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We demonstrate transport and evaporative cooling of several atomic clouds in a chain of magnetic Ioffe-Pritchard traps moving at a low speed (< 1 m/s). The trapping scheme relies on the use of a magnetic guide for transverse confinement and of magnets fixed on a conveyor belt for longitudinal trapping. This experiment introduces a new approach for parallelizing the production of Bose-Einstein condensates as well as for the realization of a continuous atom laser.

PACS numbers: 32.80.Pj, 03.75.Pp

The combination of laser cooling and evaporative cooling has led in the last decade to a revolution in atomic physics, with the achievement of Bose-Einstein Condensation (BEC) in alkali vapors [1]. This breakthrough was followed by many spectacular experiments, among which the realization of coherent atom sources [2] that are the equivalent of a laser for matter waves. However the available mean flux of quantum-degenerate atoms has remained limited to values between $10^4$ and $10^6$ per second. Larger fluxes would be highly beneficial for applications such as lithography or interferometry.

A natural way to increase the production rate of Bose-Einstein condensates is to increase the duty cycle of laser cooling in the magneto-optical trap (MOT), which is extremely efficient in terms of cooling rate. For that purpose, one needs to operate the various steps of evaporative cooling at the same time, at a location differing from the one where laser cooling takes place. A first possibility, proposed in [3] and preliminarily demonstrated in [4], lies in the production, by means of laser cooling techniques, of a magnetically guided atomic beam on which evaporative cooling can then be applied spatially. In this case the duty cycle of laser cooling is typically 50%. Another option consists in transferring a cloud of cold atoms in a three-dimensional magnetic trap and then move it away from the MOT, in order to load the latter again. The trapped cloud can then be evaporated ‘on the fly’ in this moving trap, provided no heating and no spin-flip losses occur.

Magnetic transport has already been demonstrated in macroscopic traps moving mechanically [5] or using a set of coils with time-varying currents [6]. Those traps use a three-dimensional quadrupole configuration with a vanishing field at the center, which prevents evaporation to degeneracy. On atom chips, the transport of ultracold [7] and even of Bose-condensed clouds [8] has been demonstrated, but still with limited fluxes.

In this Letter, we demonstrate transport and evaporative cooling of several atom clouds in a chain of moving Ioffe-Pritchard traps. The transverse confinement is ensured by a magnetic guide creating a two-dimensional quadrupole field, and a spatially varying longitudinal field created by permanent magnets fixed on a conveyor belt provides a moving longitudinal trapping. We show that this setup can be used to transport an atom cloud at a speed as low as 25 cm/s, without any detectable heating, and that we can simultaneously transport and cool several clouds, each containing about $10^9$ atoms.

The setup used to produce a magnetically guided atomic beam of $^{87}$Rb in the collisional regime has been described elsewhere [9]. Packets containing $2 \times 10^9$ atoms in the $|F = -m_F = 1\rangle$ state are injected every 200 ms into a 4.5 m long magnetic guide generating a transverse gradient $b = 800 \text{ G/cm}$. Due to their longitudinal velocity dispersion, they spread and overlap, resulting in a continuous beam after typically 50 cm of propagation.
A train of magnetic traps is obtained by superimposing a corrugated bias field $B_z$ along the guide axis, which creates longitudinal potential barriers. This is achieved with permanent magnets located on a line parallel to the guide axis. In practice, they are separated by a distance $\Lambda/2 = 5$ cm (see Fig. 2a). The resulting potential consists in a chain of three-dimensional quadrupole traps (Fig. 3). By adding with a solenoid a uniform longitudinal field $B_{\text{sol}}$ higher than the corrugation amplitude $B_{\text{conv}}$, two successive quadrupole traps merge into a single Ioffe-Pritchard (IP) trap (Fig. 3). For a given configuration, the IP trap depth is twice the one of a quadrupole trap.

In order to let the resulting traps move along $z$ at a controllable velocity $v_{\text{conv}}$, the magnets are fixed on a conveyor belt. The practical arrangement is shown schematically on Fig. 2. The conveyor belt supporting 50 magnets is parallel to the guide axis, at an adjustable distance $d$. This allows us to vary the height of the magnetic field barriers experienced by the atoms, since the corrugation amplitude $B_{\text{conv}}$ scales approximately as $\exp(-2\pi d/\Lambda)$ [1]. We use $20 \times 10 \times 10$ mm$^3$ rare-earth (Nd-Fe-B) permanent magnets [11] with a magnetization of about 800 kA/m, yielding a field amplitude $B_{\text{conv}} \approx 25$ G for a distance $d \approx 45$ mm. The resulting IP traps have a depth of $2B_{\text{conv}} = 50$ G, a typical offset field $B_{\text{sol}} - B_{\text{conv}} \approx 1$ G, a transverse gradient $b \approx 800$ G/cm, and a longitudinal curvature on the order of 10 G/cm$^2$. The corresponding axial and transverse frequencies are 3 and 720 Hz, respectively. The barrier height increases fast at the entrance of the conveyor (for $z < 30$ cm), which permits an efficient capture of atom clouds launched into the guide.

The conveyor is set in motion by an electric motor and its speed $v_{\text{conv}}$ can be adjusted between 10 and 130 cm/s. The measured speed fluctuations are below 2%. The depths of successive wells vary by as much as 5% due to the dispersion in the magnetization of the magnets. However, by contrast to magnetic transport systems using time-varying currents in a set of coils, the geometry of a given trap, in the moving frame, is constant by construction, even far from the trap minimum. This prevents any strong heating due to the deformations of the trap during the motion. The MOT region is protected by a magnetic shield from the influence of the conveyor and solenoid fields (see Fig. 2).

We first investigate the transport of a single atom cloud launched into the potential resulting from the guide and the conveyor. With a resonant probe located at $z_2 = 1.7$ m from the guide entrance as a function of time $t$ elapsed after the launch of a single atom packet, at the velocity $v_1 = 80$ cm/s (with a rms velocity dispersion of 20 cm/s).

(a): The IP traps have a depth of 50 G and a minimum field of 1 G, and one varies $v_{\text{conv}}$. A sharp peak of trapped atoms arrives at a time $t_p$. When the velocity mismatch between the conveyor and the injected atoms is too large, extra peaks corresponding to untrapped atoms appear (*). (b): Arrival time $t_p$ of the trapped atoms (squares) as a function of $v_{\text{conv}}$; $t_p$ is essentially equal to $z_2/v_{\text{conv}}$ (dashed line). (c): The conveyor speed is fixed at 50 cm/s and one varies the IP trap depth. For too shallow traps, one gets a peak of untrapped atoms (*); if the depth is too high, some atoms are reflected, which decreases the peak amplitude.
FIG. 4: Simulated atomic distribution in phase-space \((z, v_z)\) for various times \(\tau\) after the launch of the packet. The initial velocity is \(v_i = 60\) cm/s, with a 20 cm/s dispersion, and the initial size of the packet is 5 cm. Left: free propagation (no conveyor), showing the spatial spreading of the packet and the building of correlations between position and velocity. Right: for various times \(\tau\) and fast (iii) atoms are easily identified. At \(\tau = 3\) s, the atom packets released from the conveyor have already started to spread out and overlap.

We then study the influence of the depth of the conveyor potential on the capture. Figure 5 depicts the signal obtained when launching at \(v_i = 80\) cm/s into a conveyor running at \(v_{\text{conv}} = 50\) cm/s, for various depth of the IP wells: 22, 42 and 64 G. If the barrier heights are too low, one clearly distinguishes a peak corresponding to fast, untrapped atoms. When raising the barrier heights, this peak disappears. If the barriers are too high, the overall signal starts to decrease as many atoms are reflected at the conveyor entrance.

For a better understanding of the trapping process, we have developed a numerical simulation of the atomic trajectories in the conveyor belt potential. It gives results in very good agreement with the observed arrival time signals and confirm that, depending on the barrier height and on the velocity mismatch between the conveyor and the injected packets, atoms can: (i) be considerably slowed down during the entrance (low \(v_i\), large height), some of them being even reflected; (ii) be trapped in the conveyor wells; and (iii) be too energetic to be trapped and simply pass over the conveyor barriers (large \(v_i\), low height). Figure 5 presents plots of the atomic distribution in the phase-space \((z, v_z)\), at a time \(\tau\) after the launch of the packet, obtained by numerical simulation. These plots allow for an easy identification of the various classes of atoms. The slowing of the atoms of class (i) by the time-dependent potential is reminiscent of the Stark deceleration technique used for beams of polar molecules [12], and can be understood qualitatively as the result of a reflection of the atoms on a moving potential wall [13]. It is clear from such plots that the spatial spreading of the trapped clouds is frozen during the transport. Here, the initial size and velocity spread of the packet are such that several conveyor wells are loaded.

For an optimal loading of a single trap, three conditions need to be fulfilled. First, our simulations confirm that, as one expects intuitively, the energy of the trapped atoms in the conveyor frame is minimum when the velocities are matched \(v_i \simeq v_{\text{conv}}\). Second, the length of the
packet at the entrance of the conveyor has to be smaller than the distance between adjacent traps. Finally, a careful synchronization of the launching with respect to the conveyor motion is required. This is essential to avoid a splitting of the cloud between two adjacent wells (see Fig. 5a and b). When those conditions are fulfilled, typically \( N \sim 10^9 \) atoms are trapped, which corresponds, according to our simulations, to 75% of the incoming packet.

We now turn to the injection of multiple packets into the conveyor belt. In order to demonstrate the trapping efficiency of the conveyor, we use the probe at the conveyor entrance already exceeds the distance \( \Lambda \) between adjacent IP traps, due to the non-adiabaticity of the entrance into the guide, and to the transverse compression of the confining potential over the first 40 cm of the guide (see Fig. 2).

This chain of IP traps constitutes a very simple way of transporting and cooling in parallel many atomic clouds. The next step is to combine this transport with evaporative cooling, thus paving the way for the parallel production of Bose-Einstein condensates as well as for the achievement of a cw atom laser. For our parameters, the collision rate within one trapped packet is already on the order of 10 s\(^{-1}\), which has allowed for a first demonstration of evaporation. Using radio-frequency fields, we have been able to remove selectively the untrapped atoms and, moreover, to decrease the beam’s temperature by a factor of two, reaching \( 280 \pm 10 \) \( \mu \)K, with a flux reduction by a factor of four. Those preliminary results are encouraging in view of the realization of a new experimental set-up, designed on purpose for the use of such a scheme.

In view of the achievement of a cw atom laser through direct evaporation of an atomic beam \( ^4 \), the use of a train of IP traps combined with evaporation would allow for the realization of an ultra-slow, but still supersonic, atomic beam (the latter condition being essential in order not to decrease drastically the atomic flux \( ^{14} \)). For that purpose, one would capture packets of atoms at low speed into the conveyor, and then compress them adiabatically by increasing the strength of the transverse confinement. The resulting hot and dense clouds can then be evaporatively cooled. An interesting strategy consists in reaching a temperature \( T \) that satisfies \( k_B T < \frac{m v_{\text{conv}}^2}{2} \), so that the packets can be released into the guide to overlap and form a very slow continuous supersonic beam. Compared to the direct injection of packets into the guide, (i) the effect of the initial longitudinal dilution due to the spreading of packets is minimized, (ii) the efficiency of the 3D evaporation is higher than its 2D counterpart \( ^{15} \), and (iii) the time available for evaporation is increased considerably, as the beam’s velocity is set by \( v_{\text{conv}} \), which can be as low as 10 cm/s. The corresponding final temperature then needs to be smaller than 10 \( \mu \)K. One could apply, on the atomic beam obtained this way, the final evaporation stages in order to achieve quantum degeneracy.
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