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We have studied hybrid superconducting micro-coolers made of a double Superconductor-Insulator-Normal metal tunnel junction. Under subgap conditions, the Andreev current is found to dominate the single-particle tunnel current. We show that the Andreev current introduces additional dissipation in the normal metal equivalent to Joule heating. By analyzing quantitatively the heat balance in the system, we provide a full description of the evolution of the electronic temperature with the voltage. The dissipation induced by the Andreev current is found to dominate the quasiparticle tunneling-based cooling over a large bias range.

PACS numbers: 74.50.+r, 74.45.+c

In a tunnel junction between a normal metal (N) and a superconductor (S), the charge transfer occurs mainly through two different mechanisms. The tunneling of a single quasi-particle is possible for electrons or holes with an energy \( E \) (compared to the Fermi level \( E_F \)) larger than the superconductor gap \( \Delta \). At low energy, the charge transfer occurs through the Andreev reflection. In the normal metal, an electron (a hole) impinging on the superconducting interface is reflected as a hole (an electron), enabling the transfer of a Cooper pair into (out of) the superconductor. As the energies of the involved electron and hole are located symmetrically around \( E_F \), the Andreev reflection does not carry heat through the interface at zero bias. The probability for an incident quasi-particle to follow an Andreev reflection, a specular reflection or a tunnel transfer is given in the ballistic regime (no disorder) by the BTK theory. For a N-I-S tunnel junction with an insulator (I) of intermediate or low transparency, the Andreev reflection probability is predicted to be vanishingly small. Taking into account the quasi-particles confinement in the vicinity of the interface, this is no longer true. This confinement can be induced by the disorder or the presence of a second barrier in the normal metal. A single quasiparticle then experiences several collisions with the interface and can be modulated by a magnetic flux.

A quasi-particle current in a N-I-S junction indeed carries both a charge current and a heat current. With a voltage bias smaller than the gap \( \Delta/e \), the tunnel current is selectively made out of high-energy electrons (or holes); this cools the electronic population of the normal metal. In this way, (S-I-N-I-S) micro-coolers based on a double tunnel junction provide a significant temperature reduction which reaches an optimum at a voltage bias just below the gap. At a very low temperature, the thermal transport in such N-I-S tunnel junctions appears to be still little understood. For instance, an apparent reversal of the normal metal temperature evolution was observed in various experiments and related to a non-BCS density of states of the superconductor. A clear understanding of this behavior is still missing.

In this letter, we describe an experimental study of the heat transport in a S-I-N-I-S junction, focusing on the very low temperature regime. We show that the phase-coherent Andreev current introduces a significant dissipation in the normal metal. We provide a fully quantitative analysis of the heat transfer in the system which shows that although the Andreev current is a small effect in terms of charge current, the heat it creates has a dominating influence on the heat balance.

Fig. inset shows a typical sample which features a geometry similar to the one studied in Ref. . It consists of a 50 nm thick, 4 \( \mu \)m long and 0.3 \( \mu \)m wide normal metal Cu electrode embedded between two 40 nm thick and 1.5 \( \mu \)m wide superconducting Al electrodes. The tunnel barriers at the two symmetric junctions of dimensions 1.5 \( \times \) 0.3 \( \mu \)m\(^2\) were prepared by oxidation in 0.2 mbar of \( \text{O}_2 \) pressure for 3 min and give a total normal-state resistance \( R_n \) in the range 2-3 k\( \Omega \). In addition to the two cooling junctions, we added three Cu tunnel probes of area 0.33\( \times \)0.43 \( \mu \)m\(^2\) on one Al electrode (one is shown in Fig. insets). These probes are strongly connected to both a Cu reservoir and an Al reservoir so that no cooling is expected there. These junctions thus provide a reference for an isotherm behavior. In the following, we will describe the behavior of one out of three investigated samples which all showed similar behavior.

We have measured the current-voltage \( I(V) \) characteristic of every probe junction and of the two cooling junctions in series at temperatures down to 90 mK. The differential conductance \( dI/dV(V) \) is obtained by numerical differentiation. We have taken special care to obtain...
accurately the sub-gap conductance of our current-biased samples down to a level of about $10^{-4}$ of the normal state conductance. Fig. 1 displays on a logarithmic scale the differential conductance of the cooling junctions for a series of cryostat temperatures. The tunnel current in a N-I-S junction is given by:

$$I(V) = \frac{1}{eR_n} \int_0^\infty n_s(E)[f_N(E - \frac{eV}{2}) - f_N(E + \frac{eV}{2})]dE,$$

(1)

where $V/2$ is the voltage across the N-I-S junction, $f_N(E)$ is the electron energy distribution function in the normal metal and $n_s(E) = |E|/\sqrt{E^2 - \Delta^2}$ is the normalized BCS density of states in the superconductor. An isothermal N-I-S junction would then feature in the sub-gap regime a linear behavior of the differential conductance on a logarithmic scale. In contrast, we observe in the high temperature regime ($T > 200$ mK) data an upward curvature which constitutes a clear signature of the electron cooling [12].

In the low temperature regime ($T < 200$ mK), clearly a different characteristic is obtained with a differential conductance peak at zero bias. A similar behavior is obtained on every probe (not shown). This zero-bias peak cannot be accounted for by a single-particle tunnel current. The zero-bias differential conductance peak to an Andreev current, i.e. a double particle tunnel current created by Andreev reflections at the N-I-S junctions. In order to calculate the Andreev current $I_A$, we used the theory of Ref. [5]. We took into account the finite gap $\Delta$ and the disorder both in the normal metal and in the superconductor. We considered the 1D regime where the coherence length of an Andreev pair in the normal metal $L_E = \sqrt{\hbar D/E}$ [13] is much larger than the junction dimension.

Let us first discuss the current-voltage characteristics of one probe junction, where the electronic temperature can be considered as constant and very close to the cryostat temperature. Fig. 2 displays the measured current-voltage characteristic of one probe junction (1.55 $\mu$m away from the cooler junctions) together with the calculated single quasi-particle and Andreev currents. We have fitted the current-voltage characteristics of the probe while taking the electron diffusion coefficient in Cu equal to the measured value $D = 80 \text{ cm}^2\text{s}^{-1}$. The fit parameters are $\Delta = 0.228 \text{ meV}$, the electronic temperature $T_e = 105 \text{ mK}$, which is found slightly higher than the bath temperature, and $L_E = 1.5 \mu$m, which agrees well with expectations for a pure metal at very low temperature [14]. In this fit, we had to scale the Andreev current by a multiplying factor $M = 4.3$ in a similar way to Ref. [8]. The fit describes very well the probe data. The cross-over between the low-bias Andreev current and
the high-bias single quasi-particle current is clearly visible. We did not need to take into account the possible contribution of pinholes in the barrier, which means that our junctions can be considered as ideal. We conclude that the sub-gap current in our N-I-S junctions is the superposition of a single-particle tunnel current and a two-particle Andreev current.

We tried to fit the S-I-N-I-S cooler junction characteristic in a similar way. Fig. 3 also shows the current-voltage characteristic of the cooler junction together with the calculated single quasi-particle and Andreev currents. A good fit to the theory is found only at small bias, where cooling or heating effect remain negligible. This fit is obtained with the same parameter set than for the probe, except for the gap $2\Delta = 0.43$ meV and the scaling factor $M = 1.55$. The difference in the $M$ factor is presumably due to difference in geometry between the types of junctions.

In order to analyze quantitatively the behavior of our S-I-N-I-S cooler junctions, we need to consider the heat balance in the normal metal. Here we assume a quasi-equilibrium situation: the electrons and the phonons follow a thermal energy distribution functions at a respective temperature $T_e$ and $T_{ph}$ which are in general different from the cryostat temperature $T_{base}$. This is justified because the inelastic scattering time is of the order of the phase-coherence time of 300 ps (derived from Fig. 2 fit), which is much shorter than the mean escape time from the island estimated to about 100 ns. The tunnel current is responsible for a cooling power in the normal metal:

$$P_{cool} = \frac{1}{e^2 R_{in}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (E - \frac{eV}{2}) n_s(E)[f_N(E - \frac{eV}{2}) - f_S(E)]dE$$

(2)

where $f_S$ is the energy distribution function in the superconductor. This cooling power is compensated by the electron-phonon coupling power so that: $2P_{cool} + P_{e-ph} = 0$. Here the factor 2 accounts for the presence of two junctions. We used the usual expression for the electron-phonon coupling $P_{e-ph} = \Sigma U(T_e - T_{ph})$, where $\Sigma$ is a material-dependent constant and $U$ is the metal volume. If one considers now the normal metal phonons, the electron-phonon coupling power is compensated by the Kapitza power $P_K(T_{ph}, T_{base}) = K A (T^S_{base} - T^S_{ph})$, where $K$ is an interface-dependant parameter and $A$ the contact area, so that $P_{e-ph} + P_K = 0$. The related Kapitza thermal resistance is significant only at intermediate temperature and above ($T > 300$ mK), in which case the normal metal phonons can be cooled below the substrate temperature.

Fig. 3 displays a series of current-voltage characteristics obtained at different temperatures together with calculated curves. We have first numerically solved the heat balance equations in the high temperature regime where the Andreev current contribution can be neglected. We took the well-accepted value $\Sigma = 2$ nW$\mu$m$^{-1}K^{-5}$ and we determined from the fitting procedure the Kapitza coupling parameter value $K A = 144$ W$K^{-4}$.

Fig. 3 dash-dotted line displays the calculated current-voltage characteristic calculated at a 90 mK cryostat temperature by including the charge and heat currents of only the single-particle channel effects. The agreement is poor, which confirms the need for including the Andreev current channel. As a second step, we included the Andreev charge current component. The dashed line shows the result of the calculation with again the same parameters set than in Fig. 2 and a cryostat temperature of 90 mK. It provides an acceptable fit at low bias but shows a clear discrepancy at intermediate voltage. Adding a leakage term in the heat balance with a linear resistance does not provide a good description of the data. Thus a significant thermal contribution is missing in the heat balance equations described above.

We investigated theoretically the heat transfer created by the Andreev current $I_A$ flowing through an N-I-S tunnel junction, straightforwardly extending [5]. We have found that the work performed by the current source feeding the circuit with a current $I_A$ generates a Joule heating $I_A V$ that is deposited in the normal metal. The full heat balance equation for the normal metal electrons can then be written as:

$$2P_{cool} + P_{e-ph} + I_A V = 0.$$  

(3)

With this complete heat balance equation taken into ac-
In conclusion, we have devised a quantitative analysis of the current-voltage characteristics of S-I-N-I-S hybrid junctions at very low temperature. Our study demonstrates the importance in terms of heat transport of the Andreev current which arises from the confinement of phase-coherent quasi-particles in the vicinity of the interface. This Andreev current-induced dissipation is with no doubt also of great importance in the behavior of S-N-S junctions with transparent interfaces, where a significant electron heating can be observed.

The authors are grateful to Nanofab-CNRS for sample fabrication. We acknowledge useful discussions with J. P. Pekola, M. Houzet and A. Vasenko. This work was funded by EU STREP project 'SFINx' and by NanoSciERA project 'Nanofridge'.