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In the context of the potential confinement of high-level radioactive wastes (HLW) within the clay Engineered Barrier System (EBS) in deep geological formations, the evolution of the retention properties of smectite when interacting with Fe(0) needs to be assessed. If some potential natural analogues describing iron-clay reactivity are easily found, metallic iron-clay interactions are poorly described in studies regarding the Earth. Therefore experimental investigations are needed. Several parameters influence Fe(0)-clay interactions, such as temperature, the interlayer composition of swelling clays, and the presence of octahedral Fe$^{3+}$… From a geometrical point of view, it is thought that clay destabilization is mainly controlled by phenomena starting at the edge faces of the particles.

In the present work, the rates of the smectite-Fe(0) reaction at 80°C was assessed by XRD, Mössbauer and CEC analyses for three smectites. The investigations show marked differences in the degree of stability, which can not be explained by the crystal-chemistry rules established in previous studies. Therefore, the Fe(0)-smectite interactions were studied in view of textural and energetic surface quantitative analyses. The studied smectites have equivalent nitrogen BET specific surface areas, equivalent argon edge surface areas and slightly different basal surface areas. This similarity in particle shape indicates that the edge surface area can not be accounted for when explaining the observed differences in reactivity. However, a correlation is obtained between smectite reactivity and the energetic heterogeneity of its edge faces. This is interpreted in terms of a multiplication of the number of sites on the edge faces, where the electron transfer between Fe(0) and the smectite structure can occur.
2. INTRODUCTION

Iron-clay reactions are of great importance in soils and in sedimentary and diagenetic processes. In soils, the evolution of clay minerals is mainly controlled by oxidation-reduction reactions. Kaolinite is very reactive in media under varying redox conditions, but Fe-rich TOT clay minerals are even more reactive, and great changes in their properties may occur (e.g. Favre et al. 2002). Low temperature, iron-rich, clayey environments are also described in sedimentary verdine facies (Bailey 1988; Odin 1988; Odin 1990), in diagenetic oolitic ironstones (Bhattacharyya 1983; Bhattacharyya 1986) and in sandstones (Hornibrook and Longstaffe 1996; Aagaard et al. 2000; Hillier and Velde 1992; Hillier 1994). In these natural systems, iron is present as iron oxides and/or hydroxides (e.g. Odin 1988; Buatier et al. 1989; Walker and Thompson 1990; Hornibrook and Longstaffe 1996), although metallic iron, Fe(0), has only been identified in contact with clay in extraterrestrial materials, such as CM carbonaceous chondrites. In this case, when heating of the asteroid induces fusion of accreted ice, cronstedtite, a Fe-rich 7Å mineral, is formed by interaction between olivine and FeNi metal (Zolensky and McSween 1988; Brearley 1997; Zega and Buseck. 2003). However, recently the clay-Fe(0) interactions in terrestrial environments are in question. Indeed, clay minerals may be used to confine the metallic canisters of high-level radioactive wastes (HLW) in deep geological formations.

Because of the lack of information concerning the Fe(0)-clay interactions on Earth, experiments have to be carried out to test clay stability when in contact with metallic iron for different temperatures, saturation states and redox conditions.
In a previous study, Perronnet (2004) demonstrated that it is mostly the smectite fraction of the clay material which reacts with Fe(0). At temperatures up to 200°C (Habert, 2000; Lantenois, 2003; Perronnet, 2004), smectite minerals are altered into Si-Al-Fe gels which mature into Fe-rich 7 Å minerals. At 300°C, Guillaume (2002) and Guillaume et al. (2003) indicated that smectites are converted into Fe-rich 14 Å chlorites. Lantenois (2003) and Lantenois et al. (2005) carried out experiments with different smectites in order to evidence the cristallo-chemical features which influence smectite/Fe(0) interactions. Results are described by the Lantenois’ rules: at 80°C, the oxidation of metallic iron by smectites i) occurs only for dioctahedral smectites and for pH>7; ii) is favored by the interlayer spacing (the proportion of altered smectite increases from K-, to Ca- to Na-saturated samples) and by the presence of Fe$^{3+}$ in octahedral sheets even in very low amounts; iii) for a given Fe$^{3+}$ content, the quantity of altered smectite is more important for beidellite (tetrahedral deficit) than for montmorillonite (octahedral deficit).

In the case of a composite clay material (FoCa7 bentonite), Perronnet (2004) indicated that in the presence of Fe(0) at 80°C, montmorillonites are more altered than beidellites. But the structural formulas of FoCa7 smectites (Gin et al., 2001) show that FoCa7 beidellites have a tetrahedral charge, a higher Ca$^{2+}$ interlayer content and a higher Fe$^{3+}$ octahedral content than FoCa7 montmorillonites. Therefore, the observations of Perronnet (2004) contradict Lantenois’ rules and give evidence that other parameter(s) influence(s) the reaction rate of the smectite-Fe(0) interaction.

Other possible controlling parameters, which were not analyzed in Lantenois' work, are those describing the surface properties. Indeed, phyllosilicate surfaces have strong differential reactivity because of the extreme anisotropy of their structure (Cases et al., 1986; Bickmore et al., 2001), the irregular surface topography (e.g., kinks, edges and adatoms) and the
presence of defects (Metz et al., 2005). For example, concerning the alkaline dissolution of smectites (Sato et al. 2003), it has been confirmed that the reaction rate increases with pH and, using in-situ observations, that the dissolution is congruent and dominated by the reactivity of the edge faces of the mineral.

At present, two main techniques based on low pressure adsorption and Atomic Force Microscopy (AFM) are proposed to determine the geometrical heterogeneities of flat solids. Low pressure adsorption techniques have been used for a long time to assess surface morphology and heterogeneity of phyllosilicates (Cases et al., 1986, 2000; Villiéras et al., 1992, 1997, 2002). Using low pressure adsorption microcalorimetry (Cases et al., 1986, 2000) or low pressure quasi-equilibrium adsorption manometry (Cases et al., 2000, Michot et al., 1990, 1994, Sayed-Hassan, 2005, 2006, Villiéras et al., 1992, 1997), it was established that the adsorption of argon on basal and edge faces of non polar phyllosilicates (talc, kaolinite) can be detected. Consistent basal and edge specific surface areas of charged, non-swelling and swelling lamellar minerals such as illite (Villiéras et al., 2002, Bardot, 1998, Bardot et al., 1998), lamellar silicas (Eypert-Blaison et al, 2002), saponites (Michot & Villiéras, 2002) and montmorillonite (Tournassat et al., 2003) were obtained from low pressure argon adsorption experiments.

Atomic Force Microscopy (AFM) is used to study crystal morphology and structure, microtopography, surface heterogeneities down to molecular scales and the distribution of surface areas (Blum, 1994; Nagy, 1994; Nagy and Blum, 1994; Hochella, 1995; Hochella et al., 1998; Brady et al., 1996; Nagy et al., 1999; Sutheimer et al., 1999; Bosbach et al., 2000; Bickmore et al., 2002; Tournassat et al., 2003; Metz et al., 2005). Atomic Force Microscopy presents the advantage of providing surface area data selectively for individual crystal faces and, when using this technique in-situ, it is possible to characterize the surfaces while the clay particles are exposed to an aqueous solution under defined conditions. The reactivity of
smectite surfaces has already been investigated using AFM techniques (e.g., Metz et al. 2005). It was shown that basal plane dissolution may contribute to phyllosilicate bulk dissolution rates (Blum, 1994; Huertas et al., 1999; Cama et al., 2002; Brandt et al., 2003; Ganor et al., 2003), but the dissolution process is dominated by the chemical attack on edge sites (Metz et al. 2005).

In a recent study, Tournassat et al (2003) showed that comparable morphological parameters of montmorillonite clay platelets can be obtained using AFM and low pressure argon adsorption. Particularly, it is useful for the determination of edge surface area and the perimeter to basal area ratio, which can be considered to be independent of the stacking conditions of the clay layers, as long as the stacking does not change the accessibility of argon to the lateral surfaces of the particles. Thus, each technique can be used depending on its availability and the required accuracy. Atomic force microscopes are more popular and accessible than low pressure argon adsorption setups. The main difficulty with AFM is the requirement of an important running time in order to analyze enough particles to get accurate statistical values (Sayed-Hassan et al., 2006). Moreover, sample preparation can be tricky (Bickmore et al., 1999; Metz et al., 2005). Low-pressure argon adsorption seems more advantageous to derive mean values for samples having broad particle size distributions because of the huge amount of particles taken into account in the adsorption system (Sayed Hassan et al., 2006).

In this context, our objective is to analyze the possible links between the reaction rate of smectite/Fe(0) and the geometric and energetic characteristics of the basal and edge surfaces of different dioctahedral smectites. The reactions between three different smectites and Fe(0) were studied by short-term tests (3 months) at 80°C and the initial geometric and energetic
properties of these smectites were analyzed by classical nitrogen adsorption/desorption at 77 K and low pressure argon adsorption at 77 K.
3. MATERIALS AND METHODS

3.1. Reagents

3.1.1. Smectites

Lantenois’ rules (Introduction) indicate that smectites react with Fe(0) if they are dioctahedral. The reaction rate is influenced by the octahedral Fe\(^{3+}\) content as well as the interlayer spacing. For these reasons, three dioctahedral smectites having varied Fe\(^{3+}\) content were selected. They were also chosen because of the low content (in accessory minerals) of the bentonite from which they are extracted, and because they have equivalent surface characteristics (specific surface area, edge surface area). Montmorillonite OrduArtikli was extracted in the Ordu area of the Eastern Pontides in Turkey and was provided by the Société Française des Bentonites et Dérivés (SFBD). Beidellite “Nontronite” is a Fe\(^{3+}\)-rich beidellite which is abusively called nontronite. It was provided by Ward’s. Montmorillonite Prassa was extracted in the quarry of the Kimolos Islands in Greece and was provided by the SFBD. The argillaceous nodules were separated from the bulk bentonite for OrduArtikli and Prassa. Then, the smectite fraction of the 3 samples was extracted by successive sieving-separations to remove coarse particles. The structural formula of OrduArtikli

\[
\text{(Si}_{3.92}\text{Al}_{0.08})(\text{Al}_{1.29}\text{Fe}^{3+}_{0.14}\text{Fe}^{2+}_{0.04}\text{Mg}_{0.53})\text{Ca}_{0.2}\text{Na}_{0.12}\text{Mg}_{0.06}\text{K}_{0.01} \quad \text{(Habert et al., 2006)}
\]

Nontronite

\[
\text{(Si}_{3.64}\text{Al}_{0.36})(\text{Al}_{1.0}\text{Fe}^{3+}_{0.93})\text{Ca}_{0.29}, \quad \text{and Prassa}
\]

\[
\text{(Si}_{3.92}\text{Al}_{0.08})(\text{Al}_{1.54}\text{Fe}^{3+}_{0.10}\text{Mg}_{0.44})\text{Ca}_{0.08}\text{Na}_{0.06}\text{K}_{0.05} \quad \text{(Christidis, 1998) per } \text{O}_{10}(\text{OH})_{2} \quad \text{were established by EDS-TEM microchemical analyses.}
\]
The purified smectites were Ca-exchanged in order to avoid the influence of interlayer cations during the smectite-Fe(0) test.

3.1.2. Evian natural mineral water

Evian mineral water (France) was chosen because its chemical composition is stable and similar to the pore water chemistry of argillaceous or calcareous formations. It is mainly bicarbonate and magnesia rich water (Table 1).

3.1.3. Metallic iron

Metallic powder was used to simulate the iron fluxes released by the metallic part of a radioactive waste disposal. Metallic iron was purchased from Merck and is a 99.9778 wt% pure Fe(0) powder containing N, Cl, S, Pb, Cu, Mn, Zn and As as trace elements. Its small diameter, 10 µm, insures high reactive surface area and, therefore, enhances the reaction-rate.

3.2. Smectite purification

Samples used in this study were previously purified in order to control chemical and physical properties of the reacting clay. The purification procedure (Kohler 2001) is based on powder dispersion in purified water for 24 hours (100 g clay, 1 L water). Purified water was then added drop by drop to the agitated clay-suspension and wet sieved using a set of vibrating sieves (200 µm and 20 µm).
The <20 μm clay fraction was then diluted in purified water and centrifuged (10000 rounds per minute) for 30 minutes. The solid was freeze-dried and hand-ground into a mortar.

To control interlayer composition, purified smectites were dispersed in deionized water with clay content lower than 50 g.L⁻¹ and exposed to 0.1 M CaCl₂ (for smectites used in the tests with Fe(0)) or 1 M NaCl (for smectites used in gas adsorption analyses), as it was demonstrated that the exchangeable cations used should be lithium or sodium, to derive an accurate argon specific surface area and aspect ratio (Bardot, 1998; Bardot et al., 1998; Villiéras et al., 2002; Sayed-Hassan et al. 2005). The solutions were left in dialysis membranes for a duration of 24 hours. Three exchanges followed using several washings in purified water, in order to remove excess ions. After the last wash step, the clay fraction was extracted from the dialysis pocket, centrifuged (10000 rounds per minute) for 30 minutes, freeze-dried, gently ground into a mortar and homogenized.

As observed by Christidis (1998), the purified Prassa sample contains opal-CT as an accessory contaminant. From a mineralogical point of view, the comparison between the measured CEC (74 meq/100g) and the CEC calculated from half the unit cell (75 meq/100g) suggests that the amount of opal-CT is around 2% in the purified sample. Opal is known to dissolve in slightly to moderately alkaline solutions. Its specific surface area ranges between 7 and 15 m²/g (Bustillo et al. 1993). Taking into account the low amount of this mineral in the studied sample, it can be assumed that its influence is negligible on measured specific surface areas.

### 3.3. Experimental media

To perform Fe(0)/clay reaction tests, purified Ca-exchanged smectites, metallic iron and Evian water were mixed together in 50 mL polysulfone Nalgene test tubes. Fe(0)/Clay mass
ratios (I/C) of 0 and 1/3 and clay/solution mass ratios of 1/16.7 were used. The duration of the experiment was fixed to 3 months. The experimental temperature of 80 °C was obtained by heating the test tubes in a thermostatic oven. After the time was complete, samples were quenched and centrifuged at 7300 rpm for 150 minutes. Sealed test tubes were then introduced into a glove box in order to measure the pH of the supernatant and to separate solutions from solid phases. The solid phases were then freeze-dried, gently ground into a mortar, homogenized and stored in hermetically closed and vacuumed boxes.

3.4. Analytical methods

3.4.1. pH measurements

The pH measurements of the supernatant were carried out at room temperature using a Denver Instrument 215 pH-meter.

3.4.2. Cation Exchange Capacity

The Cation Exchange Capacity (CEC) of the samples were measured using the Cu-ethylenediamine (Cu-En₂) method (Mantin, 1969), suitable for solutions with pH > 6. Thirty milliliters of Cu-En₂ and 0.5 g of clay were mixed and shaken in a Turbula for two hours. The mixture was centrifuged at 7300 rpm for 30 minutes and the extracted solution was filtered at 0.22 µm. The residual Cu-En₂ concentration was then determined by measuring the absorbance at 548 nm with a Beckman DU-7000 spectrophotometer.
3.4.3. $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer Spectroscopy

$^{57}$Fe Mössbauer spectroscopy was used to estimate the respective amounts of iron in the clay structures as well as in oxyhydroxides and magnetic phases. This method also gives the respective amounts and coordination number of Fe$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{3+}$ in the clay fraction. One millimeter thick samples were obtained from pellets of homogenized powder, coated with Epoxy resin, dried under a vacuum box at 25 °C and polished. Spectra were recorded on a Mössbauer spectrometer with a 15 mCi source of $^{57}$Co in rhodium. They were taken in constant triangular acceleration mode in 512 channels. The spectrometer was calibrated at room temperature (RT) with a 25 µm foil of α-Fe, the isomer shifts are given with respect to α-Fe. A limited number of components (sextet and doublet) were used to fit the spectra using the MOSS program written by Bonnin (ESPCI Paris). In this program, magnetic hyperfine-field distributions of the components, which are common in soil Fe-oxides, are treated according to the model developed by Bocquet et al. (1992). Spectra obtained at low (15 K) and room temperatures differed only in the smaller distribution width of the magnetic lines of ternary oxides in the low temperature spectra. As this distribution width had no influence on our analysis of ternary oxide content, we obtained all reported spectra at room temperature.

3.4.4. X-Ray Diffraction

X-Ray Diffraction (XRD) patterns were recorded on non oriented samples to obtain qualitative mineral analysis of the samples. A D8 Bruker diffractometer (45 kV, 40 mA),
with CuKα radiation equipped with a graphite monochromator and a NaI scintillation
detector was used.

3.4.5. Step by step nitrogen adsorption and high-resolution argon adsorption

Nitrogen adsorption–desorption isotherms at 77 K were recorded on a step-by-step automatic
home-built setup described in Neaman et al. (2003) and Marrocchi et al. (2005). Pressures
were measured using 0–1000 Pa and 0–100,000 Pa Baratron-type pressure sensors provided
by Edwards. The nitrogen saturation pressure was recorded in situ using an independent 0-
100,000 Pa Baratron-type pressure sensor provided by Edwards.

Specific surfaces areas (SSA) were determined from adsorption data by applying the
Brunauer–Emmet–Teller (BET) equation (Brunauer et al., 1938) and using 16.3 Å² for the
cross-sectional area of nitrogen (Gregg and Sing, 1982) In the present study, the error in the
determination of the SSA was estimated as ±4 m²/g. Micropore volumes and nonmicroporous
surface areas were obtained using the t-plot method proposed by de Boer et al. (1966). Pore
size distributions and mesopore volumes were calculated according to the BJH method
(Barrett et al., 1951; Olivier, 1996).

Approximately 250 mg of purified Na-exchanged smectites were out gassed overnight at
110°C and under a residual pressure of 0.01 Pa. Nitrogen N55 (purity >99.9995%) used for
experiments was provided by Alphagaz (France).

The experimental procedure for high-resolution and low-pressure argon adsorption has been
discussed by Rouquerol et al. (1988), Michot et al. (1990) and Marrocchi et al. (2005). The
quasi-equilibrium manometric technique proposed by Grillet et al. (1977) and Rouquerol et
al. (1988) was used to enhance the resolution of the adsorption isotherms in the low-pressure
range, when the first layer of gas is adsorbed on the surface. Using pressure sensors that work
at low pressures, this method allows the study of surface heterogeneity of solids under appropriate conditions. In the case of phyllosilicates, it has been demonstrated that such adsorption experiments, coupled with a careful analysis of experimental adsorption isotherms, enable a derivation of a shape factor, i.e., lateral and basal surface areas (Bardot et al., 1998; Cases et al., 1986, 2000; Michot et al., 1994, 2002; Sayed-Hassan et al., 2005, 2006, Villiéras et al., 1992, 1997a, 1997b, 2002).

The quasi-equilibrium technique is based on adsorbate introduction with a slow, constant and continuous flow rate through a micro leak (Rouquerol et al., 1988, Michot et al., 1990). The flow rate was kept constant, at least up to the BET domain, and was controlled by the pressure imposed before the leak. If the introduction rate is low enough, measured pressures can be considered quasi-equilibrium pressures. Then, from the recording of quasi-equilibrium pressures (in the range of $10^{-3}$ to $3 \times 10^4$ Pa) as a function of time, the adsorption isotherm was derived. The set-up used has been described in Villiéras et al. (1997b) and Marrocchi et al. (2005). For argon adsorption, 77 K sample temperature is insured by a dynamic and accurate constant level of liquid nitrogen which was itself controlled by a home-made electronic device. Three high accuracy MKS differential pressure transducers were used for pressure measurements: (1) 0-1.3 Pa, (2) 0-1.3 $10^2$ Pa and (3) 0-1.3 $10^5$ Pa (698 type Baratron pressure transducers). The minimal sensitivities were 1.3 $10^{-4}$, 1.3 $10^{-2}$ and 1.3 Pa for gauges (1) to (3) respectively. Pressure accuracy was 0.05% of read pressure. A dynamic pressure, lower than $10^{-3}$ Pa, was ensured on the reference side by the use of a turbomolecular vacuum pump. The frequency of the pressure recording was adjusted after each measurement to record 100-200 experimental points per unit log of relative pressure. Thus, 2000 to 3000 experimental points were collected per experiment for relative pressure lower than 0.15 (Villiéras et al. 1992, 1997b).
The experimental information was analyzed using the Derivative Isotherm Summation (DIS) procedure designed by Villiéras et al. (1992, 1997a, 1997b), to examine the surface energetic heterogeneity of the samples. Due to the large number of experimental data points acquired by the quasi-equilibrium technique, the experimental derivative of the adsorbed quantity as a function of the logarithm of relative pressure could be calculated accurately. In the present case, samples were considered as not microporous for argon (see results section) and multilayer adsorption could be mathematically removed from experimental adsorption isotherms. The derivatives then corresponded to the first layer free energy adsorption distribution and could be regarded as fingerprints of interactions between given solid/probe couples.

The total derivative adsorption isotherm on a heterogeneous surface was modeled by considering two scales of heterogeneity: in the case of crystalline minerals, the surface can be divided into $i$ different crystal faces (patchwise distribution), each face having its own heterogeneity continuously distributed around a mean value (random distribution). The adsorption isotherm on such a heterogeneous surface can be written as:

$$\theta = \sum_i X_i \theta_{it} = \sum_i X_i \int \theta_i(\varepsilon) \chi_i(\varepsilon) \, d\varepsilon$$

where $\theta$ is the total adsorption isotherm, $\theta_{it}$ the adsorption isotherms on the different energetic domains of the surface, $X_i$ is its contribution to $\theta_{it}$, $\varepsilon$ is the adsorption energy, $\Omega$ is the physical domain of $\varepsilon$, $\theta_i(\varepsilon)$ a “local” theoretical adsorption isotherm and $\chi_i(\varepsilon)$ is the dispersion of $\varepsilon$ on the $i$th domain. The experimental curve can then be fitted with theoretical local isotherms derived from the Langmuir (with Bragg-William-Tempkin improvement to take into account lateral interaction), BET (with Hill improvement to take into account lateral interactions) and Dubinin-Astakhov formalisms (Villiéras et al., 1992, 1997a, 1997b).

In the present work, experimental derivatives were modeled after mathematical elimination of multilayer adsorption contribution in the low energy region (right hand side of the curves).
(Villiéras et al., 1997a; Manleev et al., 2002). DIS fitting procedure was then applied to the first layer free energy adsorption distribution using the Bragg-William-Tempkin model as a local adsorption isotherm (Villiéras et al., 1992, 1997a, 1997b). Each local isotherm is characterized by three parameters. The first one is the peak position, \( \ln(P/P_0) \), proportional to the free adsorption energy \( \Delta G \) (\( \Delta G = -RT \ln(P/P_0) \)), linked to \( C_{BET} \) constant, i.e. the normal interaction between the surface and an adsorbed molecule. The second one is the lateral interactions, \( \omega \), between two neighboring adsorbed molecules. Lateral interactions are easily detected through the shapes (half-height width) of the local derived isotherm. In fact, the intensity of lateral interactions must be considered as a best-fit parameter, disclosing further information about the heterogeneity of the considered adsorption domain (Bardot, 1998, Bersillon et al., 2001; Mamleev et al., 2002; Villiéras et al., 1997b): generally, \( \omega \) ranges between 2.5 and 0 for argon, and lower values (even negative values) are obtained when the spreading (variance) of the adsorption energy distribution increases. The last consideration is the monolayer capacity, \( V_m \), of the local isotherm, derived from the comparison between the experimental height of the peak and the theoretical height of the local model. Monolayer capacities can be converted to surface areas by taking into account the cross-sectional area of adsorbed argon, 13.8 Å² (McClellan and Harnsberger, 1967).

In our study, about 0.6 g of purified Na-exchanged smectites were outgassed at 0.001 Pa at a temperature of 120°C. Argon N56 (purity>99.9996) was supplied by Alphagaz (France).

4. RESULTS

4.1. Smectite reactivity in the context of metallic corrosion
4.1.1. pH measurements

pH was systematically measured to control the basic character of Fe(0)/Clay interactions. In each case, the pH was over 7 (Table 2). When comparing the pH of the solution with I/C=1/3 and without iron (I/C=0), it was observed that the presence of Fe(0) had no effect on pH for the test using OrduArtikli, whereas increases in pH of 0.7 and 2.6 were recorded for the tests with Nontronite and Prassa samples respectively.

4.1.2. Cation Exchange Capacity

The Cation Exchange Capacity (CEC) of each sample was measured before and after the reaction (Figure 1 and Figure 2) to verify the stability of smectite during reaction tests. Due to the precision of CEC measurements, only variations greater than 10 % were considered significant. The CEC values for unreacted samples decreased with respect to Fe(0) supply, in agreement with the dilution effect caused by the addition of metallic iron to the experimental suspension.

When heating at 80°C for 3 months without iron (Figure 1), the CEC variations are -10 %, -1 % and +22 % for Orduartikli, Nontronite and Prassa samples respectively. These variations were only significant for the Prassa sample.

With Fe(0) in the reaction mixture (Figure 2), the CEC variations are -4 %, +16 % and -37 % for Orduartikli, Nontronite and Prassa samples, respectively. Only the CEC variations for Nontronite and Prassa samples could be considered significant.
In conclusion, Fe(0) supply influences the CEC of Nontronite and Prassa clays, but not that of OrduArtikli clay.

**4.1.3. $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer spectroscopy**

$^{57}$Fe Mössbauer spectroscopy was used to quantitatively determine the modifications in the distribution of iron species. Since there is no modification of the XRD (see next §) and CEC value after interaction between OrduArtikli and Fe(0), this sample is considered poorly reactive. Mössbauer spectra were only acquired for Nontronite and Prassa.

Before the reaction, the distributions of iron species in Nontronite-Fe(0) and in Prassa-Fe(0) mixtures were different (Figure 3 and Figure 4). Nontronite is a beidellite which contains Fe(III) whereas in the Prassa-Fe(0) mixture, the initial iron mainly comes from the Fe(0) supply. After reaction, the distribution of iron species was quite unchanged for Nontronite-Fe(0) mixture, unlike the Prassa-Fe(0) mixture (Figure 3 and Figure 4). There was only a slight consumption of Fe(0) for Nontronite (-3%, 1.79 $10^{-2}$ mol before reaction and 1.74 $10^{-2}$ mol after reaction), which is balanced by the formation of Fe(III) (+6%, 7.06 $10^{-3}$ mol before reaction and 7.5 $10^{-3}$ mol after reaction). For Prassa, the consumption of metallic iron is high (-64%, 1.79 $10^{-2}$ mol before reaction and 6.45 $10^{-3}$ mol after reaction) and is balanced by the formation of Fe(III)-species (+2087%, 4.08 $10^{-4}$ mol before reaction and 8.92 $10^{-3}$ mol after reaction), Fe(II) species (+552%, 4.08 $10^{-4}$ mol before reaction and 2.66 $10^{-3}$ mol after reaction) and iron oxides (+278%, 2.51 $10^{-4}$ mol before reaction and 9.49 $10^{-4}$ mol after reaction). Magnetic spectra for these iron oxides correspond to a spinel structure, so these oxides may be magnetite. Mössbauer parameters for Fe(III) and Fe(II) are consistent with their presence in amorphous phases, such as gel phases, and/or phyllosilicate octahedral layers.
4.1.4. X-Ray Diffraction (XRD)

The X-Ray Diffraction was used to estimate the qualitative evolution of the smectite-Fe(0) mixtures at 80°C over 3 months. In order to take into account the dilution effect created by metallic iron supply, the X-Ray diffractograms of “I/C=1/3 mixtures” were compared before and after reaction.

The X-Ray diffractogram of the reacted OrduArtikli-Fe(0) mixture did not show any significant variation (Figure 5). The 15.14 Å, 4.48 Å, 3.04 Å, 2.57 Å and 1.50 Å peaks of smectite did not change either in position or in intensity. The 2.02 Å peak of Fe(0) was also unaffected.

The same conclusions were made for the Nontronite-Fe(0) mixture (Figure 6). On the XRD pattern, the peaks of smectite are unchanged (14.93 Å, 4.53 Å, 3.03 Å, 2.58 Å and 1.51 Å).

Unlike OrduArtikli or Nontronite, the diffractogram of the reacted Prassa-Fe(0) mixture is very different from the unreacted one (Figure 7). The peaks attributed to smectite (15.3 Å, 5.07 Å, 4.48 Å, 3.03 Å and 1.50 Å) are hardly visible. The intensity of the Fe(0) peak is also much lower. In addition, new peaks can be seen at 7.22 Å, 7.13 Å, 3.56 Å, 2.96 Å, 2.72 Å and 2.53 Å. The 7.13 Å, 3.56 Å and 2.53 Å peaks may be related to the formation of Fe-rich 7 Å minerals such as berthierine (JCPDS 31-618). As observed by Lantenois et al. (2005), 2.96 Å, 2.53 Å and 1.48 Å peaks are assigned to the formation of magnetite (JCPDS 19-629).

On the XRD spectra (peaks at 4.07 Å and 2.48 Å), opal-CT is visibly present in the Prassa-Fe(0) unreacted mixture, whereas it is not visible in the reacted mixture. It is known that silica is soluble in water from pH=9. When Prassa is altered without Fe(0) at 80°C for 3 months, the pH equals 8 and opal-CT remains present in the reacted mixture (Perronnet,
The same result was obtained for I/C=1/20 (pH=8) and I/C=1/10 (pH=8) (Perronnet, 2004), whereas opal-CT disappeared from I/C=1/3 when the pH reached 10.6.

4.2. Geometric and energetic surface properties

4.2.1. Nitrogen adsorption/desorption at 77 K

Nitrogen adsorption/desorption experiments were carried out to obtain main information on the textural properties of the studied samples: specific surface areas and pore size distribution. Adsorption/desorption curves are shown in Figure 8. First of all, it should be pointed out that adsorption/desorption isotherms present the classical features observed for swelling clays (Michot & Villiéras, 2002, Neaman et al., 2003, Marrocchi et al., 2005) with both microporosity and mesoporosity. In the low pressure region (<0.4), corresponding to the filling of micropores and the completion of the first monolayer on external particle faces, adsorption isotherms are superimposed, showing that the total specific surface areas of the three samples are within the same range. This is confirmed when the different numerical analyses are applied to experimental data (Table 3). Indeed, BET, t-plot and mesoporosity calculations yield specific surface areas ranging between 101 and 115 m²/g. BET specific surface area is slightly lower than those derived from t-plot and mesoporosity calculations, which is in agreement with the fact that the samples appear slightly microporous. Microporosity is less important for Prassa than for Nontronite and Orduartikli and external surface area (without micropores) of particles increases from Orduartikli to Nontronite and Prassa. For the three samples, microporosity is not quantitatively important (compared to zeoliths or activated carbons, for instance) and errors on the determination of micropore volumes should be considered as important for such systems (+/- 20%). In addition, it should
be pointed out that the assessment of microporosity for charged clay minerals is rather tricky, as it was demonstrated that specific interactions between nitrogen and surface cations (Bardot et al., 1998, Michot and Villiéras, 2002) can occur in such a way that the total amount of adsorbed nitrogen molecules on the surface would appear higher than the amount required for monolayer completion (Michot et Villiéras, 2002). The corresponding additional adsorbed amount would be attributed to microporosity, as determined from t-plot procedure. This phenomenon probably occurs in the present case, as nitrogen external surface areas derived from the t-plot (+/- 20%) agree fairly with total argon surface areas derived from low pressure adsorption experiments (see next section and $S_{Ar}$ in Table 3), as pointed out by Michot and Villiéras (2002) for different saponites.

In the high relative pressure region ($> 0.45$), corresponding to multilayer adsorption and the filling of the mesoporosity, great differences are observed (Figure 8). Orduartikli appears slightly mesoporous with a vertical closure of the hysteresis loop around 0.44 in $P/P_0$, as is generally observed for such materials and is typical of closed mesoporosity inside clay aggregates (Neaman et al., 2003). The same features are observed for the Nontronite sample, which is more mesoporous than Orduartikli. For Prassa, which is the most mesoporous sample, the shape of the adsorption/desorption loop is different, suggesting a more open intra-aggregate mesoporosity. The total calculated mesoporosities agree with present observations (Table 3). Calculated pore size distributions (Figure 9) from the adsorption branch and the desorption branch, which correspond to true Pore Size Distribution (PSD) and access PSD, respectively (Neaman et al., 2003), show that pore access corresponds mainly to pores smaller than 2.5 nm. This is not seen in the Prassa sample, which presents an additional access size around 3.8 nm. The differences in mesoporosity observed for the studied samples can not yet be fully explained. Indeed, particle arrangement and pore size distribution of swelling clay minerals differ considerably in the same sample, depending on dehydration
490 conditions, apparent density, exchangeable cations, etc. (Neaman et al., 2003, Michot and
491 Villiéras, 2006, Bihannic, 1998). In the case of the Prassa sample, PSD may also be
492 influenced by the presence of small opal-CT particles in clay aggregates. Mesopore size
493 distribution, however, is not considered a key parameter for the present study, as particles and
494 aggregate organizations will be different in suspension, i.e. under smectite/Fe(0) interaction
495 conditions.

496

497 4.2.2. Low pressure argon adsorption at 77 K

498

499 Low pressure argon adsorption at 77 K was carried out in order to derive information on the
500 surfaces of smectite particles and to analyze it from the point of view of variability in
501 geometric (lamellarity) and energetic (surface chemistry) characteristics. The experimental
502 curves obtained in the region corresponding to the adsorption of the first monolayer (P/Po=0
503 to 0.175, BET region) are displayed in Figure 10 as a function of the logarithm of the relative
504 pressure. On this figure, it can be observed that the features are similar and adsorbed amounts
505 are within the same range in all samples, as previously observed with nitrogen.

506

507 The present curves were further analyzed using the derivative adsorption isotherm instead of
508 the adsorption isotherms, as these curves are much more sensitive to the influence of surface
509 heterogeneities. The obtained derivative curves are displayed in Figure 11 along with their fit,
510 using the DIS procedure designed by Villiéras et al. (1992, 1997). Adsorption energy
511 distributions reveal the classical features observed for phyllosilicates, with a mean peak
512 located around -5, corresponding to the adsorption on basal surfaces, while the shoulder at
513 higher energy, centered around -7, corresponds to the adsorption on edge faces.
Using the DIS procedure and the Brag-William-Temkin equation, the three derivatives are modeled with 5 local isotherms. As is usually obtained with argon, the 3 high energy peaks (No. 1 to 3 in Table 4) can be assigned to the adsorption on edge faces, and the two low energy peaks (No. 4 and 5 in Table 4) to the adsorption on basal faces.

It can be observed that the peak positions corresponding to basal faces (domain 4) are the same for the 3 samples, around -4.5. In the same way, the corresponding lateral interaction parameter $\omega$ can be considered as identical, $1.4 \pm 0.1$, for the three samples.

The situation is totally different for edge faces, as it can be observed that adsorption energies for the less important domains 1 and 2 (inverse of peak positions) increases as follows: Orduartikli < Nontronite < Prassa. From a chemical standpoint, these high energy adsorption sites could be attributed to chemical variability or non-uniform morphology such as local defects, corners or ridges of particle surfaces. The peak positions of domain 3, which correspond to the most important part of the lateral surfaces, behave in the reverse order, Prassa < Nontronite < Orduartikli. However, it is interesting to notice that the lateral interaction parameter ($\omega/kT$) also increases from Prassa to Nontronite and to Orduartikli samples. This result indicates that i) edge surfaces of the Orduartikli sample can be considered the most homogeneous (less variability in gas/surface site interactions), in agreement with the fact that adsorption energies of domain 1 and 2 are the lowest of the sample set; ii) edge surfaces of the Prassa sample can be considered to be the less homogeneous, in agreement with the fact that adsorption energies of domain 1 and 2 are the highest of the sample set; iii) homogeneity of the edge surfaces of nontronite is intermediary.

It can also be pointed out that peaks of domains 1 and 2 are often considered as residual and their positions are generally not accurate. In the present case, the fact that the positions of these peaks behave in the same way as the lateral interaction of the major peak (domain 3) suggest that their evolutions within the samples are relevant.
From a quantitative point of view, the amount of high energy adsorption sites on edge faces (domain 1 and 2) correspond to 20, 26 and 19% of the total edge surface area for Orduartikli, Nontronite and Prassa, respectively, without correlation to the energy classifications discussed above. Total basal and lateral surface areas are reported on Table 5. Edge surface areas are in the same range for Orduartikli and Nontronite, while for Prassa, basal surface area is higher and edge surface area is lower than that of the two other samples. As proposed in Tournassat et al. (2003), mean characteristic length (l) and thickness (t) of the particles can be evaluated from basal and lateral surface areas by considering a simple square base:

\[ l = \frac{4}{\rho \cdot S_l} \text{ and } t = \frac{2}{\rho \cdot S_b} \]

where \( \rho \) is the density (2.7) and \( S_l \) and \( S_b \), the lateral and basal surface areas, respectively.

The length of the particle increases from Orduartikli to Nontronite to Prassa (Table 5). This increase in length is probably at least partly responsible for the increase in mesoporosity as derived from nitrogen adsorption/desorption experiments. Taking into account the theoretical thickness of one dry smectite unit layer (taken to be equal to 9.5 Å), the average number of 2:1 layers per stack is around 15 for Orduartikli and Nontronite and 11 for Prassa.

5. DISCUSSION

The analyses of the reaction products show that smectites do not react equally with Fe(0). For OrduArtikli, the modifications in the pH, CEC and XRD diffractogram are negligible at the end of the “80°C-3 months-I/C=1/3” test. Concerning Nontronite, a slight increase in pH (+0.7), a slight consumption of Fe(0) (-3%) and formation of Fe(III) (+6%) and an increase in CEC (+16%) are observed while the intensity of the whole XRD spectrum is unchanged. On the contrary, for Prassa, the pH of the solution increases significantly (+2.6), the consumption of Fe(0) is drastic (-64%) and balanced by the formation of iron oxides and by the
incorporation of Fe(II) and Fe(III) in gel phases or in clay octahedral sheets. The decrease in
CEC (-37%) is important and on the XRD pattern, the signal of smectite is hardly
recognizable, while peaks for 7 Å clays and magnetite appear.

Lantenois et al. (2005) demonstrated that the percentage of altered smectites can either be
estimated by using XRD analyses in transmission geometry with an internal standard
(corundum) or by CEC measurements. These two methods gave the same results (fig 4a in
Lantenois et al. 2005) with the same precision (±10 %). In the present study, XRD and CEC
appear to be complementary techniques for sorting the samples with respect to their initial
reactivity. It is thus necessary to understand the meaning of the increase in CEC for
Nontronite and its decrease for Prassa. For this purpose, CEC results will first be analyzed in
the framework of bibliographic information on the destabilization mechanism of smectite
minerals. Finally, the reaction rates of the smectite-Fe(0) reactions will be put in parallel with
the geometric and energetic characteristics of the smectite surfaces.

5.1. Destabilization mechanisms and relative reactivity of the studied smectites

The reaction between smectites and Fe(0) can be described by three individual mechanisms:

1. The reduction of structural Fe$^{3+}$ when smectite is in contact with Fe(0) or, more likely,
   with Fe$^{2+}$ resulting from the oxidation of Fe(0) (Lantenois et al., 2005, Wilson et al.,
   2006). In reductive conditions, as is the case when clay minerals interact with Fe(0),
   Fe$^{3+}$ in smectites is reduced to Fe$^{2+}$ with an increase of the layer charge, i.e. of the
   CEC, which needs to be balanced by the uptake of H$^+$ or other cations in the interlayer
   space (Stucki et al., 1984; Manceau et al., 2000; Favre et al., 2002,). The uptake in H$^+$
   from solution causes the pH to increase.
2. The alkaline destabilization of smectite into Si-Al-Fe gels or disordered regions (Wilson et al., 2006). This mechanism occurs by edge dislocation, fragmentation in the [ab] plane, which makes the CEC increase, and by local loss of tetrahedral layers.

3. The incorporation of Fe$^{2+}$, derived from Fe(0) oxidation (Guillaume et al., 2004; Lantenois et al.; 2005, Wilson et al., 2006), into altered smectites (gel-like phases). These phases maturate into Fe-rich 7 or 14 Å non swelling minerals. That results in a decrease of the CEC of the reaction product (Guillaume et al, 2004; Perronnet, 2004; Lantenois et al., 2005; Wilson et al., 2006).

In the present study, it can be concluded from the stability of both the CEC values and the XRD pattern of OrduArtikli, that the reaction between this smectite and Fe(0) is not initiated. On the contrary, the significant decrease in CEC (-37 %) measured for Prassa samples in the presence of Fe(0), and the analysis of its run products by XRD and the formation of Fe-rich 7 Å clays, show that the reaction between smectite and Fe(0) is already advanced. The potential high reactivity of this sample is also suggested by its CEC increase (+22 %) at 80°C in the absence of iron supply, which may be attributed to some particle dissolution or/and fragmentation in high temperature water. In addition, it was observed that for intermediary I/C (1/20 and 1/10), the CEC of the reaction products increased in the same way while pH was stable at 8 (Perronnet 2004). When the supply in Fe(0) is important enough (I/C≥1/3), the oxidation of Fe(0) by smectites makes pH increase, in agreement with the smectitic corrosion mechanism proposed by Habert et al., 2006. It can also be noticed from XRD analysis that for I/Cs ranging from 0 to 1/10 opal did not react, showing that the dissolution of this phase may account for the increase in pH following the Fe(0)/smectite reaction.

In the case of Nontronite, the comparison of CEC results for the tests with and without Fe(0) at 80°C shows that, in both cases, the heating has no effect on this parameter. Therefore, the increase in CEC for the test in the presence of Fe(0) at 80°C is only a consequence of the
interaction of smectites with metal. This increase in CEC, without any significant structural transformations observed in the XRD patterns, may correspond with the intake in cations from the solution in order to balance the charge deficit due to the reduction of structural Fe$^{3+}$ by Fe(0) (stage 1). But, as the Mössbauer results indicate that this reduction did not happen (Fe(III) +6%), fragmentation in the [ab] plane may be a more appropriate explanation for the increase in CEC.

The destabilization rate of smectite when interacting with Fe(0) increases from OrduArtikli to Nontronite and to Prassa samples. These smectites can be ranked with respect to the tetrahedral layer charge (0.36 Nontronite > 0.08 OrduArtikli = 0.08 Prassa), the Ca$^{2+}$ interlayer content (0.29 Nontronite > 0.2 OrduArtikli > 0.08 Prassa), and the Fe$^{3+}$ octahedral content (0.93 Nontronite > 0.14 OrduArtikli > 0.10 Prassa) per O$_{10}$(OH)$_2$. According to Lantenois’ rules (2005), Nontronite should be more reactive than OrduArtikli, which also should be more reactive than Prassa, which is in contradiction with our results. But, Lantenois et al (2005) stressed that even if the reactivity of dioctahedral smectite is mainly enhanced by increasing contents in octahedral Fe$^{3+}$, other parameters should be found in order to fully understand the evolution of smectite-Fe(0) systems.

**5.2. Surface control of smectite reactivity towards Fe(0)**

As mentioned in the introduction, numerous studies demonstrated that transformations of smectite minerals at the solid-liquid interface are mainly centripetal processes starting at the edges of the layers. The determination of the mean textural features of the samples, based on N$_2$ and Ar adsorption at 77K, allows us to compare particle shape, and particularly the amounts of lateral surface area, with Fe(0)-smectite reactivity.
First of all, one can rapidly eliminate the dry particle shape as pertinent information; because, when immersed in water, smectites will expand with interlayer cation hydration, and the total number of clay layers per tactoid is reduced. In addition, such parameters would lead to the conclusion that the finest particles in volume (Orduartikli) are the least reactive ones. Edge surface areas are roughly equivalent for the three samples, but a fine analysis of the results shows that reaction rates increase when edge surface area decreases (Table 5). This is contradictory with the statement that the quantity of edge surfaces controls the reactivity. The definite differences in reactivity of the studied samples imply that edge surface area is not the main textural controlling parameter. Basal surface area is equivalent for Orduartikli and Nontronite and is higher for Prassa. Considering the highest reactivity of the latter, it could indicate that basal faces of the clay layers control the iron-smectite reactivity, but such basal-control is not likely to be the most important mechanism, as it is contradictory to the admitted centripetal destabilization mechanism, and the total basal area should be roughly the same for the three samples in their hydrated state. Therefore something other than geometrical parameters should be found to explain the surface reactivity of smectites in the presence of Fe(0).

Other accessible parameters concern the energetic properties of argon/surface interactions. For the basal faces, the DIS peak positions and the apparent lateral interaction (\(\omega\)) parameters of domain 4 (Table 4) are equivalent, and no significant conclusion can be drawn as to the influence of energetic surface properties of these faces on smectite reactivity. On the contrary, the edge faces show marked differences, particularly when looking at peak positions and apparent lateral interactions (\(\omega\)). Indeed, these two parameters show that smectite reactivity increases with surface heterogeneity and the surface energy of their edge faces.
6. CONCLUSIONS

It seems that the destabilization rates of the smectite-Fe(0) systems can be at least partially explained by the energetic properties of the edge faces of the smectite. As stressed by Bickmore (1999) and Bickmore et al. (2001), the high reactivity of the edge surfaces is expected, because these surfaces are characterized by broken bonds and a well-known tendency to form inner-sphere complexes with protons and other cations (e.g., White and Zelazny, 1988; Zachara and McKinley, 1993; Charlet et al., 1993; Schlegel et al., 1999). Metz et al., (2005) stressed that the relationship between BET surface area and the reactive surface area is not trivial in minerals such as smectites, in which dissolution is controlled by chemical attack on the edge surfaces. These authors suggest using AFM measurements of the specific edge surface area as an alternative proxy for the reactive surface area of smectite. But they insist on the fact that their results do not prove that this proxy is proportional to reactive surface area. Indeed, it was observed that the dissolution kinetics of edge faces of smectites is much faster for broken edge faces than for euhedral faces (Bickmore 1999). The absence of a correlation with the edge surface area is confirmed by the fact that for our 3 smectites, the reaction rate for their alteration increases with respect to decreasing edge surface area. On the contrary, a positive correlation exists between the reaction rate for alteration and the variety in the energetics of gas adsorption onto the edge faces (energetic “heterogeneity of edge faces”). This variety illustrates the presence of i) crystallographic defects; ii) morphological heterogeneities, such as nanoroughness, or iii) chemical heterogeneities, such as clusters, substitutions and charge distributions as indicated by Bickmore (1999) and Bickmore et al. (2001). Such a variety of situations is obviously enhanced if lateral surfaces are broken edge faces rather than euhedral faces (Bickmore 1999).
Thus, the increase in the “energetic heterogeneity of edge faces” can be interpreted as an increase in the probability for Fe(0) to find unstable sites on the smectite edges to transfer electrons and initiate smectite destabilization. The rate controlling steps in the reaction seem to be the reactions which occur on the sites of the edge faces. Both the fragmentation (with and without Fe(0)) and the reduction, consecutive to electron transfer from Fe(0), of smectite particles are enhanced when the heterogeneity of the edge faces is high. The $\omega$ parameter of edge faces derived form argon adsorption can be considered as an exploration guide aiding the prediction of the behavior of smectites in the context of alkaline dissolution and/or metallic corrosion. It is also likely that the observed result reflects more the initiation conditions for reactions, which are different than the final equilibrium state of the reacting system.

The next step will be to confirm the validity of the present guide by determining the influence of crystal-chemistry on $\omega$ parameter for a large set of samples, including non-swelling minerals.
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Table 1. Mineralization (in mg.L$^{-1}$) of Evian natural mineral water (Evian).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CO$_3^{2-}$</th>
<th>Ca$^{2+}$</th>
<th>Mg$^{2+}$</th>
<th>SiO$_2$</th>
<th>SO$_4^{2-}$</th>
<th>Na$^+$</th>
<th>Cl$^-$</th>
<th>NO$_3^-$</th>
<th>K$^+$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>mg.L$^{-1}$</td>
<td>357</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. pH evolution for the tests at 80°C during 3 months.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>I/C=0</th>
<th>I/C=1/3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OrduArtikli</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>8.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nontronite</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prassa</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>10.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Numerical results derived from BET, t-plot and mesoporosity analyses of the experimental adsorption/desorption isotherms found in Figure 8. BET information was obtained in the 0.05-0.15 P/Po range, t-plot information was obtained from the 0.02-0.22 P/Po range and mesoporosity information was calculated from the adsorption branch using parallel shaped mesopores. Vm: monolayer volume (STP gas cm$^3$/g); C: BET energy constant; Stot: total specific surface area (+/- 4m$^2$/g); S$_{µ}$pores: equivalent specific surface area of micropores (+/- 20%); Sext: external specific surface area (= Stot-S$_{µ}$pores, +/- 20%), Vmeso: total volume of mesopores (geometrical volumes, considering that liquid nitrogen density is 0.808) and S$_{Ar}$. Argon specific surface area derived from the modeling of the low pressure argon adsorption isotherm (see next section and Table 4).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>BET</th>
<th>t-plot</th>
<th>Mesoporosity</th>
<th>DIS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vm cm$^3$/g</td>
<td>C m$^2$/g</td>
<td>Stot m$^2$/g</td>
<td>S$_{µ}$pores m$^2$/g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orduartikli</td>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nontronite</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prassa</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4. Main parameters obtained from the application of the DIS method to Ar adsorption at 77 K on the studied montmorillonites.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>OrduArtikli Na</th>
<th>Nontronite Na</th>
<th>Prassa Na</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \Delta G/RT = - \ln(P/P_0) )</td>
<td>( \omega/kT )</td>
<td>( V_m ) cm(^3)/g</td>
<td>SSA m(^2)/g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>11.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OrduArtikli Na

Nontronite Na

Prassa Na

Table 5. Geometrical characteristics of the dry particles of the three Na-exchanged purified smectites.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>OrduArtikli</th>
<th>Nontronite</th>
<th>Prassa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Basal surface area (m(^2)/g)</td>
<td>51.2</td>
<td>50.3</td>
<td>67.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edge surface area (m(^2)/g)</td>
<td>29.8</td>
<td>27.2</td>
<td>25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>l (nm)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t (nm)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Particle volume (nm(^3))</td>
<td>35000</td>
<td>43740</td>
<td>38291</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
9. FIGURES

Figure 1. CEC evolution for the tests at 80°C during 3 months, I/C=0.
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Figure 2. CEC evolution for the tests at 80°C during 3 months, I/C=1/3.
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Figure 3. Evolution of the distribution of iron components in the “Nontronite-Fe(0) mixture” (I/C=1/3) throughout the reaction (80°C – 3 months). Obtained using $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer quantification.
Figure 4. Evolution of the distribution of iron components in the “Prassa-Fe(0) mixture” (I/C=1/3) throughout the reaction (80°C – 3 months). Obtained using $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer quantification.
Figure 5. X-Ray diffractograms of the OrduArtikli-Fe(0) mixture before and after reaction at 80°C for a duration of 3 months, I/C=1/3.

Figure 6. X-Ray diffractograms of the Nontronite-Fe(0) mixture before and after reaction at 80°C for 3 months, I/C=1/3.
Figure 7. X-Ray diffractograms of the Prassa-Fe(0) mixture before and after reaction at 80°C for 3 months, I/C=1/3.

Figure 8. Nitrogen adsorption/desorption isotherms at 77 K
Figure 9. Pore size distributions calculated from nitrogen adsorption/desorption isotherms of Figure 8. Plain lines: adsorption Pore Size Distribution (true PSD); dotted line: desorption Pore Size Distribution (access PSD).
Figure 10. Low pressure argon adsorption isotherms at 77 K
Figure 11. Adsorption energy distributions (plain lines) derived from low pressure argon adsorption at 77 K and local isotherms (Bragg-William-Temkin models, dotted lines), used to model experimental curves. Multilayer contribution in the low energy region (right hand side of the curves) was mathematically removed before the DIS fitting procedure (Villiéras et al., 1997a; Mamleev et al., 2002). Fitting parameters are collected in Table 4.