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Transport and mixing properties of passive particles advected by an array of vortices are investigated. Starting from the integrable case, it is shown that a special class of perturbations allows one to preserve separatrices which act as effective transport barriers, while triggering chaotic advection. In this setting, mixing within the two dynamical barriers is enhanced while long range transport is prevented. A numerical analysis of mixing properties depending on parameter values is performed; regions for which optimal mixing is achieved are proposed. Robustness of the targeted mixing properties regarding errors in the applied perturbation are considered, as well as slip/no-slip boundary conditions for the flow.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Since its uncovering, chaotic advection [1, 2] has drawn much attention as its consequences on both transport and mixing properties of a given flow are fundamental. This phenomenon is intimately related to Lagrangian chaos, and translates the fact that despite the laminar character of a flow Lagrangian trajectories of a fluid or passive particles may end up being chaotic. In this setting, transport and mixing properties are drastically changed [3, 4, 5, 6]. In chaotic regions of the flow, mixing induced by molecular diffusion becomes often negligible in regards to the mixing induced by the dynamics. Regarding transport properties, the triggering of chaotic advection also plays a key role. Indeed, in contrast to the fully predictive integrable situation, tackling transport properties of individual passive particles is subject to sensitivity to initial conditions and implies a necessary probabilistic approach. This leads in some cases to a diffusion equation with an enhanced diffusion coefficient when compared to the molecular diffusion one [7], but also to non-Gaussian mixing properties when compared to the molecular diffusion one [7], but also to non-Gaussian transport properties and mixing properties are drastically changed [3, 4, 5, 6]. In chaotic regions of the flow, mixing induced by molecular diffusion becomes often negligible in regards to the mixing induced by the dynamics. Regarding transport properties, the triggering of chaotic advection also plays a key role. Indeed, in contrast to the fully predictive integrable situation, tackling transport properties of individual passive particles is subject to sensitivity to initial conditions and implies a necessary probabilistic approach. This leads in some cases to a diffusion equation with an enhanced diffusion coefficient when compared to the molecular diffusion one [7], but also to non-Gaussian transport properties and mixing properties are drastically changed [3, 4, 5, 6].

Since its uncovering, chaotic advection [1, 2] has drawn much attention as its consequences on both transport and mixing properties of a given flow are fundamental. This phenomenon is intimately related to Lagrangian chaos, and translates the fact that despite the laminar character of a flow Lagrangian trajectories of a fluid or passive particles may end up being chaotic. In this setting, transport and mixing properties are drastically changed [3, 4, 5, 6]. In chaotic regions of the flow, mixing induced by molecular diffusion becomes often negligible in regards to the mixing induced by the dynamics. Regarding transport properties, the triggering of chaotic advection also plays a key role. Indeed, in contrast to the fully predictive integrable situation, tackling transport properties of individual passive particles is subject to sensitivity to initial conditions and implies a necessary probabilistic approach. This leads in some cases to a diffusion equation with an enhanced diffusion coefficient when compared to the molecular diffusion one [7], but also to non-Gaussian transport properties and mixing properties are drastically changed [3, 4, 5, 6].

Lagrangian chaos in two dimensional incompressible flows is triggered generically when the flow becomes time-dependent [7, 8]. The trajectories of fluid particles or passive tracers are not confined on field lines and chaos appears. For these type of flows the dynamics of fluid particles is Hamiltonian, with the stream function acting as the Hamiltonian. The canonically conjugate variables are the space variables, and the phase space is the two dimensional physical space. This particularity allows direct visualization of phase space in experiments, making it a test bed used to confront theoretical results on Hamiltonian dynamics with experiments. More specifically, passive tracer dynamics belong to the class of Hamiltonian systems with one and a half degrees of freedom. General mixing and transport properties of these systems are now well understood, especially when the time dependence is periodic and Poincaré maps are computed to analyze phase space topology. Typically the dynamics is not ergodic: A chaotic sea surrounds various islands of quasi-periodic dynamics. The anomalous transport properties and their multi-fractal nature are then linked to the existence of islands and the phenomenon of stickiness observed around them [25, 26].

In most studies regarding this type of phenomena, the time dependent perturbation is given a priori or self-generated. Transport and mixing properties are thoroughly investigated and general laws are extrapolated or the origin of phenomena explained (see [21, 22, 23, 24]). The influence of phase space topology and its understanding is clear, and can be used to explain synchronization phenomena [20]. However one is still somewhat constrained by an a priori imposed time dependence. Recently, approaches of tailoring specific perturbations in order to modify phase space have been proposed [19, 20] and a specific one has been applied to an array of alternating vortices [8]. Due to the strong influence of invariant tori forming regular islands on global transport and mixing properties, acting on phase space topology even locally (for instance, by building a transport barrier [8, 23] or by destroying regular islands [8]) can have strong consequences.

In this paper we address the problem of targeted mix-
The paper is organized as follows: In Sec. II we recall some basic notions of passive scalar dynamics in two dimensional incompressible flows. Then we give a short review of possible Hamiltonian control techniques we use in order to tailor a perturbation best suited for our needs. In Sec. III, we apply these techniques to flows modeled by the stream function (1). First we derive the perturbation needed in order to build virtual barriers along the channel and thus limit transport within only a small region of phase space. Then among all possible perturbations, we define criteria needed to achieve good mixing within the two barriers and analyze for which perturbations and parameters these criteria are satisfied. Then, we consider the robustness of the proposed perturbation with respect to a simpler time dependence, slip boundary conditions, three dimensional effects and molecular diffusivity. After showing the efficiency of such perturbations in enhancing mixing, we analyze the set of parameters for which efficient mixing is expected using the residue method.

II. A STRATEGY FOR MIXING INSIDE A CELL

The term advection by definition, relates to the action of being moved by and with a flow. In mathematical terms, this translates in the general equation for a passive tracer:

$$\dot{r} = v(r, t),$$  

(3)

where $r$ locates the passive tracer in space, $v$ corresponds to the velocity field, and $\dot{r}$ corresponds to the time derivative of $r$. In the case of two dimensional incompressible flows, the study of the field can be described by a scalar function, i.e. the stream function $\psi(r, t)$. The velocity field is then obtained by $v = \text{curl}(\psi \hat{z})$, where $\hat{z}$ is the unit vector normal to the flow. Equation (3) is rewritten using the stream function and exhibits a Hamiltonian structure for the flow:

$$\dot{x} = -\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y}, \quad \dot{y} = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x},$$  

(4)

where $(x, y)$ corresponds to the coordinates of the tracer on the plane. The space variables $(x, y)$ are canonically conjugate for the stream function $\psi$ which acts as the Hamiltonian of the system. Hence the phase space is formally the two dimensional physical space (with the addition of time).

In this section, our aim is to briefly recall some Hamiltonian techniques allowing to some extent, to tailor phase space in order to achieve targeted mixing for the considered flows, namely construct a perturbation in order to obtain optimal chaotic mixing in a localized region of phase space. First we construct a family of perturbations
which create transport barriers, then we use the residue method \( [32] \) in order to find optimal mixing regimes in parameter space after the barriers have been created.

### A. Constructing a perturbation with a barrier

We consider a generic time-independent stream function (Hamiltonian) \( \Psi_0(x, y) \) describing a fluid in a two-dimensional channel of height \( \pi \), i.e. \( (x, y) \in \mathbb{R} \times [0, \pi] \) (see Fig. 1). We assume that there exists an invariant curve which prevents the advection of tracers from the left to the right of that curve. We denote the equation of this curve \( x = \varphi(y) \). The invariance condition \([\dot{x} = \varphi'(y)\dot{y} \text{ if } x = \varphi(y)]\) of tracers on this curve translates into:

\[
\Psi_0(\varphi(y), y) = \text{constant},
\]

for all \( y \in [0, \pi] \) by using Eqs. (1). In the case of Eq. (1), this transport barrier is a heteroclinic connection between two hyperbolic fixed points located at \( y = 0 \) and \( y = \pi \).

In order to enhance mixing, the system is perturbed by a time-dependent forcing (depending also on \( x \) and \( y \) in general). As a consequence, its dynamics is generically no longer integrable, and chaotic trajectories fills portions of the channel (these are parts where mixing occurs). However, as a side effect, the transport barrier is broken and trajectories start to diffuse along the channel (in the \( x \)-direction).

In the following, we propose to design a time-dependent forcing of the flow described by \( \Psi_0 \) which preserves the transport barrier as well as the chaotic mixing. The aim is to find an appropriate forcing which preserves a bounded domain of the channel with an enhanced chaotic mixing inside. This domain can be bounded by two dynamical barriers as mentioned above.

A main practical requirement is that the forcing should be as simple as possible to be implemented. Consequently, we start by investigating perturbations which only depends on \( y \) and \( t \). Furthermore, for practical reasons, we restrict the perturbations to time-periodic ones, and the period is chosen as \( 2\pi \) without loss of generality. More precisely, we search for a perturbation \( f(y, t) \) which modifies the stream function \( \Psi_0 \) into:

\[
\Psi_c(x, y, t) = \Psi_0 [x + f(y, t), y]. \tag{5}
\]

In order to simplify the computations, we perform a generic translation in \( x \) (which corresponds to a canonical transformation in the Hamiltonian setting):

\[
\begin{align*}
\tilde{x} &= x + \partial_y \beta(y, t), \\
\tilde{y} &= y,
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \beta \) is a function to be specified later. In the new variables \( \tilde{x} \) and \( \tilde{y} \), the dynamics is described by the stream function:

\[
\tilde{\Psi}_c(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, t) = \Psi_0 [\tilde{x} + f(\tilde{y}, t) - \partial_y \beta(\tilde{y}, t), \tilde{y}] - \partial_t \beta(\tilde{y}, t).
\]

In order to have an invariant curve acting as a barrier in the channel, the perturbation \( f \) is such that the stream function evaluated at \( \tilde{x} = \varphi(\tilde{y}) \) is only a function of time, since the barrier is time-independent (in the moving frame). For simplicity, we consider solutions for which the stream function vanishes:

\[
\Psi_0 [\varphi(y) + f(y, t) - \partial_y \beta(y, t), y] - \partial_t \beta(y, t) = 0, \tag{6}
\]

which is a single equation with two unknown functions, \( \beta \) and \( f \). In principle, there are an infinite set of solutions. Depending on other requirements, some solutions are more appropriate than others. In the following, we choose

\[
f(y, t) = \varphi(y) - \partial_y \beta(y, t) = \Phi(t),
\]

where \( \Phi(t) \) is any function of \( t \). Equation (6) implies

\[
\partial_t \beta(y, t) = \Psi_0 [\Phi(t), y].
\]

This equation has solutions provided that the mean value of \( \Psi_0 [\Phi(t), y] \) with respect to time vanishes for all \( y \). This guides the choice for the function \( \Phi \). A possible solution for \( \beta \) is

\[
\beta(y, t) = \Gamma \Psi_0 [\Phi(t), y],
\]

where

\[
\Gamma v(y, t) = \sum_{k \neq 0} \frac{v_k(y)}{ik} e^{ikt},
\]

for \( v = \sum_k v_k(y)e^{ikt} \). The perturbation \( f \) is given by

\[
f(y, t) = \Phi(t) - \varphi(y) + \Gamma \partial_y \Psi_0 [\Phi(t), y]. \tag{7}
\]

The stream function \( \Psi_c \) given by Eq. (5) has an invariant curve whose equation is

\[
x = \varphi(y) - \Gamma \partial_y \Psi_0 [\Phi(t), y]. \tag{8}
\]

There exist many more solutions \( f \) than the one indicated here. These solutions are obtained by using more complex translation functions \( \beta \). However, they contain a lot more Fourier modes in \( y \), which make them more difficult to implement for the cases we consider in Sec. III. We notice that the perturbation \( f \) given by Eq. (7) as well as the equation of the transport barrier (8) are parameterized by a time-periodic function \( \Phi(t) \). This function \( \Phi \) is in general parameterized by essentially two parameters, its frequency and its amplitude. In parameter space, the dynamics characterized by the stream function \( \Psi_c \) exhibit drastically different behaviors depending on the values of parameters. In what follows, we use periodic orbits to determine the regions in parameter space where complete mixing inside the transport barriers occurs. This method is briefly explained in the next section.
B. Periodic orbit analysis

The dynamics of the perturbed flow as the one given by the stream function \( \Psi_c \) can be investigated by looking at the (linear) stability of specific periodic orbits, using indicators such as the residue. In order to “control” the flow, one can monitor the residues by varying the parameters of the system (like the frequency and the amplitude of the forcing), until specific bifurcations occur. In particular, the residue method allows one to predict the break-up (or creation) of invariant tori, which entails an enhancement (or reduction) of chaotic mixing.

We consider a Hamiltonian flow with 1.5 degrees of freedom which depends on a set of parameters \( \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^m \):

\[
\dot{r} = J \nabla_x \Psi(r, t; \lambda),
\]

where \( r = (x, y) \) and \( J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \). In order to analyze the linear stability properties of the associated periodic orbits, we also consider the Jacobian \( J^r(r) \) which evolves according to the tangent flow written as \( \dot{J} = J \nabla^2 \Psi(r, t; \lambda) J^r \),

\[
\frac{d}{dt} J^r(r) = J \nabla^2 \Psi(r, t; \lambda) J^r,
\]

where \( J^0 \) is the two-dimensional identity matrix and \( \nabla^2 \Psi \) is the Hessian matrix (composed of second derivatives of \( \Psi \) with respect to its canonical variables). For a given periodic orbit with period \( T \), the linear stability properties are given by the spectrum of the two-dimensional monodromy matrix \( J^T \). These properties can be synthetically captured in Greene’s definition \( [10, 11] \) of the residue:

\[
R = \frac{2 - \text{tr} J^T}{4},
\]

since \( \det J^T = 1 \). In particular, if \( R \in [0, 1] \), the periodic orbit is elliptic; if \( R < 0 \) or \( R > 1 \) it is hyperbolic; and if \( R = 0 \) and \( R = 1 \), it is parabolic.

The residues of a set of well selected periodic orbits provide - through linear stability analysis - information to detect the enhancement as well as the reduction of chaotic mixing \([12, 13]\). The actual change of dynamics has to be checked a posteriori by a nonlinear stability analysis (a Poincaré section for instance). The residues are used to discard regions in parameter space where large elliptic islands are present.

For this purpose, an alternative strategy is to use a “brute force” method and scan a whole range of physically relevant parameters, analyze transport and mixing properties (by a Poincaré map inspection for instance) and conclude on a domain of parameters where optimal mixing is achieved. Though it would be a complete analysis, this strategy is not reasonable to adopt because of the high number of cases to consider and also the computer time it takes to analyze a single case.

In order to circumvent these difficulties we choose to consider the residue method described in this section and follow in parameter space the stability of a well selected set of periodic orbits. Indeed due to the Hamiltonian nature of passive particles, one can expect a direct correspondence between non-mixing regions in physical space and islands of stability in phase space. The higher the period of the island the smaller is its size, hence by following the linear stability of elliptic periodic orbits with small period in parameter space, one should be able to define a potential optimal mixing region for which these orbits are unstable and associated with a mixing enhancement. Once this set of main periodic orbits has been defined by close inspection of several situations, the mixing region is obtained by looking at the bifurcation curves in parameter space, e.g., the set of parameters such that the residue is equal to one \( [12] \). We will show in the next section how to combine the creation of transport barriers and the residue method in a particular example of stream function given by Eq. (1).

III. ACHIEVING TARGETED MIXING IN AN ARRAY OF VORTICES

The stream function given by Eq. (1) models a cellular flow consisting of alternating vortices. If we restrict ourselves to \( y \in [0, \pi] \), we have a channel of alternating vortices with slip boundary conditions. From the Hamiltonian perspective, the advection of passive tracers is given by Eq. (1). Since the flow is steady, trajectories coincide with the fluid streamlines depicted in Fig. 2a.

Few basic facts explain the structures of the dynamics: Boundary conditions given in \( y = 0 \) and \( y = \pi \) constitute invariant curves. The system is \( 2\pi \)-periodic in the \( x \)-direction, i.e., along the channel. The system has hyperbolic fixed points located at \( x = m \pi \) for \( m \in \mathbb{Z} \) and \( y = 0 \) or \( y = \pi \). These points are joined by vertical heteroclinic connections for which the stable and unstable manifolds coincide corresponding to roll boundaries, at the origin of the cellular structure of the flow.

In order to obtain chaotic advection for two dimensional flows, one has to perturb the flow by a time-dependent forcing. For example, one can periodically force the roll patterns to oscillate in the \( x \)-direction \([21]\), in which case the stream function reads:

\[
\Psi_1(x, y, t) = \sin(x + \epsilon \sin \omega t) \sin y,
\]

where \( f(x, y, t) = \epsilon \sin \omega t \) acts as a perturbation. The parameter \( \epsilon \) and \( \omega \) are respectively the amplitude and the angular frequency of these lateral oscillations. The barriers (heteroclinic connections between the hyperbolic periodic orbits) are broken under the perturbation \( f \), which cause the passive particles to undergo chaotic advection along the channel \([13]\).

The streamlines corresponding to the stream function \( \Psi_1 \) given by Eq. (13) are depicted in Fig. 2b. We observe that the structures are essentially the same as those of the stream function \( \Psi_0 \) given by Eq. (1). However, the
periodic forcing now drives back and forth the roll patterns in the $x$ direction with a period $2\pi/\omega$. The surfaces $y = 0$ and $y = \pi$ are left invariant by the perturbation and the hyperbolic orbits persist on these surfaces. The Poincaré section for $\omega = 1$ and $\epsilon = 0.8$ (see Fig. 2).

FIG. 2: (a) Streamlines at $t = 0$ and and (b) Poincaré section of the stream function (10). The parameters are $\omega = 1$ and $\epsilon = 0.8$.

(b) shows how passive particles are spreading along the channel. Particle transport from roll to roll is greatly enhanced. However, an unmixed area characterized by regular trajectories is still present at the center of each vortex: It is composed of invariant tori of the dynamics. Consequently, though diffusion has appeared in the system due to the time-dependent perturbation, some regular patterns are persistent. Note that increasing the amplitude $\epsilon$ does not make them disappear; in particular, in the limit of large $\epsilon$ (with $\omega$ fixed), the system will be integrable as well.

A. Building transport barriers

In what follows, we propose to adjust the time periodic forcing as explained in Sec. II A. First we notice that $\Psi_0(m\pi, y) = 0$ for any $y \in [0, \pi]$ and all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. We apply the construction of the perturbation with $\phi(y) = 0$ (or equivalently $\phi(y) = 2m\pi$). In Eq. (11), we choose $\Phi(t) = \epsilon \sin \omega t$ which gives the perturbation:

$$f(y, t) = \epsilon \sin \omega t + \omega^{-1} \cos yC_{\epsilon}(\omega t),$$

(11)

where

$$C_{\epsilon}(t) = \Gamma \sin(\epsilon \sin t) = -2 \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{1}{2n + 1} J_{2n+1}(\epsilon) \cos(2n + 1)t,$$

(12)

and $J_n$ (for $n \in \mathbb{N}$) are Bessel functions of the first kind. In the numerics, we truncate the sum (12) to five modes.

We notice that since the stream function is still $2\pi$ periodic in the $x$-direction by construction, the invariant surface which has been created around $x = 0$ is also translated around $2m\pi$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. The equations of these transport barriers along the $x$-direction are:

$$x = 2m\pi - \omega^{-1} \cos yC_{\epsilon}(\omega t),$$

(13)

for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Notice that these barriers exist for arbitrary values of $\omega$ and $\epsilon$. Each of these barriers are heteroclinic connections between two hyperbolic periodic orbits:

$$x(t) = 2m\pi - \omega^{-1} C_{\epsilon}(\omega t) \quad \text{at} \quad y = 0,$$

$$x(t) = 2m\pi + \omega^{-1} C_{\epsilon}(\omega t) \quad \text{at} \quad y = \pi,$$

which move in opposite directions. Furthermore, the top and bottom boundaries of the channel remain invariant. This comes from the fact that the perturbation given by Eq. (11) is only applied in the $x$ term of $\Psi_0$.

Figure 3(a) depicts the streamlines of the stream function

$$\Psi_{\epsilon}(x, y, t) = \sin[x + \epsilon \sin \omega t + \omega^{-1} \cos yC_{\epsilon}(\omega t)] \sin y,$$

(14)

at $t = 0$ for $\omega = 1$ and $\epsilon = 0.8$. We notice that the displacement of the rolls remains periodic and parallel to the $x$-direction with an additional oscillating shear. The Poincaré section depicted on Fig. 3(b) reveals the dynamics of tracers which is very different from the one given by the stream function $\Psi_1$ given by Eq. (10) (see Fig. 2(b)). The main differences are that barriers suppressing long range chaotic transport along the channel are restored around $x = 0 \ (mod \ 2\pi)$ (bold curves), and that efficient mixing is achieved within the cell confined by two barriers. We observe that passive particles appear to invade the whole confined cell until the fluid is apparently fully mixed; most of the regular trajectories observed for the stream function $\Psi_1$ are broken by the perturbation.

FIG. 3: (a) Streamlines at $t = 0$ and (b) Poincaré section of the stream function (14). The parameters are $\omega = 1$ and $\epsilon = 0.8$.

In Fig. 3, a numerical simulation of the dynamics of a dye of tracers in the fluid is shown. The left column depicts the dynamics of tracers for the stream function (10). The right column shows the mixing of a dye within a cell delimited by two barriers created by the stream function (14). We see that the scattering of the dye, which leads to mixing, occurs through a combination of stretching and folding of the dye in both cases.
this map reveals the phase space structures where the ones with larger finite-time Lyapunov exponents. Hence, a small finite-time Lyapunov exponent, from the chaotic flow and the tangent flow over some time $\tau$. Let us consider the tangent flow (9), and define the maximum finite-time Lyapunov exponent by integrating the stream function (14). The parameters are $\omega = 1$ and $\epsilon = 0.8$.

B. Mixing analysis: Local Lyapunov exponent and mean recurrence time analysis

As the absence of the regular islands from the Poincaré sections does not guarantee the homogeneity of mixing, the study of local properties of mixing in phase space may provide extensive information. For this purpose we consider two different types of analysis, namely the Lyapunov map and the mean recurrence time. Both analysis are performed within the space of initial conditions.

First, in order to get insight into the action of the perturbation on the local stability properties of the system, we compute the Lyapunov map. This method provides local information in phase space. It has been introduced to detect ordered and chaotic trajectories in the set of initial conditions. It associates a finite-time Lyapunov exponent $\nu$ with an initial condition $(x_0, y_0)$ at $t = 0$. Let us consider the tangent flow (1), and define the maximum finite-time Lyapunov exponent by integrating the flow and the tangent flow over some time $\tau$ starting with some initial condition $(x_0, y_0)$:

$$\nu(x_0, y_0, \tau) = \frac{1}{\tau} \log |\lambda_{\text{max}}(x_0, y_0, \tau)|,$$

where $\lambda_{\text{max}}(x_0, y_0, \tau)$ is the largest (in norm) eigenvalue of $J^\tau$ (one can also use the eigenvalue of $J^{**}J^\tau$ where $J^{**}$ is the transposed matrix of $J^\tau$).

From the inspection of the map $(x_0, y_0) \mapsto \nu(x_0, y_0, \tau)$ for some given time $\tau$, one distinguishes the set of initial conditions leading to regular motion associated with a small finite-time Lyapunov exponent, from the chaotic ones with larger finite-time Lyapunov exponents. Hence, this map reveals the phase space structures where the motion of tracers is trapped on invariant tori, i.e. they highlight islands of stability located around elliptic periodic orbits. Mixing regions are characterized by high values of their finite-time Lyapunov exponents.

Figure 3 represents the Lyapunov maps for the dynamics of tracers given by the stream function $\Psi$ given by Eq. (14) for $\omega = 1.67$ and $\epsilon = 0.63$ (upper panel) and for $\omega = 1$ and $\epsilon = 0.8$ at a time $T = 200\pi$. The dark regions are characteristic of small values of the Lyapunov exponent. We notice that Fig. 4 (upper panel) shows small remaining islands which are barely noticeable in the Poincaré section (see Fig. 3 of Ref. [33]). For mixing studies, the Lyapunov diagnostic seems to be an appropriate tool to reveal small non-mixing regions. These regular regions have disappeared for $\omega = 1$ and $\epsilon = 0.8$ (lower panel). However, the Lyapunov map is not able to identify the transport barriers which means that locally near the barrier, the motion is as chaotic as inside the cell.

As seen above, by looking at the Lyapunov map, one can infer local mixing properties of the flow. However one can notice that since the created barrier is a separatrix and not a KAM torus as for instance in Ref. [31], the existence of the barrier cannot be detected by the Lyapunov map. To complement this analysis, we consider a second diagnostic namely a recurrence time analysis. An interesting property of return time distributions stems from the fact that they are known to be sensitive both to local and global dynamical properties of phase space. For instance, being in the neighborhood of a hyperbolic periodic orbit versus an elliptic one should affect the distribution [44]. Therefore the distribution should be affected if computed in the neighborhood of a separatrix, or if trapped within a regular region. Since we have already analyzed the local stability properties of the flow by computing the Lyapunov map, we will only consider the average first return time and define a scalar field $\tau(x, y)$ in the space of initial conditions. One can indeed assume that if near a given point $(x_0, y_0)$ with positive $\nu(x_0, y_0)$

FIG. 4: Numerical simulation of the dynamics of a dye at $t = 4T$, $t = 6T$, $t = 18\pi$ and $T = 2\pi$. (from top to bottom) : left column for the stream function (14) and right column for the stream function (14). The parameters are $\omega = 1$ and $\epsilon = 0.8$.

FIG. 5: Lyapunov maps for the dynamics of tracers given by the stream function $\Psi$ given by Eq. (14) for $\omega = 1.67$ and $\epsilon = 0.63$ (upper panel) and for $\omega = 1$ and $\epsilon = 0.8$ (lower panel) at a time $T = 200\pi$.}

```
the average return time $\tau(x_0, y_0)$ is large, then a dye of fluid would explore a large part of phase space and so it would be best to drop the dye in its neighborhood, than in an other point with similar $\nu$ but a smaller $\tau$.

Typically when analyzing return time statistics from a numerical perspective, one defines a small area $\Gamma$ in phase space and compute a distribution of return times of trajectories leaving the area. On one side due to the fact that, for Hamiltonian systems with finite phase space, the average return time is finite and scales as $1/\Gamma$ (Kac’s lemma), one has to be cautious not to take $\Gamma$ too small in order to carry long enough simulations and capture enough events to build a characteristic distribution. On the other hand, return time distributions are supposed to be computed for $\sim 10^6$ periods.

Recall that, for Hamiltonian systems with finite phase space, the truncation of the time series giving the time dependence of the perturbation, the slip boundary conditions, three dimensional effects, and molecular diffusivity.

\[ \Psi_c(t) = \sin[x + \epsilon \sin \omega t - 2\omega^{-1} J_1(\epsilon) \cos \omega t \cos y] \sin y, \]

\[ \Psi_0(x, y) = \sin x W(y), \]
FIG. 7: Functions $C_{\epsilon}(t)$ (solid line) and $-2J_1(\epsilon) \cos t$ (dotted line) for $t \in [0, 2\pi]$ and $\epsilon = 0.8$.

FIG. 8: Poincaré section computed with the trajectories of 1000 passive tracers and dynamics given by the stream function (13). The parameters are $\omega = 1$, $\epsilon = 0.8$. The integration time is 1000 periods.

where no-slip boundary conditions $\dot{x} = \dot{y} = 0$ at $y = 0$ and $y = \pi$ are obtained with

$$W(y) = \cos(q_0 y) - A_1 \cosh(q_1 y) \cos(q_2 y)$$
$$+ A_2 \sinh(q_1 y) \sin(q_2 y),$$

(17)

with $q = y/\pi - 1/2$, $q_0 = 3.973639$, $q_1 = 5.195214$, $q_2 = 2.126096$, $A_1 = 0.06151664$ and $A_2 = 0.103887$ (see Ref. [45]). In this setting the resulting streamlines of the unperturbed stream function (16) are very similar to the ones in Fig. 2(a) with the difference that the velocity vanishes at the top and bottom of the channel.

In order to test the effect of the proposed perturbation (11) on the stream function (16), we consider the following perturbed stream function:

$$\Psi_{\epsilon}(x, y, t) = \sin[x + \epsilon \sin \omega t + \omega^{-1} W'(y) C_{\epsilon}(\omega t)] W(y),$$

(18)

Note that the no-slip boundary conditions are maintained at $y = 0$ and $y = \pi$. A Poincaré section for the stream function (18) is displayed in Fig. 8 for $\omega = 1$ and $\epsilon = 0.8$. The barriers are broken and we observe long range chaotic transport of passive particles along the channel. However, the mixing properties are maintained in the major part of the channel, except for some areas where some very small regular regions remain.

Nevertheless, the exact perturbation of the stream function (18) can be derived following the method developed in Sect. II A. It gives the following stream function:

$$\Psi_{\epsilon}(x, y, t) = \sin[x + \epsilon \sin \omega t + \omega^{-1} W'(y) C_{\epsilon}(\omega t)] W(y),$$

(19)

which preserves the no-slip boundary conditions at $y = 0$ and $y = \pi$. The resulting Poincaré section depicted on Fig. 9 for $\omega = 1$ and $\epsilon = 0.8$, shows, as in the case of Fig. 3(b), that the stream function (19) keeps the transport barriers and the mixing properties.

3. Three dimensional effects

If the flow is bounded, depending on the size of the boundary layers and the fluid extension in the third direction, non uniform vorticity can give rise to a secondary instability leading to a three-dimensional flow (Ekman pumping). Hence, for the considered perturbed flow, we may have to take into account the weakly three dimensional case, which may be given by the empirical flow (see for instance [44]):

$$\dot{x} = -\sin x \cos y + \epsilon_1 \sin 2x \sin z,$$
$$\dot{y} = \cos x \sin y + \epsilon_1 \sin 2y \sin z,$$
$$\dot{z} = 2\epsilon_1 \cos z[\cos 2x + \cos 2y].$$

(20)

Note that the strength of the third component of the flow is characterized by $\epsilon_1$. 
In order to study how well the two-dimensional barrier fares in this three-dimensional flow, we apply the perturbation given by Eq. (11) to the right hand side of Eq. (21). The perturbed system is then given by:

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x} &= -\sin x \cos y + \epsilon_1 \sin 2x \sin z \\
&\quad + \omega^{-1} C_\epsilon(\omega t) \cos x \sin^2 y,
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{y} &= \cos x \sin y + \epsilon_1 \sin 2y \sin z,
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\dot{z} = 2\epsilon_1 \cos z \cos 2x + \cos 2y,
\]

where \(x = x + \epsilon \sin \omega t + \omega^{-1} \cos y C_\epsilon(\omega t)\). We notice that an additional term has been added to \(\dot{x}\) in order to ensure a divergence free field.

Keeping a two-dimensional point of view of the system (21), we visualize the projections in the \((x, y)\) plane of the position of passive tracers. When considering \(\epsilon_1 = 0.005\) and \(4.10^4\) passive particles at time \(t = 10T\), where \(T = 2\pi\), an effective barrier remains as it is shown in Fig. 11(a) and mixing properties are not affected, but we observe some advected particles which escape from the cell. However as depicted in Fig. 11(b), when the integration time is \(t = 100T\) (for the same value of \(\epsilon_1\) and number of particles), the barrier still influences the motion but leaks since a more significant number of particles get through these barriers.

4. Molecular diffusivity

Finally, we have up to now considered ideal passive tracers, which are not subject to any molecular diffusivity, which may be a good approximation for high Peclet numbers. However it is likely that for any finite molecular diffusivity the barrier will leak. In order to illustrate this phenomenon, we consider that tracers are actually subject to a Langevin equation associated with the stream function \(\Psi_c\) given by Eq. (14):

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x} &= -\frac{\partial \Psi_c}{\partial y} + b_x(t), \\
\dot{y} &= \frac{\partial \Psi_c}{\partial x} + b_y(t),
\end{align*}
\]

where \(b_x(t)\) and \(b_y(t)\) are two independent delta-correlated white noises, with zero mean and a given amplitude \(\mu\). Numerical results are displayed in Fig. 12, where \(\mu = 4.10^{-2}\) (which corresponds to Peclet number of \(P_c \approx 600\), \(\omega = 1\) and \(\epsilon = 0.8\). In order to avoid crossing across the “walls” \(y = \pi\) and \(y = 0\), we took \(b_y = 0\).

One can see that for this type of Peclet values, the transport barrier is indeed leaking, and in fact the truncation of \(C_\epsilon(t)\) does not affect how much the barrier leaks.

In summary the robustness of the proposed perturbation in different settings has been investigated. One may infer that the most drastic effects are induced by boundary conditions. On the other hand provided that the right perturbation is computed, one concludes that if three-dimensional effects are weak and Peclet number is high enough, a truncation to the first term of the series \(C_\epsilon(t)\) is sufficient, and actually implementing more terms seems useless.

D. Targeted mixing regimes

It was shown in Sec. 1A, that a perturbation allows one to localize tracers into a finite volume of phase space. However, depending on the values of the parameters of the perturbation \((\omega, \epsilon)\) in Eq. (14), some regular islands may exist and prevent complete mixing inside the cell. In this section we propose to identify the domain of parameters (if any) such that these islands do not exist and the cell become fully mixing.

This domain in parameter space can be determined by analyzing the linear stability of a few periodic orbits of the system as discussed in Sec. 1B. These orbits are those with low rotation numbers, around which the resonant islands organize. Indeed, an island is organized around a central elliptic orbit: If the latter were to turn hyperbolic, the dynamics might become (locally) chaotic.
since generically chaos is expected in the neighborhood of hyperbolic orbits by an infinite number of intersections between its stable and unstable manifolds. In order to monitor these orbits, we use a scalar indicator of linear stability, such as the residue (see Sec. II B). The fully mixing regime will then correspond to the residues of selected periodic orbits being below 0 or above 1. However, the change of linear stability of a given periodic orbit might not change its nonlinear stability and still preserve invariant tori in its neighborhood. This linear stability analysis of periodic orbits has to be completed by an a posteriori check to determine whether or not the regular island has disappeared with the elliptic periodic orbit turning hyperbolic.

Let us consider the following range of parameters $(\omega, \epsilon) \in [0.6, 2.2] \times [0, 2.2]$. Inspection of several Poincaré sections reveals that in this range, it is essentially the nature of eight periodic orbits which drives the mixing properties inside the cell. However, thanks to the symmetry with respect to the point $(x, y) = (\pi, \pi/2)$, this set reduces to only four orbits: Three of them have rotation number one, namely $O_1$, $O_1^\alpha$ and $O_1^\beta$, while the fourth one, called $O_2^\alpha$ has a rotation number $Q = 2$. Depending on the value of the parameters $(\omega, \epsilon)$, these orbits can be elliptic - and create resonant islands around them -, or hyperbolic. In order to describe the nature of each of these four orbits for a given value of parameters, we use the nomenclature $[N(O_1)N(O_2)N(O_1^\alpha)N(O_1^\beta)]$, with $N(O) = h$ if $O$ is hyperbolic, $e$ if elliptic, and 0 if it does not exist. Let us precise that there also exists a hyperbolic orbit with $Q = 2$, called $O_2^\beta$, which forms a Birkhoff pair with $O_2^\alpha$. As it remains hyperbolic in the range of parameters under consideration, it only provides a better understanding of the bifurcation process, but does not influence the mixing properties inside the cell.

Figure 13 depicts Poincaré sections for eight different values of parameters. These cases illustrate some possible mixing regimes in the considered range of parameters. Figures 13(a)–13(d) (corresponding respectively to $[hh00]$, $[he00]$, $[eh00]$ and $[ee00]$) show how $O_1$ and $O_2^\alpha$ can coexist in their two forms (elliptic and hyperbolic), the full mixing regime being reached when both are hyperbolic as in Fig. 13(a) where it is $[hh00]$. We notice that when $O_1$ or $O_2^\alpha$ is elliptic, the mixing is only prevented by the elliptic island. Only small secondary islands are observed (for instance, in Fig. 13(c)). This reinforces the importance of the considered set of periodic orbits for mixing properties.

For smaller values of $\omega$, the orbits $O_1^\alpha$ and $O_1^\beta$ may also appear (if $\omega \leq 1.2$ and large $\epsilon$ for $O_1^\alpha$, or $\omega \leq 1$ and small $\epsilon$ for $O_1^\beta$), and their nature have to be taken into account, as one can see on Fig. 13(e) $[hhe0]$, where the hyperbolicity of $O_1$ and $O_2^\alpha$ is not sufficient to ensure the full mixing inside the cell, as $O_1^\alpha$ is present in its elliptic form. Mixing can still be obtained in presence of $O_1^\beta$, as can be seen on Fig. 13(f) which corresponds to the case $[hh0h]$. The opposite case $[ee0e]$ is depicted on Fig. 13(h) where all three orbits are elliptic and almost no mixing occurs.

Finally, one can see on Fig. 13(g) which is $[e000]$ how for large values of $\omega$ (typically beyond 2), $O_2^\beta$ no more exists, while $O_1$ stays elliptic: Furthermore, new resonant islands have appeared, associated with new periodic orbits (with $Q = 3$ and $Q = 5$ as shown). This illustrates the fact that in another range of parameters, the dynamics may be guided by higher order periodic orbits which are associated with smaller islands.
A better insight in the bifurcation scheme can be gained by varying only one parameter at a time. Figure 14 depicts the residue curves associated with the orbits $O_1$, $O_2^+$, $O_2^-$, $O_1^+$ and $O_1^-$ when varying $\epsilon$ and keeping $\omega$ constant. Two curves have been computed in the intermediate frequency range $\omega = 1.42$ and $\omega = 1.67$. In the intermediate regime, only three of these five orbits exist, namely $O_1$ (plain line), $O_2^+$ and $O_2^-$ (resp. upper and lower dash-dotted lines).

For $\omega = 1.42$ (see Fig. 14(a)) and for low $\epsilon$, both $O_1$ and $O_2^+$ are elliptic: only partial mixing occurs. While $O_2^+$ turns hyperbolic as $\epsilon$ is increased (around $\epsilon \approx 0.2$), $O_1$ remains elliptic until $\epsilon \approx 0.4$. $O_1$ turns from elliptic to hyperbolic by merging with $O_2^-$, according to the process:

$$O_2^- [h] + O_1 [e] \rightarrow O_1 [h].$$

(23)

This bifurcation does not happen just for this particular choice of parameters, but appears to be fairly generic in this range of parameters. For a better illustration of this process, the behavior of the eigenvalues of the monodromy matrix associated with $O_1$ is shown on Fig. 15 (for $\omega = 1.67$, similar to the case $\omega = 1.42$). The two eigenvalues, initially conjugated on the unity circle (i.e. $O_1$ is elliptic), encounter a period doubling bifurcation when they reach 1, and leave the unity circle: $O_1$ has turned hyperbolic. Then they are of the form $(\lambda, 1/\lambda)$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^*$. Eventually, the phenomenon will revert, the eigenvalues going back on the circle and $O_1$ to ellipticity. For $\epsilon$ between 0.4 and 0.9, the orbits $O_1$, $O_2^+$ and $O_2^-$ are all hyperbolic, acknowledging a complete mixing. Then, increasing further $\epsilon$, the orbit $O_2^+$ turns elliptic after $\epsilon \approx 0.9$, and hence mixing decreases. It remains so until it merges with the hyperbolic $O_1$, at $\epsilon \approx 1.1$, to give an elliptic $O_1$ (parabolic at the transition), according to the scheme:

$$O_2^+[e] + O_1[h] \rightarrow O_1[e].$$

(24)

Beyond $\epsilon \approx 1.4$, the only remaining orbit, $O_1$, stays elliptic.

For $\omega = 1.67$ (see Fig. 14(b)), the dynamics is more regular: While bifurcation still occurs, resulting in an hyperbolic $O_1$, the residue of $O_2^+$ never crosses 1, which means that the orbit stays elliptic. Around $\epsilon \approx 0.9$, it merges with the hyperbolic $O_1$ to give an elliptic $O_1$, according to the bifurcation (23). Beyond this point, $O_1$ will stay elliptic: Full mixing cannot be achieved for such values of $\omega$.

Three other curves illustrate the behaviors at low frequency, i.e. $\omega = 0.8$ and $\omega = 0.58$, and at a high frequency $\omega = 2.08$.

For $\omega = 0.8$ (see Fig. 14(c)), the bifurcation scheme is more complicated due to the presence of the orbit $O_1^*$ and $O_1^+$ (resp. right and left dashed line). The orbits can either exist in an elliptic or hyperbolic way, or not exist at all. The two latter cases, combined with $O_1$ and $O_2^+$ hyperbolicity, are suitable for a fully mixing regime.

For low $\epsilon$, though $O_2^+$ turns hyperbolic very soon (at $\epsilon \approx 0.05$), $O_1^+$ is elliptic until $\epsilon \approx 0.2$, when it disappears. However, because of the ellipticity of $O_1$, full mixing cannot occur until it also turns hyperbolic, at $\epsilon \approx 0.3$. Then complete mixing is achieved until $\epsilon \approx 1.5$, when $O_2^+$ turns back elliptic, soon followed by $O_1$ when they merge according to the bifurcation scheme (23). Note that around $\epsilon \approx 1.6$, orbit $O_1^+$ appears, but full mixing is no more possible because of $O_1$ ellipticity.

Then, for $\omega = 0.58$, the bifurcation scheme is more intricate due to the fact that $O_1^+$ turns hyperbolic. For low $\epsilon$, despite $O_2^+$ and $O_1$ soon turn hyperbolic (at $\epsilon \approx 0.2$ for the latter), $O_1^+$ remains elliptic until $\epsilon \approx 0.5$, when its residues goes above 1: full mixing is then achieved (see Fig. 13(f)), until the orbit returns to ellipticity at $\epsilon \approx 0.65$. However, it disappears at $\epsilon \approx 0.7$, and then only $O_1$ and $O_2^+$ are present, in their hyperbolic form; thus mixing is achieved anew. It remains so until $\epsilon \approx 1.1$, when $O_1^+$ comes into play, being elliptic. Furthermore, it will also be hyperbolic (around $\epsilon \approx 2$), but only when $O_1$ has turned back to ellipticity: mixing will not occur any longer.

For large $\omega$ ($\omega = 2.08$, see Fig. 14(e)), the dynamics is more regular. The orbits $O_1^+$ and $O_2^-$ do not exist, and the only remaining orbit, $O_1$, never encounters any bifurcation, and stays elliptic. Complete mixing cannot be achieved in this case either.

Now, instead of varying the amplitude $\epsilon$ of the forcing, we vary its frequency $\omega$ and keep $\epsilon$ constant. For $\epsilon = 0.8$ (see Fig. 16(a)) and for $\omega$ below 0.55, the orbit $O_1^+$ is hyperbolic as well as $O_1$ and $O_2^+$: The cell is fully mixing. Soon after $O_1^+$ turns elliptic at $\omega \approx 0.55$, it disappears around $\omega \approx 0.57$; the remaining orbits are hyperbolic until $O_2^+$ turns elliptic ($\omega \approx 1.55$). Finally, at $\omega \approx 1.8$, the elliptic $O_2^+$ merges with the hyperbolic $O_1$ (as described by the bifurcation (23)) to give an elliptic $O_1$: Only partial mixing is achieved.

For $\epsilon = 0.4$ (see Fig. 16(b)), the situation is slightly different: For low $\omega$, the orbit $O_1^+$ (plain line) is present and mostly elliptic. Its residue is higher than one only in a small range of $\omega$ (around $\omega = 0.61$, see the inset of Fig. 16(b)], and only this small domain of $\omega$ is suitable for complete mixing, since $O_1$ and $O_2^+$ are hyperbolic for small $\omega$. Then, for $\omega \approx 0.7$, the elliptic $O_1^+$ disappears, and as long as $O_1$ (dashed line) and $O_2^+$ (dash-dotted line) are hyperbolic, the cell is still fully mixing. Around $\omega = 1$, $O_1$ turns elliptic and so mixing is only partial. Moreover, when it turns back to hyperbolicity for $\omega \approx 1.55$, the orbit $O_2^+$ soon becomes elliptic: The parameter range available for complete mixing is small. Finally, $O_2^+$ merges with the hyperbolic $O_1$ through the bifurcation (23), leaving an elliptic $O_1$: Full mixing cannot be achieved any more.

Figure 17 summarizes the residue study with the domain of ellipticity/hyperbolicity of these orbits. The do-
FIG. 15: Modulus of the eigenvalues of orbit $O_1$ for the stream function (14) with $\omega = 1.67$.

FIG. 16: Residue curves for the stream function (14) as functions of the frequency $\omega$: The plain line corresponds to the residues of $O_1$, the dashed one to the residues of $O_1^+$ and the dash-dotted to the ones of $O_2^+$ (upper curve) and $O_2^-$ (lower curve). The dotted lines indicate the locations of the bifurcations.

The gray colored domain is the most suitable for complete mixing, since $O_1$ and $O_2^+$ are hyperbolic, while $O_1^-$ and $O_2^+$ do not exist. The domain (f) would also be suitable; however, in this range of parameters, new orbits are born when $\epsilon$ decreases.
mixing is obtained when all regular structures are broken by the perturbation. We have shown here that this optimal mixing can be obtained in a small domain of phase space by combining two strategies: First, by constructing two transport barriers, confining the fluid in a bounded region of the channel. Generically, it is expected that the motion inside this bounded cell is a mixture of regular (non-mixing) regions and chaotic (mixing) ones. Then, using the linear stability of a few selected periodic orbits (represented by their residues) and the identification of bifurcations, we gave conditions on the parameters of the system (represented by the amplitude and the frequency of the periodic forcing) such that a high mixing occurs in the cell. We have shown that complete mixing is expected in a large region of parameter space. The mixing properties have been a posteriori analyzed and confirmed by computing a finite time Lyapunov map of initial conditions space. Moreover a strategy for placing an initial drop of dye has been proposed by combining the information of the finite time Lyapunov map with a map in initial conditions space of average return times. Finally, we have shown that the strategy we developed is robust to several effects like truncations of the Fourier series giving the exact shape of the perturbation, three-dimensional effects and molecular diffusion. When no-slip boundary conditions apply, it has to be taken into account in the computation of the perturbation since the restored transport barrier are not robust without this, although the good mixing properties do not seem to be affected.

IV. CONCLUSION AND PERSPECTIVES

Time-periodic perturbations are able to generate chaotic mixing in two-dimensional channels. Optimal mixing is obtained when all regular structures are broken by the perturbation. We have shown here that this optimal mixing can be obtained in a small domain of phase space by combining two strategies: First, by constructing two transport barriers, confining the fluid in a bounded region of the channel. Generically, it is expected that the motion inside this bounded cell is a mixture of regular (non-mixing) regions and chaotic (mixing) ones. Then, using the linear stability of a few selected periodic orbits (represented by their residues) and the identification of bifurcations, we gave conditions on the parameters of the system (represented by the amplitude and the frequency of the periodic forcing) such that a high mixing occurs in the cell. We have shown that complete mixing is expected in a large region of parameter space. The mixing properties have been a posteriori analyzed and confirmed by computing a finite time Lyapunov map of initial conditions space. Moreover a strategy for placing an initial drop of dye has been proposed by combining the information of the finite time Lyapunov map with a map in initial conditions space of average return times. Finally, we have shown that the strategy we developed is robust to several effects like truncations of the Fourier series giving the exact shape of the perturbation, three-dimensional effects and molecular diffusion. When no-slip boundary conditions apply, it has to be taken into account in the computation of the perturbation since the restored transport barrier are not robust without this, although the good mixing properties do not seem to be affected.
