples the different sequences were detected.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sequence ID</th>
<th>PCR Primer</th>
<th>Best match identity (%)</th>
<th>Best match accession number</th>
<th>Organism</th>
<th>Taxon</th>
<th>T-RF length (bp)</th>
<th>Number of occurrences</th>
<th>Sample ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S1</td>
<td>B 99</td>
<td>DQ163939</td>
<td>Bacteria</td>
<td>γ-Proteobacteria</td>
<td>493</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>U-A1, 2xU-A2, 4xU-A3, U-A-4, HA-A7, HA-A8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2</td>
<td>B 99</td>
<td>AX168595</td>
<td>Bacteria</td>
<td>Actinobacteria</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>U-A1, U-A5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S3</td>
<td>B 99</td>
<td>DQ163939</td>
<td>Bacteria</td>
<td>γ-Proteobacteria</td>
<td>493</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>U-A1, R-A13, HA-A9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S4</td>
<td>B 99</td>
<td>AM055711</td>
<td>Bacteria</td>
<td>γ-Proteobacteria</td>
<td>494</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>U-A2, U-A3, R-A14, HA-A8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S5</td>
<td>B 99</td>
<td>U87763</td>
<td>Bacteria</td>
<td>α-Proteobacteria</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>U-A2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S6</td>
<td>B 100</td>
<td>AY642051</td>
<td>Bacteria</td>
<td>Actinobacteria</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>U-A3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S7</td>
<td>B 99</td>
<td>DQ163939</td>
<td>Bacteria</td>
<td>γ-Proteobacteria</td>
<td>493</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>U-A4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S8</td>
<td>B 99</td>
<td>AM055711</td>
<td>Bacteria</td>
<td>γ-Proteobacteria</td>
<td>494</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>U-A4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S9</td>
<td>B 99</td>
<td>AY053481</td>
<td>Bacteria</td>
<td>Actinobacteria</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>U-A4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S10</td>
<td>B 99</td>
<td>DQ279310</td>
<td>Bacteria</td>
<td>γ-Proteobacteria</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>U-A4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S11</td>
<td>B 99</td>
<td>DQ057384</td>
<td>Bacteria</td>
<td>β-Proteobacteria</td>
<td>489</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>U-A5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S12</td>
<td>B 99</td>
<td>AF095979</td>
<td>Bacteria</td>
<td>β-Proteobacteria</td>
<td>489</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>U-A5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S13</td>
<td>B 99</td>
<td>AF095979</td>
<td>Bacteria</td>
<td>β-Proteobacteria</td>
<td>489</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>U-A5, 3xR-A12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S14</td>
<td>B 99</td>
<td>AF095979</td>
<td>Bacteria</td>
<td>β-Proteobacteria</td>
<td>489</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>U-A5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S15</td>
<td>B 99</td>
<td>DQ057384</td>
<td>Bacteria</td>
<td>β-Proteobacteria</td>
<td>489</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>U-A5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S16</td>
<td>B 99</td>
<td>AY642054</td>
<td>Bacteria</td>
<td>Actinobacteria</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>R-A10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S17</td>
<td>B 99</td>
<td>DQ336995</td>
<td>Bacteria</td>
<td>γ-Proteobacteria</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>R-A10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S18</td>
<td>B 99</td>
<td>AB042289</td>
<td>Bacteria</td>
<td>Actinobacteria</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>R-A11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S19</td>
<td>B 99</td>
<td>AE017283</td>
<td>Bacteria</td>
<td>Actinobacteria</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>R-A11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S20</td>
<td>B 99</td>
<td>DQ163939</td>
<td>Bacteria</td>
<td>γ-Proteobacteria</td>
<td>493</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>R-A11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S21</td>
<td>B 99</td>
<td>AF095979</td>
<td>Bacteria</td>
<td>β-Proteobacteria</td>
<td>489</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>R-A12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S22</td>
<td>B 99</td>
<td>AY059147</td>
<td>Bacteria</td>
<td>Firmicutes</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>R-A12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S23</td>
<td>B 99</td>
<td>DQ163939</td>
<td>Bacteria</td>
<td>γ-Proteobacteria</td>
<td>493</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>R-A13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S24</td>
<td>B 100</td>
<td>AY168586</td>
<td>Bacteria</td>
<td>Actinobacteria</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2xR-A14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S25</td>
<td>B 99</td>
<td>AM055711</td>
<td>Bacteria</td>
<td>γ-Proteobacteria</td>
<td>494</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>R-A14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S26</td>
<td>B 99</td>
<td>D14506</td>
<td>Bacteria</td>
<td>α-Proteobacteria</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>HA-A6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S27</td>
<td>B 99</td>
<td>AF385528</td>
<td>Bacteria</td>
<td>β-Proteobacteria</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>HA-A6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S28</td>
<td>B 99</td>
<td>AF385528</td>
<td>Bacteria</td>
<td>β-Proteobacteria</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>HA-A6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S29</td>
<td>B 99</td>
<td>AF095979</td>
<td>Bacteria</td>
<td>β-Proteobacteria</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>HA-A7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S30</td>
<td>B 99</td>
<td>AF385528</td>
<td>Bacteria</td>
<td>β-Proteobacteria</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>HA-A7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S31</td>
<td>B 99</td>
<td>AM055711</td>
<td>Bacteria</td>
<td>γ-Proteobacteria</td>
<td>494</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>HA-A8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S32</td>
<td>B 99</td>
<td>AM055711</td>
<td>Bacteria</td>
<td>γ-Proteobacteria</td>
<td>494</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>HA-A8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S33</td>
<td>B 100</td>
<td>AY642054</td>
<td>Bacteria</td>
<td>Actinobacteria</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>HA-A8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S34</td>
<td>B 99</td>
<td>DQ163939</td>
<td>Bacteria</td>
<td>γ-Proteobacteria</td>
<td>493</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>HA-A8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S35</td>
<td>A 99</td>
<td>AF530542</td>
<td>Fungi</td>
<td>Basidiomycota</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>U-A2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S36</td>
<td>A 99</td>
<td>AB108787</td>
<td>Fungi</td>
<td>Ascomycota</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>U-A3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S37</td>
<td>A 99</td>
<td>X69842</td>
<td>Fungi</td>
<td>Ascomycota</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>U-A3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S38</td>
<td>F 100</td>
<td>AY463365</td>
<td>Fungi</td>
<td>Ascomycota</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>U-A4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S39</td>
<td>A 99</td>
<td>AF206895</td>
<td>Plant</td>
<td>Cucurbita</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>U-A3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S40</td>
<td>F 94</td>
<td>D38246</td>
<td>Plant</td>
<td>Pinaceae</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>U-A4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S41</td>
<td>F 95</td>
<td>AY156088</td>
<td>Plant</td>
<td>Bryophyta</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>U-A4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S42</td>
<td>B 98</td>
<td>AY156088</td>
<td>Plant</td>
<td>Bryophyta</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>U-A4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S43</td>
<td>A 93</td>
<td>L31841</td>
<td>Animal</td>
<td>Alveolata</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>U-A2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 2. Distribution of bacterial T-RF peaks among PM$_{2.5}$ filter samples and locations. The x-axis represents the different sampling locations and measured T-RF peak positions (number of base pairs, bp). The y-axis specifies the fraction of filter samples on which the T-RF peaks were found at each location. For example, the 125 bp fragment was found in 3 of 5 filters (0.6) from Munich, in 1 of 5 filters from Hohenpeissenberg and in none of Mt. Zugspitze.

Information (NCBI) to find the closest match and determine the taxonomy of the organisms from which the DNA on the filter samples had most likely originated.

Systematic sequence analyses and complementary T-RFLP investigations were performed only for the PM$_{2.5}$ samples, because the filters had been decontaminated prior to use. All sequences obtained for these samples are summarized in Table 3 and discussed in Sects. 3.2.1–3.2.3. As discussed above, the TSP samples were most likely influenced by filter contaminations. Nevertheless, some characteristic results obtained for the TSP samples will be outlined in Sect. 3.2.3.

3.2.1 Prokaryotes in PM$_{2.5}$

Sequences and phylogeny

As summarized in Table 3, 53 bacterial, but no archaeal, clone sequences could be retrieved from the investigated PM$_{2.5}$ samples. For 29 sequences the taxonomic identity was determined by a databank search on NCBI.

Three sequences exhibited 100% identity with Actinobacteria, the other sequences exhibited 99% identity with different Gamma- and Betaproteobacteria. Several of the clone sequences showed best match with the same databank sequence, possibly since the clone sequences were from bacteria whose sequence had not yet been determined and entered into database or because they have been partially degraded in the air or upon sampling and analysis (e.g., chemical modification of individual base pairs).

Twenty four sequences could not be resolved by comparison with NCBI sequences, since the taxonomy of the closest matches were unclear (categorized as “environmental samples” in the database). For taxonomic identification of these 24 sequences we performed a phylogenetic analysis by calculating a neighbor-joining tree that consisted of all 53 sequences from this study and 74 sequences from the ARB database (Ludwig et al., 2004).

The phylogenetic analysis yielded 27 Gamma-, 13 Beta-, and 2 Alphaproteobacteria sequences, 10 Actinobacteria, and 1 Firmicute. Unlike Maron et al. (Maron et al., 2005), we found no Deltaproteobacteria in the investigated aerosol samples. Proteobacteria are one of the largest groups in the domain Bacteria. They include many pathogens as well as nitrogen oxidizing bacteria living in soils and on plants. Gammaproteobacteria are known to be particularly flexible and adaptable to different environments. Actinobacteria and Firmicutes are mostly Gram positive bacteria, many of which form spores for aerial transport. Most Actinobacteria are found in soil, where they are involved in the decomposition of organic materials. The Firmicutes include common soil bacteria like the endospore-forming bacilli such as Bacillus subtilis, which is frequently detected in soil, water and air, but also prominent pathogens like Bacillus anthracis or Bacillus thuringiensis, which is used as an agricultural insecticide. Only recently Brodie et al., 2007, have shown that endemic pathogens do occur naturally in our environment. For Gammaproteobacteria, only 13 of the 27 clone sequences were different from each other, and for the 13 different clone sequences the database search yielded only 4 different best-match sequences (DQ163939, AM055711, DQ279310, DQ336995). For Betaproteobacteria, 10 of the 13 sequences were different from each other and the database search yielded 3 different best-match sequences...
Fig. 3. Distribution of bacterial DNA sequences among PM$_{2.5}$ filter samples and locations. Number and identity of measured sequences per filter sample. Different sequences (S1–S34) are illustrated in different colors. Sequences that occurred uniquely on one filter sample are highlighted with a red star.

(DQ057384, AF385528, AF509579). For Alphaproteobacteria, on the other hand, both of the clone sequences were different and yielded different best-match sequences (U87763, D14506). Also for Actinobacteria, most of the determined sequences were different from each other (8 out of 10) and yielded different best-match sequences (7).

**Diversity**

For characterization of the bacterial diversity, T-RFLP analyses were performed with the DNA extracts from all PM$_{2.5}$ samples (Table 1). Figure 2 summarizes the number, length, and relative abundance of the 19 different T-RFs detected at the different sampling locations. The urban PM$_{2.5}$ samples from Munich filters exhibited in total 11 T-RF peaks (125–494 bp). One of the T-RF peaks (400 bp) was found in all five samples, and four were found only in one sample (Fig. 2). The rural samples from Hohenpeissenberg exhibited the highest bacterial diversity with 16 T-RF peaks (58–494 bp) in total; none of them in all five samples and seven only in one sample (Fig. 2). The high-alpine samples from Mt. Zugspitze exhibited the lowest diversity with eight peaks in total; none of them in all four samples and three only in one sample (Fig. 2).

To compare and connect the results of T-RFLP and clone sequence analyses, the number of base pairs until the first restriction site for Msp I “C-CGG” was determined for each of the clone sequences found in the PM$_{2.5}$ samples to obtain in-silico the size of the T-RF expected from this clone. When the calculated number coincided with the length of one of the observed T-RF peaks, the sequences and T-RF peaks were tentatively assigned to each other (Table 1).

As detailed in Table 3, all of the T-RFs with a length of 489 were matching multiple Betaproteobacteria and those with a length of 493 or 494 bp were matching multiple Gammaproteobacteria sequences. The T-RFs with a length of 152, 158, 58, or 71 bp were matching individual sequences of Alphaproteobacteria, Actinobacteria (TR-F 158 and 58), or Firmicutes, respectively. In total, 37 of the 44 bacterial sequences could be matched with one of the seven TR-F peaks specified above. For the other twelve T-RF peaks no tentative taxonomic assignment could be achieved, indicating that the T-RFLP analyses resolved fewer taxa than clone sequence analysis, but covered more of the bacterial diversity in the investigated samples.

As illustrated in Figs. 2 and 3, five T-RF peaks (152, 158, 489, 493, and 494 bp) and two of the clone sequences (S3, S4) were found at all sampling locations. Two T-RF peaks (177 and 214 bp) and 11 clone sequences (S2, S5–S13, S15) were found exclusively in the urban samples from Munich, six T-RF peaks (71, 130, 140, 422, 431, and 474 bp) and ten clone sequences (S16–S25) exclusively in the rural samples from Hohenpeissenberg. In the high-alpine samples from Mt. Zugspitze we found only T-RF peaks that were also detected in samples from the urban or rural locations. Nine of the measured sequences (S26–S34), however, were detected only in the high-alpine samples.

Shannon-Weaver diversity indices ($H$) were calculated for each sampling location from both the number of T-RF peaks observed and the number of clone sequences determined (Table 4). Both data sets indicate the highest bacterial diversity for the rural samples from Hohenpeissenberg. The $H$ values based on the sequence data indicate similar diversities for the urban and high-alpine samples, whereas the $H$ values based on the T-RFLP data indicate higher diversity for the urban samples than for the high-alpine samples. The results are consistent with earlier observations that the concentration of PBA particles in high-alpine regions is lower than in levels directly above the sea level (Jaenicke et al., 2000). Note, however, that we have calculated the Shannon-Weaver indices just as preliminary indicators for the diversities observed with the different techniques applied in this exploratory study. The calculated values should not be regarded...
Table 4. Diversity indices for bacteria detected in PM$_{2.5}$ samples from different locations. Shannon-Weaver diversity indices ($H$) and evenness ($e$) of the data calculated from bacterial sequence and T-RFLP data. For the T-RFLP data also the range of the species richness ($S$), referring to number of T-RF peaks) for every location is given. All samples for one location were combined.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>$H$ (seq)</th>
<th>$H$ (T-RFLP)</th>
<th>$e$ (seq)</th>
<th>$e$ (T-RFLP)</th>
<th>$S$ (T-RFLP)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Urban (Munich)</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>4-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural (Hohenpeissenberg)</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>4-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-Alpine (Zugspitze)</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>2.19</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

as robust parameters for further statistical analysis and interpretation. As pointed out by Blackwood et al. (2007), diversity indices calculated from T-RFLP data can be biased, and we are planning to use and compare also other indices in follow-up studies with larger data sets.

Overall, the T-RFLP analysis indicates lower species richness for our PM$_{2.5}$ samples than for soil samples, for which the method had originally been developed and applied (Dunbar et al., 2000; Lüdemann et al., 2000; Lukow et al., 2000; Klamer and Hedlund, 2004; Noll et al., 2004). While in the air samples 4–7 T-RF peaks were observed per filter, in soil typically 20–30 TR-F peaks are found per sample. Note, however, that the apparent lower diversity may be partly due to chemical modification and degradation of DNA or inhibitory effects of atmospheric aerosol components on the amplification and cloning procedures. Control experiments with an urban PM$_{2.5}$ sample extract have confirmed the presence of inhibitors (reduced amplification efficiency, see also 2.6).

Abundances

The best ways of estimating relative abundances of bacteria are still under discussion (Hong et al., 2006 and references therein). For the PM$_{2.5}$ samples investigated in this study, the relative abundances of bacterial groups have been estimated by two approaches. One approach is based on the T-RFLP data, i.e., on normalized intensities of T-RF peaks assigned to different bacterial groups. The other approach is based on the sequence analysis data, i.e., on the numbers of sequences assigned to different bacterial groups. As detailed in Figure 4, both approaches indicate that Proteobacteria were the most abundant fraction of bacteria at all sampling locations (87–95% of T-RF peak intensities; 62–92% of sequence numbers), and that their abundance was dominated by Beta- and Gammaproteobacteria. These findings are consistent with the results of bacterial sequence analyses in air particulate matter at urban and rural locations in USA and France, respectively (Radosevich et al., 2002; Maron et al., 2005) and with most previous studies using culture-based methods (di Giorgio et al., 1996; Shaffer et al., 1997; Griffin et al., 2001).

In a very recent study, where bacteria in the air of two cities in Texas have been investigated over several weeks with chip measurement technologies rather than full sequence analyses, however, Proteobacteria were found to be less frequent than Actinomycetes, Bacteroides and Cyanobacteria (Brodie et al., 2007). The variability of atmospheric aerosol sources and composition as well as the different measurement techniques may have contributed to the different findings. Further studies are needed to determine the extent to which each of these factors influence the results. For Actinobacteria and for the individual sub-groups of Proteobacteria at the different sampling locations of this study, however, the results based on T-RFLP and sequence data were substantially different. Although sequences from Actinobacteria were found relatively often (8–32%), the T-RFLP data indicate an abundance of only 1–3%. The latter observation is consistent with recent genetic analyses of bacteria at a rural location in France (12% in Maron et al., 2005).

We think that the abundance estimates derived from the T-RFLP data are more realistic than those from the sequence data, because the latter may be biased by the cloning procedure (v. Wintzingerode et al., 1997) and are statistically not well founded due to the limited number of measured sequences.

For samples of unknown diversity it has been suggested to use about 300 sequences or more for reliable estimation of relative abundances (Kemp and Aller, 2004), whereas only 13–24 sequences were available for the different sets of PM$_{2.5}$ samples investigated in this study. On the other hand, the T-RFLP analysis of 16S genes as applied in this study has been reported to provide good estimates for the abundance of bacteria in soil samples (Lueders and Friedrich, 2003).

3.2.2 Eukaryotes in PM$_{2.5}$

Fungal sequences

Four fungal sequences were detected in three of the five urban PM$_{2.5}$ samples (Table 3): three sequences from Ascomycota (Cladosporium, 100% identity with AC463365; Saccharomycetes, 99% identity with X69842; unspecified Ascomycota, 99% identity with AB108787) and one sequence
Fig. 4. Relative abundance of bacterial groups in fine particulate matter (PM$_{2.5}$). Percentage of different bacterial groups found in PM$_{2.5}$ samples from urban (U=urban/ Munich), rural (R=rural/ Hohenpeissenberg), and high-alpine air (HA=alpine/ Zugspitze) by sequence analyses (Seq) and T-RFLP investigations. The dark colors (blue, purple, green) represent the proteobacteria, while actinobacteria, firmicutes and unidentified sequences/ T-RFLPs are illustrated in light colors.

from Basidiomycota (uncultured Basidiomycetes, 99% identity with AF530542). Ascomycota and Basidiomycota are known to actively discharge spores into the atmosphere, and their spores have been detected in a wide range of locations and concentrations (Garrison et al., 2003; Boreson et al., 2004; Griffin, 2004; Griffin and Kellogg, 2004; Elbert et al., 2006). In recent studies based on amplification of the 18S gene and cultivation of fungi, Ascomycota and Basidiomycota were found to be the most abundant group accounting for proportions of 40–100% of fungi detected in air particulate matter, (Wu et al., 2003; Boreson et al., 2004).

Spores are known to resist environmental stress and survive atmospheric transport (Griffin, 2004; Griffin and Kellogg, 2004), whereas DNA in fungal tissue fragments may be rapidly degraded by atmospheric photooxidants. No fungal DNA was detected in the investigated rural and high-alpine PM$_{2.5}$ samples. Since fungal spores are known to be ubiquitous components of atmospheric aerosols, we think that either the applied soil DNA extraction kit was not very efficient at extracting DNA from fungal spores (Brown and Hovmoller, 2002; Boreson et al., 2004; Griffin, 2004; Griffin and Kellogg, 2004) or the amplification was inhibited. Therefore, ongoing work is aimed at the optimization of DNA extraction and amplification for fungi as well as for other eukaryotes.

Plant sequences

Four plant sequences were detected in two of the five urban PM$_{2.5}$ samples, which had been collected in spring (Table 3): one sequence from a flowering plant (Angiosperm; 99% identity with AF206895), two from mosses (Bryophytes; 95% identity with AY156588 and 98% with AY156592), and one from the pine family (Pinaceae; 94% identity with D38246). The detected sequences had been amplified with the “animal” primer pair 18Sai$_f$ / 18Sbi$_r$, which is specific for a region of the 18S gene in the eukaryotic nuclear genome. In contrast, the land plant primer pair F1F / F1379R, which amplifies a region of the chloroplast genome, did not give any positive PCR results, although its functionality had been tested positively.

The fact that plant sequences were found only in samples collected during the pollen season in spring time, suggests that the DNA was likely recovered from pollen (angiosperm, Pinaceae) or spores (Bryophytes) rather than tissue fragments. Pollen and spores are known and designed to resist environmental stress and survive atmospheric transport, whereas DNA in plant tissue fragments may be rapidly degraded by atmospheric photooxidants. The low identity values of the Bryophyte and Pinaceae sequences may indicate that the sequences of the detected organisms have not
yet been identified and entered into the NCBI database or that they have been partially degraded (e.g., chemical modification of individual base pairs).

All plant sequences identified in this study belong to the Viridiplantae, which were also found as the only plant category in a recent study by Boreson et al. (2004). They reported that plants accounted for 11% of the eukaryotic sequences found in a natural desert area and for 31% in urban air. In a couple of other recent studies, plants were only indirectly identified by the co-amplification of chloroplasts with bacterial primer pairs but no plant sequences were analyzed (Radosevich et al., 2002; Maron et al., 2005). In these studies the proportions of chloroplast clones were in the range of 3–32% (Radosevich et al., 2002; Maron et al., 2005). In our study, the plant sequences account for 44% of the eukaryotic sequences detected in urban PM$_{2.5}$ samples.

Animal sequences

Animal DNA was found only in an urban PM$_{2.5}$ sample, and the best-match database sequence was from a protist (Alveolata apicomplexa, 93% identity with L31841). These spore forming unicellular eukaryotes are known as common parasites of insects and vertebrates. Animal DNA is usually not protected and degrades rapidly after the death of the organism. The detected protist DNA was most likely from a spore, which is resistant like bacterial and fungal spores.

Eukaryotic sequences of animals, plants or fungi were found only in the urban PM$_{2.5}$ samples from Munich although the DNA/PM ratio in these samples was smaller than in the rural and high-alpine samples (Table 3). Potential reasons are: (1) The absolute amount of DNA in the urban samples was higher. (2) The urban samples were only six months old when analyzed, whereas the rural and high-alpine samples were 2–3 y old. (3) The sampling season may have played a role especially with regard to plant sequences as discussed in Sect. 3.2.2. Plant sequences. (4) The relative abundance of inhibitors reducing the extraction or amplification efficiency might have been lower in the urban samples (see also 2.6).

3.2.3 Prokaryotes and Eukaryotes in TSP

As outlined above, the TSP samples may have been contaminated by DNA on the sampling materials. Nevertheless, we performed test experiments with these samples and obtained the following results. In the polypropylene tablet blank we found no bacterial PCR product, and the bacterial PCR product from the polypropylene supplier blank could be cloned but not sequenced. In the TSP samples from Munich we found only six bacterial sequences of Firmicutes, which were not found in the PM$_{2.5}$ samples, except for one rural sample (H-A12). In the TSP samples from Mt Zugspitze we measured 26 sequences of plant DNA from Pinaceae.

4 Conclusions

In this study we have demonstrated that DNA from bacteria, fungi, plants, and animals can be efficiently extracted from different types of atmospheric aerosol filter samples using a soil DNA extraction kit. The investigated samples were up to three years old and included fine and coarse air particulate matter (PM$_{2.5}$ and TSP) as well as glass fiber, cellulose nitrate, and polypropylene filter materials. Investigations of blank and background samples have shown that filter substrates have to be decontaminated prior to use. On the other hand, inhibitory effects of filter materials and of chemical components of the sampled particulate matter can reduce the efficiency of DNA amplification. In any case, the sampling, sample handling, and analytical procedures have to be carefully controlled to avoid artifacts in molecular genetic analyses of atmospheric aerosol samples.

We have combined sequencing and T-RFLP analyses and found the results to be highly complementary. The T-RFLP technique was found to resolve less, but cover more of the bacterial diversity than the sequence analysis. Both the sequence data and the T-RFLP data indicate that the bacterial diversity in the rural samples was higher than in the urban and high-alpine samples. Proteobacteria were found to be the most abundant group of bacteria in all samples. The measured sequences of fungi, plants, and animals were most likely from spores and pollen, which are known and designed to resist environmental stress and survive atmospheric transport.
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