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Three extreme cases of neutralisation: nasality, retroflexion and lip-rounding in Naxi

Abstract: New data on dialects of Naxi (a Sino-Tibetan language) allow for some progress in the analysis of their phonemic system. The present analyses bear on three contrasts: (i) between oral rhymes /i/, /y/ and /v̩/ and nasal /ĩ/, /ỹ/ and /ṽ̩/—a contrast which is present in a single dialect, and which partly subsists as a contrast of initial fricatives /h/ and /ç/ in another dialect; (ii) between retroflex and non-retroflex coronal initials; and (iii) between syllables with and without lip-rounding (ʷ/). From a strictly synchronic point of view, these phenomena constitute extreme instances of neutralisation; from a diachronic point of view, their analysis provides some landmarks for reconstruction.
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Introduction

Naxi is a Sino-Tibetan language spoken by some 300,000 people mostly concentrated in the Gucheng District (lì jiāng gǔ chéng qū 丽江古城区) and the Yulong Naxi autonomous county (yù lóng nà xī zú zì zhī xiàn 玉龙纳西族自治县) which, together, formerly made up the Lijiang Naxi Autonomous County (lì jiāng nà xī zú zì zhī xiàn 丽江纳西族自治县) of the province of Yunnan, China. The Chinese name of the language is nà xī yǔ 纳西语; the autonym in the Western dialects is /nà hi/. It is close to, though not a member of, the Burmese-Yi branch of Sino-Tibetan (Bradley 1975, 1979, 1997:37; Matisoff 2003:5, 8; Thurgood 2003:20). The syllabic structure of Naxi is strikingly different from that of Proto-Tibeto-Burman as reconstructed by Paul Benedict (1972) and James Matisoff (2003). Like the Yi languages (彝语 yí yǔ in Chinese; formerly known as Lolo1), Naxi is a monosyllabic language without final consonants or consonant clusters. Most syllables are simply made up of a consonant and a vowel; syllabic structure is (C)(G)V, where C is a consonant, G a semi-vowel /w/ or /j/ (with a severely restricted distribution), and V a vowel; brackets indicate optional constituents.2

Naxi is nonetheless a language of some phonemic complexity. The present analyses bear mainly on the dialect of the village of /lā gā/,

1 David Bradley proposed the designation Ñi for the languages formerly called Yi or Lolo (Bradley 1995:1, note 2). Ñi is a relatively common autonym among the languages concerned (e.g. Hani, Sani…), whereas Yi and Lolo are exonyms, the second being moreover derogatory. Quite recently, Bradley proposed a new improvement over this designation, changing it to Ngwi in light of advances in the reconstruction of 'Proto-Yi’. The compromise choice made here is to retain the widespread designation Yi.

2 For an inventory of Naxi syllables, and a glimpse of Naxi studies, see, in the present issue, “Syllabic inventory of a Naxi dialect, and correspondence with Joseph Rock’s transcriptions".
hamlet of /lé pỳ lò/ (in Chinese: lìjiāng gùchéng qū, wénhuà xíngzhèng cūn, lěng bù luò zi rán cūn 丽江古城区, 文化行政村, 冷不罗自然村), abbreviated as AS, and, to a lesser extent, on the
dialect of the village of /fv̩́kʰo̩/ (in Chinese: yù lóng nà xī zú zi zhí xiàn, fēng kē xiāng, shàn měi xíng zhèng cūn, hěng kē èr zú 玉龙纳西族自治县, 奉科乡, 善美行政村, 恒可二组),
abbreviated as FK. Some data from the village of /ndɑ̄le/ (abbreviated to NL) will be adduced in the course of the discussion
(the Chinese coordinates of the NL dialect are: lìjiāng gùchéng qū, guì fēng dà lái xíng zhèng xià cūn 丽江古城区, 贵峰大来行政下村 /大来二村). These dialects were investigated
first-hand in 2002 and 2004. The map which accompanies this
paper shows these locations, as well as the town of Lijiang, whose
dialect is abbreviated to DYZ, for Dàyánzhèn (大研镇), which
designates the historical city centre.

The three contrasts studied here are:

1) the contrast between oral rhymes /i/, /y/ and /v̩/, on the one hand,
and nasal rhymes /i̯/, /y̯/ and /ṽ̩/ on the other. This contrast is
found in only one of the dialects investigated (this dialect is referred
to as FK; see below, section 1.2), and only after initial /h/. In the
AS dialect (see, again, section 1.2), which does not possess nasal
vowels, the distinction between the lexical items that have rhymes
/i̯/: /i̯/ or /ṽ̩/: /ṽ̩/ in FK is lost altogether, whereas the distinction
between the /y/ and /y̯/ of FK subsists on the initial consonant of
the syllables at issue: /ç/ vs. /h/;

2) the contrast between retroflex and non-retroflex coronal initials
before vowel /o/ in the AS dialect;

3) the contrast between syllables with and without labialisation (ʷ)
between a coronal initial and the rhotic vowel /ə/ (and only this
vowel), again in the AS dialect.
Map showing part of the Yulong Naxi Autonomous County and of the Lijiang Gucheng District (which, together, formerly made up the Lijiang Naxi Autonomous County), indicating the position of the main dialects referred to in the study.
For the sake of convenience, second-hand data are rewritten to follow my own phonemic analysis in cases where first-hand familiarity with the dialect at issue shows that the same phonemic analysis applies. In particular, tones are rewritten as level tones, a choice which is partly based on the presence in Naxi of contour-creating floating tones reminiscent of those found in Subsaharian languages and elsewhere (as described by Odden 1995; Hyman 2001; Clements and Goldsmith 1984; the Naxi facts are set out in Michaud 2006).

1. The phonemicisation of the palatal initial /ç/ of the AS dialect by transphonologisation of a nasalisation contrast on vowels, still attested in the FK dialect

1.1. Description and cross-dialect correspondences

The FK dialect possesses three nasalised rhymes, /i̯/, /y̯/ and /ç̯/. Phonemic analysis reveals that /h/ is the only phoneme which can appear before these rhymes; in this context, it is phonetically nasalised: its phonetic realisation can be approximated as [h̃] before /i̯/ and /y̯/ (i.e. yielding [h̃i̯] and [h̃y̯]). In Naxi, the voiced fricative /ç/ is a rhyme, and cannot appear as initial; before a nasal /ç̯/, an initial /h/ is realised as a nasal, labiodental, unvoiced sound, which can be approximated as [ŋ]. Seven examples are provided in table 1, providing both a narrow phonetic transcription (in square brackets) and a phonemic analysis (between slashes).
Table 1. Narrow phonetic transcription of words exemplifying the nasal-vs.-oral contrast on vowels in the FK dialect, and corresponding words in the AS dialect. (As will be set out below, [y] and [ju] stand in a relation of allophony in FK.) To avoid confusion between tone diacritics and the diacritic for nasality, tones are marked to the right of the corresponding syllable.

The spectrograms in figure 1 illustrate the nasality contrast in the FK dialect, bringing out the difference between the realisations of /h/ when it precedes a nasal vowel (in which case the friction noise is weak), an oral, front high vowel (in which case it is realised as [ç]), or a voiced fricative /v̩/ (it is then realised as [f]). Recordings of items 1-5 and 7 by a speaker of the FK dialect are available at http://ed268.univ-paris3.fr/lpp/pages/EQUIPE/michaud/NAXI/.

The correspondences which emerge are taken up in table 2.

Table 2. Phonemic correspondence between the dialects of FK and AS for six initial-rhyme combinations.

The [ju] sequence in the FK dialect can be analysed as an allophone of /y/. In FK, /y/ is realised as [ju] in the following contexts: in
Fig. 1. Nasality contrasts in the FK dialect. Top: syllable /hɪ̃/ (left), and /hi/, realised [çi] (right). Bottom: /hỹ̃/ (left), and /hỹ/, realised [fy] (right).
word-initial position, after the fricative /h/ (as in the word ‘light (not heavy)’: [jù], phonemically a simple /y/), and after the velar /k/ (which it palatalises to [tç]). It is realised as [y] after coronals /nd/, /d/, /t/, /l/ and /s/, and bilabials /mb/, /b/, /p/, /pʰ/.

In FK, [ç] is simply an allophone of /h/, which has a broad range of allophones, as it adopts some of the phonetic features of the rhyme that follows it: it is realised as nasalised [h̃] before nasal rhymes /i/ and /y/; as labiodental [ɱ] and [f] before /ṽ/ and /v/, respectively; as velar [x] before back vowels /ɯ/, /u/, /ɤ/, /ɑ/; as palatal [ç] before close front oral /i/ and /v/; and as [h] before /σ/, /ɛ/, /o/, /a/.1

In AS, on the other hand, /ç/ and /h/ stand in a relation of phonemic opposition before /y/, and only before this vowel.

From a phonemic point of view, pairs 1-2 and 3-4 in table 1 appear to be distinguished by their rhyme in FK; words 1 and 2 appear to be distinguished by their initial in AS. Words 3 and 4 are homophonous in AS, as are also 5 and 7.

An alternative analysis would consist in transcribing the syllables [h̃y], [h̃ɨ] and [ɲṿ] of the FK dialect as /hy/, /hi/ and /hṿ/, contrasting with /ɕy/, /ɕi/ and /fṿ/. However, this somewhat contrived analysis would not account for the presence of nasality.

It appears advisable, in the analysis of such out-of-the-way synchronic data, to look for a diachronic rationale, rather than draw out typological implications on the slender basis of the data at issue, as advised by Larry Hyman: “A complex nasal state may best be understood in terms of the nasal process which gives rise to it” (Hyman 1975:254; a similar viewpoint is expressed by Martinet

---

1 The phoneme /h/ is distinct from the absence of any initial [‘zero initial’]; the phonetic realisation of syllables without an initial consonant is set out in another paper in the present volume, “Syllabic inventory of a Western Naxi dialect, and correspondence with Joseph F. Rock’s transcriptions".
[1955, 1975], and indeed underpins the approach of various other researchers). The diachronic evolution of the lexical contrasts at issue can be imagined as follows:

(1) at a first stage (a reconstructed, common earlier state), the oppositions were the following: /h̄yː hy/, /h̄iː hi/, and /h̄εː hy/; it can be imagined that, at that stage, the phonetic realisations were relatively straightforward (e.g. [h̄y] for /h̄yː/, [hy] for /hy/);

(2) at a second stage, contextual allophonic variation in the initial consonant became strong: for instance, /h̄yː/ was realised as [h̄ı̄y], and /hy/ as [çy] (a plausible phonetic motivation for the change from [h] to [ç] before an oral, close, front vowel is outlined below). This is in fact how things stand at present in the FK dialect, where /h̄iː/, realised as [h̄ı̄i], stands in contrast to /hiː/, realised as [çı] (and /h̄yː/, realised as [h̄ı̄ı], stands in contrast to /hyː/, which is realised, following the diphthongisation of /yː/ in this context, as [çıu]);

(3) at a later stage, in AS (and other Western dialects), the contrast between nasal vowels and oral vowels was lost. In the case of former /h̄yː/ and /hyː/, the phonetic difference between initial [h] and initial [ç] became phonemicised, so that the opposition was transphonologised as a contrast of initials: /h̄yːː / : /çyː/. (Here and below, the colon : is used for relations of phonemic contrast.) In the case of former /h̄ıːː / : /hiː/, the opposition was lost without compensation in AS. There is at present no means to ascertain whether this loss was preceded by a stage in which the contrast was borne by the initial (i.e. /hıːː : /çıː/).

Table 3 sums up this view of things.
Phonetic realisation of the syllables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>Syll. with nucleus / y /</th>
<th>Syll. with nucleus / i /</th>
<th>Syll. with nucleus / v /</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1) reconstructed, common</td>
<td>h̃y : hy</td>
<td>h̃i : hi</td>
<td>h̃ṽ : hv</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>earlier state</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>stage (2): allophonic variation of the initial; the contrast can still be described phonemically as a contrast in vowels</td>
<td>h̃ỹ : çy</td>
<td>h̃ĩ : çi (in dialects other than FK, the contrast may have been lost before this stage)</td>
<td>ɱ̃ṽ : Ʉy (in dialects other than FK, the contrast may have been lost before this stage)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) present state</td>
<td>in FK: h̃ỹ : çju, by diphthongisation of /y/; in AS: hy : çy, by loss of nasality</td>
<td>in FK: no change; in AS: confusion to çi</td>
<td>in FK: no change; in AS: confusion to Ʉy (phonemically: /hṽ/)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Hypotheses concerning the evolution of syllables with close front vowels after a glottal fricative in two dialects of Naxi, FK and AS. (Unless placed between slashes, the notations are phonetic, not phonemic.)

Concerning the mechanisms of change, it is likely that the absence of palatalisation of initial /h/ when followed by a nasal vowel is due to the spread of nasalisation over the entire syllable (as a prosody in the Firthian sense). In the early stages of the evolution at issue, velum lowering presumably took place some time before the beginning of the vowel, by anticipation. An open velo-pharyngeal port is not easily compatible with the realisation of an oral fricative (be it [ç] or another fricative such as [s]), insofar as fricatives require a relatively high air pressure behind the constriction, which does not easily obtain if the air can escape through the nose. In case there is a strong oral constriction (as is necessary for [ç]), the increased impedance of the oral tract will result in higher nasal airflow, while intra-oral pressure remains relatively low.

As for the reason why the lexical contrasts borne by /i/-vowel syllables are lost without compensation in the AS dialect, whereas
they survive (by means of contrasts among initials) in /y/-vowel syllables, no simple articulatory or acoustic argument can be adduced; there does not appear to be any obvious phonetic motivation for this fact.

The evolution that took place in the AS dialect gives phonemic status to the sound [ç], in one single context: before vowel /y/. The strict application of principles of synchronic description leads to the conclusion that these are extreme cases of neutralisation of phonemic contrasts: in AS, the contrast between /ç/ and /h/ is neutralised in all contexts save one (before /y/); in FK, the contrast between nasal and oral vowels is neutralised in all positions except after /h/ (and, to preview section 2, the retroflex-vs.-dental contrast among certain consonants is neutralised in all positions except before /o/).

It may appear unusual, and indeed counter-intuitive, to speak of neutralisation in these cases: it is more usual to use this notion to describe cases where a thoroughgoing contrast disappears in a restricted environment, e.g. in French, /e/ and /ɛ/ contrast only in open syllables, the opposition being neutralised in closed syllables.1 However, the term neutralisation should not be understood in a dynamic sense (whereby the opposition would have existed and then have been neutralised): it has a static—flatly synchronic—application (Martinet 1969:257-259). It is not unusual for a synchronic formulation to be the reverse image of a diachronic formulation:

1 “Im Französischen kommen aber e und ɛ nur im offenen Auslaut als Glieder einer phonologisch-distinktiven Opposition vor (les-lait, allez-allait); in den übrigen Stellungen ist das Vorkommen von e und ɛ mechanisch geregelt (in gedeckter Silbe ɛ, in ungedeckter e), so daß diese zwei Vokale nur im offenen Auslaut als zwei Phoneme, in den übrigen Stellungen dagegen als kombinatorische Varianten eines einzigen Phonems gewertet werden müssen. Der phonologische Gegensatz ist also im Französischen in gewissen Stellungen aufgehoben. Solche Oppositionen nennen wir aufhebbar, jene Lautstellungen, in denen die Aufhebung erfolgt, Aufhebungsstellungen, jene, wo die Opposition relevant ist, Relevanzstellungen” (Trubetzkoy 1939:70).
perspective: for instance, describing the synchronic stage when
Chinese had three tones (A, B and C) contrasting on open/sonorant-
final syllables, it can be said that the tonal opposition was
neutralised on the syllables of category D (obstruent-final
syllables), although this opposition had never existed on these
syllables. A diachronic perspective is therefore a useful
complement to synchronic description; it helps preclude
unwarranted typological conclusions.¹

In addition to the above observations, let us mention that the
correspondence between FK /hy/ and AS /çy/ is not verified in all
cases. Table 4 provides examples of the following correspondence:
FK /hy/, AS /su/. This correspondence is apparently due to a
systematic confusion of the earlier */su/ and */hy/ to /hy/ in the FK
dialect.

¹ Larry Hyman reports a spectacular example illustrating the necessity to
supplement synchronic description by diachronic reflection: in Wukari (Shimizu
1971), there exist syllables [ba], [b̃a], [m̄a], [mba], but neither [ma] nor [m̄ba]. (In
this description, [b] stands for any of the following oral stops: p, t, k or b, d, g, and
[m] for a nasal, m, n or ŋ.) Synchronously, nothing prevents the analysis of [mba]
as the realisation of a simple /m/ + /a/ sequence, [m̄a] being straightforwardly
analysed as /m̄a/. This solution is economical in terms of the number of phonemic
units used in the description, but at the cost of allophonic variation rules that
contravene well-established typological regularities, and are apt to mislead
typologists. A diachronic investigation actually reveals that mb already existed as a
phoneme in its own right in earlier states of the language (Proto-Jukunoid), in
which there were nine possible syllabic structures involving initial nasals or stops:
phenomena took place: prenasalised stops became geminate nasals in cases where
the syllable had a final nasal; these geminate nasals simplified to simple nasals;
vowels became nasalised when preceded or followed by a nasal consonant; lastly,
final consonants fell (Hyman 1975:262).
### Table 4. Illustration of the correspondence between AS /ʂu/ and FK /hy/, in phonemic notation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FK</th>
<th>AS</th>
<th>English translation</th>
<th>Chinese translation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>hy</td>
<td>ʂu</td>
<td>iron; homophone:</td>
<td>铁</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>clean</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hy</td>
<td>ʂu</td>
<td>ugly</td>
<td>丑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hy</td>
<td>ʂu,</td>
<td>to look for</td>
<td>寻找</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hy</td>
<td>which</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Let us note in passing that a change from [ʂu] to [çy] or the other way round is also attested in the domain of Chinese dialects. To take an example, the word for ‘tuber’, 薯 (pinyin shǔ), the phonemes of which are /ʂu/ in Standard Chinese, is /ɕy/ in the Gan (赣) dialect of Nanchang (nánchāng 南昌), probably a borrowing from the Mandarin dialect of Hankou (hànkǒu 汉口), a more conservative dialect in this respect (Sagart 1993:121). (As an anecdote, let us also mention that the Chinese name 徐, pronounced [çy] in Mandarin, is sometimes anglicised as [ʃu:].)

### 1.2. Preliminary diachronic hypotheses as to the origin of nasal vowels

The above reflections suggest that the state of affairs observed in the FK dialect is somewhat more conservative than that observed in AS. In turn, this raises the issue of how nasal vowels came about in Naxi.

Across languages, the development of nasal vowels under the influence of nasal consonants in coda position (the final consonants then disappearing) is common, e.g. from Latin to Modern French, and in some Chinese dialects that have lost final nasals, such as Qingdao (qīngdào 青岛); phonetically, it is well established that lowering of the velum for a final nasal consonant begins before oral
closure, nasalising the vowel (to a degree which varies across languages, speaking rates and speaking styles; see, e.g., Cohn 1990 and references therein).

There is no evidence that Naxi had final nasals at any stage of its history, however. ‘Red’, item 1 of tables 1 and 2 above, is hóng (红) in standard Mandarin Chinese, with a final nasal, but this is a mere coincidence: the meaning of this word changed from ‘rose’ to ‘red’ in the course of its history (as pointed out to us by William Baxter); moreover, the genetic relationship between Chinese and Naxi is so distant that the quest for surface similarities does not make sense.

Interestingly, languages related to Naxi have initial nasals in some words whose cognates have nasal vowels in FK Naxi. ‘Body hair’, /hṹ ʔ/ in FK Naxi, is reconstructed as *mu1 by Benedict 1972, as *?mwe3 by Bradley 1979. ‘Red’, /hỹ́ ʔ/ in FK, is reconstructed by Benedict as *r-ni, by Bradley as *ʔni1. ‘Man, human being’, is reconstructed by Benedict as *r-miy; it might be that the form /hṹ ʔ/ observed in FK Naxi is derived from *r-miy, whereas the nasal-plus-/i/ syllables observed in present-day Naxi (e.g. in /mí̯ / ‘woman’, /mĩ̯ / ‘fire’) come from other sources, such as unprefixed nasals. These tentative comparisons suggest that the nasal vowels of Naxi could originate in former nasal initials.

Across languages, there are several processes whereby an initial nasal consonant may become de-nasalised. It may evolve into a prenasalised stop, then lose prenasalisation (m > mb > b, n > nd > d, ŋ > ŋg > g), as in some Chinese dialects (Chen 1975) and in the Southern Loloish languages Bisu and Phunoi. This evolution bears indirect testimony to the cross-language tendency for nasalisation to spread beyond the initial consonant: in a language that contrasts, e.g., /ma/ and /mã/, the evolution of /ma/ towards /mba/ may be a means to block the propagation of nasality from /m/ onto the following syllable, a propagation which would threaten the opposition between /ma/ and /mã/ (Larry Hyman
describes this as “perceptual reinforcement of the orality of a neighboring vowel”: Hyman 1975:256, 259). In Naxi, there were arguably no nasal vowels prior to the process investigated here; analogues to the situation in Naxi are therefore to be sought elsewhere.

Nasalisation of a vowel by a preceding nasal consonant is well attested in the Yi-Burmese languages: closed vowels (typically /i/ or /u/) are frequently nasalised after a nasal consonant, as in Arakanese, a dialect of Burmese (Bradley 1985); indeed, in some languages they disappear altogether (Bradley 1989). Data from the Nosu and Phunoi languages lead David Bradley to reconstruct a historical change from a devoiced nasal consonant /ŋ̥/ to /h/, by loss of the oral closure, followed by the loss of nasality (Bradley 1979); the latter change is highly plausible from a phonetic point of view, since the acoustic effect of velum lowering becomes minimal in the absence of an oral closure (see also the discussions in Ohala 1975).

In Tamang (Martine Mazaudon, personal communication), the combination of an initial /ŋ/ and an oral vowel freely alternates with an initial /h/ followed by a nasal vowel: for instance, ‘to call’ is sometimes realised as [ŋ̥ jot-pa], sometimes as [h̥ôt-pa] (the superscript figure at the beginning of the word indicates lexical tone).

To conclude, it can be imagined that the nasal vowels of FK Naxi originate in the lenition of a nasal initial (or an initial consonant cluster) and its change to /h/ (probably through an intermediate stage in which /h/ had become a voiceless nasal). This raises an issue in terms of historical depth of the process; in the perspective outlined here, in order to account both for present-day nasal-initial syllables (of the structure /mi/) and for present-day nasal vowels (as in /hî/), it is necessary to posit initial consonant clusters; there is in fact no evidence that such clusters were present at the stage when nasal vowels appeared. Lastly, at present one cannot rule out the
possibility that the Naxi facts are a case of rhino-glottophilia as described by Matisoff 1975 (nasalisation of vowels in the environment of /h/ or /ʔ/), in which case the contrast between /hi/ and /çi/ observed in AS would represent a stage preceding phonetic evolution towards [hḭ] vs. [çi] and subsequent phonemic reinterpretation as /hi/ vs. /çi/.

1.3. Supplementary data for the study of nasalisation in Naxi

In the AS dialect, initial /ŋ/ only combines with three rhymes: /ɤ/, /ɑ/ and /v̩/. It could be that, at earlier stages, /ŋ/-initial syllables were more numerous, a conjecture which is supported by data from the FK dialect, in which /ŋ/ combines with /u/ and /wɑ/, as illustrated by the examples in table 5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FK</th>
<th>AS</th>
<th>English translation</th>
<th>Chinese translation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ɳwa (in: sá ɳwa̰, ɳwa̰ mē, ndā ɳwā)</td>
<td>ʷa (in: sá wā, wā mē, ndā wā)</td>
<td>3ʳᵈ month, 5ᵗʰ month, 12ᵗʰ month</td>
<td>三月, 五月, 十二月</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ɳwā̰</td>
<td>ʷā</td>
<td>tile [probably a Chinese borrowing]</td>
<td>瓦</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ɳū</td>
<td>ʷā</td>
<td>copula</td>
<td>是</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ɳṵ̄</td>
<td>kō</td>
<td>to water</td>
<td>浇(浇菜)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ɳṵ̄ (in: ɳṵ̄ gē)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1ˢᵗ sg., in possessive constructions</td>
<td>我(的)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ɳā</td>
<td>ɳā</td>
<td>1ˢᵗ sg.</td>
<td>我</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ɳ̄</td>
<td>ɳ̄</td>
<td>silver; homophone</td>
<td>银; 哭</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ɳ̄</td>
<td>ɳ̄</td>
<td>to cry</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. Correspondences between the dialects of FK and AS for syllables with an initial velar nasal.

It can reasonably be hypothesised that the conservative form is that with an initial nasal. These facts recall the evolution of the 1ˢᵗ
person singular (wǒ 我) of standard Mandarin: it is thought to originate in */ŋajʔ/, a form which is postulated for the period between 1300 B.C. and 250 B.C. (late Shang to Western Zhou); wú 吾, likewise a 1st person pronoun, originates in an older */ŋa/ (Sagart 1999:142-146; see also Sagart 1993:123-124). The 1st person pronoun (我) retains an initial velar nasal in many present-day Southwest Mandarin dialects (Yang Shih-Feng 1984:1718).

One question which remains unanswered is why only three nasal vowels, /i̯/, /u̯/ and /ũ̯/, are now extant in FK Naxi. That out-of-the-way patterns should be observed on closed vowels is relatively common (in Mon, for instance, they also present unexpected correspondences [Christian Bauer, personal communication]); concerning nasalisation, Hajek and Maeda, supplementing the observations of Chen 1975 and Matisoff 1975:272, show that low vowels do not necessarily have a stronger propensity to nasalisation: “...there appear to be two competing universal tendencies, if not universals: one is of preferential nasalization of high vowels, and the other is of preferential nasalization of vowels with greatest duration (generally accepted to be low vowels)” (Hajek and Maeda 2000:68). Further investigation into this question will necessitate a systematic investigation into the Naxi cognates of the nasal-initial syllables of other Yi-Burmese languages.

2. Contrasts of retroflexion on coronal initials in the AS dialect are restricted to a single context: before the vowel /o/

2.1. Description
In the dialects of FK and AS, retroflex stops [ɳɖ], [ɖ], [ʈ], [ʈʰ], nasal [ɳ] and lateral [ɭ] stand in a relationship of allophonic variation to nonretroflex [nd], [d], [t], [tʰ], [n] and [l], the former appearing before rhymes /ə/, /u/, and /u/, the latter before all other vowels. (By the strength of its release, the /ɭ/ is close to the sound
described as [ʈʃ] in the International Phonetic Alphabet.) For instance, ‘to close (a door)’ is [ʈʃ]; there is no such phonetic product as [ʈʃ] in the Naxi dialects of AS and FK. Nonetheless, in a manner reminiscent of the contrast between /h/ and /ç/ in the AS dialect, there is one single context in which the AS dialect of Naxi presents a contrast of retroflexion on coronal initials (stops, nasals and laterals): before the vowel /o/. Said differently, the opposition of retroflexion is neutralised before all vowels save /o/. To take the example of syllables with an unvoiced stop /t/ or /ʈ/, the attested combinations are the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>i</th>
<th>y</th>
<th>u</th>
<th>e</th>
<th>a</th>
<th>o</th>
<th>a</th>
<th>ø</th>
<th>ɣ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>t</td>
<td>ti</td>
<td>ty</td>
<td>ti</td>
<td>te</td>
<td>to</td>
<td>ta</td>
<td>ti</td>
<td>ty</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ʈ</td>
<td>ʈu</td>
<td>ʈu</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

i.e. the distribution of /t/ and /ʈ/ is almost complementary, but not quite.

Table 6 presents lexical oppositions (checked with four language consultants). The examples for /ʈ/ and /ʈʰ/ do not appear to be basic, reliable words, but the examples for /nd : ɳd/ /n : ɳ/ /l : ɭ/ appear convincing.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Initial</th>
<th>Tone</th>
<th>Dental Consonant + /o/</th>
<th>Retroflex Consonant + /o/</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>n : ǹ</strong></td>
<td>L</td>
<td>/nɔ̄/ ‘merchandise, object that can be sold at the market (typically: produce of the farm)’ (商品)</td>
<td>/ŋɔ́/ ‘moth’ (蛀虫); ‘strand (of thread)’ (量词) 根 (一根线)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>/nɔ̄/ ‘fodder, grasses that pigs and cows can eat’</td>
<td>/ŋɔ́/ ‘milk’ (奶); ‘to smell’ (闻); ‘family name’ (姓)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H</td>
<td>/nɔ́/ (borrowing) ‘glutinous (e.g. glutinous rice)’ 糯 (糯米); ‘to take, to seize’</td>
<td>/ŋɔ orgetown/ (of string) ‘in a tangle’ (线) 乱</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>l : ɭ</strong></td>
<td>L</td>
<td>/lɔ́/ ‘inside’; ‘muntjac deer, Muntiacus reevesi’ (麂子); ‘valley’; ‘to take charge of, assume responsibility for’</td>
<td>/tuŋ ɭɔ́/ ‘yoke’ (犂) (/tuŋ́ : ‘cow’)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>/lɔ́/ ‘work’ (/lɔ̄ bɛ́ / ‘to work’; /bɛ́/ ‘do’); /lɔ́ lɔ́/ ‘straw hat’ (斗笠); /ɔ̄ lɔ́/ ‘grandfather, forebear’</td>
<td>/ʃɔ́ ɭɔ́/ ‘bone’; /lɔ́/ ‘mouthful’ (量词) 口 (一口饭); /tʃɔ́ ɭɔ́/ ‘ant’; /hiz ɭɔ́/ ‘dumb person’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H</td>
<td>/lɔ́/ ‘to stride over, to cross’ (跨: 跨小沟); /lɔ́ lɛ̄ bʊ́ ‘to go back’ (/lɛ̄/ ‘again’, /bʊ́ ‘go’)</td>
<td>/lɔ́ pí ‘chilli peppers’ (辣椒)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>tʰ : tʰ</strong></td>
<td>L</td>
<td>/tʰɔ́/ ‘to sit against, to be propped against’ (靠)</td>
<td>/tʰɔ́/ ‘to sleep like a log’ (睡得很深)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>/tʰɔ́/ ‘pine’ (松树); ’rabbit’</td>
<td>/tʰɔ́/ ‘to slap gently’ (轻轻地敲), e.g. slapping a child</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H</td>
<td>/tʰɔ́/ ‘insert, inlay’ (镶进去)</td>
<td>/tʰɔ́/ (onomatopoeia) sound of a small object (e.g. a small stone) that falls to the ground. The tone varies across informants: it is either high or mid.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>t : t</strong></td>
<td>L</td>
<td>/tɔ́/ ‘to obey’</td>
<td>/tɔ́/ (onomatopoeia) sound of a branch that is snapped</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>/tɔ̄/ ‘board (of wood)’; /tɔ́ lɔ́ ‘straw hat’ (斗笠)</td>
<td>/tɔ́/ ‘blister (on the hands or the feet)’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H</td>
<td>/tɔ́/ ‘forehead’</td>
<td>/tɔ́ lɔ́/ ‘to wind up, to roll up’ (卷起来)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
no oppositions; the only combination observed is \[do\], e.g. \[do \text{ ‘see’ (看见)}\].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>L-M-H</th>
<th>[ndo] ‘stupid’ (愚蠢)</th>
<th>[ndo \text{ ‘to climb’ (爬)}]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[ndo]</td>
<td>[ndo \text{ ‘to fall down’ (跌倒)}]; [ndo \text{ ‘to thresh (with a flail)’}]</td>
<td>[ndo \text{ ‘to roll up (thread, paper, plastic foil…) into a ball’; (onomatopoeia) noise of a small object that hits you, e.g. a nut falling on your head}]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6. Lexical words illustrating the retroflex-vs.-dental opposition among coronal consonants in the AS dialect of Naxi.

Phonetically, the realisation of the vowel is slightly affected by the initial consonant: \([o]\) is more closed and more rounded after retroflex coronals than after dentals. It may be useful to point out that the contextual allophones of \(/o/\) after coronal consonants do not impinge on the phonetic domain of neighbouring vowels: no confusion takes place between the syllables in table 6 and any of the syllables made up of a vowel \(/u/, /u/, /u/\) preceded by a coronal consonant (which, before these vowels, is realised as retroflex). To take an example, the imperative form of the verb ‘to come’, realised as \([ɭu\]), does not get confused with \(/ɭo\), ‘yoke’ (realised as \([\ddot{ɭo}\]).

2.2. Synchronic analysis: contrast on the initial, or on the rhyme?

In synchrony, some arguments need to be adduced to support the view (implicit in the notations used in table 6) that the contrasts are borne by the initial, rather than by the rhyme. A university teacher who was born in the village of AS, He Xueguang (和学光教授), became aware of the lexical distinctions carried by the syllable
contrasts at issue; he added a rhyme –uo, distinct from –o, to the romanised Naxi script (whose standard version is presented by He Jiren et al. 1985:130-134 and He Zhiwu 1987) which he occasionally teaches at the Naxi Culture Education Centre that he founded in Lijiang. Table 7 illustrates the equivalences between a phonetically-oriented transcription (that indicates both the differences on the initial and on the rhyme), He Xueguang’s notation, and the phonemic analysis put forward here.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>approximation in IPA</th>
<th>romanised notation proposed by He Xueguang</th>
<th>phonemic analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ɭɔ : lo</td>
<td>luo : lo</td>
<td>ɭo : lo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ηɔ : no</td>
<td>nuo : no</td>
<td>ηo : no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>etc.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7. Approximation in IPA, romanised notation proposed by He Xueguang, and phonemic analysis of certain contrasts among syllables with and without retroflexion.

The analysis in terms of a difference on the rhyme is more economical in that it only requires the addition of one unit to the phonemic inventory (a vowel /ɔ/). From the point of view of structural economy, however, the recognition of a fourth back rounded vowel amounts to adding a fourth degree of vocalic aperture to a vowel system which otherwise requires only three. This would moreover introduce an asymmetry between a three-way aperture contrast among front vowels (/i/, /e/, /a/) and a four-way contrast among back vowels—phonetically an unusual configuration.

This argument is not decisive, however, as cross-linguistic tendencies should not be taken as rules to go by in the description of individual languages (as pointed out by Nolan 1999, and illustrated by vowel systems such as that of the Bora language, documented by Parker 2001, which opposes, among back vowels, /i/, /u/ and /o/).
Another argument comes from comparison with related languages: in Burmese, the presence of a contrast between semi-closed and semi-open vowels (/e/ and /ɛ/, /o/ and /ɔ/) is an innovation of Central Burmese; Arakanese and Marma dialects only distinguish three degrees of vowel aperture (Denise Bernot, personal communication). This argument is not decisive either, however: two otherwise close dialects can have widely different vocalic systems (witness the differences between regional varieties of French or English: differences in vowel inventories are larger than differences in consonants).

From a phonetic point of view, it seems to me that the difference on rhymes is much less salient than the difference on initials. I consider this a clinching argument in favour of the present phonemic analysis. To conclude, this is, again, a case of extreme neutralisation: the contrast between retroflex and dental coronal consonants is neutralised in all contexts save one—before /ɔ/.

2.3. Cross-dialect comparison

Cross-dialect comparison indicates that the contrasts which are presently carried by retroflex-vs.-nonretroflex initials in AS probably go back a long way. Oppositions between retroflex and non-retroflex coronal initials are not found in DYZ (the variety of Naxi spoken in the town of Lijiang) or in the neighbouring dialects: there, ‘milk’ and ‘fodder’ are homophonous (/nɔ/), as are ‘to climb’ and ‘to sting’ (/ndɔ/), ‘inside’ and ‘yoke’ (/lɔ/). In some cases, however, the vowel of the words corresponding to the two forms in AS (with and without retroflexion) is different: thus, ‘to sting (a wasp stings someone)’, /ŋdɔ/ in AS, is /ndɔ/ in the speech of a DYZ consultant, and /dɔ/ in the speech of a younger consultant (about 30 years old) who also lives in Lijiang, where both her parents always lived. (As was mentioned above, the coexistence of several dialectal varieties in Lijiang does not come as a surprise, given that it is the main city of the Naxi area.)
In the NL dialect, one and the same vowel appears in all the words that correspond to words that comprise an /o/ after a non-retroflex in AS (left column of table 6): vowel /o/ (phonetically realised as [ø]). Various vowels correspond to the /o/ vowels that follow retroflex initials in AS, however: mainly /ɑ/, but also /o/ and /u/. Table 8 presents four such correspondences, which may hint at an earlier opposition, perhaps one of initials.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>initial</th>
<th>AS</th>
<th>NL</th>
<th>corresp.</th>
<th>English and Chinese translation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>η</td>
<td>nò</td>
<td>nù</td>
<td>o vs. a</td>
<td>moth 蛾虫</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>nò</td>
<td>nò</td>
<td>o vs. o</td>
<td>milk 奶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ηɖ</td>
<td>nɖò</td>
<td>ndù</td>
<td>o vs. u</td>
<td>reel, spool (of thread)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(量词) 团 (一团线)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>nɖò</td>
<td>ndā</td>
<td>o vs. a</td>
<td>to sting (a bee stings)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(蜜蜂)叮咬</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ɭ</td>
<td>ɭò pi`</td>
<td>ɭò pi`</td>
<td></td>
<td>hot peppers 辣椒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ʂò ɭo</td>
<td>ʂɔ ɭa</td>
<td></td>
<td>bone 骨头</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ɭù ɭo</td>
<td>ɭù ɭa</td>
<td></td>
<td>yoke 辕子</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 8. Some examples of NL cognates of the retroflex-initial syllables of the AS dialect.

The opposition between retroflex and non-retroflex coronal consonants is lost in NL, the corresponding words being homophonous with other syllables: e.g. ‘yoke’ is /lù/, homophonous with ‘hand’ (the latter word being /lù/ in NL as well as in AS). Were it not for cross-dialectal evidence, no trace of the opposition could be found in NL.

Li Lincan et al. 1953 (whose language consultant was Hé Cái 和才, a native of Ludian [lù diàn 鲁甸]) distinguish between a [l] and a
[r], with a distribution which corresponds to that of /l/ and /ɭ/ in my own data, i.e. contrasting only before /o/. They do not draw the phonemic implications of this state of affairs, but the evidence they offer suggests that the retroflex/nonretroflex oppositions in table 6 are attested in Ludian as well as in AS.

The data collected by Fu Maoji also show a similar set of phonemic oppositions (based on the speech of 和芳 Hé Fāng, born in the village of Zhonghe [zhōng hé 中和村]: see Fu Maoji 1981:8, 297-307). According to linguists He Jiren 和即仁 and He Limin 和力民 (personal communication), this informant lived in several dialect areas, and was strongly influenced by the dialect of Yangxi (yàng xī 漾西). Discussions with He Jiren, himself born in Yangxi, showed that the opposition is present in his dialect.

So far, internal comparison does not shed light on the origin of this set of retroflex consonants. One wonders whether some medial elements were involved at one stage. Systematic comparison of Naxi with Burmese, Yi, and (Southern) Qiangic languages will be attempted in a later study to obtain further insights.

3. Syllables with medial lip-rounding /ʷ/: a /wɚ/ rhyme, labialised initials, or a /w/ medial?

The AS dialect possesses syllables with lip-rounding /ʷ/ followed by the rhotic vowel /ɭ/. Syllables made up of a coronal initial /nd/, /d/, /t/ or /ʈʂ/, /ɳɖʐ/, /ɖʐ/, /ʈʂʰ/, /ʂ/ or /ʐ/ followed by vowel /ɭ/ in the DYZ and NL dialects have two correspondences in AS, one of

---

1 The correspondence between their data and mine is not entirely straightforward. All of the syllables which they transcribe as [lo] correspond to the /lo/ of my own data; some syllables that they transcribe as [ro], however, correspond to [ɭu] in my data, e.g. [rọ] “four”, [ɭu] in my data. The phrase they give for “Sichuan”, [rö pʰːdʒ], could not be checked because the informants I consulted use a loanword to refer to this Chinese province.
which possesses medial lip-rounding /ʷ/ between its initial consonant and its vowel. Thus DYZ/NL /ʂɨ/ ‘seven’ is likewise /ʂɨ/ in AS, whereas ‘full (a container/vessel is full)’, DYZ/NL /ʂɨ/ (Mazaudon et al. 1979:31, He Jiren et al. 1985:167), is /ʂʷɨ/ in AS. Table 9 presents the oppositions that were observed in AS.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contrast</th>
<th>Syllable without Lip Rounding</th>
<th>Syllable with Lip Rounding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unvoiced aspirated stop</td>
<td>/tʰʰʷɚ́/ ‘to pack up’ (reduplicated form of /tʰʰʷɚ̄/; the simplex form is not in common use)</td>
<td>/tʰʰʷɚ̄/ ‘to blend, to mix’ (reduplicated form of /tʰʰʷɚ̄/)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unvoiced stop</td>
<td>/tʰɚ́/ ‘to close (a door...)’</td>
<td>/tʰʷɚ́/ ‘to do wickerwork, to make a basket’ (/mui tʰˢʰ/ ‘to do bamboo wickerwork’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voiced stop</td>
<td>/dʰː/; proper noun (for a person)</td>
<td>/dʰʷɚ́/ ‘shelf, shelves’ (架子)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prenasalised stop</td>
<td>/ndʰː/; not attested</td>
<td>/ndʰʷɚ́/ (onomatopoeia) sound of gong, cymbals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voiced retr. affr.</td>
<td>/tʂʰː/ ‘stem, stalk’; /là tʂʰː/ ‘arm (body part)’</td>
<td>/tʂʰʷɚ́/ ‘to cough’; /tʂʰʷ pé/ ‘snot’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prenasalised</td>
<td>/ŋtʂʰː/; not attested</td>
<td>/ŋtʂʰʷɚ́/ ‘to swim’; ‘moist’, also in</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

voiced fric. retr. | /ʐɭ/ ‘to be afraid of’; /ʐɭ/: /ʐʰɭ/ tʰɭ/ ‘knife’; variant: /zuɭ tʰɭ/

unvoiced fric. retr. | /ʂə/ ‘seven’; ‘thing’; /ʂɭ/ ‘headscarf’ (头帕) /ʂʰɭ/ (to fill/full) to the brim’; ‘light meal’

lateral approx. | no opposition; attested syllable: [ʃ]

| Table 9. Lexical words illustrating the oppositions between syllables with and without lip-rounding after a coronal initial in the AS dialect. |
|---|---|---|
| The authors who describe Naxi dialects that possess medial labialisation before /ɭ/ adopt various solutions for its transcription. |
| In his modified version of Naxi romanisation, He Xueguang (a native of the AS dialect, as mentioned above) transcribes the lip rounding found on these items by using a –vr rhyme, e.g. shvr for the combination that I transcribe as /ʂʰɭ/ (in Naxi romanisation, sh stands for /ʂ/). His own pronunciation of the rhyme is especially closed and retroflex; it could be transcribed as [ʃɹ̩], adding that both articulations are simultaneous: the rhyme is slightly rounded throughout. |
| Li Lincan et al. 1953 record a [ur] rhyme, which contrasts with the [ɭɭ] rhyme (which they transcribe as [ɭɭ]). (Recall that the variety of Naxi they study is that of Ludian, lǔ diàn 鲁甸.) The distribution of this rhyme, both rhotic and rounded, by and large corresponds with that of /ʂʰɭ/ in AS. Pending further investigation into the Ludian dialect, it is not yet possible to indicate whether it is phonetically closer to [u] or to the [ʃɭ] observed in AS. |
| Fu Maoji 1984:304 reports a [ɭuɭ] syllable, illustrated by the words ‘to meet up, to get together’ (聚集) and ‘disorderly, topsy-turvy’ (慌乱), though his data do not include a [ɭuɭ] counterpart which |
would testify to the existence of an actual opposition in terms of lip rounding. Judging from the notation system used by this author, the syllable nucleus would be /ʌɹ/, which can be interpreted, by comparison with my own data, as /ɛ/. The semi-vowels /w/ and /j/ are all written /u/ and /i/ by Fu Maoji (a practice which is in fact common among Chinese linguists).

As mentioned above, Fu Maoji’s language consultant, He Fang (和芳), comes from Zhonghe (zhōng hé 中和) but probably adopted pronunciation traits of the dialect of Yangxi (yàng xī 漾西), the speech of his wife and in-laws. In the speech of the linguist He Jiren, himself a native speaker of the Yangxi variety of Naxi, the opposition of lip rounding is present, and its phonetic realisation corresponds to what has been observed in the AS dialect. Having become accustomed to the standard notation proposed for Western Naxi (in IPA, and with equivalents in romanised script), He Jiren does not indicate lip rounding in his own transcriptions.

As in section 3 above, the issue arises as to whether the difference lies in the initial or the rhyme, or whether medial semi-vowels should be acknowledged in the syllabic structure of Naxi. This recalls the general issue of “The non-uniqueness of phonemic solutions of phonetic systems” raised by Chao Yuen-ren 1934. The notations of Fu Maoji 1984 and Li Lincan et al. 1953 amount to attributing the contrast to the rhyme. The cross-dialect correspondences that have so far been brought to light do not appear to provide any particular arguments in favour of one of the competing analyses. It appears that, in the FK dialect, lip rounding was lost without compensation in some cases (e.g. ‘dew’ is /ɳɖʐʷɚ̄ kʰi/ in AS, /ɳɖʐɚ̄/ in FK as in DYZ), whereas its loss was accompanied by a change in the rhyme—and in the tone—in other cases (the change in rhyme and tone may admittedly be due to quite a different cause, however). Table 10 presents three correspondences of the AS syllables at issue with syllables with rhyme /x/ or /wa/ in FK.
Table 10. Illustration of the correspondences between syllables with medial labialisation of the AS dialect and cognates in the FK dialect.

Phonetically, in the syllables with medial labialisation, the initial and the vowel /ɛ/ are somewhat rounded phonetically, but both are still clearly recognisable. The synchronic solution chosen here consists in acknowledging the existence of /w/ as a medial semi-vowel, thus considering that the syllabic structure of Western Naxi is (C)(G)V, where C stands for a consonant, G (Glide) for a semi-vowel /w/ or /j/, and V a vowel, the only indispensable component of the syllable. The distribution of medial semi-vowels is severely limited: both appear only before /a/, /â/, /ɤ/ and /ɛ/, and only after certain consonants. This analysis diminishes the vocalic inventory by eight units: /wa/, /wâ/, /wɤ/, /wɛ/ (which is phonetically realised as [vɛ] when occurring on its own), /ja/, /ja/, /jɤ/, and /jɛ/.

Concluding remarks

The present analyses, which illustrate the ‘tightly structured nature of the syllable in monosyllabic languages’ (Matisoff 1973) and echo the general reflections of Eugenie Henderson on “Feature shuffling in Southeast Asian languages” (Henderson 1985), aimed to contribute to a better synchronic understanding of the three phonemic issues that were discussed. From a diachronic point of view, these case studies in Naxi phonemics hopefully offer some stepping-stones towards a goal which is still distant: to reach a clearer view of the position of Naxi within Sino-Tibetan, and in
particular its position *vis-à-vis* languages of the Burmese-Yi subgroup (‘Burmese-Lolo’), which to date remains somewhat uncertain (Bradley 1975, 1979, 1997:37; see also the preliminary remarks by Okrand 1974, and Nishida 1985).
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