



HAL
open science

Reproductive strong solutions of Navier-Stokes equations with non homogeneous boundary conditions

Chérif Amrouche, Macaire Batchi, Jean Batina

► **To cite this version:**

Chérif Amrouche, Macaire Batchi, Jean Batina. Reproductive strong solutions of Navier-Stokes equations with non homogeneous boundary conditions. 2007. hal-00142028

HAL Id: hal-00142028

<https://hal.science/hal-00142028>

Preprint submitted on 17 Apr 2007

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Reproductive strong solutions of Navier-Stokes equations with non homogeneous boundary conditions

Chérif Amrouche ¹, Macaire Batchi ^{1,2,3}, Jean Batina ².

¹ *Laboratoire de Mathématiques Appliquées CNRS UMR 5142*

² *Laboratoire de Thermique Energétique et Procédés
Université de Pau et des Pays de l'Adour
Avenue de l'Université 64000 Pau, France*

³ *Université Marien NGouabi-Faculté des Sciences
B.P.:69 Brazzaville, Congo*

Abstract

The object of the present paper is to show the existence and the uniqueness of a reproductive strong solution of the Navier-Stokes equations, i.e. the solution \mathbf{u} belongs to $\mathbf{L}^\infty(0, T; V) \cap \mathbf{L}^2(0, T; \mathbf{H}^2(\Omega))$ and satisfies the property $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, T) = \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, 0) = \mathbf{u}_0(\mathbf{x})$. One considers the case of an incompressible fluid in two dimensions with nonhomogeneous boundary conditions, and external forces are neglected.

Key Words: Navier-Stokes equations, incompressible fluid, reproductive solution, nonhomogeneous boundary conditions.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): 35K, 76D03, 76D03

1 Introduction and notations

Let Ω be an open and bounded domain of \mathbb{R}^2 , with a sufficiently smooth boundary Γ ; and let us consider the Navier-Stokes equations:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} - \nu \Delta \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v} + \nabla p = 0 & \text{in } Q_T = \Omega \times]0, T[, \\ \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} = 0 & \text{in } Q_T, \\ \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{g} & \text{on } \Sigma_T = \Gamma \times]0, T[, \\ \mathbf{v}(0) = \mathbf{v}_0 & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where \mathbf{g} , \mathbf{v}_0 and $T > 0$ are given. We suppose that :

$$\operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}_0 = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad \mathbf{v}_0 \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma, \quad (2)$$

and

$$\mathbf{g} \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0 \quad \text{on } \Sigma_T. \quad (3)$$

One is interested on one hand by the existence of strong solutions of system (1). On the other hand, one seeks data conditions to establish the existence of a reproductive solution generalizing the concept of a periodic solution. Kaniel and

Shinbrot [5] showed the existence of these solutions for system (1) in dimensions 2 and 3 with external forces but zero boundary condition i.e. $\mathbf{g} = 0$. With another approach using semigroups, one can also point out the work of Takeshita [10] in dimension 2.

We need to introduce the following functional spaces, with r and s positive numbers:

$$\mathbf{H}^{r,s}(Q_T) = \mathbf{L}^2([0, T[; \mathbf{H}^r(\Omega)) \cap \mathbf{H}^s([0, T[; \mathbf{L}^2(\Omega))$$

These are Hilbert spaces for the norm

$$\|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathbf{H}^{r,s}(Q_T)} = \left(\int_0^T \|\mathbf{v}(t)\|_{\mathbf{H}^r(\Omega)}^2 dt + \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathbf{H}^s([0, T[; \mathbf{L}^2(\Omega))}^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

Let us recall that for $s = 1$, for example,

$$\|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathbf{H}^1([0, T[; \mathbf{L}^2(\Omega))} = \left[\int_0^T \left(\|\mathbf{v}(t)\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} \right\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}^2 \right) dt \right]^{1/2}.$$

In the same manner one defines spaces $\mathbf{H}^{r,s}(\Sigma_T)$.

We now introduce the following spaces:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V} &= \{ \mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)^2; \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} = 0 \text{ in } \Omega \}, \\ \mathbf{H} &= \{ \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{L}^2(\Omega); \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} = 0 \text{ in } \Omega, \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma \}, \\ V &= \{ \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{H}_0^1(\Omega); \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} = 0 \text{ in } \Omega \}, \end{aligned}$$

Let us recall that \mathcal{V} is dense in \mathbf{H} and V for their respective topologies.

Here, $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ is the class of \mathcal{C}^∞ functions with compact support in Ω . The notations (\cdot, \cdot) et $((\cdot, \cdot))$ indicate the scalar products in $\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)$ and in $\mathbf{H}_0^1(\Omega)$ respectively, and $|\cdot|$ et $\|\cdot\|$ the associated norms.

In the order to solve problem (1), we will have to remove boundary condition \mathbf{g} . and consider a new problem with zero boundary condition. We note that if $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{H}^{2,1}(Q_T)$ is solution of (1), then thanks to the Aubin compactness lemma (see J.L. Lions [8], R. Temam [11]) one will have

$$\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{C}^0([0, T]; \mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}^0([0, T]; \mathbf{H}^{1/2}(\Gamma))$$

So that a necessary condition for \mathbf{v} to exist is that:

$$\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}, 0) = \mathbf{v}_0(\mathbf{x}), \quad \mathbf{x} \in \Gamma. \quad (4)$$

Combining (2)-(4), one has:

$$\mathbf{g} \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma \times [0, T[.$$

The following lemma allows us to state hypotheses on \mathbf{g} (voir Lions-Magenes [7]).

Lemma 1.1. *Suppose that (4) takes place and let*

$$\mathbf{g} \in \mathbf{H}^{3/2, 3/4}(\Sigma_T), \quad \mathbf{v}_0 \in \mathbf{H}^1(\Omega). \quad (5)$$

Then there exists a function $\mathbf{R} \in \mathbf{H}^{2,1}(Q_T)$ such that

$$\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{g} \quad \text{on } \Sigma_T \quad \text{et} \quad \mathbf{R}(0) = \mathbf{v}_0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad (6)$$

and satisfying the estimates

$$\|\mathbf{R}\|_{\mathbf{H}^{2,1}(Q_T)} \leq C \left(\|\mathbf{g}\|_{\mathbf{H}^{3/2, 3/4}(\Sigma_T)} + \|\mathbf{v}_0\|_{\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)} \right). \square \quad (7)$$

We now consider the problem:

For a given \mathbf{g} verifying (5), one seeks (\mathbf{u}, q) which satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} - \nu \Delta \mathbf{u} + \nabla q = 0 & \text{in } Q_T, \\ \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} = \operatorname{div} \mathbf{R} & \text{in } Q_T, \\ \mathbf{u} = 0 & \text{on } \Sigma_T, \\ \mathbf{u}(0) = \mathbf{0} & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

The following proposition holds (see Dautray-Lions [2], O. A. Ladyzhenskaya [6], V.A. Solonnikov [9]) :

Proposition 1.2. *We suppose that (5) holds,*

$$\operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}_0 = 0 \quad \text{on } \Omega, \quad \mathbf{v}_0 \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0 \quad \text{in } \Gamma, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{g} \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0 \quad \text{in } \Sigma_T. \quad (9)$$

Then problem (8) has an unique solution (\mathbf{u}, q) such that

$$\mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{H}^{2,1}(Q_T), \quad q \in L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega)^2)$$

with the estimates

$$\|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbf{H}^{2,1}(Q_T)} + \|q\|_{L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega)^2)} \leq C \left(\|\mathbf{g}\|_{\mathbf{H}^{3/2, 3/4}(\Sigma_T)} + \|\mathbf{v}_0\|_{\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)} \right). \square \quad (10)$$

Thus the function defined by

$$\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{R} - \mathbf{u} \quad \text{in } Q_T \quad (11)$$

satisfies the estimates (7) and

$$\operatorname{div} \mathbf{G} = 0 \quad \text{in } Q_T, \quad (12)$$

$$\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{g} \quad \text{on } \Sigma_T, \quad (13)$$

$$\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{x}, 0) = \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}, 0) \quad \mathbf{x} \in \Omega. \quad (14)$$

This yields the following lemma:

Lemma 1.3. *Let \mathbf{g} and \mathbf{v}_0 satisfy (4), (5) and (9). Then there exists $\mathbf{G} \in \mathbf{H}^{2,1}(Q_T)$ satisfying (12)-(14) and the estimate*

$$\|\mathbf{G}\|_{\mathbf{H}^{2,1}(Q_T)} \leq C \left(\|\mathbf{g}\|_{\mathbf{H}^{3/2,3/4}(\Sigma_T)} + \|\mathbf{v}_0\|_{\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)} \right). \square$$

Moreover, one has the next lemma

Lemma 1.4. *Let $\varepsilon > 0$, and let \mathbf{g} and \mathbf{v}_0 satisfy the hypotheses of lemma 1.3. Then there exists $\mathbf{G}_\varepsilon \in \mathbf{H}^{2,1}(Q_T)$ such that*

$$\operatorname{div} \mathbf{G}_\varepsilon = 0 \quad \text{in } Q_T,$$

$$\mathbf{G}_\varepsilon = \mathbf{g} \quad \text{on } \Sigma_T,$$

$$\|\mathbf{G}_\varepsilon(\cdot, 0)\|_{\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)} \leq C_\varepsilon \|\mathbf{G}(\cdot, 0)\|_{\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)}$$

and

$$\forall \mathbf{v} \in V, \quad |b(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{G}_\varepsilon(t), \mathbf{v})| \leq \beta(\varepsilon, t) \|\nabla \mathbf{v}\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}^2$$

with

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \beta(\varepsilon, t) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{when } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

Moreover, there exists an increasing function $L : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$, not depending on ε , such that

$$\|\mathbf{G}_\varepsilon\|_{\mathbf{H}^{2,1}(Q_T)} \leq L \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\|\mathbf{g}\|_{\mathbf{H}^{3/2,3/4}(\Sigma_T)} + \|\mathbf{v}_0\|_{\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)}} \right) \left(\|\mathbf{g}\|_{\mathbf{H}^{3/2,3/4}(\Sigma_T)} + \|\mathbf{v}_0\|_{\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)} \right).$$

Proof.

i) Step 1 : One takes up again the Hopf construction (see Girault & Raviart [4], Temam [11], Lions [8], Galdi [3]).

ii) *Step 2* : The open domain Ω being smooth, and since $\operatorname{div} \mathbf{G}_\varepsilon = 0$ in Q_T and $\mathbf{G} \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0$ on $\Gamma \times [0, T[$, there exists, for all $t \in [0, T[$, a function ψ depending on \mathbf{x} and t , such that

$$\mathbf{G} = \operatorname{rot} \psi \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega \times [0, T]$$

with $\psi = 0$ on $\Gamma \times [0, T[$, $\psi \in \mathbf{L}^2(0, T; \mathbf{H}^3(\Omega))$, $\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} \in \mathbf{L}^2(0, T; \mathbf{H}^1(\Omega))$ and satisfying the estimate

$$\|\psi\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(0, T; \mathbf{H}^3(\Omega))} + \|\psi_t\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(0, T; \mathbf{H}^1(\Omega))} \leq C \|\mathbf{G}\|_{\mathbf{H}^{2,1}(Q_T)}. \quad (15)$$

iii) *Step 3* : Let

$$\mathbf{G}^\varepsilon = \operatorname{rot}(\theta_\varepsilon \psi).$$

One deduces from the properties of θ_ε , for $j = 1, 2$:

$$|\mathbf{G}_j^\varepsilon(x, t)| \leq C \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\rho(x)} |\psi(x, t)| + |\nabla \psi(x, t)| \right) \quad \text{if} \quad \rho(x) \leq 2\delta(\varepsilon)$$

and $\mathbf{G}_j^\varepsilon = 0$ if $\rho(x) > 2\delta(\varepsilon)$.

We note that

$$\psi \in C([0, T]; \mathbf{H}^2(\Omega)) \leftrightarrow C([0, T]; \mathbf{L}^\infty(\Omega)).$$

Therefore,

$$|\mathbf{G}_j^\varepsilon(x, t)| \leq C \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\rho(x)} + |\nabla \psi(x, t)| \right) \quad \text{if} \quad \rho(x) \leq 2\delta(\varepsilon).$$

Thus, for all $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{H}_0^1(\Omega)$,

$$\|\mathbf{v}_i \mathbf{G}_j^\varepsilon\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)} \leq C \left[\varepsilon \left\| \frac{\mathbf{v}_i}{\rho} \right\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)} + \left(\int_{\rho(x) \leq 2\delta(\varepsilon)} \mathbf{v}_i^2 |\nabla \psi|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \right]$$

$$\|\mathbf{v}_i \mathbf{G}_j^\varepsilon\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)} \leq C\varepsilon \|\nabla \mathbf{v}_i\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)} + C \|\nabla \mathbf{v}_i\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)} \times \left(\int_{\rho(x) \leq 2\delta(\varepsilon)} |\nabla \psi|^3 dx \right)^{1/3}$$

Setting

$$\beta(\varepsilon, t) = \left(\int_{\rho(x) \leq 2\delta(\varepsilon)} |\nabla\psi|^3 dx \right)^{1/3},$$

it's clear that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \beta(\varepsilon, t) = 0 \text{ uniformly on } [0, T].$$

The second inequality of lemma 1.4 is a consequence of Hölder inequality. The first inequality follows from Hardy inequality for $\mathbf{H}_0^1(\Omega)$ -functions and properties of θ_ε . \square

2 Existence of strong solutions

Let us make a change of the unknown function in problem (1), by setting

$$\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{G}_\varepsilon, \quad \mathbf{u}_0 = \mathbf{v}_0 - \mathbf{G}_\varepsilon(\cdot, 0),$$

where \mathbf{G}_ε is the function given by lemma 1.4. Problem (1) then becomes:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} - \nu \Delta \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{G}_\varepsilon + \mathbf{G}_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} + \nabla p = \mathbf{f}_\varepsilon & \text{in } Q_T \\ \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} = 0 & \text{in } Q_T \\ \mathbf{u} = 0 & \text{on } \Sigma_T \\ \mathbf{u}(0) = \mathbf{u}_0^\varepsilon & \text{in } \Omega \end{cases} \quad (16)$$

with

$$\mathbf{f}_\varepsilon = - \frac{\partial \mathbf{G}_\varepsilon}{\partial t} + \nu \Delta \mathbf{G}_\varepsilon - \mathbf{G}_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla \mathbf{G}_\varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{u}_0^\varepsilon = \mathbf{v}_0 - \mathbf{G}_\varepsilon(\cdot, 0). \quad (17)$$

We note that $\mathbf{u}_0^\varepsilon \in V$ and

$$\|\mathbf{u}_0^\varepsilon\|_{\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)} \leq C_\varepsilon \left(\|\mathbf{g}\|_{\mathbf{H}^{3/2, 3/4}(\Sigma_T)} + \|\mathbf{v}_0\|_{\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)} \right). \quad (18)$$

Moreover, $\mathbf{f}_\varepsilon \in \mathbf{L}^2(0, T; \mathbf{L}^2(\Omega))$ and

$$\|\mathbf{f}_\varepsilon\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(0, T; \mathbf{L}^2(\Omega))} \leq C_\varepsilon \left(\|\mathbf{g}\|_{\mathbf{H}^{3/2, 3/4}(\Sigma_T)} + \|\mathbf{v}_0\|_{\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)} \right). \quad (19)$$

Now we are able to announce and to establish the following theorem :

Theorem 2.1. *Let \mathbf{v}_0 and \mathbf{g} satisfy the hypotheses of lemma 1.3. Then problem (16) has a unique solution (\mathbf{u}, p) such that*

$$\mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{L}^2(0, T; \mathbf{H}^2(\Omega)) \cap \mathbf{L}^\infty(0, T; V), \quad \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} \in \mathbf{L}^2(0, T; \mathbf{H}), \quad p \in \mathbf{L}^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega)),$$

p being unique up to an $\mathbf{L}^2(0, T)$ -function of the single variable t .

Proof.

2.1 Approximate solutions

We use the Galerkin method. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $\mathbf{u}_{0m} \in \langle \mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2, \dots, \mathbf{w}_m \rangle$ such that

$$\mathbf{u}_{0m} \rightarrow \mathbf{u}_0^\varepsilon \text{ in } V, \text{ if } m \rightarrow \infty,$$

where \mathbf{w}_j are the Stokes operator eigenfunctions. For each m , one defines an approximate solution of (16) by :

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{u}_m(t) = \sum_{j=1}^m g_{jm}(t) \mathbf{w}_j \\ (\mathbf{u}_m'(t), \mathbf{w}_j) + \nu ((\mathbf{u}_m(t), \mathbf{w}_j)) + b(\mathbf{u}_m(t), \mathbf{u}_m(t), \mathbf{w}_j) \\ + b(\mathbf{u}_m(t), \mathbf{G}_\varepsilon(t), \mathbf{w}_j) + b(\mathbf{G}_\varepsilon(t), \mathbf{u}_m(t), \mathbf{w}_j) = (\mathbf{f}_\varepsilon(t), \mathbf{w}_j) \\ \mathbf{u}_m(0) = \mathbf{u}_{0m}, \quad j = 1, \dots, m \end{array} \right. \quad (20)$$

This is a nonlinear differential system of m equations in m unknowns g_{jm} , $j = 1, \dots, m$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^m (\mathbf{w}_i, \mathbf{w}_j) g'_{im}(t) + \nu \sum_{i=1}^m ((\mathbf{w}_i, \mathbf{w}_j)) g_{im}(t) + \sum_{i,l=1}^m b(\mathbf{w}_i, \mathbf{w}_l, \mathbf{w}_j) g_{im}(t) g_{lm}(t) + \\ & + \sum_{i=1}^m [b(\mathbf{w}_i, \mathbf{G}_\varepsilon(t), \mathbf{w}_j) g_{im}(t) + b(\mathbf{G}_\varepsilon(t), \mathbf{w}_i, \mathbf{w}_j) g_{im}(t)] = (\mathbf{f}_\varepsilon(t), \mathbf{w}_j), \\ & j = 1, \dots, m \end{aligned}$$

2.2 Estimates I

Let us multiply (20) by $g_{jm}(t)$ and sum over j :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} |\mathbf{u}_m(t)|^2 + \nu \|\mathbf{u}_m(t)\|^2 &= -b(\mathbf{u}_m(t), \mathbf{G}_\varepsilon(t), \mathbf{u}_m(t)) + (\mathbf{f}_\varepsilon(t), \mathbf{u}_m(t)) \\ &\leq |\mathbf{f}_\varepsilon(t)| \|\mathbf{u}_m(t)\| + |b(\mathbf{u}_m(t), \mathbf{G}_\varepsilon(t), \mathbf{u}_m(t))| \end{aligned}$$

One deduces from lemma 1.4 that :

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} |\mathbf{u}_m(t)|^2 + \frac{\nu}{2} \|\mathbf{u}_m(t)\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{2\nu C^2(\Omega)} |\mathbf{f}_\varepsilon(t)|^2 + \beta(\varepsilon, t) \|\mathbf{u}_m(t)\|^2.$$

As $\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \beta(\varepsilon, t) \rightarrow 0$ when $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, for a fixed and small $\varepsilon > 0$, one has:

$$\frac{d}{dt} |\mathbf{u}_m(t)|^2 + \frac{\nu}{2} \|\mathbf{u}_m(t)\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{\nu C^2(\Omega)} |\mathbf{f}_\varepsilon(t)|^2. \quad (21)$$

Integrating (21) from 0 to s, one deduces that:

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{u}_m(s)|^2 &\leq |\mathbf{u}_{0m}|^2 + \frac{1}{\nu C^2(\Omega)} \int_0^s |\mathbf{f}_\varepsilon(t)|^2 dt \\ &\leq |\mathbf{u}_0^\varepsilon|^2 + \frac{1}{\nu C^2(\Omega)} \|\mathbf{f}_\varepsilon(t)\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(0,T;\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega))}^2 \\ &\leq C_\varepsilon \left(\|\mathbf{g}\|_{\mathbf{H}^{3/2,3/4}(\Sigma_T)}^2 + \|\mathbf{v}_0\|_{\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)}^2 \right) \end{aligned}$$

according to (18) and (20). Therefore

$$\mathbf{u}_m \in \mathbf{L}^\infty(0, T; \mathbf{H}), \quad (22)$$

and $\{\mathbf{u}_m\}$ is an equibounded sequence in $\mathbf{L}^\infty(0, T; \mathbf{H})$.

Next, thanks to (21), one has:

$$\mathbf{u}_m \in \mathbf{L}^2(0, T; V), \quad (23)$$

and the sequence $\{\mathbf{u}_m\}$ is equibounded in $\mathbf{L}^2(0, T; V)$.

2.3 Estimates II

Let us multiply (20) by $\lambda_j g_{jm}(t)$ and sum over j :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\mathbf{u}_m(t)\|^2 + \nu |A\mathbf{u}_m(t)|^2 + b(\mathbf{u}_m(t), \mathbf{u}_m(t), A\mathbf{u}_m(t)) + \\ b(\mathbf{G}_\varepsilon(t), \mathbf{u}_m(t), A\mathbf{u}_m(t)) + b(\mathbf{u}_m(t), \mathbf{G}_\varepsilon(t), A\mathbf{u}_m(t)) = (\mathbf{f}_\varepsilon, A\mathbf{u}_m(t)) \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

where A is the Stokes operator. Let us begin by considering the nonlinear terms.

For the first term, thanks to the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality one has

$$\begin{aligned} |b(\mathbf{u}_m(t), \mathbf{u}_m(t), A\mathbf{u}_m(t))| &\leq \|\mathbf{u}_m(t)\|_{\mathbf{L}^4(\Omega)} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_m(t)\|_{\mathbf{L}^4(\Omega)} |A\mathbf{u}_m(t)| \\ &\leq C |\mathbf{u}_m(t)|^{1/2} \|\mathbf{u}_m(t)\| |A\mathbf{u}_m(t)|^{3/2} \\ &\leq C \|\mathbf{u}_m(t)\|^4 + \frac{\nu}{8} |A\mathbf{u}_m(t)|^2. \end{aligned}$$

In the same way,

$$\begin{aligned} |b(\mathbf{G}_\varepsilon(t), \mathbf{u}_m(t), A\mathbf{u}_m(t))| &\leq \|\mathbf{G}_\varepsilon(t)\|_{\mathbf{L}^4(\Omega)} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_m(t)\|_{\mathbf{L}^4(\Omega)} |A\mathbf{u}_m(t)| \\ &\leq C \|\mathbf{G}_\varepsilon(t)\|_{\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)} \|\mathbf{u}_m(t)\|^{1/2} |A\mathbf{u}_m(t)|^{3/2} \\ &\leq C \|\mathbf{G}_\varepsilon(t)\|_{\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)}^4 \|\mathbf{u}_m(t)\|^2 + \frac{\nu}{8} |A\mathbf{u}_m(t)|^2. \end{aligned}$$

We remark that, according to lemma 1.4, one has:

$$\|\mathbf{G}_\varepsilon\|_{\mathbf{L}^\infty(0,T;\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega))} \leq C \left(\|\mathbf{g}\|_{\mathbf{H}^{3/2,3/4}(\Sigma_T)} + \|\mathbf{v}_0\|_{\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)} \right).$$

So that

$$|b(\mathbf{G}_\varepsilon(t), \mathbf{u}_m(t), A\mathbf{u}_m(t))| \leq C \|\mathbf{u}_m(t)\|^2 + \frac{\nu}{8} |A\mathbf{u}_m(t)|^2.$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} |b(\mathbf{u}_m(t), \mathbf{G}_\varepsilon(t), A\mathbf{u}_m(t))| &\leq \|\mathbf{u}_m(t)\|_{\mathbf{L}^4(\Omega)} \|\nabla \mathbf{G}_\varepsilon(t)\|_{\mathbf{L}^4(\Omega)} |A\mathbf{u}_m(t)| \\ &\leq C \|\mathbf{u}_m(t)\|^2 \|\mathbf{G}_\varepsilon(t)\|_{\mathbf{H}^2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{\nu}{8} |A\mathbf{u}_m(t)|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\mathbf{u}_m(t)\|^2 + \nu |A\mathbf{u}_m(t)|^2 \leq \frac{C}{\nu} |\mathbf{f}_\varepsilon(t)|^2 + C \left[\|\mathbf{u}_m(t)\|^4 + \|\mathbf{u}_m(t)\|^2 \left(1 + \|\mathbf{G}_\varepsilon(t)\|_{\mathbf{H}^2(\Omega)}^2\right) \right].$$

Let

$$\sigma_m(t) = C \left[\|\mathbf{u}_m(t)\|^2 + \left(1 + \|\mathbf{G}_\varepsilon(t)\|_{\mathbf{H}^2(\Omega)}^2\right) \right].$$

One knows that

$$\sigma_m(t) \in \mathbf{L}^1(0, T);$$

so that, according to the Gronwall lemma and (24), one has:

$$\mathbf{u}_m \in \mathbf{L}^\infty(0, T; V) \cap \mathbf{L}^2(0, T; \mathbf{H}^2(\Omega)), \quad (25)$$

and $\{\mathbf{u}_m\}$ is an equibounded sequence in $\mathbf{L}^\infty(0, T; V) \cap \mathbf{L}^2(0, T; \mathbf{H}^2(\Omega))$.

2.4 Estimates III

Let us multiply (20) by $g'_{jm}(t)$ and sum over j from 1 to m . Then

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{u}'_m(t)|^2 &= \nu (A\mathbf{u}_m(t), \mathbf{u}'_m(t)) - b(\mathbf{u}_m(t), \mathbf{u}_m(t), \mathbf{u}'_m(t)) \\ &\quad - b(\mathbf{G}_\varepsilon(t), \mathbf{u}_m(t), \mathbf{u}'_m(t)) - b(\mathbf{u}_m(t), \mathbf{G}_\varepsilon(t), \mathbf{u}'_m(t)) + (\mathbf{f}_\varepsilon, \mathbf{u}'_m(t)). \end{aligned}$$

From this, one deduces that

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{u}'_m(t)|^2 &\leq \nu |A\mathbf{u}_m(t)| |\mathbf{u}'_m(t)| + C \|\mathbf{u}_m(t)\|_{\mathbf{L}^4(\Omega)} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_m(t)\|_{\mathbf{L}^4(\Omega)} |\mathbf{u}'_m(t)| \\ &\quad + C \|\mathbf{G}_\varepsilon(t)\|_{\mathbf{L}^4(\Omega)} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_m(t)\|_{\mathbf{L}^4(\Omega)} |\mathbf{u}'_m(t)| \\ &\quad + C \|\mathbf{u}_m(t)\|_{\mathbf{L}^4(\Omega)} \|\nabla \mathbf{G}_\varepsilon(t)\|_{\mathbf{L}^4(\Omega)} |\mathbf{u}'_m(t)| + |\mathbf{f}_\varepsilon(t)| |\mathbf{u}'_m(t)| \end{aligned}$$

Using the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality, estimates (25) and (19), and lemma 1.4 giving the estimate of \mathbf{G}_ε , one deduces that

$$\mathbf{u}'_m \in \mathbf{L}^2(0, T; \mathbf{H}), \quad (26)$$

and $\{\mathbf{u}'_m\}$ is an equibounded sequence in $\mathbf{L}^2(0, T; H)$.

2.5 Taking the limit.

It is a consequence of the above estimates that the sequence \mathbf{u}_m has a subsequence \mathbf{u}_m , the same notation being used to avoid unnecessary notation overload:

$$\mathbf{u}_m \rightharpoonup \mathbf{u} \text{ weakly}^* \quad \text{in } \mathbf{L}^\infty(0, T; V), \quad (27)$$

$$\mathbf{u}_m \rightharpoonup \mathbf{u} \text{ weakly} \quad \text{in } \mathbf{L}^2(0, T; \mathbf{H}^2(\Omega)), \quad (28)$$

$$\mathbf{u}'_m \rightharpoonup \mathbf{u}' \text{ weakly} \quad \text{in } \mathbf{L}^2(0, T; \mathbf{H}). \quad (29)$$

But we have a compact embedding

$$\{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{L}^2(0, T; \mathbf{H}^2(\Omega) \cap V), V' \in \mathbf{L}^2(0, T; \mathbf{H})\} \xrightarrow{\text{compact}} \mathbf{L}^2(0, T; V)$$

So that

$$\mathbf{u}_m \rightarrow \mathbf{u} \text{ strongly} \quad \text{in } \mathbf{L}^2(0, T; V) \text{ and a.e. in } Q_T \quad (30)$$

Let m_0 be fixed and $\mathbf{v} \in \langle \mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2, \dots, \mathbf{w}_{m_0} \rangle$. Let m tend towards $+\infty$ in (20). Then

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{u}'(t), \mathbf{v}) + \nu((\mathbf{u}(t), \mathbf{v})) &+ b(\mathbf{u}(t), \mathbf{u}(t), \mathbf{v}) + b(\mathbf{u}(t), \mathbf{G}_\varepsilon(t), \mathbf{v}) \\ &+ b(\mathbf{G}_\varepsilon(t), \mathbf{u}(t), \mathbf{v}) = (\mathbf{f}_\varepsilon(t), \mathbf{v}), \end{aligned}$$

This last relation being valid for all m_0 , it remains true for all $\mathbf{v} \in \langle \mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2, \dots, \mathbf{w}_m \rangle$, $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}^*$.

Finally let $\mathbf{v} \in V$. There exists $\mathbf{v}_m \in \langle \mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2, \dots, \mathbf{w}_m \rangle$ such that $\mathbf{v}_m \rightarrow \mathbf{v}$ in V and

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{u}'(t), \mathbf{v}) + \nu((\mathbf{u}(t), \mathbf{v})) + b(\mathbf{u}(t), \mathbf{u}(t), \mathbf{v}) \\ + b(\mathbf{u}(t), \mathbf{G}_\varepsilon(t), \mathbf{v}) + b(\mathbf{G}_\varepsilon(t), \mathbf{u}(t), \mathbf{v}) = (\mathbf{f}_\varepsilon(t), \mathbf{v}) \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

Now let us note that for all $t \in [0, T]$,

$$\mathbf{u}_m(t) \rightharpoonup \mathbf{u}(t) \quad \text{weakly in } V,$$

and thus

$$\mathbf{u}_m(0) = \mathbf{u}_{0m} \rightharpoonup \mathbf{u}(0) \quad \text{weakly in } V.$$

Since

$$\mathbf{u}_{0m} \rightarrow \mathbf{u}_0^\varepsilon \quad \text{in } V,$$

we have:

$$\mathbf{u}(0) = \mathbf{u}_0^\varepsilon.$$

2.6 Existence of pressure.

From (31), one has, for all $\mathbf{v} \in V$,

$$\langle \mathbf{u}' - \nu \Delta \mathbf{u} + B(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}) + B(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{G}_\varepsilon) + B(\mathbf{G}_\varepsilon, \mathbf{u}) - \mathbf{f}_\varepsilon, \mathbf{v} \rangle_{\mathbf{H}^{-1}(\Omega) \times \mathbf{H}_0^1(\Omega)} = 0.$$

Consequently, there exists a unique function p of $L^2(0, T)$ satisfying (16) and such that :

$$p \in L^2(0, T; \mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)).$$

This ends the proof of theorem 2.1. \square

3 Uniqueness Theorem

Theorem 3.1 *Problem (16) has a unique solution.*

Proof.

Let \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} be two solutions satisfying the hypotheses of theorem 2.1 and let $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}$. Then one has

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{w}}{\partial t} - \nu \Delta \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{w} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{w} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{G}_\varepsilon + \mathbf{G}_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{0}$$

Multiplying by \mathbf{w} , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} |\mathbf{w}(t)|^2 + \nu \|\mathbf{w}(t)\|^2 = & -(\mathbf{w} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}) - (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{w}) \\ & -(\mathbf{w} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{G}_\varepsilon, \mathbf{w}) - (\mathbf{G}_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{w}) \end{aligned}$$

But $b(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{w}) = 0$ and $b(\mathbf{G}_\varepsilon, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{w}) = 0$. This yields

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} |\mathbf{w}(t)|^2 + \nu \|\mathbf{w}(t)\|^2 = -b(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}) - b(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{G}_\varepsilon, \mathbf{w}).$$

One then integrates with respect to t and we get

$$\frac{1}{2} |\mathbf{w}(t)|^2 + \nu \int_0^t \|\mathbf{w}(s)\|^2 ds = - \int_0^t b(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}) ds - \int_0^t b(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{G}_\varepsilon, \mathbf{w}) ds.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^t b(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}) ds \right| & \leq C_1 \int_0^t \|\mathbf{w}(s)\|_{\mathbf{L}^4(\Omega)} \|\mathbf{u}(s)\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)} ds \\ & \leq C_2 \int_0^t |\mathbf{w}(s)| \|\mathbf{w}(s)\| \|\mathbf{u}(s)\| ds \\ & \leq \frac{\nu}{2} \int_0^t \|\mathbf{w}(s)\|^2 ds + C_3 \int_0^t |\mathbf{w}(s)|^2 \|\mathbf{u}(s)\|^2 ds. \end{aligned}$$

and, by the same way,

$$\int_0^t b(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{G}_\varepsilon, \mathbf{w}) ds \leq \frac{\nu}{2} \int_0^t \|\mathbf{w}(s)\|^2 ds + C_4 \int_0^t |\mathbf{w}(s)|^2 |\nabla \mathbf{G}_\varepsilon(s)|^2 ds.$$

it follows that

$$|\mathbf{w}(t)|^2 \leq C_5 \int_0^t |\mathbf{w}(s)|^2 \left(|\nabla \mathbf{G}_\varepsilon(s)|^2 + \|\mathbf{u}(s)\|^2 \right) ds$$

Thanks to the Gronwall lemma, one deduces $\mathbf{w} = 0$. \square

4 Existence of strong reproductive solution

We first recall results obtained by Kaniel et Shinbrot [5] in the study of the following problem :

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} - \nu \Delta \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} + \nabla p = \mathbf{f} & \text{in } Q_T \\ \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} = 0 & \text{in } Q_T \\ \mathbf{u} = 0 & \text{on } \Sigma_T \\ \mathbf{u}(0) = \mathbf{u}_0 & \text{in } \Omega \end{cases} \quad (32)$$

where Ω is an open and bounded domain of \mathbb{R}^3 , with a smooth boundary Γ .

The following result establishes the property of a reproductive solution

Theorem 4.1. *Let $T > 0$, and $\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{B}_{R,T}$ with \mathbf{f} small enough. Then, there exists an unique function \mathbf{u}_0 , independent of t , with $\nabla \mathbf{u}_0 \in \mathcal{B}_{R,T}$ and such that the solution of (32) reproduces its initial value at $t = T$:*

$$\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, T) = \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, 0) = \mathbf{u}_0(\mathbf{x}),$$

where

$$\mathcal{B}_{R,T} = \left\{ \mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{L}^\infty(0, T; \mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)) : \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbf{L}^\infty(0, T; \mathbf{L}^2(\Omega))} \leq R \right\}.$$

We begin by recalling the following lemma.

Lemma 4.2. *If*

$$\mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{L}^2(0, T; \mathbf{H}^2(\Omega) \cap V) \text{ and } \mathbf{u}' \in \mathbf{L}^2(0, T; \mathbf{H})$$

then

$$\mathbf{u} \in C([0, T]; V)$$

and

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\mathbf{u}(t)\|^2 = -2(\mathbf{u}'(t), \Delta \mathbf{u}(t)). \square$$

Now, let

$$\mathbf{v}_0 \in \mathbf{H}^1(\Omega) \cap \mathbf{H}, \quad \mathbf{w}_0 \in \mathbf{H}^1(\Omega) \cap \mathbf{H}, \quad \mathbf{g} \in \mathbf{H}^{3/2, 3/4}(\Sigma_T) \quad (33)$$

with

$$\mathbf{g} \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \Sigma_T \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{v}_0(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{w}_0(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}, 0) \quad \mathbf{x} \in \Gamma. \quad (34)$$

With these assumptions, it follows from theorem 2.1 that system (1), with data $(\mathbf{v}_0, \mathbf{g})$, (respectively $(\mathbf{w}_0, \mathbf{g})$), has an unique solution

$$\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{L}^2(0, T; \mathbf{H}^2(\Omega) \cap \mathbf{H}) \cap \mathbf{L}^\infty(0, T; \mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{v}' \in \mathbf{L}^2(0, T; \mathbf{H}),$$

(respectively

$$\mathbf{w} \in \mathbf{L}^2(0, T; \mathbf{H}^2(\Omega) \cap \mathbf{H}) \cap \mathbf{L}^\infty(0, T; \mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{w}' \in \mathbf{L}^2(0, T; \mathbf{H}).$$

Let us now set $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w}$. Then

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \mathbf{z}}{\partial t} - \nu \Delta \mathbf{z} + \mathbf{w} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{z} + \mathbf{z} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v} + \nabla r = \mathbf{0} & \text{in} \quad Q_T, \\ \operatorname{div} \mathbf{z} = 0 & \text{in} \quad Q_T, \\ \mathbf{z} = 0 & \text{on} \quad \Sigma_T, \\ \mathbf{z}(0) = \mathbf{v}_0 - \mathbf{w}_0 & \text{in} \quad \Omega. \end{cases} \quad (35)$$

where $r = p - q$ (q being the pressure corresponding to \mathbf{w}).

Lemma 4.3. *If*

$$\max \left(\|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathbf{L}^\infty(0, T; \mathbf{H}^1(\Omega))}, \|\mathbf{w}\|_{\mathbf{L}^\infty(0, T; \mathbf{H}^1(\Omega))} \right) \leq M \quad (36)$$

under the assumptions (33) and (34) with $0 < M \ll 1$, then

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\mathbf{z}(t)\|^2 + \nu \|\mathbf{z}(t)\|^2 \leq 0 \quad (37)$$

and thus, for all $t \in [0, T]$,

$$\|\mathbf{v}(t) - \mathbf{w}(t)\| \leq \|\mathbf{v}_0 - \mathbf{w}_0\| \exp(-\nu t). \quad (38)$$

Proof.

Let $P: \mathbf{L}^2(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}$, be the orthogonal projection operator. Then

$$\forall \varphi \in \mathbf{H}, (\nabla r, \varphi) = 0.$$

In particular, let us multiply (35) by $P \Delta \mathbf{z} = A \mathbf{z}$:

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\mathbf{z}(t)\|^2 + \nu |A \mathbf{z}|^2 = -(\mathbf{w} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{z}, A \mathbf{z}) - (\mathbf{z} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v}, A \mathbf{z})$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} |(\mathbf{w} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{z}, A\mathbf{z})| &\leq \|\mathbf{w}\|_{\mathbf{L}^4(\Omega)} \|\nabla \mathbf{z}\|_{\mathbf{L}^4(\Omega)} |A\mathbf{z}| \\ &\leq C \|\mathbf{w}\| |A\mathbf{z}|^2 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |(\mathbf{z} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v}, A\mathbf{z})| &\leq \|\mathbf{z}\|_{\mathbf{L}^\infty(\Omega)} \|\mathbf{v}\| |A\mathbf{z}| \\ &\leq C \|\mathbf{v}\| |A\mathbf{z}|^2. \end{aligned}$$

So that if

$$C \left(\|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathbf{L}^\infty(0,T;\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega))} + \|\mathbf{w}\|_{\mathbf{L}^\infty(0,T;\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega))} \right) \leq \frac{\nu}{2}$$

then

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\mathbf{z}(t)\|^2 + \nu \|\mathbf{z}(t)\|^2 \leq 0$$

and one deduces (38). \square

4.1 The main result

Lemma 4.4. *Suppose that \mathbf{g} and \mathbf{v}_0 satisfy hypotheses (4)-(5) and (9). Let us suppose moreover that $\mathbf{f}_\varepsilon \in \mathbf{L}^\infty(0,T;\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega))$ and that*

$$\|\mathbf{g}\|_{\mathbf{H}^{3/2,3/4}(\Sigma_T)} + \|\mathbf{v}_0\|_{\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)} \leq \alpha \quad (39)$$

$$\|\mathbf{f}_\varepsilon\|_{\mathbf{L}^\infty(0,T;\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega))} \leq K \quad (40)$$

with $\alpha > 0$ and $0 < K \ll 1$. Then, if \mathbf{u} is the solution given by theorem 2.1, one has:

$$\sup_{t \in [0,T]} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}(t)\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)} \leq M \quad (41)$$

Remark 4.5. Let us recall that

$$\mathbf{u}_0 = \mathbf{v}_0 - \mathbf{G}_\varepsilon(\cdot, 0)$$

Consequently, if hypothesis (39) takes place, one has from lemma 1.4 :

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{u}_0\| &\leq \|\mathbf{u}_0\|_{\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)} \leq \|\mathbf{v}_0\|_{\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)} + \|\mathbf{G}_\varepsilon(\cdot, 0)\|_{\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)} \\ &\leq \|\mathbf{v}_0\|_{\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)} + L \left(\|\mathbf{g}\|_{\mathbf{H}^{3/2,3/4}(\Sigma_T)} + \|\mathbf{v}_0\|_{\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)} \right) \\ &\leq \alpha(L+1) = M. \square \end{aligned}$$

Proof of lemma 4.4. (see Batchi [5])

Let us multiply (16) by $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{u}$ and integrate on Ω :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\mathbf{u}\|^2 + \nu |\mathbf{A}\mathbf{u}|^2 \leq & \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{f}_{\varepsilon} \cdot \mathbf{A}\mathbf{u} dx - \int_{\Omega} (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}) \cdot \mathbf{A}\mathbf{u} dx \\ & - \int_{\Omega} (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{G}_{\varepsilon}) \cdot \mathbf{A}\mathbf{u} dx - \int_{\Omega} (\mathbf{G}_{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}) \cdot \mathbf{A}\mathbf{u} dx \end{aligned}$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\Omega} (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}) \cdot \mathbf{A}\mathbf{u} dx \right| & \leq \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbf{L}^{\infty}(\Omega)} \|\mathbf{u}\| |\mathbf{A}\mathbf{u}| \\ & \leq C_1 \|\mathbf{u}\| |\mathbf{A}\mathbf{u}|^2, \end{aligned}$$

where C_1 is such that $\|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbf{L}^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leq C_1 |\mathbf{A}\mathbf{u}|$.

In the same way, one also has

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{G}_{\varepsilon}) \cdot \mathbf{A}\mathbf{u} dx \right| \leq C_1 \|\nabla \mathbf{G}_{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)} |\mathbf{A}\mathbf{u}|^2$$

But thanks to the lemma 1.4, one knows that

$$\mathbf{G}_{\varepsilon} \in \mathbf{L}^{\infty}(0, T; \mathbf{H}^1(\Omega))$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla \mathbf{G}_{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)} & \leq C_2 \|\mathbf{G}_{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathbf{H}^{2,1}(Q_T)} \\ & \leq C_2 L \left(\|\mathbf{g}\|_{\mathbf{H}^{3/2, 3/4}(\Sigma_T)} + \|\mathbf{v}_0\|_{\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)} \right) \\ & \leq C_3 \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

It then follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\Omega} (\mathbf{G}_{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}) \cdot \mathbf{A}\mathbf{u} dx \right| & \leq \|\mathbf{G}_{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathbf{L}^4(\Omega)} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbf{L}^4(\Omega)} |\mathbf{A}\mathbf{u}| \\ & \leq C_4 \|\mathbf{G}_{\varepsilon}\|_{\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)} |\mathbf{A}\mathbf{u}| \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}^{1/2} \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}^{1/2} \\ & \leq C_5 \alpha \|\mathbf{u}\|^{1/2} |\mathbf{A}\mathbf{u}|^{3/2} \\ & \leq C_5 \alpha \sqrt{C_6} |\mathbf{A}\mathbf{u}|^2, \end{aligned}$$

with $\|\mathbf{u}\| \leq C_6 |\mathbf{A}\mathbf{u}|$.

Thus,

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\mathbf{u}\|^2 + \nu |\mathbf{A}\mathbf{u}|^2 \leq |\mathbf{f}_{\varepsilon}| |\mathbf{A}\mathbf{u}| + C_1 \|\mathbf{u}\| |\mathbf{A}\mathbf{u}|^2 + C_1 C_3 \alpha |\mathbf{A}\mathbf{u}|^2 + C_5 \alpha \sqrt{C_6} |\mathbf{A}\mathbf{u}|^2. \quad (42)$$

Let $\varphi(t) = \|\mathbf{u}(t)\|$

i) Let us first suppose that $\|\mathbf{u}_0\| < M$.

Let $t_0 > 0$ be the smallest $t > 0$ such that $\varphi(t_0) = M$. According to (41), one then has

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\mathbf{u}(t)\|_{t=t_0}^2 + \nu |\mathbf{A}\mathbf{u}(t_0)|^2 \leq & K |\mathbf{A}\mathbf{u}(t_0)| + C_1 M |\mathbf{A}\mathbf{u}(t_0)|^2 \\ & + C_1 C_3 \alpha |\mathbf{A}\mathbf{u}(t_0)|^2 + C_5 \alpha \sqrt{C_6} |\mathbf{A}\mathbf{u}(t_0)|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Let us choose α sufficiently small and K such that

$$K = \frac{\nu}{8} \frac{1}{C_6} M, \quad (C_1 M + C_1 C_3 \alpha + C_5 \alpha \sqrt{C_6}) \leq \frac{3\nu}{8}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\mathbf{u}(t)\|_{t=t_0}^2 + \nu |A\mathbf{u}(t_0)|^2 &\leq \frac{\nu}{8} \frac{1}{C_6} M |A\mathbf{u}(t_0)| + \frac{3\nu}{8} |A\mathbf{u}(t_0)|^2 \\ \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\mathbf{u}(t)\|_{t=t_0}^2 + \nu |A\mathbf{u}(t_0)|^2 &\leq \frac{\nu}{8} \frac{1}{C_6} \|\mathbf{u}(t_0)\| |A\mathbf{u}(t_0)| + \frac{3\nu}{8} |A\mathbf{u}(t_0)|^2 \\ \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\mathbf{u}(t)\|_{t=t_0}^2 + \nu |A\mathbf{u}(t_0)|^2 &\leq \frac{\nu}{2} |A\mathbf{u}(t_0)|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\mathbf{u}(t)\|_{t=t_0}^2 + \nu |A\mathbf{u}(t_0)|^2 \leq 0$$

which implies that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\mathbf{u}(t)\|_{t=t_0}^2 \leq 0$$

Consequently, there exists $t^* \in [0, t_0[$ such that

$$\varphi(t^*) > \varphi(t_0), \text{ in contradiction with the definition of } t_0.$$

Therefore

$$\forall t \in [0, T], \varphi(t) < M.$$

ii) Suppose now that $\|\mathbf{u}_0\| = M$.

According to the above calculations, one verifies that $\varphi'(0) < 0$ and thus there exists $t^* > 0$ such that

$$\forall t \in]0, t^*], \varphi(t) < M.$$

Repeating the reasoning made in i), one shows that on $[t^*, T]$, $\varphi(t) < M$, and this ends the proof. \square

Remark 4.6. From now on, we assume that \mathbf{g} does not depend on time. More precisely, it is supposed that

$$\mathbf{g} \in \mathbf{H}^{3/2}(\Gamma), \quad \mathbf{g} \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma. \quad (43)$$

One recalls that $\mathbf{v}_0 \in \mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)$ satisfies

$$\operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}_0 = 0 \text{ in } \Omega, \quad \mathbf{v}_0 \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma \quad (44)$$

and that

$$\mathbf{v}_0 = \mathbf{g} \quad \text{on } \Gamma. \quad (45)$$

One knows that there exists $\mathbf{G} \in \mathbf{H}^2(\Omega)$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{G} = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \mathbf{G} = \mathbf{g} & \text{on } \Gamma, \end{cases} \quad (46)$$

with

$$\|\mathbf{G}\|_{\mathbf{H}^2(\Omega)} \leq C \|\mathbf{g}\|_{\mathbf{H}^{3/2}(\Gamma)}. \quad (47)$$

Processing as in lemma 1.4, one shows the existence, for all $\varepsilon > 0$, of $\mathbf{G}_\varepsilon \in \mathbf{H}^2(\Omega)$ satisfying (44)-(47) and the estimates:

$$\forall \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}, |b(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{G}_\varepsilon, \mathbf{v})| \leq \varepsilon \|\mathbf{g}\|^2 \quad (48)$$

The right side \mathbf{f}_ε in system (16) then becomes independent of time and satisfies

$$\mathbf{f}_\varepsilon \in L^\infty\left(0, T; L^2(\Omega)^2\right) \quad (49)$$

In the same way, \mathbf{u}_0^ε becomes

$$\mathbf{u}_0^\varepsilon = \mathbf{v}_0 - \mathbf{G}_\varepsilon \quad (50)$$

with \mathbf{G}_ε depends only on \mathbf{g} . \square

4.2 Reproductive solution result

With these assumptions on \mathbf{g} and \mathbf{v}_0 , lemma 4.2 remains naturally valid and one is able to establish the theorem which follows :

Theorem 4.7. *Let $\mathbf{g} \in \mathbf{H}^{3/2}(\Gamma)$ such that $\mathbf{g} \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0$ on Γ and*

$$\|\mathbf{g}\|_{\mathbf{H}^{3/2}(\Gamma)} \leq \alpha \quad (51)$$

with $0 < \alpha \ll 1$. Then, there exists $\mathbf{v}_0 \in \mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)$ such that $\operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}_0 = 0$ in Ω and $\mathbf{v}_0 = \mathbf{g}$ on Γ , and such that the solution $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{G}_\varepsilon$ where \mathbf{u} is given by theorem 2.1, is reproductive:

$$\mathbf{v}(T) = \mathbf{v}(0) = \mathbf{v}_0.$$

Proof. Let $\mathbf{G}_\varepsilon \in \mathbf{H}^2(\Omega)$ be the extension of \mathbf{g} satisfying (45)-(47) and

$$\mathbf{f}_\varepsilon = \nu \Delta \mathbf{G}_\varepsilon - \mathbf{G}_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla \mathbf{G}_\varepsilon$$

Let $\mathbf{u}_0^\varepsilon = \mathbf{v}_0 - \mathbf{G}_\varepsilon \in V$ and $\mathbf{u} \in L^2(0, T; \mathbf{H}^2(\Omega)) \cap L^\infty(0, T; V)$ be the unique solution of (16). We note that the function $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{G}_\varepsilon$ is the unique solution

of the initial problem (1). As in the proof of lemma 4.3, it is clear that if $\|\mathbf{u}_0^\varepsilon\| < M$, then

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|\mathbf{u}(t)\| \leq M$$

provided that $\|\mathbf{f}_\varepsilon\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)}$ is sufficiently small, which follows from (49).

Let us define the application

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{L} : \quad \mathbf{u}_0^\varepsilon &\longrightarrow \mathbf{u}(\cdot, T) \\ B_M &\longrightarrow B_M \end{aligned}$$

where $B_M = \{\mathbf{z} \in \mathbf{V}, \|\mathbf{z}\| \leq M\}$;

$\mathbf{u}(\cdot, T)$ being the unique solution of (16) at $t = T$.

Moreover, as in remark 4.5, it is clear that if $\|\mathbf{v}_0\| \leq \alpha$ and $\|\mathbf{w}_0\| \leq \alpha$ then

$$\|\mathbf{u}_0^\varepsilon\| \leq M \quad \text{and} \quad \|\mathbf{w}_0^\varepsilon\| \leq M,$$

with $\mathbf{y}_0^\varepsilon = \mathbf{w}_0 - \mathbf{G}_\varepsilon$.

So that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{L}\mathbf{u}_0^\varepsilon(t) - \mathbf{L}\mathbf{y}_0^\varepsilon(t) &= \mathbf{u}(t) - \mathbf{y}(t) \\ &= \mathbf{u}(t) - \mathbf{G}_\varepsilon - (\mathbf{y}(t) - \mathbf{G}_\varepsilon) \\ &= \mathbf{v}(t) - \mathbf{w}(t), \end{aligned}$$

and, according to lemma 4.2

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{L}\mathbf{u}_0^\varepsilon(t) - \mathbf{L}\mathbf{y}_0^\varepsilon(t)\| &= \|\mathbf{v}(T) - \mathbf{w}(T)\| \\ &\leq \|\mathbf{v}_0 - \mathbf{w}_0\| \exp(-\nu T) \\ &\leq \|\mathbf{u}_0^\varepsilon - \mathbf{y}_0^\varepsilon\| \exp(-\nu T) \end{aligned}$$

Thus \mathbf{L} is a contraction and has a fixed point. \square

References

- [1] Batchi, M., *Etude mathématique et numérique des phénomènes de transferts thermiques liés aux écoulements instationnaires en géométrie axisymétrique* These de Doctorat de l'Université de Pau et des Pays de l'Adour, 2005.
- [2] Dautray, R. and Lions, J.L., *Mathematical Analysis and Numerical Methods for Science and Technology*, vols.1-6, Springer, Berlin, 1988-1993.
- [3] Galdi, G.P., *An Introduction to the Mathematical Theory of the Navier-Stokes Equations*, vol.I&II, Springer, 1998.

- [4] Girault, V., Raviart, P.A., *Finite Element Methods for Navier-Stokes Equations*, Springer Series SCM, 1986.
- [5] Kaniel, S. et Shinbrot, M., *A Reproductive Property of the Navier-Stokes Equations*, Arch.Rat.Mech. Analysis, 24, pp.363-369, 1967.
- [6] Ladyzhenskaya, O. A., *The mathematical theory of viscous incompressible flow*, N.Y.: Gordon and Breach, 1963.
- [7] Lions, J.L. et Magenes, E., *Problèmes aux limites non homogènes et Applications*, vol.1&2, Paris, Dunod, 1968.
- [8] Lions, J.L., *Quelques Méthodes de Résolution des Problèmes aux Limites Nonlinéaires*, Paris, Dunod, 1969.
- [9] Solonnikov, V.A., *Estimates of the Solutions of a Nonstationary Linearized System of Navier-Stokes Equations*, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl., Series 2, vol.75, pp.2-116, 1968.
- [10] Takeshita, A., *On the reproductive property of the 2-dimensional Navier-Stokes Equations*, J.Fac.Sci.Univ.Tokyo, Sect.IA 15, pp.297-311, 1970.
- [11] Temam, R., *Navier-Stokes Equations. Theory and Analysis*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1985.