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Abstract

The determination of total water content ($H_2O_T$: 0.1–10 wt\%) and water speciation $(H_2O_{\text{molecular}}/OH)$ in volcanic products by confocal microRaman spectrometry are discussed for alkaline (phonolite) and calcalkaline (dacite and rhyolite) silicic glasses. Shape and spectral distribution of the total water band ($H_2O_T$) at $\approx 3550 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ show systematic evolution with glass $H_2O_T$, water speciation and NBO/T. In the studied set of silicic samples, calibrations based on internal normalization of the $H_2O_T$ band to a band related to vibration of aluminosilicate network (TOT) at $\approx 490 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ vary with glass peraluminosity. An external calibration procedure using well-characterized glass standards is less composition-dependent and provides excellent linear correlation between total dissolved water content and height or area of the $H_2O_T$ Raman band. Accuracy of deconvolution procedure of the $H_2O_T$ band to quantify water speciation in water-rich and depolymerized glasses depends on the strength of OH hydrogen bonding. System confocal performance, scattering from embedding medium and glass microcrystallinity have a crucial influence on accuracy of Raman analyses of water content in glass-bearing rocks and melt inclusions in crystals.

1. Introduction

Laser Raman spectroscopy has been extensively used for structural studies of hydrous natural and synthetic silicate glasses (e.g., Mysen et al., 1980, McMillan and Remmele, 1986, Mysen and Virgo, 1986b, Mysen, 1990, Zotov and Keppler, 1998 and Zotov, 2003) and for chemical and physical characterization of fluid inclusions (e.g., Dubessy et al., 1989, Chou et al., 1990, Wopenka et al., 1990, Pasteris et al., 1996 and Chen et al., 2004). Recently, new Raman analytical routines have been proposed for the quantitative analysis of total water content ($H_2O_T$) and speciation ($H_2O_{m}$ molecular/hydroxyl OH) in natural and synthetic aluminosilicate glasses (Thomas, 2000, Thomas, 2002, Chabiron et al., 2004 and Arias et al., 2006). These studies have demonstrated the high accuracy and repeatability of Raman spectrometric analyses of water in glass chips or glass inclusions in the $H_2O_T$ range 0.1–20 wt\%.

The development of quantitative Raman routines represents an important improvement for the microanalysis of water in geomaterials mostly because of its very small analytical spot (1–2 $\mu$m) and the easy sample preparation that just requires polishing. In particular, petrological and volcanological studies can
substantially benefit from establishment of Raman routines applicable to natural glasses. In practice, the microRaman technique potentially allows accessing to all the glass inclusion populations in a given sample or to small glass volumes in highly crystallized products. The technique does not require exposing glass inclusions if embedded in transparent crystals and allows preserving the mutual spatial relationships between the different phases. Furthermore, matrix glasses, glass and fluid inclusions can be analysed with the same instrument in a single analytical session. This analytical approach permits to collect a statistically representative database necessary for studying the volatile phase in a magma reservoir (Cioni, 2000) and modelling processes as magma degassing (Villemant et al., 2003), magma crystallization (Scaillet and Evans, 1999), evolution of eruptive dynamics (Di Muro et al., 2004), pyroclastic-rock thermal history (Wallace et al., 2003) or provenance (Arias et al., 2006) and magma–water interaction (Slejko et al., 2004).

Previous quantitative microRaman studies of water in natural glasses have dealt mostly with Si-rich, CaO, MgO and FeO-poor (CaO and FeO < 1 wt%; MgO < 0.1 wt%) aluminosilicate systems of rhyolite, pegmatite and albite composition (Thomas, 2000, Chabiron et al., 2004 and Arias et al., 2006). Preliminary researches of Thomas (2002) suggest that different calibrations should be adopted for rhyolite and basalt glasses. In this paper, we test a range of microRaman routines to determine water contents (H₂O₁: <0.1–10 wt%) and speciations at room temperature in a set of silicic volcanic glasses (phonolites, dacite, rhyolites) covering a wide range of major and volatile (H₂O, F, Cl, S) element compositions (Table 1). In particular, we discuss (1) advantages and drawbacks of external vs. internal calibrations and (2) composition-dependence of the different Raman routines. We compare our Raman data with the large dataset of FTIR data on water speciation as a function of H₂O content available for analogous glass compositions (Newman et al., 1986, Newman et al., 1988, Silver et al., 1990, Kohn et al., 1992, Pandya et al., 1992, Nowak and Behrens, 1995, Zhang et al., 1995, Carroll and Blank, 1997, Sowerby and Keppler, 1999, Nowak and Behrens, 2001, Ohlhorst et al., 2001, Schmidt et al., 2001 and Whittington et al., 2001) and with some glass inclusions previously measured by FTIR (Cioni, 2000).

We focus on the reliability of Raman spectroscopy for the quantitative analysis of total water content and speciation in chemically complex glasses with total iron (FeO₁) up to 4.5 wt%. This work represents clearly only a first step in assessing the dependence of this technique on natural glass composition.
Table 1. Conditions of hydration and cooling and electron microprobe analyses of standards glasses (phonolite, dacite, rhyolite) used to define Raman calibration lines in this study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample:</th>
<th>79AD</th>
<th>472AD</th>
<th>PIN</th>
<th>GB15</th>
<th>M77</th>
<th>SMN49</th>
<th>LIP</th>
<th>LGM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Provenance:</td>
<td>Pompel 79 AD</td>
<td>Pollena 472 AD</td>
<td>Pinatubo 1991</td>
<td>Himalaya</td>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>Naivas ha</td>
<td>Lipari</td>
<td>Little Glass Mt.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference:</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>This paper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Composition:</td>
<td>Low-Ca phonolite</td>
<td>High-Ca phonolite</td>
<td>Dacite</td>
<td>Peraluminous rhyolite</td>
<td>Metaluminous rhyolite</td>
<td>Peralkanolitic rhyolite</td>
<td>Metaluminous rhyolite</td>
<td>Metaluminous rhyolite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2O (range wt%)</td>
<td>0–6.80</td>
<td>0–6.70</td>
<td>0–6.36</td>
<td>6.56–9.46</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2O 1σ %</td>
<td>&lt;2</td>
<td>&lt;2</td>
<td>&lt;2</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;2</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technique</td>
<td>KFT</td>
<td>KFT</td>
<td>KFT</td>
<td>SIMS</td>
<td>Manometry</td>
<td>KFT</td>
<td>Manometry</td>
<td>Manometry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO2</td>
<td>56.09</td>
<td>51.36</td>
<td>64.81</td>
<td>74.51</td>
<td>76.14</td>
<td>74.38</td>
<td>74.71</td>
<td>73.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO2</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al2O3</td>
<td>22.02</td>
<td>21.63</td>
<td>16.94</td>
<td>15.45</td>
<td>12.91</td>
<td>10.92</td>
<td>13.35</td>
<td>14.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>2.26</td>
<td>4.54</td>
<td>4.04</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>1.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>2.42</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>2.80</td>
<td>5.90</td>
<td>4.92</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>1.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na2O</td>
<td>6.22</td>
<td>5.92</td>
<td>4.72</td>
<td>4.44</td>
<td>2.98</td>
<td>5.83</td>
<td>3.89</td>
<td>4.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K2O</td>
<td>10.25</td>
<td>9.42</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>4.23</td>
<td>5.81</td>
<td>4.35</td>
<td>5.48</td>
<td>4.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2O5</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl (ppm)</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>516</td>
<td>4940</td>
<td>2998</td>
<td>448</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F (ppm)</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>9900</td>
<td>1290</td>
<td>301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S (ppm)</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NBO/T (range)</td>
<td>0.08–0.63</td>
<td>0.19–0.76</td>
<td>0.13–0.62</td>
<td>0.44–0.65</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A/CNK²</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample:</td>
<td>79AD</td>
<td>472AD</td>
<td>PIN</td>
<td>GB15</td>
<td>M77</td>
<td>SMN49</td>
<td>LIP</td>
<td>LGM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provenance:</td>
<td>Pomppei 79 AD</td>
<td>Pollena 472 AD</td>
<td>Pinatubo 1991</td>
<td>Himalaya</td>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>Naivas</td>
<td>Lipari</td>
<td>Little Glass Mt.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference:</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>This paper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Composition:</td>
<td>Low-Ca phonolite</td>
<td>High Ca phonolite</td>
<td>Dacite</td>
<td>Peraluminous rhyolite</td>
<td>Metaluminous rhyolite</td>
<td>Peraluminous rhyolite</td>
<td>Metaluminous rhyolite</td>
<td>Metaluminous rhyolite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O + K₂O</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>8.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O/Na₂O</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Glass synthesis conditions

| P (bar) | 2000 | 2000 | 3000 | 4000 | <5 | 2000 | <5 | <5 |
| T (°C)  | 1200 | 1200 | 1400 | 803-720 | ? | 830 | ? | ? |
| Quench rate (°C/s) | 100–200 | 100–200 | 2.0–3.0 | 2.0–3.0 | <0.01 | 100–200 | <0.01 | <0.01 |

References: (1) Scaillet and Pichavant, 2004; (2) Scaillet and Evans (1999); (3) Scaillet et al. (1995); (4) Scaillet and Mcdonald (2001). Typical analytical errors of EPMA analyses were <1% for SiO₂, Al₂O₃, <5% for CaO, Na₂O, K₂O, MgO, FeO and <10% for TiO₂, MnO, F, Cl. Total water content in glass samples has been determined by Karl–Fischer titration (KFT), secondary ion mass spectrometry (SIMS) and hydrogen manometry (Michel and Villemant, 2003). NBO/T, number of non-bridging oxygens per tetrahedrally coordinated cation (T: Si, Al, Fe³⁺, P). NBO/T have been calculated by assuming that (1) all H₂O is in the form H₂O₉m or T-OH, (2) alkali-balanced Al is in tetrahedral coordination and excess Al acts as a network modifier, (3) half of total iron is in the form Fe³⁺. Assumptions 1 and 3 are the strongest. They could mostly affect the estimation of NBO/T in alkali-earth- and iron-rich glasses such as the Pollena phonolite, but probably only moderately because they operate in opposite directions (increase in modifier-bonded M-OH and decrease in (Fe³⁺)IV are expected to produce opposite variations in NBO).

nd, not determined.

*a Molar Al₂O₃/(CaO + Na₂O + K₂O).
2. Glass samples

In order to investigate the influence of glass composition and structure on microRaman calibrations we selected a set of rhyolite, dacite and phonolite hydrous glasses covering a large range of NBO/T (0.04–0.80) (Table 1). We calibrated microRaman routines for determination of total water contents on naturally hydrous (metaluminous rhyolites: obsidians LIP and LGM; rhyolite M77; glass inclusions QMD) and hydrated glasses (peraluminous rhyolite set: GB15; peralkaline rhyolite glass: SMN49; phonolite sets: 79AD and 472AD; dacite set: PIN) (Table 1 and Table 2).

Table 2: Composition of glass inclusions analysed by microRaman spectrometry in this study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Sh1 12</th>
<th>Sh2 41</th>
<th>Sh2 61</th>
<th>Sh2 52</th>
<th>Sh2 11</th>
<th>P5A</th>
<th>P2C 1</th>
<th>P2C 2</th>
<th>T2D</th>
<th>QMD</th>
<th>MI 1- cx 2</th>
<th>MI 4- cx 9</th>
<th>MI1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Provenance</td>
<td>Laacher See</td>
<td>Laacher See</td>
<td>Laacher See</td>
<td>Laacher See</td>
<td>Laacher See</td>
<td>Laacher See</td>
<td>Laacher See</td>
<td>Pompeii 79 AD</td>
<td>Pompeii 79 AD</td>
<td>Pompeii 79 AD</td>
<td>Pompeii 79 AD</td>
<td>Mt. Dor</td>
<td>Pic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Thi paper</td>
<td>Thi paper</td>
<td>Thi paper</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Composition</td>
<td>Phonolite</td>
<td>Phonolite</td>
<td>Phonolite</td>
<td>Phonolite</td>
<td>Trachyte</td>
<td>Phonolite</td>
<td>Phonolite</td>
<td>Phonolite</td>
<td>Phonolite</td>
<td>Rhyolite</td>
<td>Dacite</td>
<td>Dacite</td>
<td>Dacite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Host</td>
<td>Hauyne</td>
<td>Hauyne</td>
<td>Magnetite</td>
<td>Magnetite</td>
<td>cpx</td>
<td>Leucite</td>
<td>Leucite</td>
<td>Leucite</td>
<td>Sardine</td>
<td>Quartz</td>
<td>op</td>
<td>cp</td>
<td>cpx</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2O (wt%)</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>3.38</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>4.12</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>3.83</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>3.17</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>4.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1σ (wt%)</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technique</td>
<td>SIM</td>
<td>SIM</td>
<td>SIM</td>
<td>SIM</td>
<td>SIM</td>
<td>FTIR</td>
<td>FTIR</td>
<td>FTIR</td>
<td>FTIR</td>
<td>FTIR</td>
<td>EPMA</td>
<td>EPMA</td>
<td>EPMA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO2</td>
<td>59.9 5</td>
<td>60.1 9</td>
<td>60.4 6</td>
<td>60.3 5</td>
<td>63.2 4</td>
<td>56.2 3</td>
<td>55.0 5</td>
<td>55.2 4</td>
<td>58.6 4</td>
<td>77.3 7</td>
<td>63.47</td>
<td>67.59</td>
<td>68.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO2</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al2O3</td>
<td>19.5 8</td>
<td>19.0 2</td>
<td>20.4 9</td>
<td>20.5 3</td>
<td>20.1 4</td>
<td>23.4 7</td>
<td>23.4 1</td>
<td>23.5 4</td>
<td>21.8 7</td>
<td>12.4 5</td>
<td>17.57</td>
<td>20.11</td>
<td>19.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample</td>
<td>Laacher See</td>
<td>Laacher See</td>
<td>Laacher See</td>
<td>Laacher See</td>
<td>Laacher See</td>
<td>Laacher See</td>
<td>Pompeii 79 AD</td>
<td>Pompeii 79 AD</td>
<td>Pompeii 79 AD</td>
<td>Pompeii 79 AD</td>
<td>Mt. Dor</td>
<td>Pic</td>
<td>Pic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provenance:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference:</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>This paper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Composition:</td>
<td>Phonolite</td>
<td>Phonolite</td>
<td>Phonolite</td>
<td>Phonolite</td>
<td>Trachyte</td>
<td>Phonolite</td>
<td>Phonolite</td>
<td>Phonolite</td>
<td>Phonolite</td>
<td>Rhyolite</td>
<td>Dacite</td>
<td>Dacite</td>
<td>Dacite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>2.09</td>
<td>2.23</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>2.28</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>4.94</td>
<td>2.31</td>
<td>1.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>2.57</td>
<td>3.11</td>
<td>2.68</td>
<td>2.65</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>2.43</td>
<td>2.66</td>
<td>2.46</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>4.83</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>3.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>4.65</td>
<td>4.24</td>
<td>5.31</td>
<td>5.15</td>
<td>4.64</td>
<td>10.68</td>
<td>10.08</td>
<td>10.19</td>
<td>5.32</td>
<td>4.17</td>
<td>4.27</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>2.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>7.81</td>
<td>7.92</td>
<td>8.29</td>
<td>5.01</td>
<td>6.17</td>
<td>6.05</td>
<td>11.55</td>
<td>4.73</td>
<td>2.34</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>2.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₂O₅</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>bdl</td>
<td>bdl</td>
<td>bdl</td>
<td>bdl</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl (ppm)</td>
<td>1417</td>
<td>1729</td>
<td>2169</td>
<td>1969</td>
<td>2270</td>
<td>6240</td>
<td>9180</td>
<td>8490</td>
<td>3780</td>
<td>3223</td>
<td>1260</td>
<td>1390</td>
<td>1400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F (ppm)</td>
<td>4545</td>
<td>4700</td>
<td>1292</td>
<td>1221</td>
<td>856</td>
<td>5500</td>
<td>4800</td>
<td>7100</td>
<td>3400</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>bdl</td>
<td>1430</td>
<td>bdl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S (ppm)</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>710</td>
<td>628</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>bdl</td>
<td>bdl</td>
<td>bdl</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>1458</td>
<td>2196</td>
<td>1328</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A/CNK</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>1.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O + K₂O</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O/Na₂O</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Glass inclusions were previously analysed for water by FTIR (Pompei, 79), SIMS (Laacher See) and EPMA (Citlaltepetl). References: (1) Sharygin (1993); (2) Cioni (2000); (3) Chabiron et al. (2004).

nd, not determined.

Calibration routines have then been tested on glass inclusions of phonolite, trachyte and dacite compositions previously measured for water using other microanalytical techniques (Table 2). Phonolite calibrations were tested on glass inclusions in crystals of (1) the 79 AD Vesuvius eruption previously analysed by FTIR and EPMA (Cioni, 2000) and (2) the Upper Laacher See Tephra analysed by SIMS and EPMA (Sharygin, 1993; Table 2). Compositions of the Laacher See inclusions fall close to the lower limit of the phonolite field or in the trachyte field (Fig. 1A). Dacite calibration was tested on dacite glass inclusions from Citlaltepetl volcano (Mexico; Rossotti and Carrasco-Nunez, 2004) previously analysed by EPMA using a “by difference” approach calibrated on dacitic standards.

Fig. 1. Estimation of dry compositions of hydrous glass chips and glass inclusions analysed in this study. Black and white dots are hydrous phonolite glass chips from Pollena and Pompei eruptions of Mt. Vesuvius, respectively. Grey dots correspond to phonolite glass inclusions. White diamonds are metaluminous rhyolites. Dark grey diamonds are the peraluminous rhyolite series of glass chips and light-grey diamond is a peralkaline rhyolite glass chip used as external standard. Vesuvius Phonolites differ slightly in total alkali contents (A) but significantly for their alkali-earth (B) and iron contents (Table 1).
Synthesis procedures of glasses and protocols for major and volatile element determination have been described in detail elsewhere and are summarized in Table 1. Fast drop-quench (100–200 °C/s) was used for synthesis of phonolite and peralkaline rhyolite glasses, while dacite and peraluminous rhyolite glasses experienced slow isobaric quench (2–3 °C/s) (Table 1). The set of natural metaluminous rhyolite glasses corresponds to liquids cooled at the slowest quench-rate (<0.01 °C/s) and lowest isobaric pressure (<5 bars) among our samples (Gottsmann and Dingwell, 2001). Phonolite and dacite standards were hydrated at higher temperatures (1200–1400 °C) than peraluminous and peralkaline rhyolite glasses (830–720 °C) (Table 1).

The two selected sets of phonolite standards (H₂O_T: <0.1–6.8 wt%) have dry compositions corresponding to those of the main explosive phases of the Pollena 472 AD and the Pompei 79 AD Vesuvius eruptions. These sets differ only slightly by their Si and alkali contents (Fig. 1A) and mainly by their Ca, Fe and MgO contents, that are higher in the Pollena glasses (Fig. 1B; Table 1). Dacite standards (H₂O_T: 0–6.4 wt%) have the lowest total alkali content in our series of glasses and their composition is that of the dacite erupted during the 1991 Mt. Pinatubo eruption (Table 1). In particular, Pinatubo dacite (adakite) has much less K₂O (1.6 wt%) than phonolitic (9.4–10.2 wt%) and rhyolitic glasses (4.1–5.8 wt%), and it contains significant amounts of MgO and CaO (Fig. 1). The set of rhyolite standards was assembled in order to cover a large range of A/CNK (molar \( \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3/\text{CaO + Na}_2\text{O + K}_2\text{O} \)) and water contents (H₂O_T: 0.2–9.5 wt%).

The adopted approach allowed exploring the influence of network-former vs. network-modifier cation ratios and alkali metal/alkaline earth ratios on Raman analytical routines.

3. Raman equipment and analytical routines

3.1. Analytical procedure

Raman scattering was excited using 514.5 nm wavelength of an argon ion laser from Spectra Physics and measurements were performed with a LabRam HR800 (ENS-Lyon) spectrometer manufactured Jobin-Yvon equipped with a Peltier-cooled CCD detector. Glasses were analysed by focusing the laser beam on their surface in a ~\( 1 \mu\text{m} \)-wide spot under an Olympus microscope in confocal setting. The used pseudo-confocal system is characterized by low confocal performance but high system throughput. To reduce sampling depth we adopted a small confocal hole (100 μm) and focused through the objective with the highest magnification (100×) (Table 3). The same microscope objective permits observation of the sample, focuses the laser beam onto the surface of the sample and collects the scattered radiation. Spectra were obtained in the 150–2000 cm⁻¹ (Fig. 2; aluminosilicate framework vibration domain) and 3000–4000 cm⁻¹ (Fig. 3; OH-stretching domain) ranges relative to the exciting laser light. A grating of 600 grooves/mm was used to cover in one scan each domain. That clearly resulted in moderate resolution (±4 cm⁻¹), but high intensity of the collected signal. Average input laser power was about 20 mW. Laser power at the sample was 7.4 mW and was periodically checked on a potentiometer placed on the
sample holder before the sample analysis, with the same analytical conditions adopted for the glass analysis.

Table 3. : Comparison of settings adopted in this and previous researches for quantitative microRaman analyses of water in aluminosilicate glasses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Spectrometer</th>
<th>Grating (mm⁻¹)</th>
<th>Magnification</th>
<th>Laser λ (nm)</th>
<th>Laser power (mW)</th>
<th>Power on sample (mW)</th>
<th>Counting time (s)</th>
<th>Filter</th>
<th>Baseline correction (order)</th>
<th>Detector</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thomas et al. (2000)</td>
<td>Triple XY Dilor</td>
<td>1800</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>514.5</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>3 × 200</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>CCD</td>
<td>0.1 II CCD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1800</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>514.5</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>3 × 60</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>CCD</td>
<td>0.1 II CCD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chabiron et al. (2004)</td>
<td>LabRam Dilor</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>514.5</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>1 × 60</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>CCD</td>
<td>0.1 II CCD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>LabRam HR800 JY</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>514.5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>3 × 30</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>CCD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>600</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>514.5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>1 × 30 (TOT)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>CCD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>600</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>514.5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>10 × 30 (H₂O) (&lt;1% H₂O)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>CCD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>600</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>514.5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>1 × 30 (TOT) (&lt;1% H₂O)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>CCD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

?, unknown.
Fig. 2. Example of interference of embedding medium (polycarbonate epoxy resin) on Raman analysis of a glass sample. Raman spectra have been collected from the thin outer edge of a rhyolite glass chip and from embedding resin. In the spectrum of the glass, bands related to aluminosilicate framework (T-O-T), total water (molecular and hydroxyl) stretching and molecular water bending are associated with peaks related to underlying resin. Furthermore, resin interference results in a broad and steep fluorescent background, which significantly affects baseline treatment. In epoxy resin, low-intensity bands at ca. 3550 and 1630 cm\(^{-1}\) (arrows) reveal the presence of minor amounts of dissolved water. Further water can be absorbed during resin aging.

In glasses containing >1 wt% H\(_2\)O\(_T\), acquisition times were of 3 × 30 s for the high-frequencies domain and of 1 × 30 s for the low frequencies. Longer counting times were adopted for glasses with <1 wt% H\(_2\)O\(_T\) to increase the signal to noise ratio (Table 3). All spectra were obtained with the same optical configuration and at least three analyses were performed on each sample.
Fig. 3. Evolution of unpolarized TOT band shape as a function of glass composition. (A) Hydrous metaluminous and peraluminous rhyolites, (B) hydrous phonolites, (C) hydrous dacite. Spectra in (A and B) have been baseline-corrected. In hydrous dacite glasses, interfering peaks at 190, 345 and 670 cm$^{-1}$ with intensity increasing with total water content are superimposed to the TOT1 feature and are attributed to increasing crystallization of nanolites (oxides) from the melt. Numbers in parentheses indicate the dissolved water contents.
3.2. Analysis optimization

Several important concerns arise during Raman spectroscopic analysis of water in glass samples at room temperature: (1) fluorescence of the sample, (2) local glass heating by laser beam, (3) weak scattering of O-H bonds, (4) background subtraction, and (5) band assignment. In the following paragraphs, we discuss the strategies we have adopted to reduce fluorescence and heating, to get an intense scattering signal and to treat and interpret the collected spectra.

In transparent or semi-transparent media and depending on confocal performance of the Raman equipment, the smallest signal sampling depth increases from \( \sim 2 \mu m \) to tens of microns, thus resulting in an approximately conical sampling volume. Consequently, Raman analysis of thin (<100 \( \mu m \); e.g. glasses prepared for FTIR analyses or standard thin sections) can result into high fluorescent backgrounds, or presence of peaks due to scattering from the underlying crystal or from the embedding polycarbonate epoxy resin (Fig. 2). In this way, scattering from OH groups in the epoxy resin (Fig. 2) or in the crystal (e.g., amphibole) can affect the determination of glass water content. Moreover, scattering from silicate or oxide phases dispersed in the glass under analysis can result in peaks interfering with the T-O-T vibration bands in the low-frequency side of the glass spectra (Di Muro et al., 2006). Therefore, one-side polished glass inclusions >20 \( \mu m \)-thick and millimeter-thick glass chips were selected to avoid collecting scattered signal from embedding medium.

A low hitting laser power and a short signal collection time (Table 3) were used to obtain intense and reproducible peaks and low local glass heating. In spite of the adopted cautions, heating and oxidation were detected in dacite glasses, which are possibly related to the presence of Fe-oxides nano-crystals crystallized during slow quench. Even with such a low laser power, oxidation of magnetite to maghemite can occur (de Faria et al., 1997). Maghemite scattering produces peaks in the 300–800 cm\(^{-1}\) region (Fig. 3C) that overlap the bands related to the aluminosilicate glass framework (de Faria et al., 1997). This process results to have increasing importance from dry to water-rich glasses, in which element diffusion and microlite crystallization are faster (Di Muro et al., 2006).

3.3. Spectra treatment

Absolute intensity of Raman scattering \( I(\nu) \) for a molecule \((i)\) is given by

\[
I(\nu) = I_o N_i (\delta \sigma)_i K(\nu), \tag{1}
\]

where \( I_o \) is the incident laser power, \( N_i \) the molar concentration of the scatterer in the excited volume and \((\delta \sigma)_i\) the differential scattering cross-section and \( K(\nu) \) is the overall collection optics and spectrometer response.

\((\delta \sigma)_i\) expresses the dependence of molar scattering power of the species \((i)\) on (1) temperature \((T)\), (2) absorptivity of the material under analysis \( \varepsilon(\nu) \), (3) vibrational frequency \( \nu_i \) of scattered radiation and (4) path length \( l \). Some of these parameters are frequency-dependent and usually they are not known. However, the frequency
\( (v_i) \) term has the main influence on scattering intensity, while \( K(v) \) and \( \varepsilon(v) \) terms have only a subordinate weight.

Relative band intensities are used for quantitative analyses instead of absolute ones as the intensity of collected Raman scattering is inherently weak and dependent on the stability of analytical conditions and the sampling depth. Relative intensities can be accurately determined and allow developing Raman spectroscopy in a quantitative way (Mysen and Virgo, 1986b, Thomas, 2000, Thomas, 2002, Chabiron et al., 2004, Arias et al., 2006 and Di Muro et al., 2006). In particular, assumption of equal scattering cross sections for two given species (e.g., hydroxyl OH and molecular \( H_2O_m \)) allows direct derivation of their molar ratio in a sample by measuring the band height or area ratios of their Raman scattering \( (I_{OH}/I_{H2O\text{m}}) \) (Chabiron et al., 2004).

### 3.3.1. Baseline correction and detection limit

The adopted analytical setting (Table 3) produces a signal/background ratio significantly decreasing from water-rich to water-poor glasses. Presence of significant background noise in water-poor glasses produces an unacceptably high error when characterizing the broad and low-intensity OH-stretching band in samples with <0.1 wt\% total \( H_2O \). Therefore, we estimate that 1000 ppm is the detection limit for presently used Raman routines. Specific settings reducing background noise or enhancing Raman scattering are needed to reduce this detection limit. Therefore, we stress that, among the most widespread techniques, infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) remains the method of choice for accurate analysis of water-poor glasses (e.g., Pandya et al., 1992, Ihinger et al., 1994 and Devine et al., 1995).

Raman spectra corrected for their baseline are then characterized in terms of band identification, band geometry (height, area, half-width) and band spectral composition. Variable baseline definitions in terms of wavenumber ranges (e.g., 150–800 cm\(^{-1}\) instead of 250–700 cm\(^{-1}\)) or fit function (e.g., polynomial of second order) produce differences up to 25% (usually <15%) in band area measurement. A linear background in the range 3000–3800 cm\(^{-1}\) is observed for a rhyolite glass (M77, Table 1; 3.5 wt\% \( H_2O \)) dehydrated by heating during 3.5 h at 1500 °C and 1 bar. However, a second order polynomial represents a much better fit for steep fluorescent backgrounds, common in natural glasses (Fig. 2 and Fig. 3C). In particular, the OH-stretching band often sits on a background whose intensity significantly decreases with frequency. We subtracted to all our spectra a second-order polynomial fitted to portion of the spectra where no Raman scattering was observed. The adoption of a baseline of constant type (second order polynomial) in a fixed wavenumber range (150–800 cm\(^{-1}\) and 3000–3800 cm\(^{-1}\)) allows defining good calibration lines for determination of total dissolved water contents. However, this procedure can still produce some scattering when analyzing a glass series with variable water content and speciations because (1) the set of glasses may have variable fluorescence, which affects the importance of the baseline correction, (2) the deconvolution of the main bands in several contributions is strongly influenced by correct definition of the modes located near the tails of the total water band. In particular, the choice of a large wavenumber range for the definition of the background is crucial in getting an accurate characterization of the low and broad band related to water in water-poor samples (<1 wt\%) having a low signal/background ratio.
3.3.2. Band deconvolution and assignments

Increasing amounts of dissolved water in aluminosilicate glasses result in increasing height and area of a broad band at $\sim 3550$ cm$^{-1}$ in the Raman spectra (Fig. 2). This band is present in both infrared (e.g., Stolper, 1982) and Raman spectra (e.g., Mysen et al., 1997) and results from the overlap of the O-H stretching vibrations from hydroxyl groups (OH) and water molecules (H$_2$O$_m$). Further low-intensity bands at 910 cm$^{-1}$ (possibly due to stretching of (Si,Al)-OH) and 1620–1630 cm$^{-1}$ (bending of molecular H$_2$O$_m$) occur in the low-frequency region of spectra (Zotov and Keppler, 1998) (Fig. 2 and Fig. 3A).

For quantitative Raman analyses of water in rhyolitic samples, previous authors have normalized the high-frequency band ($\sim 3550$ cm$^{-1}$) related to total water (OH + H$_2$O$_m$) dissolved in the glass to a band produced by vibration of the T-O network (T: tetrahedrally coordinated cations as Si, Al) in the low frequency region ($\sim 470–490$ cm$^{-1}$) (Thomas, 2000, Thomas, 2002 and Chabiron et al., 2004). This internal normalization procedure may result in high systematic errors because of (1) the presence of spurious scattering from the embedding medium or dispersed microlites (Fig. 3C) and (2) possible dependence of TOT band geometry on glass composition and structure (Fig. 3) (Di Muro et al., 2006). Chabiron et al. (2004) have already discussed the correction that must be introduced in internal calibrations in order to use them when scattering from other media occur. In the following, we show that normalization to an external standard glass of known water content (external normalization) allows overcoming these problems. In particular, an external normalization permits to eliminate the spectrometer-dependent $K(\nu)$ term, it does not require any correction for frequency- and temperature-dependence of the scattering intensity and it is potentially less composition-dependent than internal procedure.

For determination of water speciation (OH/H$_2$O$_m$), previous authors have deconvoluted the 3550 cm$^{-1}$ band in four contributions (e.g., Mysen and Virgo, 1986b, Mysen et al., 1997 and Chabiron et al., 2004). They have assigned two bands ($\sim 3300$ and $\sim 3550$ cm$^{-1}$) to molecular water (Mysen and Virgo, 1986b and Mysen et al., 1997), and two other bands ($\sim 3600$ and $\sim 3650$ cm$^{-1}$) to hydroxyl groups M – OH$_n$ (M, metal), possibly with decreasing hydrogen bonding from low to high frequencies (McMillan and Remmele, 1986).

This deconvolution procedure implicitly assumes that no overlap exists between bands related to (symmetric and asymmetric) stretching of variably bonded H$_2$O$_m$ and OH. In order to test these assumptions, we initially deconvoluted the H$_2$OT band with a number of bands larger than four. The parameters of all bands were unconstrained for all samples and the iterative least-square fitting procedure was stopped when a low and almost constant residual ($\Delta\chi^2 < 10\%$) was obtained (Fig. 5).

Residual analysis indicate that four Gaussian–Lorentzian bands accurately describe the H$_2$O$_T$ band in water-rich glasses, but a weak fifth band (3710–3750 cm$^{-1}$) is required to fit spectra of glasses containing <1 wt% H$_2$O$_T$ (Table 4; Fig. 5). In this research, we discuss whether the observed variation in relative height, area and position of the spectral components occur because of change of water speciation with total water content, glass dry composition, cooling rate and pressure (e.g., Silver et al., 1990 and Zhang et al., 1995) or if H-bonding may play a major role.
Table 4: Results of deconvolution of total water band ($\sim 3.550$ cm$^{-1}$) in five spectral components

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Composition</th>
<th>$\mathrm{H_2O_{tot}}$ (wt%)</th>
<th>Band I(cm$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Band II(cm$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Band III(cm$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Band IV(cm$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Band V(cm$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$\mathrm{H_2O_m}$ Raman (wt%)</th>
<th>$\mathrm{H_2O_m}$ FTIR (wt%)</th>
<th>OH Raman (wt%)</th>
<th>OH FTIR (wt%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dacite (PIN)</td>
<td>2.12–6.36</td>
<td>3254–3379</td>
<td>345–348</td>
<td>355–357</td>
<td>362–365</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>0.29 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.22 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.39 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.47 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhyolites</td>
<td>3.5–9.46</td>
<td>3253–3371</td>
<td>345–348</td>
<td>356–357</td>
<td>363–364</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhyolite(LG M151)</td>
<td>0.24 ± 0.01</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>343–355</td>
<td>363–365</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phonolite (472 AD)</td>
<td>2.55–6.70</td>
<td>3265–3327</td>
<td>342–346</td>
<td>353–355</td>
<td>360–361</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.36 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.52 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.64 ± 0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phonolite (79AD)</td>
<td>2.38–6.80</td>
<td>3237–3336</td>
<td>343–345</td>
<td>358–355</td>
<td>361–361</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>0.89 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.51 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.54 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.64 ± 0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P5A (79AD)a</td>
<td>0.69 ± 0.10</td>
<td>3357</td>
<td>342–349</td>
<td>359–361</td>
<td>3709</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.29 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.22 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.39 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.47 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2C1 (79AD)a</td>
<td>0.89 ± 0.04</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>342–351</td>
<td>362–365</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>0.36 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.36 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.52 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.54 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.64 ± 0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2C2 (79AD)a</td>
<td>1.04 ± 0.12</td>
<td>3395</td>
<td>346–353</td>
<td>360–373</td>
<td>0.51 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.51 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.54 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.64 ± 0.10</td>
<td>0.64 ± 0.10</td>
<td>0.64 ± 0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2D (79AD)a</td>
<td>3.62 ± 0.03</td>
<td>3364</td>
<td>344–354</td>
<td>361–373</td>
<td>1.91 ± 0.06</td>
<td>2.35 ± 0.07</td>
<td>1.64 ± 0.05</td>
<td>1.48 ± 0.01</td>
<td>1.48 ± 0.01</td>
<td>1.48 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Water speciation (OH/H$_2$O$_m$) is determined as the area ratio of (V + IV + III)/(I + II) and compared with FTIR data on the same glass inclusions (data from Cioni, 2000). The phonolite glass inclusion T2D has experienced limited water-loss consequent to glass heating during EPMA analysis and contains lower H$_2$O$_m$ than measured by FTIR. Note the presence of band V in water-poor glasses that we attribute to weakly H-bonded hydroxyl species.

nd, not determined.

a Melt inclusions from Cioni (2000).
b Very weak.
4. Results

4.1. Influence of glass composition and structure on water band normalization procedures

The adoption of normalization procedures using H$_2$O$_T$/TOT ratio for water analysis in glasses (Thomas, 2000 and Chabiron et al., 2004) may depend on sample preparation or texture, and introduce a potentially large compositional-dependent term (TOT) into the analytical procedure. Raman spectra of our volcanic glasses exhibit two main broad and asymmetric bands in the low frequency region, the first between 250 and 650 cm$^{-1}$ (TOT1) and the second between 850 and 1250 cm$^{-1}$ (TOT2) (Fig. 3). A third low-intensity band is evident in rhyolites at ~800 cm$^{-1}$.

TOT1 is the most intense band in all glasses we analysed and it has been chosen for internal normalization for water analysis in rhyolite glasses (Thomas, 2000 and Chabiron et al., 2004). The TOT1 feature envelops the vibrations of structural units formed by variable tetrahedra numbers building the aluminosilicate framework of the glass (Sharma et al., 1981 and McMillan, 1984) and possibly of metal-oxygen polyhedra (Brawer and White, 1977). Therefore, band position and shape are expected to evolve as a result of the modification in glass composition and structure. TOT1 position shifts to higher frequencies from rhyolites to dacites and phonolites (Fig. 3). A further positive shift is also observed as a function of H$_2$O content (Fig. 4A). These observations agree with previous data on simple aluminosilicate glasses (Mysen and Virgo, 1986a). TOT1 aspect ratio (height/half width ratio) increases with dissolved water content in all sets except Pompei phonolite (Fig. 4A) and, mostly, with Al$_2$O$_3$/alkali ratio (Fig. 4B). In anhydrous glasses, moderate broadening of the TOT1 feature is observed from metaluminous rhyolites to dacites to phonolites and it is largely due to increasing intensity of a mode at 560–580 cm$^{-1}$. In our glass sets, this band accounts for <5% of the TOT1 band in rhyolites and increases to 14% in anhydrous dacite glass and 8–19% in phonolites (Pollena: 14–19%; Pompei: 8–13%). Its area or height are not correlated with total dissolved water. Irrespective of the detailed interpretation of all spectral component of the TOT1 band, a general agreement exists on the correlation of the intensity of TOT1 high-frequency side with the degree of depolymerization of the glass network (see Mysen, 1988 and Zotov and Keppler, 1998 for detailed discussion). More specifically, the 580 cm$^{-1}$ band can be attributed to a mixed stretch–bend vibration in Si$_2$O$_5^{2-}$ structural units (Furukawa et al., 1981). Therefore, it is not surprising that the most significant TOT1 band broadening is observed in the Si-poor, alkali-and alkali-earth rich phonolite glasses from Pollena.
Fig. 4. Variation of TOT1 band position (A) and aspect ratio (B) as a function of dissolved water content and alumina vs. alkali ratio (A/CNK: molar Al$_2$O$_3$/CaO + NaO + K$_2$O). Numbers indicate dissolved water contents. The data of microlite-bearing hydrous dacite glasses are not reported because microlite scattering hinders correct determination of TOT1 parameters. Large scattering of values for some water-rich peraluminous glasses may reflect some sample heterogeneity. These glasses are the only samples containing a significant volume fraction of gas bubbles. Symbols as in Fig. 1. Lines are only “guides for the eyes” even if obtained by data fitting.

TOT2 is classically assigned to the T-O stretching of Q$^n$ species (Q, TO$_4$ units; n, number of bridging oxygens) and its spectral composition is strongly influenced by substitution of Al$^{3+}$ and Fe$^{3+}$ for Si$^{4+}$ as network-forming cation (Mysen, 1988, Neuville and Mysen, 1996 and Sharma et al., 1997). In natural volcanic glasses, relative intensity of TOT1/TOT2 decreases with glass depolymerization (Di Muro et al., 2006). In particular, the height of the mode at $\sim$1030 cm$^{-1}$ strongly decreases and the height of a band at 910 cm$^{-1}$ increases from metaluminous to peraluminous rhyolites. Finally, entry of increasing percentages of Fe$^{3+}$ in the tetrahedral network results in increasing height of a mode at $\sim$966 cm$^{-1}$ (Di Muro et al., 2006). This mode is absent in peraluminous rhyolites, may have a very low height in metaluminous rhyolites (Fig. 3A) but it is intense in phonolites, especially in the iron-rich Pollena glass (Fig. 3B).
4.2. Determination of water speciation

4.2.1. Comparison between Raman and FTIR speciation data

The shape and the spectral distribution of the broad OH-stretching band at \( \sim 3550 \text{ cm}^{-1} \) vary significantly with \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_\text{T} \) content (Fig. 5 and Fig. 6), glass composition (Fig. 7) and glass structure (Fig. 8). In glasses with \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_\text{T} > 0.7 \text{ wt\%} \), an asymmetric band is observed in the domain 3000–3800 cm\(^{-1}\) (Fig. 5 and Fig. 6). In water-rich glasses (\( \text{H}_2\text{O}_\text{T} > 4.6 \text{ wt\%} \)), this band shifts to higher frequency with increasing glass Si-content, from 3500–3520 cm\(^{-1}\) in phonolites to 3550–3570 cm\(^{-1}\) in dacite and rhyolite (Fig. 6). Among the analysed glass series, hydrous rhyolite glasses display the narrowest OH-stretching bands (e.g., Fig. 6A). Full width at half height (FWHH) of total water band is very similar in rhyolites and dacite (Fig. 6B) and increases of ca. 20\% in phonolites, which show an evident shoulder near 3300 cm\(^{-1}\) (Figs. 6C and D). In water-poor glasses (\( \text{H}_2\text{O}_\text{T} < 0.9 \text{ wt\%} \)), the OH-stretching band is more symmetric than in water-rich samples (Fig. 5B), it is often polymodal and shows a tail at frequencies >3700 cm\(^{-1}\) that is not observed in water-rich glasses (Fig. 5A).

In order to compare Raman and FTIR water speciation data, we deconvoluted the total water band in five components (I, 3240–3395 cm\(^{-1}\); II, 3420–3490 cm\(^{-1}\); III, 3520–3580 cm\(^{-1}\); IV, 3610–3660 cm\(^{-1}\); V, 3710–3750 cm\(^{-1}\)) (Fig. 6; Table 4). The average positions of bands I–IV closely match those determined for rhyolite glasses by Chabiron et al. (2004). We calculated the ratio between the sums of the areas of I + II (attributed to \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_\text{m} \)) and III + IV + V (attributed to OH) bands. For phonolite compositions, results are compared with speciation data determined by FTIR on the same glass inclusions from Pompei eruption (Table 4) and, for the other compositions, with FTIR trends from the literature (Fig. 7). These comparisons reveal that Raman data only rawly reproduce the typical evolution of water speciation, with a general increase of molecular water (\( \text{H}_2\text{O}_\text{m} \)) with total dissolved water and OH species dominating on molecular water at \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_\text{T} < 2.5 \text{ wt\%} \).
Fig. 5. Shape evolution of unpolarized OH-stretching band in phonolite glasses after 2nd order baseline subtraction. Band topology evolves from symmetric and polymodal in glasses with $\text{H}_2\text{O}_\text{T} < 1.0$ wt% to asymmetric and unimodal in water-rich glasses. (A) Increase of molecular water content with total dissolved water content results in increasing intensity of the low frequency band side (<3500 cm$^{-1}$). (B) Decreasing OH hydrogen bonding in water-poor glasses results in the appearance of a component that we interpret as related to weakly H-bonded OH groups in the high-frequency band side (>3700 cm$^{-1}$).
Fig. 6. Deconvolution of unpolarized OH-stretching band in water-rich glasses (after II order baseline subtraction) in four contributions related to molecular water (bands I + II) and hydroxyl groups (bands III + IV) in water-rich metaluminous rhyolite (A), dacite (B) and phonolite (C and D) glasses. Overlap between contributions related to tightly H-bonded OH and loosely H-bonded $H_2O_m$ can occur at the level of band II. Note the progressive increase of intensity of band IV (moderately H-bonded OH) relative to band III (arrow, strongly H-bonded OH) from Ca-poor rhyolite (A) and Pompei phonolite (C) to Ca-rich Pollena phonolite (D). Numbers in brackets indicate total dissolved water content as determined by Karl–Fischer titration (KFT).
Fig. 7. Raman water speciation in the four series of analysed hydrous glasses calculated on the basis of (bands I + II)/(bands III + IV + V) area ratios. Speciation curves are from Silver et al. (1990) for metaluminous rhyolite, Cioni (2000) for phonolites and Ohlhorst et al. (2001) for dacite. Water speciation trends are shown for rhyolites synthesized using both rapid quench (~400 °C/s) and slow quench (3 °C/s) apparatus (Silver et al., 1990). Filled symbols: hydroxyl (bands III + IV); open symbols: molecular water (bands I + II). In (C) M.I are melt inclusions in phenocrysts from 79 AD Pompeii eruption (Table 2).
Fig. 8. Evolution of the integrated area ratios of the five bands identified in the deconvolution of the total water band in Raman spectra. MA: metaluminous; PA: peralkaline; PAL: peraluminous. Increasing amounts of NBOs are expected to stabilize tightly H-bonded OH and H$_2$O$_m$ species (bands III and I, respectively). The decrease of band III and the concomitant increase of band II in dacite and rhyolite glasses with NBO > 0.3 suggest that band II actually may represent the overlap of the contributions of both weakly H-bonded H$_2$O$_m$ and very strongly H-bonded OH.
In the case of rhyolite glasses, Raman data satisfactorily compare with FTIR trends for metaluminous rhyolites (Fig. 7A). Peraluminous rhyolites deviate from this trend and apparently contain a higher proportion of water as hydroxyls. Among Si-rich glasses, the main discrepancy between our Raman data and FTIR trends is found for the dacite glass series (Fig. 7B). This series, produced with slow isobaric quenching rate (Table 1), maintains a high hydroxyl content even at water contents >3 wt% (Fig. 7B). Slow cooling rates are expected to favour $H_2O_m$ over OH (Stolper, 1982; Fig. 7A) and therefore cannot be at the origin of the observed trend in the dacite series. We stress the mafic character of our dacite (adakite) and its low total alkali ($Na_2O + K_2O$) content and very low $K_2O/Na_2O$ ratio. (Table 1). Alkalis (especially $K_2O$) may bond water molecules (see e.g., Pichavant et al., 1992). Moreover, Ohlhorst et al. (2001) have obtained similar water speciation data for andesite glasses having $K_2O/Na_2O$ ratio comparable to those of our dacite series (0.3).

Systematic discrepancies appear in water-rich (>4.6 wt%) alkaline glasses. The adopted deconvolution procedure results in apparent high hydroxyl contents with respect to the FTIR trend determined by Cioni (2000) on phonolite inclusions from the 79 AD Vesuvius eruption. (Figs. 7C and D). Fast cooling-rate of our drop-quenched glass chips could, at least in part, explain the apparent high hydroxyl content respect to melt inclusions slowly cooled in the eruptive column. Appreciable differences in composition between our glass sets and natural glasses (Table 1 and Table 2) could also be at the origin of the observed differences. Some compositional heterogeneity in the natural samples results in significant data scattering reported as error bars on the phonolite speciation trend in Fig. 7. Our comparison with FTIR analyses performed on the same glass inclusions (79 AD phonolite) suggests that Raman deconvolution procedure may discriminate between hydroxyl and molecular species in water-poor glasses (Table 4). A more extended comparison between high-quality FTIR and Raman data on alkaline glasses is in progress with the aim of better discriminating between compositional and cooling effects and precision of the deconvolution procedure on Raman speciation data.

4.2.2. Water speciation vs. O-H hydrogen bonding strength

In alkali-bearing aluminosilicate glasses both hydroxyl and molecular water experience a broad range of hydrogen bonding strength between them and with the glass network (Wu, 1980, Uchino et al., 1991 and Xue and Kanzaki, 2004). The ratio between weakly and strongly H-bonded O-H increases with the field strength (or electronegativity) of the network modifiers in the order K-Na-Ca-Mg-Al (Wu, 1980) and it decreases with increasing amounts of NBOs in the glass structure. “Free” M-OH groups (i.e., without any bonding to the network) are expected to become important in mafic melts (Xue and Kanzaki, 2004). Increasing H-bonding weakens the O-H bond and in that way decreases the frequency of the O-H stretching vibration.

The change of relative areas or intensities of the five identified spectral components as a function of total water content, glass composition and glass structure must, therefore, be interpreted in terms of both coexistence of two different hydrous species ($H_2O_m$ vs. OH) with variable relative ratios and evolution of their bonding environment. The discrepancies we observe bewteen Raman and FTIR data raise
the question of whether the procedure adopted to deconvolute the total water band in
Raman spectra allows discrimination between water species (i.e., OH vs. H$_{2}$O$_{m}$) or
whether it fails because this band envelops a continuous spreading of H-bonding
strength and distance.

Mysen and Virgo, 1986b and Mysen et al., 1997 suggest a correlation between the
intensity of the two contributions in the low frequency side (components I and II; Fig.
6) and both the (alkali + alkali-earth)/silica ratio and the molecular water content of
the glass. Specifically, Mysen and Virgo (1986b) report that components I and II are
positively correlated with Ca/Si and Na/Si ratios, respectively. Our sets of natural
glasses have a much more complex bulk composition than simple CaO–Na$_{2}$O–SiO$_{2}$
glasses studied by Mysen and Virgo (1986b). Therefore, it is not straightforward to
relate variations of band heights or areas to a specific chemical or structural
parameter. As a first order approach, we compare the evolution of band area ratios
with the calculated NBO contents of glasses (Fig. 8).

If the deconvolution procedure discriminates between H$_{2}$O$_{m}$ and OH species, bands
V, IV and III should result from stretching of OH groups with increasing H-bonding.
Bands I and II should instead arise from stretching (symmetric and asymmetric) of
two groups of molecular water with different strength of H-bonding (Whittington et al.,
2001 and Xue and Kanzaki, 2004). In this hypothesis, the ratios between bands
V/(IV + III) and II/I should decrease with the increase of strong hydrogen-bond
acceptors such as NBOs.

Some observations are compatible with this interpretation. Band V (weakly bond OH)
is found only in glasses with very low NBOs (Fig. 8A). In our glass sets, band V is
present only in water-poor rhyolite glasses and band IV (moderately bonded OH)
progressively decreases with NBOs in all glass sets. Band IV is significantly more
important in phonolites and dacites than in rhyolites (alkali-poor glasses). It is worth
noting that band IV is more important in Ca, Mg-rich phonolite from Pollena (band IV:
11–14%) than in the alkali-earth poorer phonolite from Pompei (band IV: 6–9%). That
is what is expected if high-field strength cations favour the formation of weakly to
moderately bonded O-H (Wu, 1980). The area fraction of band III (strongly H-bonded
OH, in our hypothesis) increases in rhyolites with NBO < 0.3 and in phonolites, but
decreases in rhyolites with NBO > 0.3 and dacite. It is worth noting that all rhyolites
(metaluminous, peraluminous and peralkaline) plot on a same trend. The decrease of
band III with NBOs and a parallel increase of band II observed in rhyolites and dacite
is what one could expect if overlap between bands produced by H$_{2}$O$_{m}$ and OH
oscillators occurs. This trend is not correlated with ion field strength as dacite have
constant alkali content and peralkaline rhyolites contain more Na$_{2}$O than
metaluminous glasses.

If Bands I and II represent stretching of only tightly and loosely bond H$_{2}$O$_{m}$,
respectively, one should expect that band I (strongly H-bonded H$_{2}$O$_{m}$) increases with
NBOs. That actually occurs in rhyolites in the NBO range <0.3 and in the dacite and
phonolite sets. Conversely, band II increases in rhyolites and decreases in phonolites
in the explored NBO ranges. That may suggest that overlap between strongly H-
bonded OH and weakly bonded H$_{2}$O$_{m}$ occurs in the wavenumber range attributed to
band II. However, this overlap should result in an underestimation of the hydroxyl
content by Raman spectroscopy, and not an overestimation as found in rhyolite and
phonolite glasses (Figs. 7A, C and D). We stress that the procedure of relating the area ratios instead of the intensity ratios to water speciation better accounts for this possible overlap. In conclusion, we infer that our water-rich glass sets actually contain higher OH contents than natural glasses, possibly because of their faster cooling.

4.3. Quantification of total dissolved water content

In Si-rich glasses, the ratios between height (Thomas, 2000) or area (Chabiron et al., 2004) of the \( \sim 3550 \text{ cm}^{-1} \) (total H\(_2\)O\(_T\)) and \( \sim 490 \text{ cm}^{-1} \) (aluminosilicate network-TOT\(_T\)) bands have been reported to linearly correlate with total water content dissolved in the glasses (internal calibration procedure). We individuate at least two different linear trends, one for peraluminous rhyolites and the second for phonolites, and metaluminous and peralkaline rhyolites (Figs. 9A and B). As expected, the best fits are found for series of hydrous glasses having the same major element compositions (i.e., phonolites). Significantly larger scattering is observed in the composite rhyolite glass series. It is worth noting that on height ratios-H\(_2\)O\(_T\) diagrams both phonolite glass series define the same calibration (Figs. 9A and B). Peraluminous rhyolites, that have the highest aspect ratio (Fig. 4B) have also very high height ratios (Figs. 9A and B). Their normalized intensities fall on a calibration line similar to that defined by Thomas (2000) for albite, pegmatite and leucogranite samples (Fig. 9A). In area ratios- H\(_2\)O\(_T\) diagrams, only water-poor metaluminous glasses fits on the calibration curve defined by Chabiron et al. (2004). Our results clearly show that the differences in analytical setting and spectrometer performances (Table 3) have a considerable influence on Raman calibrations.

Calibrations based on area ratios are more composition dependent than those using height ratios (Fig. 9B). This is likely due to (1) influence of glass composition of water speciation and H-bonding strength and therefore band area and (2) larger errors in the determination of band areas relative to determination of band intensities. Moreover, calibrations using area ratios cannot be used for glasses in which the TOT\(_T\) band area is not accurately measurable because of the existence of interfering peaks from the embedding medium, as it is the case for the dacite series (Fig. 3C).
Fig. 9. Calibration lines for total water content in hydrous rhyolites, dacites and phonolites glasses. Internal calibration is based on ratios of height (A) or area (B) of the total H$_2$O$_T$ (~3550 cm$^{-1}$) and TOT1 bands (~490 cm$^{-1}$). TOT1 band area of hydrous dacites cannot be accurately determined due to interference with other bands due to presence of microlites. External normalization of total H$_2$O band (~3550 cm$^{-1}$) height (C) or area (D) to total H$_2$O band of a glass standard can be preferred when TOT1 band cannot accurately be measured or for the analysis of chemically heterogeneous samples. This procedure significantly reduces the composition-dependence of calibration lines.

In cases where the TOT1 band cannot be accurately characterized, normalization to the water band of an external standard can be adopted (external calibration procedure; Figs. 9C and D). We choose the peralkaline rhyolite glass SMN 49 (2.5 wt% H$_2$O$_T$) as external standard. This approach results in much lower composition-dependence of the calibrations (Fig. 10A). In fact, the normalization to a single composition-independent band of an external standard allows defining a reasonable linear correlation even in a highly heterogeneous series such as that of the rhyolites ($r^2 = 0.96$) (Figs. 9C and D). Slight increase in data scattering likely depends on error associated to OH-stretching band measurements and analysis repeatability in several analytical sessions. In fact, it is important to stress that the external procedure is more sensitive to small errors in focusing on the glass surface than the internal one. In this last procedure both TOT1 and H$_2$O$_T$ bands are affected in a similar way by intensity variations due to variable sampling depth.
Fig. 10. Comparison between Raman and FTIR, SIMS and EMPA analyses of phonolite-trachyte and dacite glass inclusions from Mt. Vesuvius (Pompei 79 AD), Laacher See and Pico de Orizaba volcanoes. Dotted lines define a deviation of ±0.5 wt% from the 1:1 line. Systematically lower Raman water contents in some glass inclusions are attributed to water-loss subsequent to glass heating and damage during previous microanalysis (SIMS, EMPA) performed before Raman analysis.

We tested the external calibration method on a series of phonolitic–trachytic glass inclusions from Vesuvius (Italy) and Laacher See volcanoes (Germany) and dacite glass inclusions from Pico de Orizaba volcano (Mexico) (Fig. 1; Table 2). All glass inclusions were exposed and polished for Raman analysis. The deviation among Raman data and those collected using other techniques increases as a function total dissolved water content (Fig. 10) and is largest in glasses previously analysed by SIMS. These differences are possibly due to (1) water-loss from glass inclusions during SIMS or EMPA analyses before Raman analyses and (2) scattering from the embedding dry crystal that reduces the intensity of the water band. This last effect cannot be accounted for when using external calibrations. Moreover, large error bars in some inclusions are attributed to heterogeneities at the micrometer scale that the small laser beam can reveal when moving from the core to the rim of the glass inclusion. The large difference observed with the trachytic inclusion cannot be attributed to compositional dependence of the calibrations because trachyte and phonolites fall on a very similar calibration line (Di Muro et al., 2006).

5. Conclusion

Numerous microanalytical techniques have been recently developed for the quantification of water content (SIMS, FTIR, Raman and EMPA) and speciation (FTIR, Raman) in natural glasses. However, due to their high composition dependence, all these techniques must be calibrated. These calibrations critically hinge on standardization procedures which are established using series of glasses of which compositions are as close as possible to the glasses under study. Our data on
natural phonolite, dacite and rhyolite glasses together with data on albite, leucogranite and pegmatite glasses of Thomas (2000), rhyolite glasses of Chabiron et al. (2004), obsidians (Arias et al., 2006) and basaltic melt inclusions (Thomas, 2002) strongly support the reliability of the Raman technique for the quantification of H₂O content in synthetic and natural glasses. H₂O contents in glass chips or in glass inclusions in crystals are linearly correlated with the height or the area of the OH-stretching band at \( \sim 3550 \text{ cm}^{-1} \). Normalization of glass water band intensities to that of an external standard still allows the analysis microcrystalline samples and permit to define calibrations that are faintly composition-dependent. Confocal Raman spectrometry has a very good accuracy (in the best conditions up to 0.1% Thomas, 2000), a good repeatability (<6.5% over periods of months on a broad water range), a moderate sensitivity (\( \sim 1000 \text{ ppm} \)) and an excellent spatial resolution (1–2 \( \mu \text{m} \)) compared to other methods (Devine et al., 1995). Improvement of the analytical procedure to increase the signal/background ratio (background reduction and/or Raman scattering enhancement) should lead to significant improvements of the determination of the 3550 cm\(^{-1}\) band area and thus of H₂O\(_\text{T}\) determination.

Comparison of heterogeneous (rhyolites) to homogeneous (phonolites, dacites) silicic glass series clearly evidences the dependence of internal Raman calibration methods on glass peraluminosity. Composition-dependence arises from the control exerted by glass composition and structure on spectral distribution of TOT normalizing bands and total water band. Changes of bulk composition modify the glass structure and are detected by evolution of the low frequency side of the spectrum (Di Muro et al., 2006). Our research shows that, in a given glass group (e.g., phonolites), internal Raman calibrations that uses the TOT1 band are quite insensitive to moderate differences in Ca, Mg or Fe contents. These cations, instead, seem to play a major role in (1) affecting hydroxyl bonding in water-rich samples and (2) modify TOT2 band spectral composition by entry of Fe\(^{3+}\) in the glass network.

Glass composition and structure influence water speciation and bonding environment and therefore the spectral composition of the total water band. In fact, this band is the convolution of distinct scattering contributions from both hydroxyl and molecular water with highly variable hydrogen bonding. Therefore, physical (cooling rate, temperature, pressure) or chemical factors able to modify water speciation and glass structure potentially affect Raman calibrations. The occurrence in glasses of both molecular and hydroxyls with variable H-bond strength must be taken into account to interpret the spectral distribution of total water band in terms of water speciation. Our preliminary results suggest that the adopted deconvoluted procedure might be successful in glasses with low NBOs contents whose amount influences the ratio between weakly and strongly H-bonded water species. In glasses with high NBOs, contributions related to stretching of weakly bond H₂O\(_\text{m}\) and strongly H-bonded OH may overlap in the frequency range of band II (\( \sim 3450 \text{ cm}^{-1} \)).

Application of Raman analytical procedures to natural samples indicates that the main concerns for application of microRaman spectrometry to the study of small glass volumes (glass inclusions, glass filaments) are the confocal performance of the available equipment, and the possible heating and oxidation of the sample. Further studies are needed to assess the applicability of confocal microRaman spectroscopy to iron rich, Si-poor (e.g., basalts, tephrites).
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