Polyhedral hyperbolic metrics on surfaces+Erratum

François Fillastre

► To cite this version:

François Fillastre. Polyhedral hyperbolic metrics on surfaces+Erratum. *Geometriae Dedicata*, 2008, 134, pp.177–196. 10.1007/s10711-008-9252-2 . hal-00201880v2

HAL Id: hal-00201880

<https://hal.science/hal-00201880v2>

Submitted on 15 Sep 2008

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

ERRATUM TO “POLYHEDRAL HYPERBOLIC METRICS ON SURFACES”

FRANÇOIS FILLASTRE

In the last section of [Fil08] it is proved that the map $\mathcal{I}$ is a finite-sheeted covering map between $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{M}$. As $\mathcal{M}$ is simply connected it is deduced that $\mathcal{I}$ is a homeomorphism. The fact that $\mathcal{P}$ is connected is missing. Here we provide a proof which is a simple adaptation of the argument for the case of the sphere [Ale05, 9.1.2]. The Fuchsian case with finite vertices was already done in [Fil07].

For the parabolic case it is convenient to introduce a projective model of the hyperbolic-de Sitter space, which is a direct extension of a model of the hyperbolic space known as paraboloid model [Thu97, 2.3.13] or as parabolic model [BH99, 10.25]. Let ℓ be a light-like vector of $\mathbb{R}_1^4$, the Minkowski space of dimension 4. The paraboloid model can be obtained by a central projection in $\mathbb{R}_1^4$ of $\widetilde{\text{HS}}_\ell^3$ onto a light-like hyperplane parallel to $\ell^\perp$. In this model $\widetilde{\text{HS}}_\ell^3$ is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^3$, geodesics are sent to straight lines, the boundary $\ell^\perp$ of dS_ℓ^3 is sent to infinity and we choose coordinates such that ℓ is sent to $(0, 0, \infty)$. We denote by D the part of the boundary at infinity of $\mathbb{H}^3$ sent to the paraboloid of equation $2z = -x^2 - y^2$. The hyperbolic space is sent below D and dS_ℓ^3 is sent above D . Horospheres centered at ℓ are sent to paraboloids $2z = -x^2 - y^2 + t$, where t is a real number. The orthogonal projection onto the horospheres centered at ℓ along the lines starting from ℓ corresponds to the vertical projection in this model.

For the Fuchsian case we consider the Klein projective model, the plane fixed by the Fuchsian groups is the horizontal one and we take for D the upper half part of the unit sphere. The orthogonal projection onto caps corresponds to the vertical projection in this model.

Let $(P, G) \in \mathcal{P}$. We denote by $\mathcal{P}^{(P, G)}$ the subset of $\mathcal{P}$ made with elements (Q, G) such that Q has its vertices on the same vertical lines as the vertices of P . We also denote by $\overline{\mathcal{P}}^{(P, G)}$ the corresponding subset in the space of invariant convex HS-polyhedra (*i.e.* we remove the condition that edges must meet hyperbolic space).

The *height* of a vertex v is the signed vertical Euclidean distance between D and v . For an ideal vertex the height is zero. It is positive for a strictly hyperideal vertex and negative for a finite vertex. For example in the parabolic case if v has coordinates (a, b, c) , its height is $c + (a^2 + b^2)/2$. Each element of $\overline{\mathcal{P}}^{(P, G)}$ is defined by the $(n + m + p)$ heights of vertices which are inside a fundamental domain for the action of G (the polyhedral surface is then the convex hull of the orbits for G of these $(n + m + p)$ vertices). In the following we identify $\overline{\mathcal{P}}^{(P, G)}$ with a subset of $\mathbb{R}^{n+m+p}$.

The condition for an element of $\mathbb{R}^{n+m+p}$ to correspond to an element of $\overline{\mathcal{P}}^{(P, G)}$ is that each vertex must lie outside the convex hull of the other vertices. That means

that if v is one of the $(n + m + p)$ vertices and v_1, v_2, v_3 are any other vertices such that v is contained inside the vertical prism defined by v_1, v_2, v_3 , then the plane spanned by v_1, v_2, v_3 must lie below v . This gives (an infinite number of) affine conditions on the heights of the $(n + m + p)$ vertices. We then get a convex subset of $\mathbb{R}^{n+m+p}$ and if $(x_1, \dots, x_{n+m+p})$ are the coordinates of the Euclidean space, we have to intersect this convex subset with $x_i < 0$ if the i th coordinate corresponds to a finite vertex, with $x_i > 0$ if it corresponds to a strictly hyperideal vertex and with $x_i = 0$ if it is a finite vertex. Hence $\overline{\mathcal{P}}^{(P,G)}$ is a convex subset of $\mathbb{R}^{n+m}$.

Let us call (P^i, G) the ideal convex invariant polyhedron whose vertices are the vertical projection of the ones of P onto D ((P^i, G) is the origin of $\mathbb{R}^{n+m}$ for the coordinates we introduced). It is lying on the boundary of $\overline{\mathcal{P}}^{(P,G)}$ as slightly pushing up and down suitable vertices of (P^i, G) gives an element of $\overline{\mathcal{P}}^{(P,G)}$.

Hence in $\overline{\mathcal{P}}^{(P,G)}$ there is a segment s from (P, G) to 0 . Actually s is lying in $\mathcal{P}^{(P,G)}$: along s the heights of the strictly hyperideal vertices decrease, and if we start from a polyhedron with all edges meeting hyperbolic space, this property is preserved all along the deformation.

Let us denote by $\mathcal{P}^i$ the set of ideal convex invariant polyhedra with $(n + m + p)$ vertices in a fundamental domain. We described a continuous path in $\mathcal{P}$ from any element of $\mathcal{P}$ such that the other endpoint of its completion is in $\mathcal{P}^i$. This last space is path-connected as it is homeomorphic to the Teichmüller space of $(n + m + p)$ marked points on the compact surface $\overline{S}$. Moreover any continuous path in $\mathcal{P}^i$ can be approximated by a path in $\mathcal{P}$. Hence $\mathcal{P}$ is path connected.

REFERENCES

- [Ale05] A. D. Alexandrov. *Convex polyhedra*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2005.
- [BH99] M. R. Bridson and A. Haefliger. *Metric spaces of non-positive curvature*, volume 319 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999.
- [Fil07] F. Fillastre. Polyhedral realisation of hyperbolic metrics with conical singularities on compact surfaces. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 57(1):163–195, 2007.
- [Fil08] F. Fillastre. Polyhedral hyperbolic metrics on surfaces. *Geom. Dedicata*, 134:177–196, 2008.
- [Thu97] W. P. Thurston. *Three-dimensional geometry and topology. Vol. 1*, volume 35 of *Princeton Mathematical Series*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1997. Edited by Silvio Levy.