

A necessary and sufficient condition for exact recovery by l_1 minimization.

Charles Dossal

► **To cite this version:**

Charles Dossal. A necessary and sufficient condition for exact recovery by l_1 minimization.. 2011.
<hal-00164738v2>

HAL Id: hal-00164738

<https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-00164738v2>

Submitted on 25 Nov 2011

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

A necessary and sufficient condition for exact sparse recovery by ℓ_1 minimization

Charles Dossal^a,

^aIMB, Université Bordeaux 1, 351, cours de la Libération, F-33405 Talence cedex (FRANCE)

Abstract

In this paper, a new sharp sufficient condition for exact sparse recovery by ℓ_1 -penalized minimization from linear measurements is proposed. The main contribution of this paper is to show that, for most matrices, this condition is also necessary. Moreover, when the ℓ_1 minimizer is unique, we investigate its sensitivity to the measurements and we establish that the application associating the measurements to this minimizer is Lipschitz-continuous.

Résumé

Une condition nécessaire et suffisante d'identifiabilité parcimonieuse par minimisation ℓ_1 . Dans cet article, une nouvelle condition suffisante pour l'identifiabilité parcimonieuse par minimisation ℓ_1 pénalisée à partir de mesures linéaires est proposée. La contribution majeure de ce travail est de prouver que pour la plupart des matrices, cette condition est aussi nécessaire. Par ailleurs, lorsque le minimiseur du problème ℓ_1 est unique, sa sensibilité aux mesures est étudiée et il est montré que l'application qui envoie les mesures sur ce minimiseur est Lipschitz-continue.

1. Introduction

Let $x^0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$ be a vector and A be a real matrix with n rows and N columns. Let y^0 be n linear measurements of x^0 , i.e. $y^0 = Ax^0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, where typically $n < N$. In this paper, we propose a *necessary and sufficient* condition ensuring that x^0 can be recovered from y^0 by solving the following optimization problem $P_1(y^0)$

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} \|x\|_1 \quad \text{such that} \quad y = Ax. \quad P_1(y)$$

There is of course a huge literature on the subject, and covering it fairly is beyond the scope of this paper. We restrict our overview to those works pertaining to ours. For instance, our new condition can be seen as an extension of [3] and [?]. Indeed, in [3], the following optimization problem (so-called Lasso problem)

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} \frac{1}{2} \|y - Ax\|_2^2 + \gamma \|x\|_1. \quad P_1(y, \gamma)$$

is studied. It is proved that any x^0 belonging to the set \mathcal{F} is the unique solution of $P_1(Ax^0)$, where

$$\mathcal{F} = \{x \text{ such that } \text{rank}(A_I) = |I| \text{ and } \forall j \notin I, \quad |\langle a_j, A_I(A_I^t A_I)^{-1} \text{sign}(x_I) \rangle| < 1\},$$

where I is the support of x , A_I the active matrix associated to x , whose columns are those of A indexed by I , x_I are the non-zero components of x and a_j is the column of A indexed by j . In the sequel, $\text{Span}(a_i)_{i \in I}$ will be denoted V_I . The sufficient condition developed in [3] plays a pivotal role in several papers which investigate support recovery in presence of noise by solving $P_1(y, \gamma)$, e.g. [1,4,2].

In [?], the Exact Recovery Condition ERC is defined. this condition does not depend on the sign but only on the support of x^0 and provides results about the recovery of x^0 and stability to noise.

The goal of this paper is to show that the set of vectors that can be recovered by ℓ_1 minimization is exactly, for most matrices, $\bar{\mathcal{F}}$ the closure of \mathcal{F} . This result highlights the fact that

$$\max_{j \notin I} |\langle a_j, A_I(A_I^t A_I)^{-1} \text{sign}(x_I) \rangle| \text{ and } \|A_I(A_I^t A_I)^{-1} \text{sign}(x_I)\|_2$$

are good indicators of the identifiability, and brings arguments justifying the success of algorithms developed in [?] to find very sparse but non-identifiable vectors.

Email address: charles.dossal@math.u-bordeaux1.fr (Charles Dossal).

Before proceeding, let us fix some terminology and definitions. A vector x^0 is said *identifiable* if and only if it is the unique solution of $P_1(Ax^0)$.

Definition 1.1 Let $(x^i)_{i \leq N}$ be N points of \mathbb{R}^n . These points $(x^i)_{i \leq N}$ are said in *general position (GP)* if all affine subspaces of \mathbb{R}^n which dimension $k < n$ contain at most $k + 1$ points x^i . A matrix A satisfies condition (GP) if for all sign vector $S \in \{-1, 1\}^N$, points $(S[i]a_i)_{i \leq N}$ are in general position.

It can be noticed that for any matrix A , the matrix $A + E$ satisfies condition (GP) with probability 1 if E is any random perturbation with a an absolutely continuous density with respect to the Lebesgue measure: with this definition most matrices satisfy condition (GP).

2. Contributions

The contributions of this paper are summarized as follows.

Theorem 2.1 If $x^0 \in \overline{\mathcal{F}}$, then x^0 is identifiable.

Theorem 2.2

(i) If x^0 is identifiable, and if for all y in a neighborhood of $y^0 = Ax^0$ $P_1(y)$ has only one solution, then $x^0 \in \overline{\mathcal{F}}$.

(ii) If A satisfies (GP), for all $y \in \text{Im}(A)$, $P_1(y)$ has a unique solution and x is identifiable if and only if $x \in \overline{\mathcal{F}}$.

Theorem 2.3 If for all $y \in \text{Im}(A)$ the solution of $P_1(y)$ is unique, the application ϕ associating y to this solution is Lipschitz.

3. Preliminary Lemmas

The two following Lemmas can be found in [3].

Lemma 3.1 A vector x^* is a solution of $P_1(y, \gamma)$ if and only if

$$A_I^t(y - Ax^*) = \gamma \text{sign}(x_I^*) \text{ and } \forall j \notin I |\langle a_j, y - Ax^* \rangle| \leq 1$$

where the support of x^* is denoted by I .

Lemma 3.2 If for a vector x^* the matrix A_I satisfies $\text{rank}(A_I) = |I|$ and

$$A_I^t(y - Ax^*) = \gamma \text{sign}(x_I^*) \text{ and } \forall j \notin I, |\langle a_j, A_I(A_I^t A_I)^{-1} \text{sign}(x_I^*) \rangle| < 1$$

then x^* is the unique minimizer of $P_1(y, \gamma)$.

Lemma 3.3 If x^0 is the unique solution of $P_1(Ax^0)$ then $\text{rank}(A_I) = |I|$.

Proof: If there exists $h \in \text{Ker}(A)$ that is supported on $I = I(x^0)$, one has for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $A(x^0 + th) = Ax^0$. Consequently the application $t \mapsto \|x^0 + th\|_1$ is locally affine in a neighborhood of 0. It follows that there exists $t \neq 0$ such that $\|x^0 + th\|_1 \leq \|x^0\|_1$. ■

Lemma 3.4 For all $y \in \text{Im}(A)$ there exists a solution x to $P_1(y)$ such that $\text{rank}(I) = |I|$. ■

Proof: Let x^0 be a solution of $P_1(y)$. If $\text{rank}(I) < |I|$, there exists $h \in \text{Ker}(A)$ that is supported on I . For a suitable t , $I(x^0 + th) \subsetneq I(x^0)$ and $x^0 + th$ is a solution of $P_1(y)$. ■

Lemma 3.5 If $x^0 \in \mathcal{F}$, then x^0 is the unique solution of $P_1(Ax^0)$

Proof: Lemma 3.2 shows that x^0 is the unique solution of $P_1(A_I(x_I^0 + \gamma(A_I^t A_I)^{-1} \text{sign}(x_I^0)), \gamma)$ if $\gamma > 0$ is small enough. ■

Lemma 3.6 Let $y \in \text{Im}(A)$ and $(\gamma_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ a sequence of positive real numbers tending vers 0. If $(x^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ are some solutions of $P_1(y, \gamma_n)$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x^n = x^0$ one gets that x^0 is a solution of $P_1(y)$.

4. Proofs

4.1. Proof of theorem 2.1

From Lemma 3.5 one has that vectors in \mathcal{F} are identifiable. Since $A_I(A_I^t A_I)^{-1} \text{sign}(x_I)$ only depends on the sign and the support of x , \mathcal{F} is a union of cones of various dimensions. It follows that the closure $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$ of \mathcal{F} is exactly equal to the set of vectors x^0 that can extended into a vector of \mathcal{F} :

$$\overline{\mathcal{F}} = \{x^0 \text{ such that } \exists x^1 \text{ such that } I(x^0) \cap I(x^1) = \emptyset \text{ and } x^0 + x^1 = x^2 \in \mathcal{F}\}$$

Let us now suppose that $x^0 \in \overline{\mathcal{F}}$, and that there exists x^1 such that $I(x^0) \cap I(x^1) = \emptyset$ and $x^2 = x^0 + x^1$ belongs to \mathcal{F} .

Choose $x^3 \in \mathbb{R}^N$ such that $Ax^0 = Ax^3$ and define $x^4 := x^3 + x^1$. We have $Ax^4 = Ax^2$ and since $x^2 \in \mathcal{F}$, x^2 is the unique solution of $P_1(Ax^2)$ which implies that $\|x^2\|_1 < \|x^4\|_1$. It follows that

$$\|x^2\|_1 = \|x^0\|_1 + \|x^1\|_1 < \|x^4\|_1 \leq \|x^3\|_1 + \|x^1\|_1, \quad (1)$$

which implies $\|x^0\|_1 < \|x^3\|_1$. That is, x^0 is the unique solution of $P_1(Ax^0)$.

4.2. Proof of theorem 2.2

Proof: Let $y \in \text{Im}(A)$ and $(\gamma_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ a sequence of positive real numbers tending to 0. From Lemma 3.4 we can always choose a solution $x(\gamma_n)$ of $P_1(y, \gamma)$ such that the associated active matrix A_{I_n} has a full rank. Since the number of possible supports and signs is finite, up to an extraction of a subsequence, we can suppose that all $x(\gamma_n)$ share the same support I and the same sign S . From Lemma 3.1, we know that $x(\gamma_n)$ satisfies

$$x(\gamma_n)_I = x_I^0 - \gamma_n (A_I^t A_I)^{-1} S \text{ and } \forall j \notin I \quad |\langle a_j, y - Ax(\gamma_n) \rangle| \leq \gamma \quad (2)$$

where x^0 is the vector supported on I such that $x_I^0 = (A_I^t A_I)^{-1} A_I^t y$. Using Lemma 3.6, x^0 is a solution of $P_1(y)$. From (2) we deduce that $I(x^0) \subset I$ for γ_n small enough. It follows that $y - Ax(\gamma_n) = \gamma A_I (A_I^t A_I)^{-1} S = \gamma d_{I,S}$ and thus $\forall j \notin I, |\langle a_j, d_{I,S} \rangle| \leq 1$

Let us define J to be the set of all indices such that $|\langle a_j, d_{I,S} \rangle| = 1$. One can first notice that $I \subset J$.

We now show that

- (i) either $(a_j)_{j \in J}$ are linearly dependent and one can build vectors x^1 close to x^0 such that $P_1(x^1)$ has several solutions, in which case condition (GP) cannot be satisfied;
- (ii) or $(a_j)_{j \in J}$ are linearly independent and $x(\gamma_n) \in \overline{\mathcal{F}}$ and $x^0 \in \overline{\mathcal{F}}$ and x^0 is identifiable.
- (i) Suppose that $(a_j)_{j \in J}$ are linearly dependent and let $\varepsilon > 0$. Define $K \subsetneq J$ such that $I \subset K$ and $(a_k)_{k \in K}$ is a basis of $V_J = \text{Span}(a_j)_{j \in J}$ and $j_0 \in J \cap K^c$. Define $S_K \in \mathbb{R}^{|K|}$ by $S_K = A_K^t d_{I,S}$. Then, S_K is a sign vector and x^1 the vector supported on K defined by

$$x_K^1 = x_K^0 + \frac{\varepsilon}{\|S_K\|_2} S_K$$

Now choose $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough to ensure that $\text{sign}(x_{I(x^0)}^1) = \text{sign}(x_{I(x^0)}^0)$. From definition of x^1 , it follows that $\|x^0 - x^1\|_2 = \varepsilon$. For γ small enough the support and the sign of x^1 and the vector $x^1(\gamma)$ supported in K and defined by $x^1(\gamma)_K = x_K^1 - \gamma (A_K^t A_K)^{-1} S_K$ are identical.

We shall prove that $x^1(\gamma)$ is a solution of $P_1(Ax^1, \gamma)$ using Lemma 3.1. Indeed

$$A_K^t (Ax^1 - Ax^1(\gamma)) = \gamma S_K$$

The vector $S = A_I^t d_{I,S}$ is the common sign of vectors $(x(\gamma_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x(\gamma_n) = x^0$ it follows that for all $i \in I(x^0) \subset I$, $\text{sign}(x^0[i]) = S[i]$. Since for all $i \in I$, $S[i] = \langle a_i, d_{I,S} \rangle = S_K[i]$ it follows that $S_K = \text{sign}(x_K^1)$. Moreover $\text{sign}(x_K^1) = \text{sign}(x^1(\gamma)_K)$ which yields that

$$A_K^t (Ax^1 - Ax^1(\gamma)) = \gamma \text{sign}(x^1(\gamma)_K)$$

Since $d_{I,S} \in V_I \subset V_K$, one has $d_{I,S} = A_K (A_K^t A_K)^{-1} A_K^t d_{I,S} = A_K (A_K^t A_K)^{-1} S_K$. If $j \notin K$,

$$|\langle a_j, Ax^1 - Ax^1(\gamma) \rangle| = \gamma |\langle a_j, A_K (A_K^t A_K)^{-1} S_K \rangle| = \gamma |\langle a_j, d_{I,S} \rangle| < \gamma,$$

and by Lemma 3.1 it follows that $x^1(\gamma)$ is a solution of $P_1(Ax^1, \gamma)$. Now Lemma 3.6 shows that x^1 is a solution of $P_1(Ax^1)$. Choose some $h \in \text{Ker}(A)$ that is supported on $K \cup \{j_0\}$ and such that $h[j_0] = S[j_0] = a_{j_0}^t d_{I,S}$. Denote h_K the restriction of h on indices K . Since $0 = Ah = S[j_0] a_{j_0} + A_K h_K$ and $S_K = A_K^t d_{I,S}$ one has

$$\langle \text{sign}(x_K^1), h_K \rangle = S_K^t h_K = d_{I,S}^t A_K h_K = -S[j_0] d_{I,S}^t a_{j_0} = -1$$

Moreover, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $A(x^1 + th) = Ax^1$ and for small non negative t

$$\|x^1 + th\|_1 = \|x^1\|_1 + t + t \langle \text{sign}(x^1), h_K \rangle = \|x^1\|_1,$$

which shows that x^1 is not the unique minimizer of $P_1(Ax^1)$.

Notice that for each $l \in K \cup \{j_0\}$, $S[l] a_l \in V_K$ and $S[l] a_l$ belongs to the affine hyperplan $\mathcal{H}_{d_{I,S}} =$

$\{u, \text{ such that } \langle u, d_{I,S} \rangle = 1\}$. Hence at least $|K| + 1$ points $S[l]a_l$ belong to an affine subspace $V_K \cap \mathcal{H}_{d_{I,S}}$ which dimension equals to $|K| - 1$. Therefore, (GP) is not satisfied.

- (ii) Let us now suppose that the $(a_j)_{j \in J}$ are linearly independent. Define $S_J = A_J^t d_{I,S}$, $\forall i \in I$, $S[i] = S_J[i]$. Let x^1 be the vector supported on J such that for all $i \in I(x^0)$, $x^1[i] = x^0[i]$ and for all $j \in J \cap I(x^0)^c$, $x^1[j] = S_J[j]$. One has $\text{sign}(x_J^1) = S_J$ and since $d_{I,S} \in V_I \subset V_J$, one has $d_{I,S} = A_J(A_J^t A_J)^{-1} A_J^t d_{I,S} = A_J(A_J^t A_J)^{-1} S_J$. It follows that for all $l \notin J$,

$$|\langle a_l, A_J(A_J^t A_J)^{-1} S_J \rangle| = |\langle a_l, d_{I,S} \rangle| < 1$$

implying that $x^1 \in \mathcal{F}$ and hence $x^0 \in \overline{\mathcal{F}}$. ■

4.3. Proof of theorem 2.3

The proof relies on the following lemma

Lemma 4.1 *For all $y^0 \in \text{Im}(A)$, there exists ε_0 such that for all $y \in \text{Im}(A) \cap \mathcal{B}(y^0, \varepsilon_0)$, one has $I(\phi(y^0)) \subset I(\phi(y))$*

Proof: To prove this lemma we will prove that for any $y^0 \in \text{Im}(A)$ and any sequence $(y^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ tending to y^0 , any subsequence $(y^{u_n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $I(\phi(y^{u_n})) = J_{u_n} = J$ is constant, the support J satisfies $J \supset I = I(\phi(y^0))$.

Denote by $x^0 = \phi(y^0)$ and $I = I(x^0)$. One has $x^0(I) = (A_I^t A_I)^{-1} A_I^t y^0 = A_I^+ y^0$. Let $(y^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ a sequence of elements of $\text{Im}(A)$ tending to y^0 and $x^n = \phi(y^n)$. Up to an extraction of a subsequence, one can suppose that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $I(x^n)$ is constant. Denote by J this common support. Then $x_J^n = (A_J^t A_J)^{-1} A_J^t y^n = A_J^+ y^n$. Let $x^\infty = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x^n$ and $x_J^\infty = A_J^+ y^0$. Let z^n be the sequence of vectors supported on I defined by $z_I^n = A_I^+ y^n$. By the definition of z^n , $A z^n = P_{V_I} y^n$. Let K be a set such that $(a_k)_{k \in K}$ is a basis of $\text{Im}(A)$, and v^n the vector supported on K such that $v_K^n = A_K^+(P_{V_I^\perp}(y^n))$. One has

$$A(z^n + v^n) = y^n \text{ and } \|z^n + v^n\|_1 \leq \|z^n\|_1 + \|v^n\|_1$$

Noticing that

$$\|v^n\|_1 = \|A_K^+(P_{V_I^\perp}(y^n - y^0))\|_1 \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

One deduces that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|z^n + v^n\|_1 = \|x^0\|_1$. Moreover $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x^n\|_1 = \|x^\infty\|_1$ and since $\|x^n\|_1 \leq \|z^n + v^n\|_1$ we deduce that $\|x^\infty\|_1 \leq \|x^0\|_1$ and finally $x^0 = x^\infty$ since $x^0 = \phi(y^0)$. Thus one has $I = I(x^0) = I(x^\infty) \subset J$ which concludes the proof of the lemma. ■

Let $y^0 \in \text{Im}(A)$. There exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that for all $y \in \text{Im}(A) \cap \mathcal{B}(y^0, \varepsilon_0)$, there exists J satisfying $I \subset J$. Moreover $x = \phi(y)$ is supported in J and $x_J = A_J^+ y$. Since $I \subset J$, one also has $x_J^0 = A_J^+ y^0$ and thus $\|x - x^0\|_2 = \|A_J^+(y - y^0)\|_2$. One deduces that

$$\forall y \in \text{Im}(A) \cap \mathcal{B}(y^0, \varepsilon_0), \quad \|\phi(y^0) - \phi(y)\|_2 \leq C \|y^0 - y\|_2 \text{ with } C = \max_{J, \text{rank}(A_J)=|J|} \lambda_{\max}(A_J^+)$$

which concludes the proof of the theorem.

References

- [1] E.J. Candès and Y. Plan. Near ideal model selection via l1 minimization. *Ann Statist*, 2007.
- [2] Ch. Dossal, M.L. Chabanol, G. Peyré, and j. Fadili. Sharp support recovery from noisy random measurements by l1 minimization. *Applied and Computational Harmonic Analysis*, 2011.
- [3] J.J. Fuchs. On sparse representations in arbitrary redundant bases. *IEEE-I-IT*, 2002.
- [4] Wainwright. Sharp thresholds for high-dimensional and noisy sparsity recovery using ℓ_1 -constrained quadratic programming(lasso). *IEEE Trans. Info. Theory*, 55(5):2183–2202, 2009.