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Abstract. The Gulf of Aden is a young, obliquely opening, oceanic basin where tectonic structures can easily be followed and correlated from the passive margins to the active mid-oceanic ridge. It is an ideal laboratory for studies of continental lithosphere break-up from rifting to spreading. The northeastern margin of the Gulf of Aden offers the opportunity to study on land the deformation associated with oblique rifting over a wide area encompassing two segments of the passive margin, on either side of the Socotra fracture zone, exhibiting distinct morphologic, stratigraphic, and structural features. The western segment is characterized by an elevated rift shoulder and large grabens filled with thick syn-rift series, whereas the eastern segment exhibits low elevation, and is devoid of major extensional structures and typical syn-rift deposits. Though the morpho-structural features of the margin segments are different, the stress field analysis provides coherent results all along the margin. Four directions of extension have been recognized and are considered to be representative of
two tensional stress fields with permutations of the horizontal principal stresses $\sigma_2$ and $\sigma_3$. The two dominant directions of extension, N150°E and N20°E, are perpendicular to the mean trend of the Gulf of Aden (N75°E) and parallel to its opening direction (N20°E-N30°E), respectively. Unlike another study in the western part of the Gulf, our data suggest that the N150°E extension stage is older than the N20°E extension stage. These conflicting chronologies, which are nowhere unambiguously established, suggest that the two extensions coexisted during the rifting. Onland data are compared with offshore data and are interpreted with reference to oblique rifting. The passive margin segmentation represents a local accommodation of the extensional deformation in a homogeneous regional stress field, which reveals the asymmetry of the rifting process. The first-order segmentation of the Sheba Ridge is inherited from the prior segmentation of the passive margin.

**Introduction**

Oblique rifting is the process through which the relative displacement on either side of a rift zone is not perpendicular but oblique to the trend of the rift zone. The faulting pattern resulting from oblique rifting has been investigated with empirical data from oceanic and continental rifts (Dauteuil and Brun, 1993, 1996; McAllister et al., 1995; Dauteuil et al., 2001; Acocella and Korme, 2002; Clifton and Schlische, 2003), and by means of analogue (Withjack and Jamison, 1986, Tron and Brun, 1991; Dauteuil and Brun, 1993, McClay and White, 1995; Bonini et al., 1997; Clifton et al., 2000; Mart and Dauteuil, 2000), analytic (Withjack and Jamison, 1986, Tuckwell et al., 1996; Abelson and Agnon, 1997), and numeric (Tuckwell et al., 1998) models. These studies show that oblique rifting is accommodated by both normal and strike-slip faults whose relative proportions and orientations depends on rifting obliquity, which is the angle between the normal to the rift trend and the direction of displacement. When oblique continental rifts evolve into oblique oceanic spreading ridges, they may take one of two configurations (Atwater and Macdonald, 1977; Abelson and Agnon,
1997): either a configuration involving a continuous (without transform fault) oblique spreading axis, or a configuration with a spreading axis segmented by transform faults. The Reykjanes Ridge, close to the Iceland hot spot, and the westernmost Sheba Ridge in the Gulf of Aden (Tamsett and Searle, 1988; Dauteuil et al., 2001), close to the Afar hot spot, belong to the first category of spreading ridges, whereas most of the slow spreading centres, including the Sheba Ridge east of 46°E, belong to the second category. Like the oceanic ridges, the continental rifts and the passive margins are segmented, but the relationship between the segmentations is poorly understood (Hayward and Ebinger, 1996). In the Gulf of Aden, the first-order segments of the ridge are arranged en échelon and connected by NE-striking transform faults (Figure 1; Laughton, 1966; Matthews et al., 1967). The present en échelon pattern is mirrored in the stepped shape of the continental margins of Arabia and Somalia, which implies that the transform faults are the result of initial offsets between spreading ridge segments which existed at the beginning of the oceanic accretion. The fracture zones, i.e., the inactive continuations of the transform faults, do not continue into the continental lithosphere, but abut against the continental platform, as predicted by Wilson (1965). Here, within the general problem of oblique rifting and segmentation, the following questions are examined: (1) what is the record of oblique rifting on passive margins? (2) How is the segmentation expressed in passive margins? (3) Is oceanic ridge segmentation inherited from prior passive margin segmentation?

The Gulf of Aden is a type example of an obliquely opening oceanic basin (Cochran, 1981; Withjack and Jamison, 1986). Because it is a young basin, structures can be followed from the passive margins to the active mid-oceanic ridge, and the two conjugate margins can be precisely correlated. Moreover, the northeastern passive margin of the Gulf of Aden offers the opportunity to study on land the deformation associated with oblique rifting over a wide area encompassing two margin segments located on either side of the Socotra fracture zone. In the following, we present the results of a structural analysis conducted in the Cenozoic series of
this area to characterize the syn-rift deformation by the observation and measurement of the motion along major and minor faults. In addition, new bathymetric and seismic data obtained offshore to the south of our study area allow us to widen the discussion on the mode of opening of the Gulf of Aden.

1. Geodynamic setting

1.1. Oblique opening of the Gulf of Aden

The opening of the Gulf of Aden was accomplished through sea-floor spreading along the mid-oceanic Sheba Ridge. The present-day accretion rate at the Sheba Ridge progressively increases from west (1.6 cm/yr along N37°E at the entrance of the gulf of Tadjura, 12°N and 43.4°E) to east (2.3 cm/yr along N23°E at the Arabia-India-Somalia triple junction, 14.5°N and 56.4°E). At the longitude of Dhofar (14.4°N and 53°E), the spreading rate is 2.2 cm/yr along N25°E (Jestin et al. 1994; Fournier et al., 2001b). Hence, the mean opening direction of the Gulf of Aden, N25°E, is oblique to its mean orientation, N75°E. The linearity of the transform faults indicates that the direction of opening has remained unchanged since the initial break-up of the two plates. In the eastern part of the gulf, the age of the inception of oceanic spreading has been recently reappraised from magnetic profiles of the Encens-Sheba cruise (d’Acremont, 2002; Leroy et al., 2004). The magnetic anomaly An 5d has been identified to the north and south of the Sheba Ridge axis, and gives an age of the start of spreading of at least 17.6 Ma instead of 12-13 Ma as previously proposed by Cochran (1981). These results confirm those of Sahota (1990). To the west of the Alula-Fartak transform fault, anomaly An 5c has been identified showing that the oceanic spreading has started at least 16 m.y. ago in the western part of the Gulf of Aden (Sahota, 1990; Huchon and Khanbari, 2003). Oceanic spreading was preceded by rifting of the continental lithosphere which was initiated during the Oligocene and continued until the early Miocene (Roger et al., 1989; Watchorn et al., 1998). Rifting in the Gulf of Aden led to the formation of a series of N100°E-
N110°E grabens arranged obliquely to the N75°E mean trend of the gulf (Beydoun, 1970, 1982; Tamsett, 1984; Abbate et al., 1993; Fantozzi, 1996). The en échelon left-stepping arrangement of the syn-rift basins is consistent with the dextral component of oblique opening of the gulf.

1.2. Uplift history of the Gulf of Aden margins: insights from apatite fission track data

The uplift history of the Gulf of Aden passive margins has been investigated through AFT analysis on rock samples of Archaean to Jurassic age in Yemen (Menzies et al., 1997) and Somalia (Abbate et al., 2001). The AFT cooling ages are widely distributed across the two margins and indicate a complex cooling history which is interpreted in terms of discrete cooling or burial events, and differential denudation. In Yemen, the margin experienced Oligocene (>30 Ma) cooling and unroofing coevally with the main extensional stage involving tilted fault blocks, which began at about 35 Ma (Watchorn et al., 1998). In Somalia, a first phase of denudation at the margin occurred during the early Cretaceous, possibly related to a Jurassic to early Cretaceous episode of rifting, followed by a second phase which began in the late Oligocene, continued in the Miocene, and is attributable to the rifting of the Gulf of Aden (Abbate et al., 2001).

These data contrast with the AFT data of the southeastern Red Sea margin, which were reset during the major volcanism episode of the Yemen Large Igneous Province at 31-26 Ma (Baker et al., 1996) and are consistent with rapid cooling and unroofing of the margin in the early Miocene (<25 Ma) coevally with the main phase of extension (Menzies et al., 1997; Ukestins et al., 2002; Pik et al., 2003). While the southeastern Red Sea margin developed in response to surface uplift and magmatism which was followed ca 5 m.y. later by extension and denudation, the Gulf of Aden margins have experienced tectonically driven Oligocene to early Miocene denudation in the absence of significant magmatism. In all cases, the uplift of the rift shoulders preceded the onset of seafloor spreading.
2. Segmentation of the Oman margin of the Gulf of Aden: morphologic and structural evidence

The morphology of the Oman margin is different on either side of the Socotra fracture zone (Figure 1). The western part corresponds to the Dhofar region, and the eastern part to the Jiddat Arkad region (Figure 2). They are separated onland by the Jabal Qarabiyan fault, which strikes parallel to the Socotra fracture zone, but the two faults are not of the same type. The Jabal Qarabiyan fault appears as a pure normal fault in the field (see section 4.2.a), whereas the Socotra fracture zone is an ancient transform fault.

In Dhofar, the margin is dominated by a monoclinal plateau tilted a few degrees toward the north, made up of mainly Eocene carbonate platform. The plateau is bounded to the south by the south-facing escarpments of Jabal al Qamar, Jabal Qara and Jabal Samhan (Figure 2), which rise up to 1800 m and define the northern shoulder of the rift. The Ashawq and Hasik main grabens and the Haluf and Sala'Afan minor grabens occur behind the main escarpment (Figure 2). The grabens are delimited by N70°E to N110°E trending fault scarps of pluri-kilometric extent well expressed in the topography, which reflect post-Eocene displacements. The two main grabens present an axial dip toward the east. The Proterozoic basement is exposed south of the Hasik graben and corresponds to a footwall block formed during the rifting. This uplifted block is bounded seaward (to the south) by the submerged portion of the extensional margin, and landward (to the north) by the Hasik graben.

The topography of the margin is much smoother in the Jiddat Arkad region, which is nearly devoid of any rift shoulder. The plateau as far as Ra’s Madrakah consists of a monotonous flat surface 200-300 m above sea level (Figure 2). No major extensional structure is observed on this segment of the margin, with the exception of the zone of coastal fault blocks stepping down into the sea around Sharbithat. The rift shoulder occurs at the Al
Hallaniyah islands, which rise to 450 m and are bounded to the south by the submerged passive margin.

Hence, two margin segments characterized by different morpho-structural features are recognized on either side of the Socotra fracture zone.

3. Contrasted Cenozoic stratigraphic units of Dhofar and Jiddat Arkad regions

In southern Oman, a carbonate succession up to 2000 m thick is exposed (Platel and Roger, 1989; Roger et al., 1989; Béchennec et al., 1993; Robertson and Bamkhalif, 2001). The Cenozoic series includes the Hadhramaut, Dhofar, and Fars groups, which correspond to pre-rift, syn-rift and post-rift stages of deposition, respectively (Figure 3). Two distinct stratigraphic domains corresponding to the Dhofar and Jiddat Arkad areas can be distinguished. The western domain is dominated by the Eocene series of the Hadhramaut Group, the Dhofar and Fars groups being restricted to the syn-rift grabens and the Salalah plain, whereas the eastern domain is dominated by the formations of the Fars Group (Figure 2).

In Dhofar, the pre-rift Hadhramaut Group rests unconformably upon Cretaceous strata, which in turn overlie the Proterozoic basement exposed east of Marbat (Figures 2 and 3). The Hadhramaut Group consists of carbonate units, including the massive limestone of the Umm Er Radhuma Formation (up to 600 m thick). The syn-rift Dhofar Group, unconformably deposited on the Hadhramaut Group, consists of lacustrine limestone at the base (100 m), overlain by platform limestone (Ashawq Formation, 600 m), which passes laterally at top to the overlying, chalky calcite-turbidic deposits of the late Oligocene to early Miocene Mughsayl Formation (700 m thick beneath the Salalah plain; Platel et al., 1992c). The slope deposits of the Mughsayl Formation, which include mega-breccia, debris flows, and olistolitic material transported from the adjoining shelf, result from the collapse and subsidence of the margin and correspond to deeper depositional environments. The post-rift Fars Group is restricted to
a narrow zone following the coast line, and is represented by the unconformable Adawnib Formation made of proximal carbonate and conglomeratic marine deposits. The change in the type of sedimentation expressed in the facies of the Fars Group, from open to shallow marine carbonate and detritic deposits, was caused by the emergence and erosion of southern Dhofar. Sedimentary conditions were at that time very similar to the present-day environments.

In Jiddat Arkad, the pre-rift Hadhramaut Group is not exposed. The syn-rift Ashawq Formation is recognized, but with a thickness of only 100 m. It is directly overlain by the Shuwayr Formation (200 m thick), which lacks in Dhofar (Figures 2 and 3). It consists of interbedded white bioclastic limestones and debris-flow deposits, which received debris from nearby reefs, and corresponds to a shallower environment than the Mughsayl Formation in Dhofar. The post-rift Adawnib Formation is not recognized in Jiddat Arkad where the Warak Formation lies above the Shuwayr Formation and has the same geographical extent and similar facies. It is overlain by the Ghubbarah Formation, which corresponds to the last extensive marine carbonate unit to be deposited in SE Oman. The Ghubbarah Formation transgression advanced from the Indian Ocean about 200 km inland toward the NW. The resulting gulf was very shallow, with paleoenvironments comprising lagoons with reefs. The Shuwayr, Warak, and Ghubbarah formations belong to the same paleogeographical domain open to the Indian Ocean and subjected to extensive subsidence from the Late Oligocene onwards. Unlike in Dhofar, the transition between the synrift and the postrift sequences in Jiddat Arkad is not marked by any major unconformity.

In summary, if the pre-rift history is common to the two regions of Dhofar and Jiddat Arkad, the syn- and post-rift evolutions differ significantly. In Dhofar, syn-rift deposits preserved in grabens are thick and testify to deep marine depositional conditions. By contrast, in Jiddat Arkad, syn-rift strata are thinner and were deposited in shallow marine conditions. Moreover, while post-rift deposits conformably cover syn-rift strata in Jiddat Arkad, a pronounced unconformity separates the two depositional sequences in Dhofar.
Equivalent rift-related deposits and depositional sequences are described along the conjugate Yemeni and Somali margins of the Gulf of Aden (Beydoun, 1970, 1982; Bosellini, 1992; Abbate et al., 1993; Fantozzi, 1996; Watchorn et al., 1998). In Yemen, the Shihr Group, equivalent to the Dhofar Group, is early to middle Oligocene (Rupelian-Chattian) in age (34-28 Ma) at the base of the sequence, while the top is middle Burdigalian (18 Ma). An eastward deepening of the synrift deposits is observed from continental to shallow-marine (Yemen) and deep marine (Dhofar) conditions.

4. Onshore deformation of the Oman passive margin

The surveyed targets correspond to the main Tertiary deformation zones between Salalah and Ra's Madrakah (Figure 2): from west to east, the Ashawq graben and the area of Salalah, the Hasik graben, the areas of Shuwaymiyah and Sharbithat, and the cape of Ra's Madrakah where ophiolites are exposed. About 790 tectonic joints and striated fault planes were examined in 49 localities scattered along the margin (Table 1). Most fault planes show normal displacements and a minority show strike-slip displacements. On the basis of collection and inversion of fault slip data sets, the orientations of the principal stress axes were determined using computer-aided methods developed by Angelier (1984). In some localities the observed fault slip data sets, too complicated to be explained by a single stress tensor, obviously result from superimposed distinct tectonic events. For such data sets, it is necessary to separate homogeneous subsets identified with A, B, C, or D suffixes (e.g. localities Hasik1, Hasik2, Hasik3, Shuway1, Shuway3, Shuway5, Sharbit2, Madra1, Madra2, and Madra4, in Table 1). The sorting was done in three ways. (1) At sites where all fault planes are similar (e.g. dip-slip normal faults) they can be sorted according to their strikes (locality Shuway1A, B, C). (2) At sites where two different types of fractures are observed (e.g., normal and strike-slip faults), two subsets are distinguished if the fractures are not compatible (locality Madra2A, B). (3) In some exposures, conjugate dip-slip normal faults have been reactivated as oblique slip faults.
(locality Madra4A, B). In this case, the chronology between the two tectonic stages is obvious.

In localities where fault-slip data are scarce or nonexistent, stress inversion is not possible, but the principal axes of deformation can be deduced from the arrangement of tectonic joints (Hancock, 1985). In order to allow a comparison between the stress axes deduced from fault-slip data inversion and the deformation axes deduced from joint geometry, we assume that the principal stress axes $\sigma_1$, $\sigma_2$, and $\sigma_3$ are parallel to the shortening, intermediate, and extension axes, respectively.

4.1. The western margin segment (Dhofar region)

4.1.a. Hasik graben

The Hasik graben strikes parallel to the N75°E regional trend of the Gulf of Aden. It is about 10 km wide and 30 km long, and probably extends seawards in the Kuria Muria bay (Figure 4). It is bounded to the north and south by two normal master faults with a N45°E to N75°E trend. The vertical offset of these boundary faults progressively increases towards the east, so that the graben presents an axial dip of a few degrees toward the east. The southern bounding master fault of wadi Raykhut brings into contact the Proterozoic basement with the middle part of the Umm Er Radhuma Formation (Figures 4 and 5). The fault can be followed over several kilometres along the wadi Raykhut and can locally be observed in detail. It dips 60-70° toward the NW (Figure 5). The fault zone, which separates the basement from the Eocene limestones, is several meters wide. The vertical offset of the fault reaches 500-600 m (cross section in Figure 4). In the graben, a network of plurikilometer-scale, steeply dipping normal faults trending ENE-WSW cuts the horizontal Eocene series. The vertical offset of the northern bounding master fault reaches 800 m (Platel et al., 1992c). South of the Hasik graben, Jabal Nuss is a large tectonic block tilted toward the northwest, bounded by a major
normal fault trending N20°E to the west and N80°E to the north. Its vertical offset reaches 1000 m to the north (Platel and Roger, 1989).

In the Wadi Raykhut, minor faults have been measured in the vicinity of the main fault in the Umm Er Radhuma Formation. Two sets of normal faults can be distinguished: faults parallel to the main fault and trending about N70°E (from N50°E to N90°E; sites Hasik1A, 2A, 2B, 3A, 3B, 5), and faults trending from about N30°E (from N-S to N40°E; sites Hasik1B, 3C). The N30°E trending conjugate dip-slip normal faults document a N110°E-N130°E extension. The N70°E trending faults are either dip-slip normal faults indicating a N150°E-N160°E extension (sites Hasik1A, 2A, 5), or oblique normal faults indicating a N110°E-N130°E extension like the N30°E trending faults (sites Hasik2B, 3B). These observations allow us to conclude to the succession of two stages of extension: an older, N150°E-N160°E extensional phase causing the observed N70°E faulting and a younger, N110°E-N130°E extensional phase responsible for the observed N30°E faulting and the reactivation of the N70°E faults as oblique normal faults. Moreover, a set of conjugate normal faults pertaining to the N70°E trending faults has been reactivated as oblique slip normal faults and indicates a N30°E-N40°E extensional episode (site Hasik3A). This extension again postdates the earlier N150°E-N160°E extension. The two late extensions, which are nearly orthogonal (N110°E-N130°E and N30°E-N40°E), could be attributed to two different diachronous stress fields, but we interpret them here in terms of permutations between the two principal horizontal $\sigma_2$ and $\sigma_3$ axes within a unique extensional stress field (e.g. Bergerat et al., 1998; Fournier et al., 2001a). In the same way, the N70°E trending extension documented by a set of conjugate normal faults trending approximately N-S (from N20°W to N10°E; site Hadbin1) in the Jabal Nuss near Hadbin, is considered to pertain to the same stress field as the N150°E-N160°E extensional episode and to reflect permutations between the $\sigma_2$ and $\sigma_3$ stress axes.
In summary, four directions of extension pertaining to two stress fields with local permutations between $\sigma_2$ and $\sigma_3$ are documented. The N150°E-N160°E direction, perpendicular to the mean orientation of the Gulf of Aden, and the N20°E-N40°E direction, parallel to the direction of divergence between the Arabian and Somalia plates, are recorded along the entire margin from Yemen (Huchon and Khanbari, 2003) to Dhofar (Lepvrier et al., 2002). They are therefore representative of the regional deformation. The perpendicular N70°E and N110°E-N130°E directions may simply reflect local permutations between $\sigma_2$ and $\sigma_3$.

Finally, two sites in the Umm Er Radhuma Formation display conjugate sets of strike-slip faults, right-lateral faults trending NE-SW and left-lateral faults trending E-W to SE-NW (sites Hasik3D, Hasik4). Stress inversion gives $\sigma = \sigma_1$ trending N70°E to N90°E. Where a chronology can be observed on fault planes, strike-slip motions are always posterior to normal motions. The WSW-ENE compressional episode documented by the strike-slip faults therefore postdated the extensional episodes described above.

4.1.b. Ashawq graben and Salalah area

The prominent structure of the Salalah region is the Ashawq graben where syn- and post-rift series are widely exposed (Figure 6). This graben is bounded to the south by the coastal horst of Jabal Al Qamar, which is in turns bordered to the southwest by a zone of seaward-dipping fault blocks stepping down into the sea. The Ashawq graben is approximately 20 km wide and is bounded by two normal master faults of opposite dip. The northern bounding fault is composed of three segments trending, from west to east, N110°E, N70°E, and again N110°E. The vertical offset of the fault exceeds 1500 m to the north of Salalah (Platel and Roger, 1989). The southern bounding fault trends N75°E and its throw is estimated to be ca. 500 m. In its western part, the Ashawq graben is intensely dissected by a set of NE-trending normal faults mostly dipping toward the southeast. They branch to the
north on the N110°E bounding fault. The horsetail geometry of the splay indicates a left-lateral component of slip along the northern bounding fault.

Minor faults have been measured mainly in the Ashawq graben and along the fault escarpment bordering the Salalah plain (Figure 6). Two sets of dip-slip conjugate normal faults can be distinguished, one set trending between N45°E and N90°E and indicating a N150°E-N160°E extension, and the second set trending ca. N110°E and indicating a N20°E-N30°E extension. A set of N160°E to N-S trending extensional joints has also been measured, which indicates a direction of extension N70°E to E-W, perpendicular to the dominant N150°E-N160°E direction of extension (sites Salala8b, 19a, 35a). This minor extension may reflect permutations between $\sigma_2$ and $\sigma_3$.

The two main directions of extension are recorded through the entire Tertiary sediment pile up to the Late Oligocene-Early Miocene Mughsayl Formation. They generally cannot be observed in the same site (except in Salala15) and no direct crosscutting relationships can be observed. Hence, it is not possible to establish a relative succession between these two episodes in the Ashawq graben. The chronology proposed in Figure 6 is inferred from the chronologies determined in the Hasik graben and Ra’s Madrakah areas.

4.2. The eastern margin segment (Jiddat Arkad region)

4.2.a. Shuwaymiyah and Sharbithat

The Jabal Qarabiyan in the area of Shuwaymiyah separates a western domain, dominated by the pre-rift deposits of the Hadhramaut Group, and an eastern domain where the post-rift Fars Group prevails indicating the existence of an extensive basin subsiding from the Late Oligocene to the Middle Miocene (Figures 2 and 7). The vertical throw of the N30°E trending normal fault of Jabal Qarabiyan fault is several hundred meters near Shuwaymiyah (cross section in Figure 7). We did not find any field evidence of strike-slip motion along the Jabal Qarabiyan fault, which was apparently not reactivated during the spreading phase.
In the area of Sharbithat, fault scarps of kilometric extent are expressed in the coastal topography. They are found in the Oligocene-Early Miocene limestone of the Shuwayr Formation. They trend approximately E-W, face predominantly southward, and their trace in map view is concave towards the sea (Figure 7). The height of the scarps is several tens of metres. They define a step-wise structure with the Shuwayr Formation downthrown southward. Platel et al. (1992b) described evidence of synsedimentary tectonics and dated the displacements along the faults to the Late Oligocene or earliest Miocene. A less well-documented system of N-S normal faults is interpreted by Platel et al. (1992b) as bounding a paleocanyon filled with deposits of the Warak Formation (Figure 7). However, this N-S direction is not represented amongst the outcrop-scale faults measured in the field.

In the Shuwaymiyah-Sharbithat area, all fault sets provide vertical $\sigma_1$ axes after inversion (Figure 7; Table 1). Three directions of extension have been found. A set of conjugate normal faults trending from N50°E to N80°E (sites Shuway1A, 1D, 2, 4, 5A, Sharbit4) document a N150°E-N160°E extension. Another series of conjugate normal faults indicates a perpendicular N60°E-N70°E direction of extension (sites Shuway1B, 3A, Sharbit2A), which could reflect permutations of the horizontal principal stress axes. A group of conjugate normal faults and extensional joints trending from E-W to N120°E documents a N-S to N20°E extension (sites Shuway1C, 3B, 5B, Sharbit1, 2B, 3). These observations allow us to conclude to the existence of at least two stages of extension: a N150°E-N160°E extension at the origin of the N70°E faulting and possibly the N150°E faulting in response of stress permutations, and a N-S to N20°E extension responsible for the E-W to N120°E faulting.

We did not observe any chronology in the field between the two stages of extension. The chronology presented in Figure 7 refers to chronologies inferred in the Hasik graben and Ra’s Madrakah areas. The curved normal faults of kilometric-scale in the area of Sharbithat may equally pertain to the N70°E trending fault group or to the E-W to N120°E trending fault
group. One cannot rule out that they document a unique, roughly N-S extension (i.e., between N30°W and N20°E) rather than two diachronous extensional stress fields.

4.2.b. Ra’s Madrakah

The ophiolites of Ra’s Madrakah were formed during the latest Jurassic and emplaced along the Owen transform margin during latest Maastrichtian to earliest Paleocene times (Gnos et al., 1997; Peters and Mercolli, 1998). They are unconformably covered by the Oligocene to Middle Miocene limestone of the Shuwayr and Warak formations (Figure 8). Steeply dipping normal faults trending N-S to N30°E cut these formations and the underlying ophiolites.

Two groups of conjugate normal faults have been measured in the limestone of the Shuwayr Formation (Figure 8): faults trending N50°E to N90°E indicates a N150°E-N160°E direction of extension (sites Madrak2A, 3, 4A) and faults trending N10°E-N30°E document a N110°E-N120°E direction of extension (sites Madrak1A, 6). At the locality Madrak4B, NE-SW trending conjugate normal faults were reactivated as oblique normal faults defining a N20°E extension. The fault reactivation demonstrates the succession of two episodes of extension: an older N150°E-N160°E extension at the origin of the N50°E to N90°E faulting and a younger N20°E extension responsible for the reactivation of the ENE-WSW normal faults. The N110°E-N120°E direction of extension, perpendicular to the late extension, can be again interpreted in terms of stress permutations. This interpretation is consistent with the fact that the N30°E faults cut the Warak Formation at site Madrak1A (Figure 8) and seem to be younger than the ENE-WSW faults, which have been only observed in the older Shuwayr Formation.

Finally, three sites in the Shuwayr and Warak formations display conjugate sets of strike-slip faults (sites Madrak1B, 2B, 4C) and document an intermediate-type regional stress field
with $\sigma = \sigma_1$ trending NE-SW. Crosscutting structures show that strike-slip motions are posterior to normal motions.

4.3. Regional synthesis: two distinct phases of extension or two coexisting extensional stress fields?

Figure 9 provides a synthesis of the outcrop-scale deformation of the northeastern margin of the Gulf of Aden since the Eocene. We identify three main phases of deformation with the following relative chronology from the oldest to the youngest, (1) a N150°E-N160°E extension, (2) a N-S to N30°E extension, and (3) a strike-slip event corresponding to NE-SW compression and NW-SE extension. The two extensions are documented everywhere along the margin, whereas the strike-slip regime has not been observed in the areas of Shuwaymiyah and Sharbithat. Two additional directions of extension perpendicular to the predominant directions of extension are also recognized. They are interpreted in terms of stress permutations between $\sigma_2$ and $\sigma_3$ within a unique stress field. The strike-slip event unambiguously postdates the extensional episodes.

The two main directions of extension are recorded in the entire Tertiary sedimentary pile, from the Eocene Umm Er Radhuma Formation up to the Oligocene-Early Miocene Mughsayl and Shuwayr formations. The two extensions correspond to a synsedimentary activity during the deposition of the Mughsayl and Shuwayr formations in Late Oligocene-Early Miocene time (Lepvrier et al., 2002; Platel et al., 1992b). However, it cannot be excluded that these extensions were already active before this time. The two directions coexist in some localities (sites Salala15A and 15B, Shuway1A and 1C, Madrak4A and 4B), but no crosscutting structure could be observed. The relative chronology between the two phases is deduced from the observation, in several localities, of conjugate normal faults initially formed during the N150°E-N160°E extensional episode, and reactivated as oblique normal faults during the N-S to N30°E extensional episode (Madrak4A, 4B) or its N110°E-N120°E permutation (Hasik2A,
2B, Hasik3A, 3B). Hence, the two directions of extension could be considered as distinct events of probably Late Oligocene to Early Miocene age, with the N150°E-N160°E extension preceding the N-S to N30°E extension. They might, however, also constitute a continuous process with progressive reorientation of the directions of extension through time, as suggested by the existence of numerous curved normal faults.

The normal fault pattern was studied in several places along the margins of the Gulf of Aden, including Somalia (Fantozzi, 1996) and Yemen (Huchon and Khanbari, 2003). As in Oman, two N20°E and N160°E directions of extension were identified along the northern margin of the Gulf of Aden in Yemen (Huchon and Khanbari, 2003). Huchon and Khanbari (2003) suggest that the N20°E extension predates the N160°E one, and conclude to the counterclockwise rotation of the syn-rift stress field. However, some of their data also suggest a reverse chronology (sites SHIR28 and MAS34 in their Figure 6; site HAUF16 in their Figure 7). Our data in Dhofar and Jiddat Arkad contradict the chronology of the extensional episodes in Yemen and rather suggest that the N160°E extension predates the N20°E one. Two opposite chronologies have therefore been inferred on the northern margin of Gulf of Aden, but nowhere has it been possible to establish them unambiguously. The attribution of two different ages to the two different stress fields is inconsistent with the data. This could signify that both extension directions coexisted during the rifting. The coexistence of two stress fields has sometimes been observed in past and present-day deformation (e.g. Eyal, 1996; Garcia et al., 2002) and is interpreted in terms of a second-order local stress field superimposed on a first-order remote regional field (Zoback, 1992). The second-order local stress field could reflect a local perturbation of the first-order stress field caused by a heterogeneity in the lithosphere.

5. Synthesis of onshore and offshore data
Figure 10 shows a synthetic structural map of the offshore and onshore domains of the passive margin. The offshore structural map has been obtained from the analysis of swath bathymetry and seismic reflection profiles (d’Acremont et al., 2002). These data show that the Socotra fracture zone can be followed across the lower part of the continental margin and abuts against the continental platform at its northern extremity. Two interpretative crustal-scale cross sections of the margin on either side of the fracture zone illustrate the contrasted style of faulting of the margin segments. The western margin is shorter than the eastern one, is characterized by an uplifted shoulder, and is controlled by three major normal faults which correspond to the main escarpments of the margin. The eastern margin displays no rift shoulder, and it is controlled by only one major normal fault, which limits the continental platform to the south, and a series of minor conjugate normal faults at the base of the continental talus.

Two distinct normal fault patterns are observed in map view. The faults of the lower part of the margin (submerged portion) are linear and consistently trend N110°E-N120°E, whereas the faults of the upper part of the margin (onshore) often have a sigmoidal shape with an overall trend of N75°E and with segments striking N70°E-N80°E and N110°E-120°E. Partitioning exists between the upper part of the margin with faults parallel to the mean trend of the Gulf of Aden, and the lower part of the margin with faults perpendicular to the opening direction of the Gulf. This type of partitioning between the walls and valley of rifts has been described on axial oceanic rifts of the Reykjanes and Mohns ridges in the northern Atlantic Ocean (McAllister et al., 1995; Dauteuil and Brun, 1996) and the Sheba Ridge in the westernmost part of the Gulf of Aden (Dauteuil et al., 2001). It is characteristic of oblique rifting. It can be interpreted as resulting from a combination of faults perpendicular to the opening direction with faults parallel to a lithospheric weakness zone (see next section), as described by Tron and Brun (1991), Dauteuil and Brun (1993), McClay and White (1995), and Clifton et al. (2000) in their laboratory experiments. The insert in Figure 10 shows the
similarity between the fault pattern in experimental clay models of oblique rifting (Clifton et al., 2000) and the fault pattern observed at the northern passive margin of the Gulf of Aden. Although the age of faulting cannot be ascertained, it may be proposed that the lower margin faults, perpendicular to the opening direction, formed after the upper margin faults, when the resisting part of the lithosphere (upper mantle) had been sufficiently thinned (Handy and Brun, 2004). Subsequently, the spreading segments of the Sheba Ridge formed along the same strike as the lower margin faults (N110°E-N120°E), as evidenced by the trend of the magnetic anomalies in the oldest oceanic crust. Such a scenario would agree with two diachronous extensional events during the rifting, an older N150°E-N160°E event and a younger N20°E-N30°E event, but, as stated above, our fault slip data do not provide any relative chronology between the two extension phases.

6. Discussion and conclusion

6.1. Record of oblique rifting on the passive margin and stress field evolution

Fracture analysis and paleostress reconstructions along the Oman passive margin of the Gulf of Aden, in addition to an examination of the morphology of the margin onshore as well as offshore, a review of the stratigraphy and structure of the Tertiary pre-, syn- and post-rift deposits, and a compilation of AFT data from the nearby margins, bring out a coherent picture of the margin evolution since the opening of the Gulf of Aden in Oligocene times.

Oblique rifting is expressed onshore and offshore by a peculiar pattern of normal faults. Rift-parallel faults along the border of the rift (upper margin) strike N70°E-N80°E, i.e., obliquely to the direction of plate divergence (N20°E), whereas faults within the rift (lower margin) trend N110°E-N120°E, i.e., perpendicular to the direction of plate divergence. This peculiarity is also reflected in the paleostress tensors reconstructed from fault slip data in Oligo-Miocene strata. Two directions of extension N150°E and N20°E can be traced along the margin from Yemen to Oman. The N150°E direction of extension reflects the
predominance of the obliquity, while the N20°E direction of extension reflects the influence of the remote stress field. None of the two episodes of extension appears younger than the other, suggesting that the two are synchronous. However, it is likely that the last rifting stage (after the lithosphere had been sufficiently thinned) and subsequent spreading occurred under the influence of the dominating far-field N20°E extension.

Finally, fault slip data also demonstrate the existence of a late strike-slip event which affects the whole northeastern margin of the Gulf of Aden from Dhofar to Ra’s Madrakah. This event could be related to the activity of the Owen fracture zone, a right-lateral transform plate boundary between the Arabian and Indian plates since the Early Miocene, or to the Late Miocene collision of the Arabian and Eurasian plates in northern Oman.

6.2. Segmentation of the Sheba Ridge inherited from passive margin segmentation

Segmentation of the margin is expressed in southern Oman by two contrasting morphotectonic segments. The western Dhofar segment is characterized by a rugged topography and the presence of syn-rift grabens filled with thick, deep-marine deposits, whereas the eastern Jiddat Arkad segment is characterized by a gentle topography and rare syn-rift deposits testifying to shallow-marine deposition environments. The stress field analysis demonstrates that the two margin segments evolved under identical stress field conditions during the rifting. The segmentation of the margin appears as a local accommodation of deformation in a homogeneous regional stress field generated by far-field extensional forces.

The boundary between the two segments is a normal fault which lies in the continuation of the Socotra transform fault. This latter crosscuts the continental crust of the lower part of the margin (depth < -2000 m), but does not crosscut the continental shelf. The fact that the Socotra fracture zone lies in the continuation of the Jiddat Arkad normal fault, and the fact that the oceanic ridge segmentation coincides in space with the passive margin segmentation,
strongly suggests that the first-order segmentation of the Sheba Ridge is inherited from the prior segmentation of the passive margin.

6.3. Asymmetry of crustal thinning

The contrasted styles of faulting of the two margin segments on either side of the Socotra fracture zone show that the mechanism of rifting is different from one margin segment to the other. This difference likely reflects to the mode of crustal and lithospheric thinning during rifting, which may be either symmetric (pure-shear model; McKenzie, 1978), or asymmetric (simple shear model; Wernicke, 1985), or intermediate (Brun and Beslier, 1996). All continental rift basins in East Africa, Gulf of Suez, Lake Baikal, or Rhine Graben are asymmetric and segmented. The asymmetry is expressed in topography, sediment thickness, structural style, and distribution of volcanics. Seismic reflection profiles revealed that rift asymmetry is controlled by crustal structures crossing the upper crust, and affecting the lower crust and possibly the Moho. During the transition to seafloor spreading, asymmetric rifts evolve into passive margins which should retain the initial asymmetry of the rift basin. A recent geophysical survey in the eastern Gulf of Aden, between the Alula-Fartak and Socotra transform faults, revealed the asymmetry of the conjugate margins (Leroy et al., 2004). The northern margin, which corresponds to our western margin segment, is shorter than the southern one and shows horsts and grabens, whereas the southern margin displays one major fault, which limits the continental shelf, and a deep basin (5200 m) at the toe of the margin. The difference between the western and eastern segments of our field area may be explained by one being the footwall and the other the hanging wall of an asymmetric set of rift basins. The segmentation of the margin thus reveals the asymmetry of the rifting process. In the absence of volcanism in the eastern Gulf of Aden, the prominent shoulder uplift of the western margin segment would be better explained if this block represents the footwall of a
southward dipping normal shear zone that crosses the whole crust. The existence of a deep basin at the base of the southern conjugate margin agrees with this scheme.

While continental rift basins are asymmetric, at least to the stage of development represented by the Gulf of Suez, spreading centers are generally symmetric. How can a symmetric spreading center develops in an asymmetric rift? More data concerning the deep structure of passive margins are needed to understand the latest stages of development of continental rifts and the tectonic evolution of the rift basins before seafloor spreading.

### 6.4. Models of opening of the Gulf of Aden

The models of oblique opening of the Gulf of Aden involve extensional boundary forces generated by the Zagros-Makran collision-subduction system (passive component), and a hot thermal anomaly in the lithosphere above the Afar mantle plume (weakness zone). Two categories of models, either with or without ridge (and rift) propagation, have been proposed. In the models without ridge propagation, the prior existence of mechanical heterogeneities in the lithosphere is invoked to explain the rifting obliquity. Several authors proposed that some of the *en échelon* grabens which formed the embryonic rift of the proto-Gulf of Aden, are reactivated Mesozoic grabens of similar trend known in Yemen, northern Somalia, and in the Socotra area (Withjack and Jamison, 1986; Bott et al., 1992; Bosence, 1997; Birse et al., 1997; Granath, 2001). However, such grabens do not exist in the eastern part of the Gulf, and especially on the Oman margin. Recently, Bellahsen et al. (2003) have shown with laboratory experiments that the interaction between far-field extensional forces and a weakness zone related to the Afar plume could produce oblique extension without the presence of defects in the continental lithosphere to explain the rift localisation.

In the second category of models, the ridge propagation process is responsible for the obliquity of the rifting. Following Courtillot et al. (1987), Manighetti et al. (1997) and Courtillot et al. (1999) related the oblique opening to the southwestwards propagation of the
ridge in the Gulf toward the Afar mantle plume. They proposed that when the Carlsberg Ridge crossed the passive margin of the Arabo-Somalia plate, 30 m.y. ago, it began to head for the Afar hot spot, following the shortest path across the plate. A propagation history of the Aden rift tip based on width measurements of the Gulf of Aden between continental escarpments has been proposed (Manighetti et al., 1997). The rift started to propagate about 30 m.y. ago at an average rate greater than 10 cm yr\(^{-1}\) and was arrested three times for several million years at the main fracture zones. However, the magnetic anomalies, which constitute the most reliable data to reconstruct the ridge propagation history, do not corroborate this timing. The recent works of d’Acremont (2002), Huchon and Khanbari (2003), and Leroy et al. (2004) show that anomaly An 5c (16 Ma) can be traced in the major part of the Gulf of Aden as far as the Shukra El Sheik discontinuity (45°E), while anomaly An 5d (18 Ma) is identified to the east of the Alula-Fartak transform fault. The ridge propagation should have been very fast and the arrests, if any, very short. As noted by Le Pichon and Gaulier (1988), there is no evidence for westward propagation of oceanic rifting in the main part of the Gulf of Aden and it is only in the western portion of the Gulf, west of 45°E, that oceanic rift propagation toward the Gulf of Tadjura has been demonstrated (Courtillot, 1982; Audin et al., 2001; Hébert et al., 2001).

To account for the two successive extension phases recognised in Yemen, Huchon and Khanbari (2003) used a ridge propagation model. The propagating ridge is considered as a lithospheric-scale crack propagating southwestward, under the influence of a remote N20°E extensional stress field. The younger N160°E extension, perpendicular to the ridge propagation, would result from local tensional stresses perpendicular to the propagating crack tip and is to be recorded only in the vicinity of the crack.

Given the fact that (1) no definitive chronology between the N20°E and the N150°E extensions can be obtained from the study area or from the surrounding margins, and (2) no evidence for westward propagation of the ridge can be ascertained in the Gulf of Aden east of
45°E, we favour a mode of opening in which rifting and subsequent spreading took place almost simultaneously along the entire length of the main part of the Gulf. As in the experiments of Bellahsen et al. (2003), a remotely driven extension directed along N20°E associated with a weakness zone above the Afar mantle plume led to the formation of a N75°E trending rift. The rift-bounding normal faults (upper margin) strike N75°E, whereas the normal faults inside the rift (lower margin) strike N110°E to N120°E, i.e., perpendicular to the opening direction (N20°E). This pattern of normal faults is similar to that described at oblique oceanic axial rifts and in experimental modelling studies of oblique rifting. After sufficient thinning of the resistant (mechanically brittle) part of the lithosphere (upper mantle), rifting and subsequent spreading continued under the prevailing influence of the remote N20°E extension.
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Figure captions

Figure 1. Geodynamical setting of the Gulf of Aden and the Arabian plate. Topographic and bathymetric map after Sandwell and Smith (1997), and shallow seismicity since 1973 (focal depth < 50 km; magnitude > 2; USGS/NEIC Data Base). Black arrows represent plate relative motions. The N100-110°E grabens bounded by normal faults arranged obliquely to the N75°E mean trend of the Gulf of Aden are shown. AFT is Alula-Fartak transform fault; OTF is Owen transform fault; SR is Sheba Ridge; ST is Socotra transform fault.

Figure 2. Structural map of Dhofar and Jiddat Arkad after the 1/250000 geological maps of Oman (Platel et al., 1992a, 1992b, 1992c; Roger et al., 1992) and location of the surveyed areas. JQF is Jabal Qarabiyan Fault.

Figure 3. Stratigraphic synthesis of the Mesozoic and Cenozoic strata exposed in Dhofar and Jiddat Arkad (from Roger et al., 1989; Platel and Roger, 1989).

Figure 4. Stress fields recorded in the Eocene Umm Er Radhuma Formation in the Hasik graben. Two directions of extension are inferred from fault slip data (equal-area lower hemisphere projection). The N150°E-N160°E direction (stage 1) and the N30°E-N40°E direction (stage 2) are representative of the regional deformation. The N110°E-N130°E and N70°E directions reflect local permutations between the $\sigma_2$ and $\sigma_3$ stress axes. An ENE-WSW direction of compression is deduced from strike-slip faults (stage 3). Stars in stereonets correspond to the principal stress axes: $\sigma_1$ (five branches), $\sigma_2$ (four branches), and $\sigma_3$ (three branches). Arrows show the trend of the horizontal principal stresses computed (solid arrows) or inferred (open arrows) from fracture analysis. Dashed line is for the bedding plane.
Figure 5. Major normal fault of the passive margin in the wadi Raykhut, Hasik graben (view toward the west). The fault strikes N50°E, dips toward the north of ~70°, and its vertical offset exceeds 500 m (see cross section in Figure 4).

Figure 6. Stress fields recorded in the Eocene to Early Miocene formations of the Ashawq graben and Salalah region. Two directions of extension are inferred from fault slip data: a N150°E-N160°E direction (stage 1) and a N30°-N40°E direction (stage 2). The sites Salala1 to Salala22 are recomputed from Lepvrier et al. (2002).

Figure 7. Stress fields recorded in the Eocene to Early Miocene formations of the Shuwaymiyah and Sharbithat areas. Two main directions of extension representative of the regional deformation are documented by fault slip data: a N150°E-N160°E direction and a N-S to N25°E direction. Two directions of extension nearly perpendicular to the previous ones, N60°E and N140°E, respectively, are considered to reflect local permutations between the $\sigma_2$ and $\sigma_3$ stress axes.

Figure 8. Stress fields recorded in the Oligocene to Early-Middle Miocene Shuwayr and Warak formations of Ra’s Madrakah. Two main directions of extension are documented: N150°E-N160°E and N20°E-N30°E. A N110°E-N120°E direction of extension perpendicular to the N20°E-N30°E is interpreted in terms of permutations between $\sigma_2$ and $\sigma_3$. A late NE-SW direction of compression is documented by conjugate strike-slip faults.

Figure 9. Synthesis of onland deformation on the northeastern margin of the Gulf of Aden. Three successive episodes of deformation are recognized: a N150°E-N160°E extension, a N-S to N30°E extension, and a strike-slip deformation with $\sigma_1$ trending NE-SW. Perpendicular directions of extension reflect permutations between the $\sigma_2$ and $\sigma_3$ stress axes.
Figure 10. Structural map of the northeastern passive margin of the Gulf of Aden established from swath-bathymetric and seismic data offshore (d’Acremont, 2002), and geological maps onshore (same legend as Figure 2). In the offshore domain, the bathymetric map from multibeam soundings recorded during the Encens-Sheba cruise (Leroy et al., 2004) is superimposed on the bathymetric map of Sandwell and Smith (1997). The normal faults of the lower margin (offshore) are linear and trend N110°E-120°E, while the normal faults of the upper margin (onshore) are sigmoidal with a mean N75°E trend. Insert show fault pattern for experimental clay model of oblique rifting, with a rifting obliquity of 45° as in the Gulf of Aden (after Clifton et al., 2000). A and B; interpretative crustal-scale sections of the western and eastern segments of the Oman passive margin. Major faults (bold) correspond to the main topographic escarpments of the margins. Geometries of faults at depth and Moho are speculative.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stratigraphy</th>
<th>Ma</th>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Formations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Upper Miocene</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>FARS</td>
<td>Adawnib Ghubbarah</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle Miocene</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td>- - Warak -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower Miocene</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>DHOFAR</td>
<td>Mughsayl Shuwayr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oligocene</td>
<td>34</td>
<td></td>
<td>Nakhlit Mb Shizar Mb Ashawq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
<td>Zalumah</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eocene</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>HADHRAMAUT</td>
<td>Aydim</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
<td>Dammam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>53</td>
<td></td>
<td>Rus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paleocene</td>
<td>65</td>
<td></td>
<td>Umm Er Radhuma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper Cretaceous</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>DHALQUT</td>
<td>Sarfait</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Figure 8
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AGE</th>
<th>FORMATION</th>
<th>STAGE 1</th>
<th>STAGE 2</th>
<th>STAGE 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Early-Middle</td>
<td>WARAK</td>
<td><img src="image1" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image2" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image3" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miocene</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Oligocene - Early Miocene</td>
<td><img src="image4" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image5" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image6" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SHUWAYR and MUGHSAYL</td>
<td><img src="image7" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image8" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image9" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early Oligocene</td>
<td>ASHAWQ</td>
<td><img src="image10" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image11" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image12" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Priabonian</td>
<td>AYDIM</td>
<td><img src="image13" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image14" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image15" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lutetian to</td>
<td>DAMMAM</td>
<td><img src="image16" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image17" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image18" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barremian</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thanetian - Ypresian</td>
<td>UMM ER RADHUMA</td>
<td><img src="image19" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image20" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image21" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late Cretaceous</td>
<td>DALQHUT</td>
<td><img src="image22" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image23" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image24" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 9