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Abstract

Synaptic partner selection and refinement of projections are important in the development of precise and functional neuronal connections. We investigated the formation of new synaptic connections in a relatively mature system to test if developmental events can be recapitulated at later stages, i.e after the mature synaptic organisation has been established, using a model of post-lesional reinnervation in the olivo-cerebellar pathway.

During the development of this pathway, synaptic connections between climbing fibers (CFs) and Purkinje cells (PCs) are diffuse and redundant before synapse elimination refines the pattern. The regression of CFs during the first two post-natal weeks in the rat leads to mono-innervation of each PC. After unilateral transection of the rat olivo-cerebellar pathway and intracerebellar injection of BDNF 24 h post-lesion, axons from the remaining inferior olive can sprout into the deafferented hemicerebellum and establish new contacts with denervated PCs at later developmental stages. We found that these contacts are first established on somatic thorns before the CFs translocate to the PC dendrites, recapitulating the morphological steps of normal CF-PC synaptogenesis but on a relatively mature PC.

However, electrophysiology of PC reinnervation by transcommissural CFs in these animals showed that each PC is reinnervated by only one CF. This mono-innervation contrasts with the reinnervation of grafted immature PCs in the same cerebellum. Our results provide evidence that relatively mature PCs do not receive several olivary afferents during late reinnervation, suggesting a critical role of the target cell state in the control of CF – PC synaptogenesis. Thus synapse exuberance and subsequent elimination are not a prerequisite to reach a mature relationship between synaptic partners.
Introduction

A striking feature of the central nervous system is the high degree of precision in the wiring of its diverse neuronal populations. Once an axonal growth cone has reached a target field, how do synaptic partners interact to achieve this precise connectivity? In the mammalian brain, broad initial projections are refined to give more precise patterns of connectivity (Purves and Lichtman, 1980; Katz and Shatz, 1996). Are these appropriate synaptic connections re-formed in cases of reinnervation? We wanted to assess the influence of target cell maturation in synapse formation and stabilisation by asking whether events of early synaptogenesis are reproduced when reinnervation occurs in a relatively mature system. To answer this question, we used a denervation-reinnervation model to study neosynaptogenesis after the period of normal developmental synaptic refinement.

The olivo-cerebellar system is a good model to address these questions for a number of reasons. The mature olivo-cerebellar connection displays a one-to-one relationship between the afferent climbing fibre (CF) and the target Purkinje cell (PC: Eccles et al., 1966). This particular synaptic relationship results from the withdrawal of supernumerary CFs during the first post-natal weeks (Crepel et al., 1976; Mariani et al., 1977; Mariani and Changeux, 1981a, b; Lohof et al., 1996; Hashimoto and Kano, 2005). During the period of synapse elimination, precise olivo-cerebellar connectivity is also achieved at the subcellular level with the translocation of CFs terminals from sites on the PC somata to their final location on proximal dendrites. Around post-natal day 5 (P5) in the rat, when PCs have short immature dendrites, CFs make perisomatic contacts on the PCs, forming the somatic nest (Ramon y Cajal, 1911). Later, CF terminals move up the growing dendrites and reach the proximal dendritic compartment by P10-P15 (Larramendi, 1969; Mason et al., 1990; Chedotal and Sotelo, 1993).
Following unilateral transection of the olivo-cerebellar pathway (pedunculotomy) during the first post-natal week, the axotomised neurons of the inferior olive (IO) degenerate and new axons arising from the unaffected IO grow through the cerebellar white matter into the denervated hemicerebellum and establish new synapses with PCs (Angaut et al., 1982; Sherrard et al., 1986; Zagrebelsky et al., 1997; Sugihara et al., 2003). During this early post-natal period, neosynaptogenesis involves a transient phase of multi-innervation, indicating that young PCs can be reinnervated by multiple CFs (Lohof et al., 2005). After this period of plasticity, no spontaneous reinnervation occurs (Sherrard et al., 1986) but it can be induced by the injection of neurotrophic factors (Sherrard and Bower, 2001, 2003).

In this study we induced CF reinnervation with injections of BDNF into the denervated hemivermis of rats pedunculotomised at P15 (Dixon and Sherrard, 2006). Our results show that functional synapses form rapidly on the PC soma and thereafter translocate to the dendrites during the first week of reinnervation. These morphological events recapitulate the normal developmental pattern. However, multiple CF innervation does not occur, indicating that the PC has a determinant role in the control of synaptogenesis, particularly in selecting the number of CF afferents.
Materials and Methods

Animals and surgical procedures

Wistar rats were used according to the regulations of the Comité National d’Ethique pour les Sciences de la Vie et de la Santé which are in accordance with the European Communities Council Directive (86/609/EEC). All surgical procedures were performed under diethylether (SDS) anesthesia. At 15 days postnatal, rats of either sex underwent unilateral transection of the left inferior cerebellar peduncle (pedunculotomy: Px15) as previously described (Bower and Waddington, 1981; Lohof et al., 2005). Some animals were sham-operated: the knife was inserted in the fourth ventricle but not rotated, leaving the inferior peduncle intact. After 24 h, recombinant human BDNF (1 µl, 500-1000 ng/µl; Regeneron, Tarrytown, NY or Alomone Laboratories, Jerusalem, Israel) was injected at 10-15 sites into lobules VI, VII and VIII of the left hemivermis to induce reinnervation of deafferented PCs as described by Sherrard and Bower (Sherrard and Bower, 2001). Although lobules VI-VIII were targeted for the BDNF injection, the pipette enters the cerebellum at an angle, and other regions of the cerebellum (notably lobules IV-V) were sometimes injected. Vehicle (phosphate buffered saline, pH 7.3 with bovine serum albumin 0.1%; PBS-BSA) was injected in some pedunculotomised or sham-operated animals as controls. Animals were returned to the dam after surgical intervention. Successful pedunculotomies were assessed by gross inspection of the lesion when the rats were sacrificed for electrophysiological or anatomical experiments.

For graft experiments, cerebellar primordia from 14-day-old Wistar rat embryos were dissected in PBS with 0.6% glucose (PBSG, pH 7.3). Grafts were injected into lobules VI-VIII of the left cerebellar vermis of P7 rats as described previously (Gardette et al., 1990). Pedunculotomy and BDNF injection were carried out at P15 as described above. Grafted,
lesioned, BDNF-injected animals were used for electrophysiology only if the pedunculotomy was complete and the graft was localised in the BDNF-injected region of the left hemivermis.

**Immunohistochemistry and confocal microscopy**

Immunocytochemical visualization of PCs and CFs terminals was done 2 days post-injection (DPI) and 9 DPI in cerebellar cortex of pedunculotomised (Px15) or sham-operated rats, injected either with BDNF or with vehicle. Under isofluorane anesthesia operated rats were perfused transcardially with 4% paraformaldehyde in 0.1 M phosphate buffered (pH 7.3). The brains were removed and post-fixed in the same fixative at 4 °C overnight. Parasagittal sections (50 µm-thick) were cut from the left and right vermis (1mm on either side of the midline) using a vibrating microtome (VT1000S, Leica, Vienna, Austria) and collected in 0.1 M PBS (pH 7.3). Non-specific sites were saturated for 1 h in PBS containing 0.02% gelatine, 0.25% Triton X-100 and 0.1 M lysine in PBS (PBS-GTL, pH 7.3). The sections were then incubated overnight at 4 °C in a mixture of polyclonal guinea pig (GP) anti-vesicular glutamate transporter 2 (VGLUT2) anti-serum (1:3000; Chemicon, Temecula, California) and polyclonal rabbit anti-Calbindin D-28K anti-serum (1:5000; Swant, Bellinzona, Switzerland) in PBS-GTL (pH 7.3). VGLUT2 is expressed by both cerebellar mossy fibers and climbing fibers in the internal granule cell layer but VGLUT2 is specific for climbing fibres in the molecular layer (Fremeau et al., 2001). Calbindin is a specific marker for cerebellar Purkinje cells (Celio, 1990). After incubation with the primary antibodies, sections were rinsed in PBS and incubated for 2 h in a mixture of Cy3-conjugated donkey anti-GP IgG and FITC-conjugated donkey anti-rabbit (each at 1:200 dilution; Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories, West Grove, Pennsylvania) in PBS-GTL (pH 7.3). Omitting the primary antibody eliminated the corresponding pattern of labelling. Sections were mounted in Mowiol
(Calbiochem, La Jolla, California) and examined using either standard fluorescence microscopy (Eclipse E800, Nikon, Tokyo, Japan) or an inverted confocal microscope (DM IRBE, Leica).

Morphological analysis

To quantify somatic versus dendritic innervation by CFs, vibratome sections were double immunolabelled for CaBP and VGLUT2 and fluorescence images were taken with a standard microscope equipped with a CCD camera (DFC 300FX, Leica). Only PCs with both the soma and the dendritic tree visible were taken into account to define subcellular CF localisation: PCs were classed into groups with or without somatic CF contacts. We considered that a somatic interaction existed when at least four VGLUT2 positive varicosities were visible on the soma surface. Some sections were observed using confocal microscopy to allow more detailed characterisation of these somatic and dendritic contacts.

In a second series of measurements on these same vibratome sections, we estimated CF translocation onto PC dendritic trees using ImageJ (NIH) software to analyse images of histological sections immunolabelled for CaBP and VGLUT2. We defined rectangular areas corresponding to 1) the depth of the molecular layer occupied by PC dendritic trees and 2) the corresponding depth also occupied by VGLUT2-positive CF arbors. The ratio of these two areas provides an index of the CF extent on the dendritic tree.
Immunoelectron Microscopy

Anesthetised Px15-BDNF and control (sham-operated, injected with either BDNF or vehicle) rats (100 mg/kg ketamine; 5 mg/kg xylazine) were perfused transcardially at 2-3 DPI with a mixture of 4% paraformaldehyde and 0.5% glutaraldehyde in 0.1 M PB (pH 7.3). Brains were post-fixed by immersion in 4% paraformaldehyde overnight at 4 °C. Parasagittal sections (50 μm-thick) were cut using a vibrating microtome (VT1000S, Leica) and collected in phosphate buffered saline (PBS, pH 7.3). Plasma membranes were permeabilised either by 30 minutes’ incubation in a solution containing 0.05% Triton X-100, or by 3 freeze / thaw cycles in liquid nitrogen after cryoprotection in 20% sucrose and 20% glycerol in PBS for 1 h. After rinsing in PBS, non-specific binding was blocked for 1 h with 0.02% gelatine and 0.1 M lysine in PBS (PBS-GL, pH 7.3). Sections were incubated overnight at 4 °C in PBS-GL containing polyclonal GP anti-VGLUT2 anti-serum (1:3000; Chemicon). Sections were subsequently rinsed in PBS and incubated with donkey biotin-conjugated anti-GP IgG (1:200; Chemicon) for 2 h, and then incubated with avidin-biotin peroxidase complex (Elite ABC kit, Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA) for 30 min. Finally, immunoreactivity was visualized with DAB (0.5 mg/ml) and hydrogen peroxide (0.03%). Sections were observed under light microscopy, and reinnervated regions dissected out. These dissected blocks were then post-fixed in 2% glutaraldehyde in 0.1 M PB for 10 min and then in 1% OsO₄ in 0.1 M PB for 30 min at room temperature. After dehydration in a graded ethanol series and treatment with propylene oxide, the blocks were embedded in Araldite. Ultrathin sections (50 nm) were cut with an ultramicrotome (Ultracut Reichert, Vienna, Austria), stained with 2% uranyl acetate in 50% ethanol and finally examined with a transmission electron microscope (H 7500, Hitachi, Tokyo, Japan) equipped with a digital camera (AMT, Danvers, Massachusetts). PC profiles were easily identifiable based on ultrastructural criteria (Larramendi and Victor,
1967; Larramendi, 1969; Palay and Chan-Palay, 1974; Altman and Bayer, 1997). CFs were identified by their morphology and by the presence of DAB reaction product.

**Electrophysiology**

Cerebellar parasagittal slices (200 µm thick) from the left hemivermis were prepared as described previously (Llano et al., 1991) from normal and pedunculotomised / BDNF injected (Px-BDNF) rats between 2 DPI and 6 DPI, and from grafted, pedunculotomised and BDNF injected rats between 2 DPI and 4 DPI. We recorded from PCs in the same region of the cerebellar vermis (within 1mm from the midline) as the anatomical studies because it is consistently reinnervated (Dixon and Sherrard, 2006). We made whole-cell patch clamp recordings from PCs using an upright microscope (BX50WI, Olympus France, Rungis, France) at 20 °C. Resistance of patch pipettes was 3-6 MΩ when filled with a solution containing (in mM): 120 Cs D-Gluconate, 13 biocytin, 10 HEPES, 10 BAPTA, 2 TEACl, 2 Na₂ATP, 2 MgATP, 0.2 NaGTP, pH 7.3, 290-300 mOsm. Slices were continuously perfused with a bath solution containing (in mM): 125 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 1.25 NaH₂PO₄, 26 NaHCO₃, 2 CaCl₂, 1 MgCl₂, 25 glucose and bubbled with 95% O₂ and 5% CO₂. Picrotoxin (100 µM) was added to block inhibitory currents. Ionic currents were recorded from PCs using an Axopatch 200B amplifier (Axon Instruments, Foster City, CA). We used Acquis 1 and Elphy (Biologic, Grenoble, France) for data acquisition and analysis respectively. CF excitatory post-synaptic currents (CF-EPSCs) were elicited by stimulation in the internal granular layer with a saline-filled glass pipette. Duration and amplitude of stimulation were 0.1 ms and 1-100 V respectively. We distinguished CF-EPSCs from currents mediated by parallel fibers (PF-EPSCs) by their all-or-none character and by the demonstration of paired-pulse depression (Konnerth et al., 1990). In PCs where CF-EPSCs were successfully recorded at -70 mV, we
subsequently depolarised the PC to 0 mV in order to prevent voltage clamp escape of the CF current. This allowed us to more easily determine whether there were one or two CFs contributing to the EPSC. Series resistance was not compensated. To determine the number of CFs innervating a PC, we counted the number of discrete CF-EPSC steps that appeared when the intensity of stimulation was gradually increased or when the position of the stimulation electrode was changed.

Following electrophysiological recording, slices were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde, the recorded (biocytin-filled) PCs were visualised using fluorescent avidin (AF350, Molecular Probes, Invitrogen, Cergy-Pontoise, France) and the slices were processed for VGLUT2 immunohistochemistry (see above) to determine the reinnervated regions of the slice and allow comparison with the locations of the recorded PCs.

**Digital images**

Digital photomicrographs and electron micrographs were stored using Leica Confocal Software LCS (for confocal microscopy) and Advanced Microscopy Techniques AMT software (for electron microscopy). Adobe Photoshop 6 was used to make color montages and for final adjustment of contrast and brightness in all pictures.

**Statistical analysis**

ANOVA and Scheffé post hoc tests were used to compare the extent of CF territory in the area occupied by PC dendritic trees from Px15 and sham-operated rats injected with either BDNF or vehicle.
The $\chi^2$ test was used for all other comparisons: 1) to compare CF subcellular localisation on PCs from Px15 rats and sham-operated rats, injected with either BDNF or vehicle; 2) to compare the number of CFs innervating PCs in normal rats with the number of CFs per reinnervated PC in Px15 rats injected with BDNF and 3) to compare the number of CFs per reinnervated PC from the host with the number of CFs per grafted PC.
Results

Transcommissural CFs rapidly invade the denervated left hemicerebellum

The course of PC reinnervation by CFs was investigated morphologically through immunofluorescence labelling of the vesicular glutamate transporter 2 (VGLUT2). This antigen is specifically expressed by CF varicosities in the molecular layer of the cerebellar cortex (Fremeau et al., 2001; Ichikawa et al., 2002; Miyazaki et al., 2003).

Forty-eight hours after pedunculotomy and 24 hours after vehicle injections (P17), no CFs were observed in the molecular layer of the left hemivermis (2 animals; Fig. 1A-C). This rapid degeneration is similar to previous observations (Sotelo et al., 1975; Anderson and Flumerfelt, 1980; Cesa et al., 2005). In Px15 animals injected with BDNF, VGLUT2-labelled CFs were observed in the molecular layer of the left hemivermis as early as two days after the injection (2 DPI; Fig. 1D-F). The new CF branches formed patches of reinnervation, separated by non-reinnervated regions (Fig. 1G,H).

Transcommissural reinnervating CFs form transient synapses on PC somata

Morphological analysis of reinnervation

We wanted to see whether the process of reinnervation recapitulated normal development, i.e. whether the CFs initially innervated the soma or passed directly to the proximal dendrites which are the synaptic sites appropriate for this stage of development. We examined the pattern of targeting (somatic versus dendritic) by reinnervating CFs in the left hemivermis within 1 mm from the midline, where the most extensive reinnervation occurs.
At 2 DPI (P18), in the left hemivermis, CFs closely surrounded the soma of most reinnervated PCs (Table 1; Fig. 2A-C,3A). Somatic CF contacts were much less frequent in the right vermis and in the vermis of control rats (sham-operated, injected with BDNF or vehicle) at the same age (Fig. 2D-F,3A). In cases where the new CFs contacted the dendrites, they formed immature arborisations over the proximal portion of the dendritic tree. In contrast, in the right hemivermis or the vermis of control animals, arbors ramified over a more extensive portion of each dendritic tree (Table1; Fig. 3C).

Closer examination of the sites of transient CF contacts on the soma and basal part of the primary dendrite at 2 DPI revealed that CF varicosities were apposed to short somatic thorns which were exclusively encountered on reinnervated PCs (Fig. 4A-C). Importantly, somatic protrusions were not observed on non-reinnervated PC somata (Fig. 4D-F), on PCs in the right (unaffected) hemivermis or in control animals (Fig. 4G-I), suggesting that these thorns develop following arrival of new CFs and/or in response to them.

At 9 DPI (P25), most of the reinnervated PCs displayed CF innervation exclusively on proximal dendrites, with some also showing somatic contacts (Table1; Fig. 2G-I, 3B). This localisation is not different from that in the right hemivermis or from that in vermis of control animals. Also at this age, reinnervating CF arborisations have a mature localisation, extending over two-thirds of the depth of the molecular layer occupied by PC dendritic trees, which is similar to the right hemivermis and the vermis of control rats (Table 1; Fig. 3D).

These observations demonstrate that proximal dendrites remain the ultimate subcellular target of CFs during late reinnervation. In our model, this dendritic targeting occurs after a transient interaction with the soma, suggesting that reinnervating CFs in this model may follow cellular targeting signals similar to those which are present during development.
Ultrastructural characteristics of initial CF-PC synapses

In order to clarify whether these early contacts made by CFs on PC somata were in fact potentially functional synapses as opposed to growth cones growing past the soma toward the dendrites, we examined the initial interaction between new CFs and PCs at the ultrastructural level at 2-3 DPI. In electron micrographs, VGLUT2-labelled CF varicosities were found apposed to the PC soma and the proximal dendritic trunk, confirming the existence of a CF mesh around the basal part of the PC. In control animals at the same age, CF terminals were extremely rare in this region.

The reinnervating CF terminals displayed features typical of normal CFs boutons, comparable to controls of the same age: they were filled with a few mitochondria scattered among densely packed round vesicles (Fig. 5A-E). Large dense-core vesicles were seen occasionally. Intervaricose profiles contained a few vesicles distributed along microtubules.

Vesicle-rich terminal CF boutons established asymmetric synaptic contacts onto the soma and proximal dendrites of the PCs. In all CF-PC asymmetric synapses observed, VGLUT2 immunoperoxidase reaction product intensely stained the presynaptic vesicles accumulated close to the active zone facing the corresponding post-synaptic density (Fig. 5A1-2). CF synapses were occasionally established on the smooth membrane of the PC (Fig. 5B) but much more often on thorns emerging from the soma (Fig. 5A,C-D) or from proximal dendrites (Fig. 5E). These thorns were generally characterised by a short stalk ending in a rounded head (Fig. 5A-E) thus resembling thorns encountered on the primary and secondary dendrites of control PCs (Larramendi and Victor, 1967). In both the reinnervated and control molecular layer, CFs boutons often made synapses with several surrounding dendritic thorns. Symmetric synapses (presumably inhibitory) as well as glial processes were observed on the PC soma in both control and experimental situations (data not shown).
Therefore, ultrastructural analysis demonstrates the formation of true synapses onto PC somatic thorns resembling those described during the developmental pericellular nest phase (Larramendi, 1969; Laxson and King, 1983). The reinnervation of PCs by CFs induced by intracerebellar BDNF injections following Px15 thus involves transient somatic innervation of well-differentiated PCs (around P18), despite the presence of their ultimate dendritic targets, surrounding glial cells, and inhibitory presynaptic terminals of interneurons that are not present during developmental synaptogenesis (Altman, 1972; Palay and Chan-Palay, 1974; Altman and Bayer, 1997).

**Direct establishment of a one-to-one synaptic relationship between transcommissural CFs and late post-natal PCs**

Since we saw that reinnervating CFs first synapsed onto the PC soma before translocating to the proximal dendrites, recapitulating the normal developmental process, we wanted to see whether multiple innervation and synapse elimination would also be repeated during reinnervation. The process of PC reinnervation by CFs was followed beginning at 2 DPI (P18), i.e. when the lesioned CFs have completely degenerated and new transcommissural CFs begin to contact deafferented PCs. Between 2 and 6 DPI (P18-P22), CF responses were recorded from 79 PCs out of 153 from 18 successfully pedunculotomised rats (Fig. 6A1-A2). All reinnervated PCs were subsequently found to be located in regions of the slice with VGLUT2 immunostaining in the molecular layer. The percentage of PCs displaying CF-EPSCs in these VGLUT2 positive regions was essentially stable (43-58%) between 2 DPI and 6 DPI but was less than in control animals (69-86%) under the same experimental conditions (Table 2).
Importantly, recordings revealed that, rather than a transient multi-innervation, the new transcommissural CFs directly established a one-to-one relationship with PCs. Of the 79 innervated PCs, only 3 (3.8%; found on days 3 DPI, 4 DPI, and 5 DPI) displayed two discrete CF-EPSCs indicating a double-innervation whereas all others (96.2%) were mono-innervated (Fig. 6B). These results do not differ from control PCs in normal rats during the same period (P18-P22): 3.1% of 65 innervated PCs were double-innervated, all others were mono-innervated (10 animals; p>0.05, χ² test; Fig. 6B).

These results indicate that post-lesional reinnervation does not recapitulate all events of the developmental synaptogenesis. Although some aspects of the morphological interactions between the reinnervating CFs and their PC targets occur, there is not, in parallel, a repetition of the multiple innervation and synapse elimination phases characteristic of normal development.

**The developmental stage of PCs controls the establishment of multi-innervation**

To differentiate the roles of PC maturation versus cerebellar microenvironment in the direct establishment of CF monoinnervation, we compared neosynaptogenesis of CFs onto immature grafted PCs (equivalent age P4-P6) versus relatively mature host PCs (P18-P20) at the same date and in the same late post-natal extracellular environment. Thus, embryonic cerebellar primordia were grafted into the cerebellum of rats aged P7 before pedunculotomy at P15 and BDNF injection at P16.

As previously described for grafts in adult animals (Gardette et al., 1990; Tempia et al., 1996), CaBP and VGLUT2 immunolabelling as well as electrophysiology showed that grafted PCs integrated into the host cerebellar cortex, usually in ectopic locations in the molecular
layer and sometimes in the granular layer or the white matter depending on the injection site (Fig. 7).

In grafted control animals at P18, grafted PCs (equivalent age P5) were easily identifiable by the absence of well-developed dendritic trees, in contrast to PCs from the host. VGLUT2 immunolabelling revealed the presence of glutamatergic terminals onto grafted PCs, suggesting innervation. In addition, at this date, patch-clamp recordings showed that grafted PCs were indeed innervated by CFs (data not shown). We then performed pedunculotomy at P15 and injections of BDNF at P16 using grafted animals. Recordings from grafted PCs and host PCs were made from 8 successfully grafted and pedunculotomised rats aged P18-P20 (Fig. 8). In agreement with our results from non-grafted animals following lesion and reinnervation, whole-cell patch-clamp recordings showed that PCs from the host were reinnervated by only one CF (100%, n=8). In contrast, 80% of neighbouring CF-innervated grafted PCs were multi-innervated with a mean (± SEM) of $2.1 ± 0.2$ CFs per PC (n=15, $p<0.05$, $\chi^2$ test) irrespective of their location in the molecular layer (n=5, with 4 multi-innervated), granule cell layer (n=7, with 5 multi-innervated), or white matter (n=3, all multi-innervated).

These results demonstrate that, in the same extracellular (host) environment, immature PCs are multi-innervated by ingrowing CFs whereas PCs at a later stage of their maturation are directly mono-innervated.
Discussion

In this study we investigated the effect of target maturation on afferent-target interaction, using electrophysiological and morphological characteristics of post-lesional CF-PC neosynaptogenesis at a relatively late stage of PC maturation. Our results suggest an important role of postsynaptic PC maturation in regulating the number of CF afferents.

CFs make transient axo-somatic synapses on PCs before innervating proximal dendrites

During postlesional reinnervation, new CF afferents transiently contact the PC somata, establishing functional synapses. Ultrastructural analysis revealed that early somatic CF contacts are asymmetric synapses, usually made on perisomatic thorns. At this age, the perisomatic thorns, which resemble those seen during normal development (Larramendi, 1969; Laxson and King, 1983), are specifically found on reinnervated PCs (and not on CF-free PCs in the same animal or on PCs from control animals) and are systematically associated with a CF terminal. This effect is reminiscent of the clustering of target cell filopodia in response to the arrival of the innervating axon in the *drosophila* neuromuscular system (Ritzenthaler et al., 2000) and afferent-induction of spines in cultured neurons (Segal et al., 2003; Kossel et al., 1997). Thus, in contrast with the genesis of PF-innervated branchlet spines, which occurs later following CF denervation (3-5 days; Cesa et al., 2005) and seems to be PC-autonomous (Sotelo, 2004; Yuste and Bonhoeffer, 2004), this result suggests that the emergence of somatic thorns is induced by CF arrival. Although we cannot exclude a permissive role for BDNF, it appears to have no direct effect on the emergence of these somatic thorns.
At the end of the reinnervation process, somatic CF innervation has been largely replaced by innervation on the proximal dendrites, demonstrating that the somatic interaction is transient. Thus, late post-natal reinnervation in our model recapitulates some aspects of CF innervation present during development, suggesting that the mechanisms underlying the CF subcellular targeting from the soma to proximal dendrites during development are also available during late reinnervation. The molecular mechanisms underlying this translocation are unknown, but may be similar to the changes in subcellular targeting of the basket cell axons on Purkinje cells, which depends on a subcellular gradient of a cell adhesion molecule (Ango et al., 2004).

It is interesting to compare our experimental model with a different system of reinnervation which involves local sprouting rather than transcommissural axonal growth (Rossi et al., 1991a; Rossi et al., 1991b). Rossi and colleagues made partial olivary lesions in adult animals by injection of the toxin 3-acetylpyridine. Surviving CFs sprout within 3 days after the lesion, and grow locally in the molecular layer to innervate neighbouring denervated PCs. Contacts are generally first made directly on dendrites in the molecular layer, but later the CF can also grow downward to contact the PC soma. Therefore, in this situation, somatic innervation is not a preliminary step preceding CF innervation of the dendrite. This could be due to the fact the reinnervating CFs are not new transcommissural axons growing through the white matter into the target field but rather are local sprouts from CFs innervating the dendritic compartment of neighbouring PCs. Thus, reinnervating CFs contact first the soma or the dendrite depending on the model, but in both cases the dendrites are the main final subcellular target.

**PC maturation regulates the number of reinnervating CFs: direct mono-innervation of late postnatal PCs**
During post-lesional reinnervation of a PC after the end of normal CF regression, only one CF synapses on each PC, a status that is appropriate for the developmental state of the PC. The absence of transient multi-innervation does not impair the ultimate dendritic targeting of reinnervating CFs, consistent with previous studies showing that CF translocation and CF elimination are independent events (Mariani, 1983; Scelfo et al., 2003).

It is unlikely that the mono-innervation we observed is due to an insufficient number of transcommissural axons in the denervated cerebellum for several reasons. First, we did record double-step CF-EPSCs in a few reinnervated PCs, at the same frequency as in control animals at this age (about 4%), suggesting double innervation of these cells. Second, in reinnervated regions, 43-58% of PCs were innervated by a CF; this rate of reinnervation is similar to that found in some cases during the first few days after pedunculotomy at P3 or P5, but those PCs are almost always multiply innervated (A.M.L., unpublished results). Finally, our experiments in which grafted immature PCs integrate into the host cerebellum prior to pedunculotomy and reinnervation show that these immature PCs, whether located in the molecular layer, the granule cell layer, or the folial white matter, undergo multiple CF innervation, whereas more mature PCs from the host animal are mono-reinnervated (Fig. 8). Thus, in the presence of a number of olivary axons compatible with multi-innervation, late post-natal PCs are nonetheless mono-innervated.

In addition, these graft experiments indicate that excess BDNF does not directly affect whether a PC is mono-innervated or multi-innervated, because immature (grafted) PCs undergo multi-innervation while mature (host) PCs exposed to the same excess BDNF are mono-reinnervated. In many other experimental models, variations in the levels of neurotrophin signalling alters processes as diverse as growth cone motility (Moon and Gomez, 2006), axonal extension and competition (Singh and Miller, 2005) and refinement of
projections (Cabelli et al., 1995). The specific effects of BDNF in our model are not known, but the excess BDNF does not appear to directly determine the number of CFs per PC.

Finally, it is unlikely that the substantial developmental changes occurring in the environment of the PC control the number of CF afferents during reinnervation. A number of previous studies (Sotelo and Alvarado-Mallart, 1987; Sotelo et al., 1990; Gardette et al., 1990; Rossi et al., 1992, 1994) showed that grafted immature PCs integrate into the mature host cerebellum. These grafted PCs receive synaptic inputs from parallel fibers, inhibitory interneurons and CFs (Sotelo et al., 1990; Gardette et al., 1990; Tempia et al., 1996), and they interact with the host Bergman glia. Importantly, despite these close interactions with the mature host environment, grafted PCs undergo transient CF multi-innervation (Gardette et al., 1990; Tempia et al., 1996). In this regard, the direct mono-innervation of relatively mature PCs in our model, contrasting with the multi-innervation of grafted PCs in the same cerebellum, is more likely due to an effect of PC maturation than to the environment (Fig. 9). This is consistent with previous results showing that immature PCs can be multi-reinnervated during postlesional neosynaptogenesis (Lohof et al., 2005). In addition, Rabacchi et al. (1992b) showed that in the Lurcher mutant the PC is intrinsically unable to respond to the signals that normally trigger CF elimination, underlining that the target PC is an active participant in this process.

**Selective synapse stabilisation and restriction of the number of CF afferents during late reinnervation**

The current view of developmental synapse elimination in the olivocerebellar system is that CFs compete for the target cell so that only one CF among several synaptic inputs is selected and strengthened until mono-innervation is achieved (Lohof et al., 1996; Hashimoto and
Kano, 2003, 2005). Normal connectivity between PCs and granule cells is essential for the refinement of olivo-cerebellar connections (Mariani and Changeux, 1980; Mariani, 1982, 1983; Benoit et al., 1987; Mariani et al., 1990; Fuhrman et al., 1994; Fournier et al., 2002; Fournier et al., 2005), and the late phase of the refinement requires neuronal activity (Rabacchi et al., 1992a; Kakizawa et al., 2000; Andjus et al., 2003).

The results we present here provide evidence for direct establishment of mono-innervation in the mature mammalian CNS, demonstrating that transient multi-innervation and synaptic exuberance are not a prerequisite for synaptic partners to reach the one-to-one relationship.

It is possible that the PC is modified during development so that multiple CFs can no longer form synaptic contacts. During normal innervation or reinnervation, then, a young PC will allow innervation by additional CFs over a period of several days (Mariani and Changeux, 1981a; Lohof et al., 2005). In contrast, the mature PC would respond to reinnervation by a first CF with signalling mechanisms that block additional CFs from innervating.

A second possibility is that maturation and/or developmental synapse elimination confers a cellular identity such that only a compatible CF can reinnervate a given PC. This implies that synaptic partners select each other through recognition mechanisms, an hypothesis consistent with recent cell-biological models (Jontes and Phillips, 2006) which aim to explain the generation of synaptic specificity by selective stabilisation (Changeux and Danchin, 1976). It is proposed that specific complements of adhesion and recognition molecules specify contacts during the stabilisation phase of synaptogenesis (Boulanger et al., 2001; Yamagata et al., 2003; Jontes and Phillips, 2006), and define a cellular identity that could affect late reinnervation. The maturation state of the PC would thus have a permissive effect on multi-innervation and multi-reinnervation early in development, and favor CF mono-innervation and mono-reinnervation later as synaptic development progresses.
Conclusion

The experiments described here show that the developmental stage of the postsynaptic PC is a critical factor in the control of the number of CF afferents. Although many morphological characteristics of developmental synaptogenesis are recapitulated when synapse formation is induced onto a mature PC, multiple innervation is not repeated, suggesting that this aspect of synaptic specificity is governed by the postsynaptic cell’s maturation.
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Figure legends

Figure 1. Time-course of postlesional reinnervation

(A-C) Rapid degeneration of lesioned CFs in the deafferented vermis. (A) Diagram illustrating the olivo-cerebellar projection after pedunculotomy at P15. Unilateral transection of the olivo-cerebellar pathway induces complete loss of normal CF innervation from the contralateral inferior olive. (B,C) Confocal images showing the disappearance of VGLUT2 immunolabelled (red) in the molecular layer (ML) of the left vermis in Px rats 48 h after pedunculotomy at P15. CaBP immunolabelled PCs (green) are not associated with VGLUT2 labelling either on their somata or on their dendrites. VGLUT2 labelling in the internal granular layer (IGL) corresponds to mossy fibers.

(D-F) Post-lesional reinnervation of PCs in the deafferented vermis by transcommissural CFs. (D) Diagram illustrating the development of the transcommissural path (dashed line) after pedunculotomy at P15 and BDNF injection at P16. (E,F) Confocal images, taken at P18, showing the appearance of VGLUT2-immunolabelled CFs terminals (red) in the basal molecular layer of the reinnervated left hemivermis. Terminals (arrows) contact somata (asterisks) and / or proximal portion of dendrites from CaBP immunolabelled PCs.

(G, H) Images showing VGLUT2 immunolabelling in lobules V-VI from the left vermis of Px15-BDNF rats (at P25), at 250 µm (G) and 350 µm (H) from the midline. Reinnervating VGLUT2 positive CFs are distributed in patches (bounded here by solid white lines) separated by non-reinnervated regions. These patches are found primarily in or near the BDNF-injected lobules.

Scale bars, 80 µm (B-C, E-F); 500 µm (G-H).
Figure 2. Morphological characteristics of the late post-natal reinnervation process

(A-C) Confocal images of reinnervated cerebellar cortex 2 DPI (P18). Arrowheads indicate the location of VGLUT2 positive CF terminals (red) around the soma of the PC labelled for calbindin (green).

(D-F) In a control cerebellar cortex from a sham-operated BDNF-injected rat (P18), double labelling for calbindin and VGLUT2 shows the normal location of CF terminals on primary and secondary dendrites (arrowheads).

(G-H) At 9 DPI (P25) reinnervating CF terminals contact primary and secondary PC dendrites (arrowheads) in the reinnervated left hemivermis from Px15 and BDNF-injected animals, illustrating the normal final targeting of new CFs onto deafferented PCs.

Scale bar : 40 µm

Figure 3. CF translocation from soma to proximal dendrites during late post-natal reinnervation

(A-B) Percentage of PCs displaying a somatic interaction with VGLUT2 positive CF terminals at 2 DPI (P18) (A) and 9 DPI (P25) (B) from right hemivermis, reinnervated left hemivermis and vermis from control animals (sham-operated BDNF or PBS-injected).

(C-D) **CF translocation, expressed as the percentage depth of the PC dendritic tree through which CFs extend (see Morphological analysis)** at 2 DPI (P18) (C) and 9 DPI (P25) (D), from right hemivermis, reinnervated left hemivermis and vermis from control animals. Error bars show SEM; *: p<0.0001, ANOVA and Scheffé post hoc test.
Figure 4. VGLUT2 positive CFs contact somatic thorns during late post-natal reinnervation

(A-C) Double labelling for CaBP (green) and VGLUT2 (red). Arrowheads indicate somatic thorns from reinnervated PCs at 2 DPI, associated with VGLUT2 positive CF terminals.

(D-F) Soma from a non-reinnervated PC at 2 DPI. No VGLUT2 positive CF terminals are visible and the PC soma displays a smooth surface lacking somatic thorns.

(G-H) PC somata from sham-injected rats, P18. No VGLUT2 positive CF terminals are visible on the somatic surface and PCs have no somatic thorns.

Scale bar : 10 µm.

Figure 5. VGLUT2 positive CF terminals establish true synapses with somatic thorns

(A; A1,2) Immunoelectron micrographs showing a thorn (asterisk) which has grown on the surface of a reinnervated PC soma at 2 DPI. (A) A VGLUT2-positive CF runs along the PC somatic membrane. A1 and A2 show higher magnifications at two different levels of the thorn framed in (A). A VGLUT2-positive CF varicosity establishes a true asymmetric synapse on the side of the thorn, identifiable by the accumulation of presynaptic vesicles facing a postsynaptic density.

(B) A VGLUT2-positive varicosity contacting a thorn (asterisk) emerging from a reinnervated PC soma establishes an asymmetric synapse (arrows) with the smooth surface of the PC soma.

(C and D) Asymmetric synapses established by VGLUT2-positive CF varicosities on PC somatic thorns (asterisks).
(E) Classical asymmetric synapses made by CF varicosities on a thorn (asterisk) of PC dendrite (PCD) in the basal molecular layer of the left hemivermis from a Px15-BDNF rat at 2 DPI.

Scale bar = 500 nm

Figure 6. Direct establishment of mono-innervation between transcommissural CFs and reinnervated PCs

(A1,2) CF-EPSCs elicited by stimulation of transcommissural reinnervating CFs. (A1) An example of single step CF-EPSC from a PC at 3 DPI (P19), reflecting mono-innervation. (A2) An example of two steps CF-EPSCs illustrating double innervation from a PC at 4 DPI (P20). Holding potential was 0 mV.

(B) Number of discrete steps in the CF-EPSC elicited in PCs from normal rats (filled columns) and Px15-BDNF rats (open columns) between 2 DPI (P18) and 6DPI (P22).

Figure 7. Immature grafted PCs integrate into the host cerebellar cortex

(A) A schematic diagram showing the position of the graft (G) in one experimental animal.

(B) Immunohistochemistry showing the integration of grafted PCs, which appear to migrate from the graft (top of the image), into the molecular layer (ML) of the host cerebellar cortex (P19). VGLUT2-positive CFs (red) contact both the host PCs (arrows) and the immature grafted PCs (arrowheads). Both PC populations are CaBP-positive (green, see asterisks). IGL = Internal granule cell layer.

Scale bar = 30 µm
**Figure 8. PC maturation determines multiple or single CF innervation**

Immunohistochemistry and electrophysiology of grafted (A, C) and host (B, D) Purkinje cells. (A, B) Triple labelling for CaBP (green), VGLUT2 (red) and biocytin (blue, indicating the recorded PC). Arrows indicate sites of contact between VGLUT2-positive CF terminals and grafted (A, P19) or host (B, P20) PCs. Scale bar = 30 µm

(C, D) Electrophysiological recordings of CFs from grafted (C) and host (D) PCs following pedunculotomy and BDNF-induced reinnervation.

(E) Histogram showing the numbers of CFs innervating host and grafted PCs.

**Figure 9. Different processes of CF-PC synaptogenesis in the rat olivo-cerebellar system**

(A) Developmental CF-PC synaptogenesis and early post-natal neosynaptogenesis are characterised by transient multi-innervation and translocation of CFs terminals from the soma to the dendrites as the PCs are elaborating their dendritic trees.

(B) Late post-natal neosynaptogenesis, in contrast, is characterised by the direct mono-innervation of PCs by reinnervating CFs. However, synapses are first formed on the soma, and CF terminals later translocate from soma to dendrites to reach their normal localisation.

**Table 1. CF translocation from soma to proximal dendrites during late post-natal reinnervation**

Data are expressed as mean ± SEM. * p<0.0001 (χ² test); # p<0.0001 (ANOVA and Scheffé post hoc tests); DPI = Days post-injection; n= number of cells; N= number of animals.
Table 2. Percentage of CF-innervated PCs in VGLUT2-positive regions in control rats and in pedunculotomised rats injected with BDNF.

Data are expressed as mean ± SEM. DPI = Days post-injection; n = number of cells; N = number of animals.
Figure 9

A  Developmental synaptogenesis / Early post-natal neosynaptogenesis

B  Late post-natal neosynaptogenesis
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (P)</th>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>% of PCs with somatic CF-innervation</th>
<th>CF extent (% of the dendritic tree)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2 DPI</td>
<td>Reinnervated hemivermis</td>
<td>84.4 (n=501; N=4) *</td>
<td>26.0 ± 1.6 (N=5) #</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Right hemivermis</td>
<td>25.0 (n=640; N=4)</td>
<td>51.9 ± 0.6 (N=5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control vermis</td>
<td>25.7 (n=587; N=5)</td>
<td>59.1 ± 1.0 (N=5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 DPI</td>
<td>Reinnervated hemivermis</td>
<td>20.8 (n=307; N=5)</td>
<td>66.5 ± 0.9 (N=5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Right hemivermis</td>
<td>26.3 (n=788; N=5)</td>
<td>72.1 ± 0.9 (N=5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control vermis</td>
<td>23.0 (n=792; N=5)</td>
<td>68.5 ± 2.4 (N=5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>% of CF-innervated PCs in VGLUT2 positive regions</td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 DPI</td>
<td>Reinnervated</td>
<td>43.0 ± 6.6</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(P18)</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>72.5 ± 2.5</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 DPI</td>
<td>Reinnervated</td>
<td>47.3 ± 3.1</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(P19)</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>68.9 ± 8.9</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 DPI</td>
<td>Reinnervated</td>
<td>54.7 ± 9.2</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(P20)</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>85.6 ± 7.2</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 DPI</td>
<td>Reinnervated</td>
<td>58.2 ± 10.6</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(P21)</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>78.3 ± 11.7</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 DPI</td>
<td>Reinnervated</td>
<td>52.2 ± 7.8</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(P22)</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>84.2 ± 9.2</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>