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Abstract
This technical report yields detailed calculations of the paper [1] which have been however automated since (see [2]). It deals with the stability analysis of various finite difference schemes for Maxwell–Debye and Maxwell–Lorentz equations. This work gives a systematic and rigorous continuation to Petropoulos previous work [6].

1 Introduction
We address the stability study of finite difference schemes for Maxwell–Debye and Maxwell–Lorentz models. To this aim we selected the same schemes as those already studied by Petropoulos [6], who after having correctly defined characteristic polynomials associated to each scheme, merely computed its roots with a numerical algorithm. This implies having to specify values for the physical parameters which occur in the models as well for the time and space steps chosen for the discretization. The analysis has therefore to be carried out anew for each new material or discretization. We perform here a von Neumann analysis on the characteristic polynomials in their literal form, which yields once and for all stability conditions which are valid for all materials.

1.1 Maxwell–Debye and Maxwell–Lorentz Models
Let us consider Maxwell equations without magnetisation

\[(\text{Faraday}) \quad \partial_t \mathbf{B}(t, x) = - \text{curl} \mathbf{E}(t, x),\]
\[(\text{Ampère}) \quad \partial_t \mathbf{D}(t, x) = \frac{1}{\mu_0} \text{curl} \mathbf{B}(t, x),\] (1)

where \(x \in \mathbb{R}^N\). This system is closed by the constitutive law of the material

\[\mathbf{D}(t, x) = \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_\infty \mathbf{E}(t, x) + \varepsilon_0 \int_0^t \mathbf{E}(t - \tau, x) \chi(\tau) d\tau,\] (2)

where \(\varepsilon_\infty\) is the relative permittivity at the infinite frequency and \(\chi\) the linear susceptibility. If we discretize the integral equation (2), we obtain what is called a recursive scheme (see e.g. [3], [4]).

We can also differentiate Eq. (2) to obtain a time-differential equation for \(\mathbf{D}\) which depends on the specific form of \(\chi\). For a Debye medium, this differential equation reads

\[t_r \partial_t \mathbf{D} + \mathbf{D} = t_r \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_\infty \partial_t \mathbf{E} + \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_s \mathbf{E},\] (3)

where \(t_r\) is the relaxation time and \(\varepsilon_s\) the static relative permittivity. We can derive an equivalent form dealing with the polarisation polarisation \(\mathbf{P}(t, x) = \mathbf{D}(t, x) - \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_\infty \mathbf{E}(t, x)\), namely

\[t_r \partial_t \mathbf{P} + \mathbf{P} = \varepsilon_0 (\varepsilon_s - \varepsilon_\infty) \mathbf{E}.\] (4)
For a Lorentz medium with one resonant frequency $\omega_1$, we have similarly
\[ \partial_t^2 D + \nu \partial_t D + \omega_1^2 D = \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_\infty \partial_t^2 E + \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_\infty \nu \partial_t E + \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_\infty \omega_1^2 E, \tag{5} \]
where $\nu$ is a damping coefficient and
\[ \partial_t^2 P + \nu \partial_t P + \omega_1^2 P = \varepsilon_0 (\varepsilon_n - \varepsilon_\infty) \omega_1^2 E. \tag{6} \]
Denoting by $J$ the time derivative of $P$, Maxwell system (1) can be cast as
\[ \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_\infty \partial_t E(t, x) = \frac{1}{\mu_0} \text{curl} B(t, x) - J(t, x). \tag{7} \]

1.2 Yee Scheme

To discretize Maxwell equations in a passive medium ($J = 0$), we use Yee scheme, which consists in staggering space and time discretization grids for the different fields. We denote by $c_\infty = 1/\sqrt{\varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_\infty \mu_0}$ the light speed at infinite frequency. If the space step $\delta x$ is the same in all directions and $\delta t$ is the time step, the CFL condition is $c_\infty \delta t/\delta x \leq 1$ in space dimension $N = 1$ and $c_\infty \delta t/\delta x \leq 1/\sqrt{2}$ for $N = 2$ or 3. In dimension 1, we can for example only consider fields $E \equiv E_x$ et $B \equiv B_y$ which discrete equivalents are $E^n_j \approx E(n \delta t, j \delta x)$ (with similar notations for $D \equiv D_x$) and $B^{n+\frac{1}{2}}_{j+\frac{1}{2}} \approx B((n + \frac{1}{2}) \delta t, (j + \frac{1}{2}) \delta x)$. Yee scheme for the initial Maxwell system (1) in variables $E$, $B$ and $D$ therefore reads
\[ \frac{1}{\delta t} (B^{n+\frac{1}{2}}_{j+\frac{1}{2}} - B^{n-\frac{1}{2}}_{j+\frac{1}{2}}) = -\frac{1}{\delta x} (E^n_{j+1} - E^n_j), \tag{8} \]
\[ \frac{1}{\delta t} (D^{n+1} - D^n) = -\frac{1}{\mu_0 \delta x} (B^{n+\frac{1}{2}}_{j+\frac{1}{2}} - B^{n+\frac{1}{2}}_{j-\frac{1}{2}}). \]

In the same way, for Maxwell system (1) in variables $E$, $B$ and $J$, we have the Yee discretization
\[ \frac{1}{\delta t} (B^{n+\frac{1}{2}}_{j+\frac{1}{2}} - B^{n-\frac{1}{2}}_{j+\frac{1}{2}}) = -\frac{1}{\delta x} (E^n_{j+1} - E^n_j), \tag{9} \]
\[ \frac{\varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_\infty}{\delta t} (E^{n+1}_j - E^n_j) = -\frac{1}{\mu_0 \delta x} (B^{n+\frac{1}{2}}_{j+\frac{1}{2}} - B^{n+\frac{1}{2}}_{j-\frac{1}{2}}) - J^{n+\frac{1}{2}}_j. \]

For the matter equations, we address ”direct integration” schemes which discretize the differential equations (3)–(6) (see [4], [3], [2]).

Before describing and analysing the schemes one by one, we give below the principle of the von Neumann analysis which allows us to study their stability.

2 Principles of the von Neumann Analysis

2.1 Schur and von Neumann polynomials

We define two families of polynomials: Schur and simple von Neumann polynomials.

**Definition 1** A polynomial is a Schur polynomial if all its roots $r$ satisfy $|r| < 1$.

**Definition 2** A polynomial is a simple von Neumann polynomial if all its roots $r$ belong to the unit disk ($|r| \leq 1$) and all the roots of modulus 1 are simple roots.
It may be difficult to localise roots of a polynomial with complicated coefficients. On the other hand, we can turn this difficult problem into the solving of many simpler small problems. To this aim, we construct a polynomial series with strictly decreasing degree. To a polynomial \( \phi \) defined by
\[
\phi(z) = c_0 + c_1 z + \cdots + c_p z^p,
\]
where \( c_0, c_1, \ldots, c_p \in \mathbb{C} \) and \( c_p \neq 0 \), we associate its conjugate polynomial \( \phi^* \) which reads
\[
\phi^*(z) = c_p^* + c_{p-1}^* z + \cdots + c_0^* z^p.
\]
Given a polynomial \( \phi_0 \), we can define a series of polynomials by recursion
\[
\phi_{m+1}(z) = \frac{\phi_m^*(0)\phi_m(z) - \phi_m(0)\phi_m^*(z)}{z}.
\]
This series is finite since it is clearly strictly degree decreasing: \( \text{deg}\phi_{m+1} < \text{deg}\phi_m \), if \( \phi_m \neq 0 \). Besides, we have the following two theorems at our disposal.

**Theorem 1** A polynomial \( \phi_m \) is a Schur polynomial of exact degree \( d \) if and only if \( \phi_{m+1} \) is a Schur polynomial of exact degree \( d - 1 \) and \( |\phi_m(0)| < |\phi_m^*(0)| \).

**Theorem 2** A polynomial \( \phi_m \) is a simple von Neumann polynomial if and only if \( \phi_{m+1} \) is a simple von Neumann simple polynomial and \( |\phi_m(0)| < |\phi_m^*(0)| \), or \( \phi_{m+1} \) is identically zero and \( \phi_m^* \) is a Schur polynomial.

To localise roots of \( \phi_0 \) in the unit disk or not, we only have to check conditions at each step \( m \) (non zero leading coefficient, \( |\phi_m(0)| < |\phi_m^*(0)|, \ldots \)) until we obtain a negative answer or a polynomial of degree 1.

The proofs of the above results are based on Rouché theorem and are given in [7].

### 2.2 Stability Analysis

The models we consider are linear. They can therefore be analysed in the frequency domain. Hence we assume that the scheme deals with a variable \( U_{n+1}^j \) with space dependency in the form
\[
U_{n+1}^j = U^n \exp(i\xi \cdot j),
\]
where \( \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N \), \( N = 1, 2, 3 \). Let \( G \) be the matrix such that \( U^{n+1} = GU^n \) and we assume it does not depend on time, nor on \( \delta x \) and \( \delta t \) separately but only on the ratio \( \delta x/\delta t \). Let \( \phi_0 \) be the characteristic polynomial of \( G \), then we have the following sufficient stability condition.

**Theorem 3** A sufficient stability condition is that \( \phi_0 \) is a simple von Neumann polynomial.

This condition is not a necessary one. The stability is linked to the fact that \( U^n = G^n U^0 \) and corresponds to the boundedness of the iterates \( G^n \) of the matrix \( G \). The case of multiple unit modulus roots can give rise to iterates of \( G \) which are bounded (e.g. for the identity matrix) or not. For example
\[
\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ is bounded, and } \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & n \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ is not bounded.}
\]

This case occurs for the schemes we are dealing with and have to be treated separately, without the help of the von Neumann analysis, which handles characteristic polynomials and not the matrices they stem from, which induces a loss of information.
3 Debye Type Media

For Debye type media, we study two schemes. The first one is due to Joseph et al. and consists in coupling Maxwell equations in variables $E$, $B$ and $D$ with the Debye model linking $E$ and $D$. The second is due to Young and couples Maxwell equations in variables $E$, $B$ and $J$ with the Debye model linking $E$, $P$ and $J$.

3.1 Joseph et al. Model

3.1.1 Model Setting

Maxwell system (8) is closed by a discretization of the Debye model (3), namely

$$
\varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_\infty t_r \frac{E_{j}^{n+1} - E_j^n}{\delta t} + \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_s \frac{E_{j}^{n+1} + E_j^n}{2} = t_r \frac{D_{j}^{n+1} - D_j^n}{\delta t} + \frac{D_{j}^{n+1} + D_j^n}{2}.
$$

(10)

System (3)–(11) deals with the variable

$$
U_j^n = \left( c_\infty B_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^{n-\frac{1}{2}}, E_j^n, D^n_j / \varepsilon_\infty \right)^t = \left( B_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^{n-\frac{1}{2}}, E^n_j, D^n_j \right)^t
$$

and reads

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{B}_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} - \mathcal{B}_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} &= -c_\infty \frac{\delta t}{\delta x}(\mathcal{E}_{j+1}^n - \mathcal{E}_j^n), \\
\mathcal{D}^{n+1}_j - \mathcal{D}^n_j &= -c_\infty \frac{\delta t}{\delta x}(\mathcal{B}_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} - \mathcal{B}_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{n+\frac{1}{2}}), \\
\mathcal{E}_{j+1}^{n+1} - \mathcal{E}_j^n + \frac{\varepsilon_s}{\varepsilon_\infty} \frac{\delta t}{2t_r}(\mathcal{E}_{j+1}^n + \mathcal{E}_j^n) &= D_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^{n+1} - D_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^n + \frac{\delta t}{2t_r}(D_{j+1}^{n+1} + D_j^n).
\end{align*}
$$

We see that this formulation contains dimensionless parameters:

$$
\begin{align*}
\lambda &= c_\infty \frac{\delta t}{\delta x}, & \text{CFL constant}, \\
\delta &= \frac{\delta t}{2t_r}, & \text{normalised time step}, \\
\varepsilon' &= \varepsilon_s / \varepsilon_\infty, & \text{normalised static permittivity}.
\end{align*}
$$

We write this system into the explicit form

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{B}_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} &= \mathcal{B}_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} - \lambda (\mathcal{E}_{j+1}^n - \mathcal{E}_j^n), \\
\mathcal{D}^{n+1}_j &= \mathcal{D}^n_j - \lambda (\mathcal{B}_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} - \mathcal{B}_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{n-\frac{1}{2}}) + \lambda^2 (\mathcal{E}_{j+1}^n - \mathcal{E}_j^n - 2\mathcal{E}_{j-1}^n), \\
(1 + \delta \varepsilon'_s)\mathcal{E}_{j+1}^{n+1} &= (1 - \delta \varepsilon'_s)\mathcal{E}_j^n + (1 + \delta)\lambda^2 (\mathcal{E}_{j+1}^n - 2\mathcal{E}_j^n + \mathcal{E}_{j-1}^n) \\
&+(1 + \delta) \lambda (\mathcal{B}_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} - \mathcal{B}_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{n-\frac{1}{2}}) + 2\delta \mathcal{D}^n_j,
\end{align*}
$$

which yields the amplification matrix

$$
G = \begin{pmatrix}
-\lambda(e^{i\xi} - 1) & 0 & 0 \\
-\lambda(1 - e^{-i\xi}) & \frac{\varepsilon_s}{\varepsilon_\infty} & 1 + \delta \\
\lambda^2(e^{i\xi} - 2 + e^{-i\xi}) & 1 & 1 + \delta \varepsilon'_s
\end{pmatrix}.
$$

We set $\sigma = \lambda(e^{i\xi} - 1)$ and $q = |\sigma|^2 = -\lambda^2(e^{i\xi} - 2 + e^{-i\xi}) = 4\lambda^2 \sin^2(\xi/2)$. With these notations $G$ reads

$$
G = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & -\lambda(e^{i\xi} - 1) & 0 \\
(1 + \delta)\varepsilon'_s & 0 & 2\delta \varepsilon'_s \\
\lambda^2(e^{i\xi} - 2 + e^{-i\xi}) & 1 + \delta \varepsilon'_s & 1
\end{pmatrix}.
$$
3.1.2 Computation of the Characteristic Polynomial

The characteristic polynomial of \( G \) is equal to

\[
P(Z) = \frac{1}{1 + \delta \varepsilon_s^*} \left| \begin{array}{c|c}
Z - 1 & -(1 + \delta)\sigma^* \\
-(1 + \delta\varepsilon_s') & (1 - \delta \varepsilon_s^*)Z - (1 - \delta \varepsilon_s' + (1 + \delta)q) - 2\delta \\
\sigma & q \\
\hline
0 & Z - 1
\end{array} \right|
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{1 + \delta \varepsilon_s^*} \left( (Z - 1) \left( (1 + \delta\varepsilon_s')Z - (1 - \delta \varepsilon_s' + (1 + \delta)q) - 2\delta \\
\right. \right. \\
\left. \left. + q \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \delta & -\delta \\
1 & Z - 1
\end{pmatrix} \right) \right)
\]

The characteristic polynomial is proportional to

\[
\phi_0(Z) = [1 + \delta \varepsilon_s']Z^2 - [3 + \delta \varepsilon_s' - (1 + \delta)q]Z^2 + [3 - \delta \varepsilon_s' - (1 - \delta)q]Z - [1 - \delta \varepsilon_s']^2.
\]

3.1.3 Von Neumann Analysis

From the polynomial \( \phi_0 \), we perform the recursive construction of the above-mentioned series of polynomials. We therefore define

\[
\phi_0(Z) = [1 + \delta \varepsilon_s']Z^2 - [3 + \delta \varepsilon_s' - (1 + \delta)q]Z^2 + [3 - \delta \varepsilon_s' - (1 - \delta)q]Z - [1 - \delta \varepsilon_s']^2.
\]

The condition \(|\phi_0(0)| < |\phi_0^*(0)|\) is valid. We define by recursion

\[
\phi_1(Z) = \frac{1}{Z} \{ \phi_0^*(0)\phi_0(Z) - \phi_0(0)\phi_0^*(Z) \}
\]

\[
= 2\delta \{ 2\varepsilon_s'Z^2 - [4\varepsilon_s' - (\varepsilon_s' + 1)q]Z + [2\varepsilon_s' - (\varepsilon_s' - 1)q] \},
\]

\[
\phi_1^*(Z) = 2\delta \{ 2\varepsilon_s'Z^2 - [4\varepsilon_s' - (\varepsilon_s' + 1)q]Z + [2\varepsilon_s' - (\varepsilon_s' - 1)q] \}.
\]

Since \( \varepsilon_s \geq \varepsilon_\infty \), we have \( \varepsilon_s' \geq 1 \) and the quantity \( \varepsilon_s' - 1 \) is nonnegative. If \( q = 0 \) or \( \varepsilon_s' = 1 \), we have exactly \( |\phi_1(0)| = |\phi_1^*(0)| \), and these specific cases have to be treated separately (see below). In the opposite case, condition \(|\phi_1(0)| < |\phi_1^*(0)|\) reverts to \( (\varepsilon_s' - 1)q < 4\varepsilon_s' \). It is reasonable to assume we will not obtain a better result than with the raw Yee scheme \((\lambda \leq 1)\) and therefore \( q \in [0, 4] \). In that case, and provided \( q \neq 0 \), we do have \(|\phi_1(0)| < |\phi_1^*(0)|\). Moreover the degree of polynomial \( \phi_1 \) is 2. Last

\[
\phi_2(Z) = \frac{1}{Z} \{ \phi_1^*(0)\phi_1(Z) - \phi_1(0)\phi_1^*(Z) \}
\]

\[
= 4\delta^2(\varepsilon_s' - 1)q \left( [4\varepsilon_s' - (\varepsilon_s' + 1)q]Z - (4\varepsilon_s' - (\varepsilon_s' - 1)q) \right).
\]

Always in the case when \( \varepsilon_s' > 1 \) and \( q \in [0, 4] \), the leading coefficient

\[
4\varepsilon_s' - (\varepsilon_s' - 1)q = \varepsilon_s'(4 - q) + q \neq 0,
\]

and is degree of \( \phi_2 \) is 1. The root of \( \phi_2 \) is

\[
Z = \frac{4\varepsilon_s' - (\varepsilon_s' + 1)q}{4\varepsilon_s' - (\varepsilon_s' - 1)q}.
\]

The modulus of this root is strictly lower than 1 if \( q \neq 4 \) and therefore \( \phi_2 \) and hence \( \phi_0 \) are Schur polynomials thanks to Theorem \( \text{[ ]} \). If \( q = 4 \), the root of \( \phi_2 \) is \(-1\) and \( \phi_2 \) and hence \( \phi_0 \) are simple von Neumann polynomials thanks to Theorem \( \text{[ ]} \). In both cases, we obtain the stability with the only assumption \( \lambda < 1 \), provided we treat the above-mentioned special cases.
3.1.4 Case \( q = 0 \)

The case when \( q = 0 \) corresponds to the characteristic polynomial

\[
\phi_0(Z) = (Z - 1)^2([1 + \delta \varepsilon_s]Z - [1 - \delta \varepsilon_s])
\]

which is not a simple von Neumann one. We shall therefore study the amplification matrix directly, which is then simply

\[
G = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 - \delta \varepsilon_s & 0 \\
0 & 1 + \delta \varepsilon_s & 1 + \delta \varepsilon_s
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

We clearly see that the eigenvectors corresponding to the eigenvalue 1 are in two stable eigensubspaces. The other eigenvalue has a modulus strictly lower than 1. Iterates of this matrix are therefore bounded. This conclusion is valid for all \( \varepsilon_s' \geq 1 \).

3.1.5 Case \( \varepsilon_s' = 1 \)

The case when \( \varepsilon_s' = 1 \) gives rise to a breaking of condition \( |\phi_1(0)| < |\phi_s^*(0)| \). We shall therefore study directly the nature of \( \phi_1 \) without carrying recursion over. We have

\[
\phi_1(Z) = 4\delta \{Z^2 - [2 - q]Z + 1\},
\]

which determinant is \( q(q - 4) \) and is therefore negative if \( q \in ]0, 4[ \). The roots of \( \phi_1 \) are therefore complex conjugate, distinct and their modulus is 1 (their product is equal to 1). The polynomial \( \phi_1 \) is therefore a simple von Neumann one, and \( \phi_0 \) also.

3.1.6 Case \( q = 4 \)

The last case we have to treat is \( \varepsilon_s' = 1 \) and \( q = 4 \), where \(-1\) is a double root of \( \phi_1 \). It is also a double root of \( \phi_0 \) which reads

\[
\phi_0(Z) = (Z + 1)^2([1 + \delta]Z - [1 - \delta]).
\]

Hence we study directly the amplification matrix which reads simply

\[
G = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & -\sigma & 0 \\
\sigma^* & 1 + \delta & q \\
\sigma^* & \frac{1 - \delta}{1 + \delta} & \frac{2\delta}{1 + \delta}
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

There is no trivial splitting in two distinct eigensubspaces. We compute the eigenvectors associated to the eigenvalue \(-1\). To this aim we solve

\[(G + \text{Id})V = \begin{pmatrix}
2 & -\sigma & 0 \\
\sigma^* & \frac{2}{1 + \delta} - q & \frac{2\delta}{1 + \delta} \\
\sigma^* & -q & 2
\end{pmatrix} V = 0 \iff \begin{pmatrix}
2 & -\sigma & 0 \\
0 & 1 & -1 \\
\sigma^* & -q & 2
\end{pmatrix} V = 0
\]

and we only find one eigendirection, that of \( V = (\sigma, 2, 2)^t \). A minimal two-dimensional eigensubspace is therefore associated to the eigenvalue \(-1\) and iterates \( G^n \) are linearly increasing with \( n \). Hence we conclude to instability when \( q = 4 \) and \( \varepsilon_s' = 1 \).
3.1.7 Synthesis for the Debye–Joseph et al. Model

The scheme (8)–(10) for the one-dimensional Maxwell–Debye equation is stable with the condition

\[ \delta t \leq \delta x/c_\infty \text{ if } \varepsilon_s > \varepsilon_\infty \quad \text{and} \quad \delta t < \delta x/c_\infty \text{ if } \varepsilon_s = \varepsilon_\infty. \]

We have already seen in this first example different types of arguments to conclude to stability: the generic case \((q \in [0, 4[ \text{ and } \varepsilon_s > 1)\) gives rise to a Schur polynomial \(\text{via Theorem } 2\), the cases \(q \in [0, 4[ \text{ and } \varepsilon_s' = 1 \text{ or } q = 4 \text{ and } \varepsilon_s' > 1\) to a simple von Neumann polynomial \(\text{via Theorem } 2\); and last, the case \(q = 0\) to a (not simple) von Neumann polynomial, but with a double eigenvalue that operates on two stable and distinct eigensubspaces. We have also encountered an unstable case when \(\varepsilon_s' = 1\) and \(q = 4\) which nevertheless corresponds to a (non simple) von Neumann polynomial.

3.2 Young Model

3.2.1 Model Setting

Maxwell system (9) is closed by two discretizations of Debye equation (4), namely

\[ \begin{aligned}
&3.1.7 \quad \text{Synthesis for the Debye–Joseph et al. Model} \\
&3.2 \quad \text{Young Model} \\
&3.2.1 \quad \text{Model Setting} \\
&\text{Maxwell system (9) is closed by two discretizations of Debye equation (4), namely} \\
&\begin{align*}
&\frac{P_j^{n+\frac{1}{2}} - P_j^{n-\frac{1}{2}}}{\delta t} = -\frac{P_j^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + P_j^{n-\frac{1}{2}}}{2} + \varepsilon_0(\varepsilon_s - \varepsilon_\infty)E_j^n, \\
&\text{and} \\
&t_j B_j^{n+\frac{1}{2}} = -P_j^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \varepsilon_0(\varepsilon_s - \varepsilon_\infty)E_j^{n+1} + E_j^n. \\
\end{align*}
&\end{aligned} \]

Although we make use of \(B_j^{n+\frac{1}{2}}\) in the description of the scheme, this is not a genuine variable and the system (9)–(11)–(12) deals with the variable

\[ U_j^n = (c_\infty B_j^{n+\frac{1}{2}}, E_j^n, P_j^{n-\frac{1}{2}}/\varepsilon_\infty)^t = (B_j^{n+\frac{1}{2}}, E_j^n, P_j^{n-\frac{1}{2}})^t, \]

and reads

\[ \begin{aligned}
&B_j^{n+\frac{1}{2}} - B_j^{n-\frac{1}{2}} = -\lambda(E_{j+1} - E_j^n), \\
&E_j^{n+1} - E_j^n = -\lambda(B_j^{n+\frac{1}{2}} - B_j^{n-\frac{1}{2}}) + 2\delta P_j^{n+\frac{1}{2}} - \delta\alpha(B_{j+1} + E_j^n), \\
&P_j^{n+\frac{1}{2}} - P_j^{n-\frac{1}{2}} = -\delta(P_j^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + P_j^{n-\frac{1}{2}}) + 2\delta\alpha E_j^n.
\end{aligned} \]

In this system, apart from the notations \(\lambda, \delta\) which we have already defined, we have introduced the dimensionless parameter \(\alpha = \varepsilon_s' - 1\) which is , as we already mentioned, a non negative parameter. We rewrite this system in the explicit form

\[ \begin{aligned}
&B_j^{n+\frac{1}{2}} = B_j^{n-\frac{1}{2}} - \lambda(E_{j+1} - E_j^n), \\
&(1 + \delta\alpha)E_j^{n+1} = (1 - \delta\alpha)E_j^n - \lambda(B_j^{n+\frac{1}{2}} - B_j^{n-\frac{1}{2}}) + \lambda^2(E_{j+1} - 2E_j^n + E_{j-1}^n) \\
&\quad + 2\delta \frac{1 - \delta}{1 + \delta} P_j^{n-\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{4\delta^2\alpha}{1 + \delta} E_j^n, \\
&(1 + \delta)P_j^{n+\frac{1}{2}} = (1 - \delta)P_j^{n-\frac{1}{2}} + 2\delta\alpha E_j^n, \\
\end{aligned} \]

from which stems the amplification matrix

\[ G = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & -\sigma \frac{(1 + \delta)(1 - \delta\alpha) + 4\delta^2\alpha - (1 + \delta)\sigma}{1 + \delta} \\
\frac{(1 + \delta)(1 - \delta\alpha) + 4\delta^2\alpha - (1 + \delta)\sigma}{1 + \delta} & 0 \\
0 & \frac{1 - \delta}{1 + \delta} \\
\frac{1 - \delta}{1 + \delta} & \frac{2\delta}{1 + \delta} \\
\frac{2\delta}{1 + \delta} & \frac{1 - \delta}{1 + \delta} \\
\frac{1 - \delta}{1 + \delta} & \frac{4\delta^2\alpha}{1 + \delta} \\
\frac{4\delta^2\alpha}{1 + \delta} & \frac{1 - \delta}{1 + \delta}
\end{pmatrix}. \]
3.2.2 Computation of the Characteristic Polynomial

The characteristic polynomial $G$ is

$$P(Z) = \begin{vmatrix} Z - 1 & -\sigma & 0 \\ -\sigma & Z - \frac{(1+\delta)(1-\delta\alpha)+4\delta^2\alpha-(1+\delta)q}{(1+\delta)(1+\delta\alpha)} & -\frac{1-\delta}{1+\delta} \\ 0 & -\frac{2\delta}{1+\delta} & Z - \frac{1-\delta}{1+\delta} \end{vmatrix}.$$ 

To reduce computations, we set $Y = Z - 1$, which yields

$$(1 + \delta)^2(1 + \delta\alpha)P(Z) = \begin{vmatrix} Y & \sigma & 0 \\ -\sigma & (1 + \delta)(1 + \delta\alpha)Y + 2\delta\alpha(1 - \delta) + (1 + \delta)q & -2\delta(1 - \delta) \\ 0 & -2\delta\alpha & (1 + \delta)Y + 2\delta \end{vmatrix}.$$ 

We see that $(1 + \delta)$ is a factor in both sides and therefore

$$(1 + \delta)(1 + \delta\alpha)P(Z) = Y \begin{vmatrix} (1+\delta\alpha)Y + q & (1-\delta)Y & 0 \\ -2\delta\alpha & (1+\delta)Y + 2\delta & 0 \\ 0 & (1+\delta)Y + 2\delta & 1 \end{vmatrix} + q \begin{vmatrix} 1 & -2\delta(1 - \delta) \\ 0 & (1 + \delta)Y + 2\delta \end{vmatrix}.$$ 

The characteristic polynomial is proportional to

$$\phi_0(Z) = [(1 + \delta\alpha)(1 + \delta)]Z^3 - [3 + \delta + \delta\alpha + 3\delta^2\alpha - (1 + \delta)q]Z^2 + [3 - \delta - \delta\alpha + 3\delta^2\alpha - (1 - \delta)q]Z - [(1 - \delta\alpha)(1 - \delta)].$$

3.2.3 Von Neumann Analysis

Condition $|\phi_0(0)| < |\phi_0^*(0)|$ is valid without any assumption. We define by recursion

$$\phi_1(Z) = 2\delta\{[2(1 + \alpha)(1 + \delta^2\alpha)]Z^2 - [4(1 + \alpha)(1 + \delta^2\alpha) - (2 + \alpha + \delta^2\alpha)q]Z + [2(1 + \alpha)(1 + \delta^2\alpha) - \alpha(1 - \delta^2)q]\}.$$ 

The case when $\delta^2 > 1$ does not allow to fulfill the condition $|\phi_1(0)| < |\phi_1^*(0)|$. We will assume therefore for the von Neumann analysis that $\delta < 1$, which bounds the time step with respect to the time delay $t_\tau$. This is reasonable from the point of view of modelling: we cannot approximate the delay equation with too large a time step. Such an assumption was however not necessary for the Joseph et al. scheme.

The equality case $|\phi_1(0)| = |\phi_1^*(0)|$ is obtained when $q = 0, \alpha = 0$ (i.e. $\varepsilon_n^* = 1$) or $\delta = 1$. These cases shall be treated separately again.

If $\alpha > 0, q > 0$ and $\delta < 1$, then $|\phi_1(0)| < |\phi_1^*(0)|$ is equivalent to $\alpha(1 - \delta^2)q < 4(1 + \alpha)(1 + \delta^2\alpha)$, which is clearly true if $q \in [0, 4]$. Besides, the degree of polynomial $\phi_1$ is 2.

In the general case ($\alpha > 0, q \in [0, 4]$ and $\delta < 1$), we then compute $\phi_2$

$$\phi_2(Z) = 4\delta^2\alpha(1 - \delta^2)q\{[4(1 + \alpha)(1 + \delta^2\alpha) - \alpha(1 - \delta^2)q]Z - [4(1 + \alpha)(1 + \delta^2\alpha) - (2 + \alpha + \delta^2\alpha)q]\}.$$
We split the study according to the sign of \( \phi_2(0) \) (\( \phi_2^*(0) \) is clearly always positive) and in both cases \(|\phi_2(0)| < |\phi_2^*(0)|\), for \( q \in [0, 4] \). The root of \( \phi_2 \) therefore belongs to the interval \([-1, 1]\) and \( \phi_0 \) is a Schur polynomial. Hence we obtain the stability with the assumptions \( \lambda < 1 \) and \( \delta < 1 \), provided we treat the above-mentioned specific cases.

### 3.2.4 Case \( q = 0 \)

The case when \( q = 0 \) corresponds to the characteristic polynomial

\[
\phi_0(Z) = (Z - 1)^2(Z - \frac{(1 - \delta)(1 - \delta \alpha)}{(1 + \delta)(1 + \delta \alpha)})
\]

and is not a simple von Neumann one. The amplification matrix reads

\[
G = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{(1+\delta)(1-\delta \alpha)+4\delta^2 \alpha}{(1+\delta)(1+\delta \alpha)} & 0 \\
0 & \frac{\delta \alpha}{1+\delta} & \frac{1-\delta}{1+\delta} \\
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

We clearly see that the eigenvectors corresponding to the eigenvalue 1 are in two stable eigensubspaces. Iterates of this matrix are therefore bounded. This conclusion is once more valid in the limit cases \( \delta = 1 \) and \( \alpha = 0 \).

### 3.2.5 Case \( \epsilon'_s = 1 \)

We notice that \( \phi_0 \) is the same as that for the Joseph et al. model for \( \epsilon'_s = 1 \). Polynomial \( \phi_0 \) is therefore a simple von Neumann polynomial for \( q \neq 4 \) (see above). The value of \( \delta \) does not play any rôle here. This corresponds to different amplification matrices, operating on different sets of variables, the link between both formulations being not straightforward. Case \( q = 4 \) has therefore to be treated anew.

### 3.2.6 Case \( q = 4 \)

If \( q = 4 \), only the case when \( \alpha = 0 \) has not been treated by the general study and \( -1 \) is once more a double eigenvalue

\[
(G + \text{Id})V = \begin{pmatrix}
2 & -\sigma & 0 \\
\sigma^* & 2 - q & 2\sigma_1 \sigma \frac{\delta}{1+\delta} \\
0 & 2\sigma_1 \sigma \frac{\delta}{1+\delta} & 0
\end{pmatrix}V = 0 \iff \begin{pmatrix}
2 & -\sigma & 0 \\
\sigma^* & 2 - q & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 2\sigma_1 \sigma \frac{\delta}{1+\delta}
\end{pmatrix}V = 0,
\]

and the only eigendirection is that of \( V = (\sigma, 2, 0)^t \), which gives rise to linearly increasing iterates \( G^n \) and to instabilities. The fact that \( \delta = 1 \) or not does not play any rôle in this argument.

### 3.2.7 Case \( \delta = 1 \)

There remains to study the case \( \delta = 1 \) for \( q \in [0, 4] \) and \( \alpha > 0 \). Then \( Z = 0 \) is a trivial root of \( \phi_0 \), which simply reads

\[
\phi_0(Z) = 2(1 + \alpha)Z\{Z^2 - [2 - \frac{q}{1 + \alpha}]Z + 1\}.
\]

The discriminant of the second order factor is \( \Delta = \frac{q^2}{(1+\alpha)^2}(q - 4(1 + \alpha)) < 0 \). We therefore have two distinct complex conjugate eigenvalues of modulus 1. Polynomial \( \phi_0 \) is a simple von Neumann polynomial.
3.2.8 Synthesis for the Debye–Young Model

The scheme (9)–(11)–(12) for the one-dimensional Maxwell–Debye equation is stable with the condition

\[ \delta t \leq \min(\delta x/c_\infty, 2t_\nu) \text{ if } \varepsilon_s > \varepsilon_\infty \quad \text{and} \quad \delta t < \delta x/c_\infty \text{ if } \varepsilon_s = \varepsilon_\infty. \]

If \( \varepsilon_s > \varepsilon_\infty \), the stability condition is more restrictive for the Young scheme than for Joseph et al. scheme. The obtained bound is also related to the good approximation of Debye equation.

4 Anharmonic Lorentz Type Media

For Lorentz type media, we study three schemes. The first one is due to Joseph et al. [3] and consists in coupling Maxwell equations in the variables \( E \) or Lorentz type media, we study three schemes. The first one is due to Joseph et al. [3] and consists in coupling Maxwell equations in the variables \( E \), \( B \) and \( D \) with the Lorentz model linking \( E \) and \( D \). The second and third are due to Kashiwa et al. [4] and Young [9] respectively and both couple Maxwell equations in the variables \( E \), \( B \) and \( J \) with the Lorentz model linking \( E \), \( P \) and \( J \). They differ in the choice of the time discretization of \( J \).

We restrict here to the anharmonic case for which the damping \( \nu \) is non-zero. The harmonic case \( (\nu = 0) \) is treated with the same schemes but the analysis happens to be much more technical. To keep proofs readable in the general case we postpone the harmonic case to the next section.

4.1 Joseph et al. Model

4.1.1 Model Setting

Maxwell system [8] is closed by a discretization of the Lorentz equation [1], namely

\[
\begin{align*}
\varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_\infty \frac{E_j^{n+1} - 2E_j^n + E_j^{n-1}}{\delta t^2} + \nu \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_\infty \frac{E_j^{n+1} - E_j^{n-1}}{2\delta t} &= \frac{E_j^{n+1} + E_j^{n-1}}{2} + \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_\infty \omega_1^2 \frac{E_j^{n+1} + E_j^{n-1}}{2} + \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_\infty \omega_1^2 \left( \frac{D_j^{n+1} - D_j^{n-1}}{2\delta t} + \frac{D_j^{n+1} - D_j^{n-1}}{2\delta t} \right).
\end{align*}
\]

The explicit version of system (8)–(13) does not use explicitly the variable \( D_j^{n-1} \). Indeed we can use the explicit formula to compute \( D_j^{n+1} - D_j^n \) and the implicit one to compute \( D_j^n - D_j^{n-1} \) and therefore the system deals with the variable

\[
U_j^n = (c_\infty B_j^{n-\frac{1}{2}}, E_j^n, E_j^{n-1}, D_j^n/\varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_\infty)^t = (B_j^{n-\frac{1}{2}}, E_j^n, E_j^{n-1}, J_j^n)^t
\]

and reads

\[
\begin{align*}
B_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^{n+1} &= B_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} - \lambda (E_{j+1}^n - E_j^n), \\
D_j^{n+1} &= D_j^n - \lambda (B_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} - B_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{n-\frac{1}{2}}) + \lambda^2 (E_{j+1}^n - 2E_j^n + E_{j-1}^n), \\
(1 + \delta + \omega \varepsilon'_s)E_j^{n+1} &= 2E_j^n + (1 + \delta + \omega) \lambda^2 (E_{j+1}^n - 2E_j^n + E_{j-1}^n) - (1 - \delta + \omega \varepsilon'_s)E_j^{n-1} \\
&\quad - 2\lambda (B_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} - B_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{n-\frac{1}{2}}) + 2\omega D_j^n.
\end{align*}
\]

In this system, apart from the already used notations \( \lambda \) and \( \varepsilon'_s \), we have denoted

\[
\begin{align*}
\delta &= \delta t \nu/2, \\
\omega &= \omega^2 \delta t^2/2
\end{align*}
\]

normalised time step, square of the normalised frequency.
The amplification matrix of the system is

\[
G = \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{1}{1 + \delta + \omega \varepsilon_s} & 2 - q (1 + \delta + \omega) & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{2 \delta \sigma^*}{1 + \delta + \omega \varepsilon_s} & 1 + \delta - \omega \varepsilon_s & -1 + \delta + \omega \varepsilon_s & -1 + \delta + \omega \varepsilon_s \\
0 & 0 & -q & 0 \\
\sigma^* & -q & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

4.1.2 Computation of the Characteristic Polynomial

The characteristic polynomial of \(G\) is equal to

\[
P(Z) = \begin{vmatrix}
Z - 1 & \sigma & 0 & 0 \\
-2 \delta \sigma^* & Z - 2 + (1 + \delta + \omega)q & 1 - \delta + \omega \varepsilon_s & -2 \omega \\
0 & -1 & Z & 0 \\
-\sigma^* & q & 0 & Z - 1
\end{vmatrix}.
\]

Therefore

\[
(1 + \delta + \omega \varepsilon_s^*) P(Z) = (Z - 1)^2 (1 - \delta + \omega \varepsilon_s^*) + Z (Z - 1) \{ (1 + \delta + \omega \varepsilon_s^*) Z - 2 + (1 + \delta + \omega)q \} [Z - 1] + 2 \omega q + q Z [2 \delta (Z - 1) + 2 \omega].
\]

The characteristic polynomial is proportional to

\[
\phi_0(Z) = [1 + \delta + \omega \varepsilon_s^*] Z^2 - [4 + 2 \delta + 2 \omega \varepsilon_s^* - (1 + \delta + \omega)q] Z^3 + [6 + 2 \omega \varepsilon_s - 2q] Z^2
\]

\[
- [4 - 2 \delta + 2 \omega \varepsilon_s^* - (1 - \delta + \omega)q] Z + [1 - \delta + \omega \varepsilon_s^*].
\]

4.1.3 Von Neumann Analysis

We successively compute

\[
\phi_1(Z) = 2 \delta \{ 2 [1 + \omega \varepsilon_s^*] Z^2 - [6 + 4 \omega \varepsilon_s^* - (2 + \omega (1 + \varepsilon_s^*))q] Z^2 \\
+ [6 + 2 \omega \varepsilon_s^* - 2q] Z - [2 + \omega (1 + \varepsilon_s^*)q] \}
\]

\[
\phi_2(Z) = 4 \delta^2 \omega \{ 4 \varepsilon_s^* (2 + \omega \varepsilon_s^*) - 4 (\varepsilon_s^* - 1) - \omega (\varepsilon_s^* - 1)^2 q^2 \} Z^2
\]

\[
- [8 \varepsilon_s^* (2 + \omega \varepsilon_s^*) - 4 ((\varepsilon_s^* - 1) - \varepsilon_s^* (2 + \omega \varepsilon_s^*))q + 2 (\varepsilon_s^* - 1)q^2] Z
\]

\[
+ [4 \varepsilon_s^* (2 + \omega \varepsilon_s^*) - 4 (\varepsilon_s^* - 1) (2 + \omega \varepsilon_s^*)q + (2 + \omega (1 + \varepsilon_s^*)) (\varepsilon_s^* - 1)q^2] \},
\]

\[
\phi_3(Z) = 64 \delta^4 \omega^2 (\varepsilon_s^* - 1) (1 + \omega \varepsilon_s^*) q (2 - q) \times
\]

\[
\{ 4 \varepsilon_s^* (2 + \omega \varepsilon_s^*) - (\varepsilon_s^* - 1) (6 + 2 \omega \varepsilon_s^*)q + (\varepsilon_s^* - 1) (1 + \omega) q^2 \} Z
\]

\[
- [4 \varepsilon_s^* (2 + \omega \varepsilon_s^*) - 2 ((\varepsilon_s^* - 1) + \varepsilon_s^* (2 + \omega \varepsilon_s^*))q + (\varepsilon_s^* - 1) q^2] \}.
\]

The root of \(\phi_3\) is

\[
Z = \frac{(2 - q)(2 \varepsilon_s^* (2 + \omega \varepsilon_s^*) - (\varepsilon_s^* - 1)q)}{(2 - q)(2 \varepsilon_s^* (2 + \omega \varepsilon_s^*) - (\varepsilon_s^* - 1)q) + 2 (2 + \omega \varepsilon_s^*)q + (\varepsilon_s^* - 1) (1 + \omega) q^2}.
\]
form on which we easily see that \( Z \) remains of modulus < 1 if \( q \in ]0, 2[ \), which corresponds to the condition for a multi-dimensional Yee scheme \((\lambda \leq 1/\sqrt{2})\). We notice from now on that we shall treat cases \( q = 0 \) and \( q = 2 \) apart because \( \phi_3 \equiv 0 \). In the general case, we have to check the intermediate properties. First, the degree of polynomials \( \phi_1 \) and \( \phi_2 \) is 3 and 2 respectively. The degree of polynomial \( \phi_3 \) is 1 provided \( \varepsilon_s' > 1 \). We shall treat the case \( \varepsilon_s' = 1 \) apart. In the general case \((q \neq 0 \text{ and } \varepsilon_s' > 1)\), there remains to check the estimates between

\[
\begin{align*}
\phi_0(0) &= 1 - \delta + \omega \varepsilon_s', \\
\phi_1(0) &= 2\delta - 2 - \omega (\varepsilon_s' - 1)q, \\
\phi_1'(0) &= 2\delta [2(1 + \omega \varepsilon_s')], \\
\phi_2(0) &= 4\delta^2 \omega [4\varepsilon_s'(2 + \omega \varepsilon_s') - 4(\varepsilon_s' - 1)(2 + \omega \varepsilon_s')q + (2 + \omega(1 + \varepsilon_s'))(\varepsilon_s' - 1)q^2], \\
\phi_2'(0) &= 4\delta^2 \omega [4\varepsilon_s'(2 + \omega \varepsilon_s') - 4(\varepsilon_s' - 1)q - \omega (\varepsilon_s' - 1)^2 q^2].
\end{align*}
\]

It is clear that for \( q \in ]0, 2[ \), we have \(|\phi_0(0)| < |\phi_0'(0)|\) and \(|\phi_1(0)| < |\phi_1'(0)|\). A simple calculation shows that

\[
\begin{align*}
\phi_2'(0) - \phi_2(0) &= 8\delta^2 \omega (1 + \omega \varepsilon_s')(\varepsilon_s' - 1)q(2 - q) > 0.
\end{align*}
\]

We therefore checked all the assumptions. In the general case, \( \phi_0 \) is a Schur polynomial.

### 4.1.4 Case \( q = 0 \)

The case \( q = 0 \) gives anew rise to a separate study. We have

\[
\phi_0(Z) = (Z - 1)^2 [(Z - 1)^2 + \delta (Z^2 - 1) + \omega \varepsilon_s'(Z^2 + 1)].
\]

The corresponding amplification matrix is

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 2 & 1 - \delta + \omega \varepsilon_s' & 2\omega \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

Once more, 1 is a double root but in two distinct eigensubspaces. There remains to check that the other factor of the polynomial, namely

\[
\psi_0(Z) = [1 + \delta + \omega \varepsilon_s']Z^2 - 2Z + [1 - \delta + \omega \varepsilon_s'],
\]

is a Schur (or a simple von Neumann) one. We do have \(|\psi_0(0)| < |\psi_0'(0)|\) and we compute

\[
\psi_1(Z) = 4\delta ([1 + \omega \varepsilon_s']Z - 1).
\]

The modulus of both remaining eigenvalues is strictly less than 1 and iterates of the amplification matrix are bounded. This holds whatever the value of \( \varepsilon_s' \).
4.1.5 Case $q = 2$

In the specific case $q = 2$, $\phi_0$ reads

$$\phi_0(Z) = [1 + \delta + \omega \varepsilon'_s]Z^4 - [2 + 2\omega(\varepsilon'_s - 1)]Z^3 + [2 + 2\omega \varepsilon'_s]Z^2 - [2 + 2\omega(\varepsilon'_s - 1)]Z + [1 - \delta + \omega \varepsilon'_s],$$

which has $\pm i$ as simple roots. This is therefore a good candidate to be a simple von Neumann polynomial. The remains to study the other factor of the polynomial

$$\psi_0(Z) = [1 + \delta + \omega \varepsilon'_s]Z^2 - [2 + 2\omega(\varepsilon'_s - 1)]Z + [1 - \delta + \omega \varepsilon'_s],$$

which has not $\pm i$ as roots. We notice that $|\psi_0(0)| < |\psi_0(0)|$ and compute

$$\psi_1(Z) = 4\delta\{[1 + \omega \varepsilon'_s]Z - [1 + \omega(\varepsilon'_s - 1)]\},$$

which is a Schur polynomial for all $\varepsilon'_s$. Polynomial $\psi_0$ is therefore a Schur polynomial and $\phi_0$ is a simple von Neumann polynomial.

4.1.6 Case $\varepsilon'_s = 1$

If $\varepsilon'_s = 1$, the polynomial $\phi_3$ is identically zero and

$$\phi_2(Z) = 16\delta^2\omega(2 + \omega)\{Z^2 - [2 - q]Z + 1\},$$
$$\phi'_2(Z) = 16\delta^2\omega(2 + \omega)\{2Z - [2 - q]\}.$$

The root of $\phi'_2$ does have a $< 1$ modulus if $q \in [0, 2]$. The polynomial $\phi_0$ is therefore a simple von Neumann polynomial.

4.1.7 Synthesis for the Lorentz–Joseph et al. Model

The scheme \([8]–[13]\) for the one-dimensional anharmonic Maxwell–Lorentz equations is stable with the condition

$$\delta t \leq \delta x/\sqrt{2}c_\infty.$$

4.2 Kashiwa et al. Model

4.2.1 Model Setting

A modified version of Maxwell system \([\text{I}]\) is closed by a discretization of Lorentz equation \([\text{I}]\), namely

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{\delta t} \left( B_{j+1/2}^{n+1/2} - B_{j+1/2}^{n-1/2} \right) &= -\frac{1}{\delta x} \left( E_{j+1}^n - E_j^n \right), \\
\frac{\varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_{\infty}}{\delta t} (E_{j+1}^{n+1} - E_j^n) &= -\frac{1}{\mu_0 \delta x} \left( B_{j+1/2}^{n+1/2} - B_{j+1/2}^{n-1/2} \right) - \frac{1}{\delta t} (P_{j+1}^{n+1} - P_j^n), \\
\frac{1}{\delta t} (P_{j+1}^{n+1} - P_j^n) &= \frac{1}{2} (J_{j+1}^{n+1} + J_j^n), \\
\frac{1}{\delta t} (J_{j+1}^{n+1} - J_j^n) &= -\frac{\nu}{2} (J_{j+1}^{n+1} + J_j^n) + \frac{\omega^2 (\varepsilon_{\infty} - \varepsilon_\infty) \varepsilon_0}{2} (E_{j+1}^{n+1} + E_j^n) - \frac{\omega^2}{2} (P_{j+1}^{n+1} + P_j^n). \tag{14}
\end{align*}
\]

The system \(\text{[14]}\) deals with the variable

$$U_j^n = (c_\infty B_{j+1/2}^{n-1/2}, E_j^n, P_j^n/\varepsilon_{\infty}, \delta t J_j^n/\varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_\infty, \delta t J_j^n/\varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_\infty)^t = (B_{j+1/2}^{n-1/2}, E_j^n, P_j^n, J_j^n)^t.$$
and reads

\[ B_j^{n+\frac{1}{2}} = B_j^{n-\frac{1}{2}} - \lambda(E_{j+1}^n - E_j^n), \]

\[ [1 + \delta + \frac{1}{2} \omega \varepsilon_s'] E_j^{n+1} = [1 + \delta - \frac{1}{2} \omega (\varepsilon_s' - 2)] E_j^n + \lambda^2(1 + \delta + \frac{1}{2} \omega)(E_{j+1}^n - 2 E_j^n + E_{j-1}^n) \]

\[ - \lambda(1 + \delta + \frac{1}{2} \omega)(B_j^{n-\frac{1}{2}} - B_j^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \]

\[ + \omega(\varepsilon_s' - 1) E_j^n + \lambda^2\omega(\varepsilon_s' - 1)(E_{j+1}^n - 2 E_j^n + E_{j-1}^n) + J_j^n, \]

\[ [1 + \delta + \frac{1}{2} \omega \varepsilon_s'] P_j^{n+1} = [1 + \delta + \frac{1}{2} \omega (\varepsilon_s' - 2)] P_j^n - \frac{1}{2} \lambda \omega(\varepsilon_s' - 1)(B_j^{n-\frac{1}{2}} - B_j^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \]

\[ + 2 \omega(\varepsilon_s' - 1) E_j^n + \lambda^2\omega(\varepsilon_s' - 1)(E_{j+1}^n - 2 E_j^n + E_{j-1}^n) - 2 \omega J_j^n, \]

from which stems the amplification matrix

\[
G = \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{1}{D} & \frac{-\sigma}{D} & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{1}{D} & \frac{-\sigma}{D} & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{-\sigma D}{D} & \frac{-\sigma D}{D} & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{-\sigma D}{D} & \frac{-\sigma D}{D} & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix},
\]

where, along with earlier notations, \( D = 1 + \delta + \frac{1}{2} \omega \varepsilon_s' \).

### 4.2.2 Computation of the Characteristic Polynomial

The characteristic polynomial of \( G \) is

\[
P(Z) = \frac{Z - 1}{-\sigma(D - \frac{1}{2} \omega(\varepsilon_s' - 1))} Z - \frac{(1-q)D - (2-q)\frac{1}{2} \omega(\varepsilon_s' - 1)}{D} \frac{-\omega}{D} + 1 \]

\[
\frac{-\sigma D}{D} \frac{-\sigma D}{D} \frac{0}{D} \frac{0}{D}
\]

hence setting \( X = D(Z - 1) \)

\[
D^4 P(Z) = \begin{vmatrix}
X & D\sigma & 0 & 0 \\
-\sigma(D - \frac{1}{2} \omega(\varepsilon_s' - 1)) & X + qD + (2 - q)\frac{1}{2} \omega(\varepsilon_s' - 1) & -\omega & 1 \\
-\sigma D & X + qD & 0 & 0 \\
-\sigma D & (2 - q)\frac{1}{2} \omega(\varepsilon_s' - 1) & X + \omega & 1 \\
0 & 0 & -2X & X + 2D \\
0 & 0 & -2X & X + 2D \\
X & -2D & X + \omega & 1 \\
0 & 2D & X + \omega & 1 \\
0 & 2D & X + \omega & 1
\end{vmatrix}
\]

\[
X \{(X + qD)(X + \omega)(X + 2D) + (2 - q)\frac{1}{2} \omega(\varepsilon_s' - 1) X(X + 2D) - 2X(X + qD)\}
\]

\[+ qD \{ D(X + \omega)(X + 2D) - 2DX - \frac{1}{2} \omega(\varepsilon_s' - 1) X(X + 2D) \}\]
\[
X^4 + [2D - 2 + \omega s'] + (D - \frac{1}{2} \omega s' - 1)q]X^3 + D[2\omega s' + (3D - 2 + \frac{5}{2} \omega - \frac{3}{2} \omega s')]X^2 + D^2[(2D - 2 + 4\omega - \omega s')]q]X + D^3[2\omega q].
\]

The characteristic polynomial is proportional to
\[
\phi_0(Z) = [1 + \delta + \frac{1}{2} \omega s']Z^4 - [4 + 2\delta - (1 + \delta + \frac{1}{2} \omega)q] + [6 - \omega s' + (\omega - 2)q]Z^2
\]

- [4 - 2\delta - (1 - \delta + \frac{1}{2} \omega)q]Z + [1 - \delta + \frac{1}{2} \omega s'].

### 4.2.3 Von Neumann Analysis

We successively compute
\[
\phi_1(Z) = 2\delta [(2 + \omega s')Z^3 - [6 + \omega s' - (2 + \frac{1}{2} \omega s' + 1)]q]Z^2 + [6 - \omega s' - (2 - \omega)q]Z
\]
- [2 - \omega s' + \frac{1}{2} \omega s' - 1)],
\[
\phi_2(Z) = 4\delta^2 \omega [(8s' - (s') - 1)(2 - \omega s')q - \frac{1}{4} \omega(\omega s' - 1)^2]q^2]Z^2
\]
- [16s' - 8s' + (s' - 1)(1 - \frac{1}{2} \omega)q^2]Z
\[
+ [8s' - (s' - 1)(6 + \omega s')q - (s' - 1)(1 - \frac{1}{4} \omega)q^2],
\]
\[
\phi_3(Z) = 4\delta^2 \omega^2 (s' - 1)(4 - q)(2 + \omega s') \times
\]
\[
\times [(32s' - 16(s' - 1)q + (s' - 1)(2 + \omega)q^2]Z - [32s' - 16s' + (s' - 1)(2 - \omega)q^2].
\]

We see that the specific cases \(q = 0\) and \(s' = 1\) which make \(\phi_3\) vanish shall be treated separately. The general case is treated by first checking that \(|\phi_0(0)| < |\phi_0(0)|\), which is obvious. We then notice that \(\phi_1(0) > 0\). The relation \(\phi_1(0) < \phi_1(0)\) is equivalent to \(-\omega (s' - 1)q < 8\), which always holds. As for \(\phi_1(0) < \phi_1(0)\), it can be cast as \(\omega (s' - 1)q < 4s'\omega\), which holds true if \(q \leq 4\). We therefore have \(|\phi_1(0)| < |\phi_1(0)|\) for \(q \in [0, 4]\). We carry on by studying the sign of
\[
\phi_2^2(0) = \delta^2 \omega [4s' - (s' - 1)q][8 + (s' - 1)q] > 0,
\]
and therefore we have to check that \(\phi_2^2(0) + \phi_2(0) > 0\) and \(\phi_2^2(0) - \phi_2(0) > 0\)
\[
\phi_2^2(0) + \phi_2(0) = 2\delta^2 \omega [(s' - 1)q^2 + 32 + 2(s' - 1)(4 - q)^2] > 0,
\]
\[
\phi_2^2(0) - \phi_2(0) = 2\delta^2 \omega (s' - 1)(2 + \omega s')(4 - q) > 0,
\]
if \(q \in [0, 4]\). Last we study \(\phi_3\)
\[
\phi_3^2(0) = 4\delta^2 \omega^2 (s' - 1)(4 - q)(2 + \omega s') \times
\]
\[
\times (32s' - 16(s' - 1)q + (s' - 1)(2 + \omega)q^2]Z - [32s' - 16s' + (s' - 1)(2 - \omega)q^2] > 0.
\]

Hence we show that \(\phi_3\) and therefore \(\phi_0\) is a Schur polynomial if \(q \in [0, 4]\) and there remains to treat the specific cases.
4.2.4 Case \( q = 0 \)

The case \( q = 0 \) has once more to be treated separately. We have

\[
\phi_0(Z) = (Z - 1)^2[(Z - 1)^2 + \delta(Z^2 - 1) + \frac{1}{2} \omega \varepsilon'_s(Z + 1)^2].
\]

The corresponding amplification matrix is

\[
G = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 - \omega \varepsilon'_s & 0 & -1 \\
0 & \omega \varepsilon'_s & 0 & 1 \\
0 & -2\omega \varepsilon'_s & -2\omega & 2 - D \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]

A new 1 is a double eigenvalue in two distinct eigensubspaces. The other factor of the characteristic polynomial, namely

\[
\phi'_0(Z) = [1 + \delta + \frac{1}{2} \omega \varepsilon'_s]Z^2 - [2 - \omega \varepsilon'_s]Z + [1 - \delta + \frac{1}{2} \omega \varepsilon'_s],
\]

should be a Schur (or a simple von Neumann) polynomial. We do have \( |\psi_0(0)| < |\psi'_0(0)| \) and we compute

\[
\psi_1(Z) = 4\delta ([1 + \frac{1}{2} \omega \varepsilon'_s]Z - [1 - \frac{1}{2} \omega \varepsilon'_s]).
\]

Both remaining eigenvalues have a strictly lower to 1 modulus and iterates of the amplification matrix are bounded. This holds even if \( \varepsilon'_s = 1 \).

4.2.5 Case \( q = 4 \)

In the case when \( q = 4 \),

\[
\phi_0(Z) = [1 + \delta + \frac{1}{2} \omega \varepsilon'_s]Z^4 + [2\delta + 2\omega]Z^3 + [-2 - \omega \varepsilon'_s + 4\omega]Z^2 + [-2\delta + 2\omega]Z + [1 - \delta + \frac{1}{2} \omega \varepsilon'_s]
\]

\[
= (Z + 1)^2 \{(1 + \delta + \frac{1}{2} \omega \varepsilon'_s)Z^2 - 2[1 - \omega + \frac{1}{2} \omega \varepsilon'_s]Z + [1 - \delta + \frac{1}{2} \omega \varepsilon'_s]\}.
\]

We have a double root \( Z = -1 \). We therefore have to study the amplification matrix which reads

\[
G = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\sigma^*(D - \frac{1}{2} \omega \varepsilon'_s - 1) & -\sigma & 0 & 0 \\
\sigma^* & \frac{1}{2} \omega \varepsilon'_s & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} \sigma \\
\sigma^* \omega \varepsilon'_s - 1 & -2\omega \varepsilon'_s & -2\omega & 2 - D \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]

Only the vector \((\sigma,2,0,0)^T\) is an eigenvector associated to the eigenvalue \(-1\), and we have increasing iterates for \( G \), whatever the study of the other factor of the characteristic polynomial. The value \( q = 4 \) gives rise to instabilities.

4.2.6 Case \( \varepsilon'_s = 1 \)

If \( \varepsilon'_s = 1 \), the polynomial \( \phi_3 \) is identically zero and we shall study \( \phi_2 \) for \( q \in ]0,4[ \)

\[
\phi_2(Z) = 32\delta^2 \omega \{Z^2 - [2 - q]Z + 1\}.
\]

This polynomial has two distinct complex conjugate roots with unit modulus. Polynomials \( \phi_2 \) and therefore \( \phi_0 \) are both simple von Neumann polynomials.
4.2.7 Synthesis for the Lorentz–Kashiwa Model

The scheme (14) for the one-dimensional anharmonic Maxwell–Lorentz equations is stable with the condition
\[ \delta t < \delta x/c_\infty. \]

4.3 Young Model

4.3.1 Model Setting

The Maxwell system (9) is closed by a discretization of (6), namely
\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{\delta t} (P_{j+1} - P_j) &= J_j^{n+\frac{1}{2}}, \\
\frac{1}{\delta t} (J_j^{n+\frac{1}{2}} - J_j^{n-\frac{1}{2}}) &= -\frac{\nu}{2} (J_j^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + J_j^{n-\frac{1}{2}}) + \omega_1^2 (\varepsilon_s - \varepsilon_\infty) \varepsilon_0 E_j^n - \omega_1^2 P_j^n.
\end{align*}
\]

(15)

The explicit version of system (9)–(15) deals once more with the variable
\[
U_j^n = (c_\infty B_j^{n-\frac{1}{2}}, E_j^n, P_j^n, \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_\infty, \delta t J_j^{n-\frac{1}{2}} / \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_\infty)^t = (B_j^{n-\frac{1}{2}}, E_j^n, P_j^n, J_j^{n-\frac{1}{2}})^t
\]

and reads
\[
\begin{align*}
B_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} &= B_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} - \lambda (E_{j+1}^n - E_j^n), \\
[1 + \delta] E_{j+1}^{n+1} &= [1 + \delta - 2\omega (\varepsilon'_s - 1)] E_j^n + \lambda^2 [1 + \delta] (E_{j+1}^{n+1} - 2E_j^n + E_{j-1}^n) - \lambda (B_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} - B_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{n-\frac{1}{2}}) \\
&+ 2\omega P_j^n - [1 - \delta] J_j^{n-\frac{1}{2}}, \\
[1 + \delta] P_{j+1}^{n+1} &= [1 + \delta - 2\omega] P_j^n + 2\omega (\varepsilon'_s - 1) E_j^n + [1 - \delta] J_j^{n-\frac{1}{2}}, \\
[1 + \delta] J_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} &= [1 - \delta] J_j^{n-\frac{1}{2}} + 2\omega (\varepsilon'_s - 1) E_j^n - 2\omega P_j^n,
\end{align*}
\]

from which stems the amplification matrix
\[
G = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{-\sigma}{(1-q)(1+\delta)-2\omega\alpha} & \frac{2\omega}{1+\delta} & \frac{1-\delta}{1+\delta} \\
0 & \frac{2\omega}{1+\delta} & \frac{1-\delta}{1+\delta} \\
0 & \frac{2\omega}{1+\delta} & \frac{1-\delta}{1+\delta}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

4.3.2 Computation of the Characteristic Polynomial

The characteristic polynomial of G is
\[
P(Z) = \begin{vmatrix}
Z - 1 & -\sigma & 0 \\
-\sigma & Z - \frac{(1-q)(1+\delta)-2\omega\alpha}{1+\delta} & \frac{-2\omega}{1+\delta} \\
0 & \frac{-2\omega}{1+\delta} & Z - \frac{1-\delta}{1+\delta}
\end{vmatrix}
\]

\[= \begin{vmatrix}
Z - 1 & -\sigma & 0 \\
0 & Z - \frac{1-q}{1+\delta} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & Z - \frac{1-\delta}{1+\delta}
\end{vmatrix}.
\]

\[= \begin{vmatrix}
Z - 1 & Z - \frac{1-q}{1+\delta} & Z - \frac{1-\delta}{1+\delta}
\end{vmatrix}.
\]
Therefore setting \( X = (1 + \delta)(Z - 1) \),

\[
(1 + \delta)^4 P(Z) = \begin{vmatrix}
X & (1 + \delta)\sigma & 0 & 0 \\
-\sigma^*(1 + \delta) & X + (1 + \delta)q + 2\omega\alpha & -2\omega & 1 - \delta \\
0 & -2\omega\alpha & X + 2\omega & -(1 - \delta) \\
0 & -2\omega\alpha & 2\omega & X + 2\delta \\
\end{vmatrix}
\]

\[
= \begin{vmatrix}
X & (1 + \delta)\sigma & 0 & 0 \\
-\sigma^*(1 + \delta) & X + (1 + \delta)q & X & 0 \\
0 & -2\omega\alpha & X + 2\omega & -(1 - \delta) \\
0 & 0 & -X & X + 1 + \delta \\
\end{vmatrix}
\]

\[
= X \begin{vmatrix}
X + (1 + \delta)q & X & 0 \\
-2\omega\alpha & X + 2\omega & -(1 - \delta) \\
0 & -X & X + 1 + \delta \\
\end{vmatrix}
\]

\[
= X \{X^3 + [2\delta + 2\omega\varepsilon' + (1 + \delta)q]X^2 + [2(1 + \delta)\omega\varepsilon' + 2(1 + \delta)(\delta + \omega)q]X + [2(1 + \delta)^2\omega q]\} + (1 + \delta)^2q\{X^2 + [2(\delta + \omega)]X + [2(1 + \delta)\omega]\}
\]

\[
= X^4 + X^3[2\delta + 2\omega\varepsilon' + (1 + \delta)q] + X^2[(1 + \delta)(2\omega\varepsilon' + (1 + 3\delta + 2\omega)q)]
\]

\[
+ X[(1 + \delta)^2q(2\delta + 4\omega)] + [2(1 + \delta)^3\omega q].
\]

The characteristic polynomial is proportional to

\[
\phi_0(Z) = [1 + \delta]Z^4 - [4 + 2\delta - 2\omega\varepsilon' - (1 + \delta)q]Z^3 + 2[3 - 2\omega\varepsilon' + (\omega - 1)q]Z^2
\]

\[
- [4 - 2\delta - 2\omega\varepsilon' - (1 - \delta)q]Z + [1 - \delta].
\]

### 4.3.3 Von Neumann Analysis

We successively compute

\[
\phi_1(Z) = 4\delta\{Z^3 - [3 - \omega\varepsilon' - q]Z^2 + [3 - 2\omega\varepsilon' + (\omega - 1)q]Z - [1 - \omega\varepsilon']\},
\]

\[
\phi_2(Z) = (4\delta)^2\omega\{(\varepsilon'_s(2 - \omega\varepsilon''))Z^2 - [2\varepsilon'_s(2 - \omega\varepsilon') + (\varepsilon'_s(\omega - 1) - 1)q]Z
\]

\[
+ [\varepsilon'_s(2 - \omega\varepsilon') - (\varepsilon'_s - 1)q]\},
\]

\[
\phi_3(Z) = (4\delta)^4\omega^2(\varepsilon'_s - 1)[2\varepsilon'_s(2 - \omega\varepsilon') - (\varepsilon'_s - 1)q]Z
\]

\[
- [2\varepsilon'_s(2 - \omega\varepsilon') - (\varepsilon'_s - 1)q - (2 - \omega\varepsilon'q)]\}.
\]

We see that we shall once more treat the cases \( q = 0 \) and \( \varepsilon'_s = 1 \) separately since \( \phi_3 \) is identically zero. Let us check the conditions in the general case. First, \( |\phi_0(0)| < |\phi_0^*(0)| \) clearly holds as well as \( |\phi_1(0)| < |\phi_1^*(0)| \) under the condition \( \omega < 2/\varepsilon'_s \). If \( \varepsilon'_s > 1 \) and \( q \neq 0 \), the condition \( |\phi_2(0)| < |\phi_2^*(0)| \) is equivalent to

\[
(\varepsilon'_s - 1)q < 2\varepsilon'_s(2 - \omega\varepsilon').
\]

If the worst case is \( q = 2 \), we must have \( (\varepsilon'_s - 1)2 < 2\varepsilon'_s(2 - \omega\varepsilon') \), which is equivalent to \( \omega < (\varepsilon'_s + 1)/\varepsilon'_s^2 \). If the worst case is \( q = 4 \), we must have \( (\varepsilon'_s - 1)4 < 2\varepsilon'_s(2 - \omega\varepsilon') \), which is equivalent to \( \omega < 2/\varepsilon'_s^2 \). We wait until the study of \( \phi_3 \) to choose between \( q \leq 2 \) and \( q \leq 4 \). The root of \( \phi_3 \) is

\[
Z = \frac{2\varepsilon'_s(2 - \omega\varepsilon') - (\varepsilon'_s - 1)q - (2 - \omega\varepsilon')q}{2\varepsilon'_s(2 - \omega\varepsilon') - (\varepsilon'_s - 1)q}.
\]
The denominator is positive under the same assumption found to ensure $|\phi_2(0)| < |\phi_2^*(0)|$. If we want $|Z| < 1$, the condition is hence

$$(2 - \omega \varepsilon'_s)q < 4\varepsilon'_s(2 - \omega \varepsilon'_s) - 2(\varepsilon'_s - 1)q.$$ 

If the worst case is $q = 2$, we must have $2(2 - \omega \varepsilon'_s) < 4\varepsilon'_s(2 - \omega \varepsilon'_s) - 4(\varepsilon'_s - 1)$, which is equivalent to $\omega < 2/(2\varepsilon'_s - 1)$. If the worst case is $q = 4$, we must have $4(2 - \omega \varepsilon'_s) < 4\varepsilon'_s(2 - \omega \varepsilon'_s) - 8(\varepsilon'_s - 1)$, which is equivalent to $4\omega \varepsilon'_s(\varepsilon'_s - 1) < 0$, which is false. We therefore choose to take $q \leq 2$ and the successive conditions found are

$$\omega < \frac{2}{\varepsilon'_s}, \quad \omega < \frac{\varepsilon'_s + 1}{\varepsilon'_s^2}, \quad \omega < \frac{2}{2\varepsilon'_s - 1}.$$ 

The more restrictive condition that we have encountered is $\omega < 2/(2\varepsilon'_s - 1)$, this is therefore our final stability condition in addition to $q < 2$, for which $\phi_0$ is a Schur polynomial.

Which are the limiting case we have to study? The three conditions are equivalent if and only if $\varepsilon'_s = 1$. In this case, if $\omega$ has its limit value $\omega = 2$ and $q$ its limit value $q = 2$, we have $\phi_2(Z) \equiv 0$. If $\varepsilon'_s \neq 1$, $q = 2$ and $\omega = 2/(2\varepsilon'_s - 1)$, then the modulus of the root of $\phi_3$ is 1, and we conclude that $\phi_3$ and therefore $\phi_0$ are simple von Neumann polynomials.

### 4.3.4 Case $q = 0$

If $q = 0$, the characteristic polynomial has the double eigenvalue 1

$$\phi_0(Z) = (Z - 1)^2((Z - 1)^2 + \delta(Z^2 - 1) + 2\omega \varepsilon'_s Z).$$

The corresponding amplification matrix is

$$G = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{1 + \delta - 2\alpha}{1 + \delta} & \frac{2\omega}{1 + \delta} & -\frac{1 - \delta}{1 + \delta} \\
0 & \frac{2\omega}{1 + \delta} & \frac{1 + \delta - 2\alpha}{1 + \delta} & \frac{2\omega}{1 + \delta} \\
0 & -\frac{2\omega}{1 + \delta} & \frac{-2\omega}{1 + \delta} & \frac{1 + \delta - 2\alpha}{1 + \delta}
\end{pmatrix}.$$ 

The double eigenvalue operates on two distinct eigensubspaces. We have to study the other factor of the polynomial

$$\psi_0(Z) = [1 + \delta][Z^2 - 2(1 - \omega \varepsilon'_s)]Z + [1 - \delta].$$

We clearly have $|\psi_0(0)| < |\psi_0^*(0)|$. Moreover we compute

$$\psi_1(Z) = 4\delta\{Z - [1 - \omega \varepsilon'_s]\}.$$ 

We recover the condition $\omega < 2/\varepsilon'_s$, under which we have a Schur polynomial. For $\omega = 2/\varepsilon'_s$, we have a simple von Neumann polynomial ($-1$ is a root), which allows to conclude.

### 4.3.5 Case $\varepsilon'_s = 1$

In the case when $\varepsilon'_s = 1$, only the last steps have to be considered. The only problems are the condition $|\phi_2(0)| < |\phi_2^*(0)|$ and a vanishing $\phi_3$. In this specific case,

$$\phi_2(Z) = (4\delta)^2\omega(2 - \omega)\{Z^2 - [2 - q]Z + 1\}.$$ 

If $\omega < 2/\varepsilon'_s$, i.e. $\omega < 2$, this polynomial is identically zero, and

$$\phi'_2(Z) = (4\delta)^2\omega(2 - \omega)\{2Z - [2 - q]\}.$$ 

Polynomial $\phi'_2$ is a Schur one if $q \in [0, 2]$ and hence $\phi_0$ is a simple von Neumann polynomial. If $\omega = 2$, polynomial $\phi_2$ is identically zero and we compute

$$\phi_1(Z) = 4\delta\{Z + 1\}\{Z^2 - [2 - q]Z + 1\}.$$ 

For $q \in [0, 2]$ the roots of $Z^2 - [2 - q]Z + 1$ are complex conjugate, distinct, and their modulus is 1.
4.3.6 Synthesis for the Lorentz–Young model

The scheme \( E \)–\( F \) for the one-dimensional anharmonic Maxwell–Lorentz is stable with the condition

\[
\delta t \leq \min \left( \frac{\delta x}{\sqrt{2c_{\infty}}} , \frac{2}{\omega_1 \sqrt{2s'} - 1} \right).
\]

5 Harmonic Lorentz Type Media

Harmonic Lorentz type media are treated thanks to the three above mentioned schemes. The computation of the amplification matrices and the characteristic polynomials remains unchanged. The harmonicity \( \nu = 0 \) is expressed by the parameter \( \delta = 0 \). This vanishing value makes \( \phi_1 \) identically zero for the three schemes and the above analysis breaks down. We resume to the analysis of the three schemes.

5.1 Joseph et al. Model

5.1.1 General Case

Since \( \phi_1 \) is identically zero, we want to apply Theorem 3 and study the derivative polynomial of \( \phi_0 \), which we denote by

\[
\psi_0(Z) = [4 + 4w\varepsilon'_s]Z^3 - [12 + 6w\varepsilon'_s - 3(1 + \omega)q]Z^2 + [12 + 4w\varepsilon'_s - 4q]Z - [4 + 2w\varepsilon'_s - (1 + \omega)q].
\]

We notice that \( \psi_0^0(0) > 0 \) and \( \psi_0(0) = -(4 - q) - \omega(2\varepsilon'_s - q) < 0 \) for \( q \leq 2 \). We therefore have to check that \( -\psi_0(0) < \psi_0^0(0) \), which is equivalent to \( -(\omega + 1)q < 2\omega \varepsilon'_s \) and always holds. We therefore have \( |\psi_0(0)| < |\psi_0^0(0)| \). Let us now compute

\[
\psi_1(Z) = [4w\varepsilon'_s(4 + 3w\varepsilon'_s) + 4(1 + \omega)(2 + \omega\varepsilon'_s)q - (1 + \omega)^2q^2]Z^2
\]

\[
+ [16w\varepsilon'_s(2 + \omega\varepsilon'_s) + 8(\omega^2\varepsilon'_s - 2)q + 4(1 + \omega)q^2]Z
\]

\[
+ [4\varepsilon'_s(4 + \omega\varepsilon'_s) + 4(2 - \omega\varepsilon'_s + 6\omega + 3\omega^2\varepsilon'_s)q - 3(1 + \omega)^2q^2].
\]

Anew we have

\[
\psi_1^0(0) = 4w\varepsilon'_s(4 + 3w\varepsilon'_s) + (1 + \omega)q[4(2 + \omega\varepsilon'_s) - (1 + \omega)q] > 0.
\]

Instead of studying the sign of \( \psi_1(0) \), we will check that \( -\psi_1(0) < \psi_1^0(0) \) and \( \psi_1(0) < \psi_1^0(0) \). The relation \( -\psi_1(0) < \psi_1^0(0) \) is equivalent to

\[
4w\varepsilon'_s(2 + \omega\varepsilon'_s) + (4 - q)(1 + \omega)^2q + 4\omega^2(\varepsilon'_s - 1)q > 0,
\]

which clearly holds. There remains \( \psi_1(0) < \psi_1^0(0) \) which reverts to

\[
4\omega^2\varepsilon'_s^2 + 4\omega(\varepsilon'_s - 2 - \omega\varepsilon'_s) + (1 + \omega)^2q^2 > 0.
\]

Cast like this it is not easy to conclude, but we can write it has a polynomial of the variable \( \omega \)

\[
\omega^2(2\varepsilon'_s - q)^2 + 2\omega q(2(\varepsilon'_s - 2) + q) + q^2 > 0.
\]

The reduced discriminant of this polynomial is

\[
\Delta' = -8(\varepsilon'_s - 1)q^2(2 - q) < 0,
\]
if $0 < q < 2$ and $\varepsilon'_s \neq 1$. The polynomial (in $\omega$) is therefore always positive, which we were seeking. Hence we have $|\psi_1(0)| < |\psi_2^*(0)|$ and we can profitably carry on with the computation of $\psi_2(Z)$ which is the product

$$
\psi_2(Z) = 8[4\omega^2\varepsilon_s'^2 + (4\omega\varepsilon'_s - 4\omega^2\varepsilon'_s - 8\omega) q + (1 + \omega)^2 q^2] \times \\
\times \{(4\omega^2\varepsilon_s'^2 + 8\omega\varepsilon'_s + (4 + 4\omega\varepsilon'_s + 8\omega) q - (1 + \omega)^2 q^2)Z \\
- [4\omega^2\varepsilon_s'^2 + 8\omega\varepsilon'_s + (4 - 2\omega\varepsilon'_s) q - (1 + \omega) q^2]\}
$$

We notice that

$$
8[4\omega^2\varepsilon_s'^2 + (4\omega\varepsilon'_s - 4\omega^2\varepsilon'_s - 8\omega) q + (1 + \omega)^2 q^2] = 8[(4\varepsilon'_s - q) \omega - q^2 + 16\omega q(4\varepsilon'_s - 1 + 2\varepsilon'_s)] > 0
$$

and we simplify by this factor denoting

$$
\tilde{\psi}_2(Z) = [4\omega^2\varepsilon_s'^2 + 8\omega\varepsilon'_s + (4 + 4\omega\varepsilon'_s + 8\omega) q - (1 + \omega)^2 q^2]Z \\
- [4\omega^2\varepsilon_s'^2 + 8\omega\varepsilon'_s + (4 - 2\omega\varepsilon'_s) q - (1 + \omega) q^2].
$$

We see that

$$
\tilde{\psi}_2^*(0) = 4\omega^2\varepsilon_s'^2 + (\varepsilon'_s - 1) q] + (1 + \omega)^2 q(4 - q) \geq 0
$$

and to prove $|\psi_2(0)| < |\psi_2^*(0)|$, we only have to check that $\tilde{\psi}_2^*(0) + \tilde{\psi}_2(0) > 0$ and $\tilde{\psi}_2^*(0) - \tilde{\psi}_2(0) > 0$. We “notice” that

$$
\tilde{\psi}_2^*(0) + \tilde{\psi}_2(0) = \omega q[(4\varepsilon'_s - q) \omega + 2(8 - q)] > 0,
$$

$$
\tilde{\psi}_2^*(0) - \tilde{\psi}_2(0) = [2\omega\varepsilon'_s + (1 + \omega) q][(4\varepsilon'_s - q) \omega + 2(4 - q)] > 0,
$$

which ends the proof in the general case for $\delta = 0$.

5.1.2 Case $q = 0$

In the case when $q = 0$, along with the fact that 1 is a double root ”which does not cause any trouble”, $\phi_0$ has the same roots as those of $[1 + \omega\varepsilon_s']Z^2 - 2Z + [1 + \omega\varepsilon_s']$ which are complex conjugate, distinct, and their modulus is 1, if $\varepsilon'_s \geq 1$.

5.1.3 Case $q = 2$

The same holds for $q = 2$ and this time, along with the roots $\pm i$, we have the roots of the polynomial $[1 + \omega\varepsilon_s']Z^2 - 2[1 + \omega(\varepsilon'_s - 1)]Z + [1 + \omega\varepsilon_s']$ which has two complex conjugate, distinct roots with modulus 1, if $\varepsilon'_s \geq 1$.

5.1.4 Case $\varepsilon'_s = 1$

Finally if $\varepsilon'_s = 1$ (and $q \in [0, 2]$), we shall return to the polynomial $\phi_0$ which can be cast as

$$
\phi_0(Z) = [Z^2 - (2 - q)Z + 1][(1 + \omega)Z^2 - 2Z + (1 + \omega)].
$$

Each of the second degree polynomials has two distinct complex conjugate roots. We therefore have a simple von Neumann polynomial except in the particular case when the two polynomials are proportional and have the same roots, which are then double roots. This is reached if $(2 - q) = 2/(1 + \omega)$, namely $q = 2\omega/(1 + \omega)$ or equivalently $\omega = q/(2 - q)$. In this case, von Neumann analysis is not useful anymore and we have to revert to the amplification matrix

$$
G = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & -\sigma & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -2q + 2 & -1 & q \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
\sigma^* & -q & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}.
$$
The two double eigenvalues of this matrix are \((2 - q \pm i\sqrt{q(4 - q)})/2\) and their each only have one corresponding eigenvector
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\sigma, q \mp i\sqrt{q(4 - q)} \\
q(3 - q) \mp i(2 - q)\sqrt{q(4 - q)} \\
-q \mp i\sqrt{q(4 - q)}
\end{pmatrix}^t.
\]
For each eigenvalue the associated minimal eigensubspace is therefore two-dimensional, which corresponds to an unstable case. We can say that the scheme is stable for \(q \in [0, 2\omega/(1 + \omega)]\). If we rewrite this in physical variables, we have
\[
4c^2\frac{\delta t^2}{\delta x^2} \sin^2\left(\frac{\xi}{2}\right) < \frac{2\omega^2\delta t^2}{2 + \omega^2_1\delta t^2}.
\]
If \(\delta x^2 < 4c^2/\omega^2_1\), this is not a bound on the time step. If \(\delta x\) if large enough, the bound on the time step is
\[
\delta t^2 < \frac{\delta x^2}{2c^2_\infty} - \frac{2}{\omega^2_1}.
\]

5.1.5 Synthesis for the Harmonic Lorentz–Joseph et al. Model

The scheme (8)–(13) for the one-dimensional harmonic Maxwell–Lorentz equations is stable with the condition
\[
\delta t \leq \frac{\delta x}{\sqrt{2c_\infty}} \text{ if } \varepsilon_s > \varepsilon_\infty \text{ and } \delta t < \sqrt{\frac{\delta x^2}{2c^2_\infty} - \frac{2}{\omega^2_1}} \text{ if } \varepsilon_s = \varepsilon_\infty,
\]
this last condition being meaningful only if \(\delta x > 2c_\infty/\omega_1\). It is therefore advisable not to use the Lorentz–Joseph et al. scheme in the harmonic case for \(\varepsilon_s = \varepsilon_\infty\).

5.2 Kashiwa Model

5.2.1 General Case

Anew the polynomial \(\phi_1\) is identically zero and we study the derivative of polynomial \(\phi_0\), which we denote
\[
\psi_0(Z) = [4 + 2\omega\varepsilon_s']Z^2 - [12 - 3(1 + \frac{1}{2}\omega)q]Z^2 + [12 - 2\omega\varepsilon_s' - (4 - 2\omega)q]Z - [4 - (1 + \frac{1}{2}\omega)q].
\]
The condition \(|\psi_0(0)| < |\psi'_0(0)|\) is equivalent to \((8 - q) + \frac{1}{2}\omega(4\varepsilon_s' - q) > 0\) which holds for \(q \leq 4\). Then we compute
\[
\psi_1(Z) = [4(4 + \omega\varepsilon_s')\omega\varepsilon_s' + 4(2 + \omega)q - (1 + \frac{1}{2}\omega)^2q^2]Z^2 - [32\omega\varepsilon_s' + (16 - 8\omega - 8\omega\varepsilon_s' - 4\omega^2\varepsilon_s')q + (\omega^2 - 4)q^2]Z + [4(4 - \omega\varepsilon_s')\omega\varepsilon_s' + 4(2 - 2\omega\varepsilon_s' + 5\omega)q - 3(1 + \frac{1}{2}\omega)^2q^2].
\]
We check that
\[
\psi'_1(0) = \frac{1}{4}[4\omega\varepsilon_s' + (2 + \omega)q][16 + 4\omega\varepsilon_s' - (2 + \omega)q] > 0,
\]
\[
\psi'_1(0) + \psi_1(0) = q(4 - q)(\omega + 2)^2 + 4q(\varepsilon_s' - 1)\omega^2 + 8\varepsilon_s'(4 - q) + 8q > 0,
\]
\[
\psi'_1(0) - \psi_1(0) = \frac{1}{2}[(4\varepsilon_s' - q)\omega - 2q]^2 + 32q\omega(\varepsilon_s' - 1) > 0,
\]
under the only condition that \( q \in [0, 4] \), which ensures that \( |\psi_1(0)| < |\psi_1^*(0)| \). Last we compute \( \psi_2(Z) \) which can be cast as

\[
\psi_2(Z) = \frac{1}{2} \{ [(-8 + 4\varepsilon_s' + q)\omega + 2q]^2 + 16\alpha(4 - q) \} \tilde{\psi}_2(Z)
\]

with

\[
\tilde{\psi}_2(Z) = \left( (2 + \omega)^2 q(4 - q) + 4(\varepsilon_s' - 1)\omega^2 q + 2(\varepsilon_s' - 1)\omega(4 - q) + 8 \right) Z - \left( 8 - (2 + \omega)q \right) [4\omega\varepsilon_s' + (2 - \omega)q].
\]

We check that

\[
\tilde{\psi}_2^*(0) \geq 0,
\]

\[
\tilde{\psi}_2^*(0) + \tilde{\psi}_2(0) = q\omega[(6\varepsilon_s' - q)\omega + 2(8 - q)] > 0,
\]

\[
\tilde{\psi}_2(0) - \tilde{\psi}_2(0) = 2(4 - q)[4\varepsilon_s\omega + (2 + \omega)q] > 0.
\]

These two last inequalities are strict only if \( q \in ]0, 4[ \), and we then have \( |\psi_2(0)| < |\psi_2^*(0)| \). The case \( \varepsilon_s' = 1 \) is not specific in this general study.

5.2.2 Case \( q = 0 \)

To treat the specific case when \( q = 0 \), we have to revert to the study of \( \phi_0 \) which is here

\[
\phi_0(Z) = [1 + \frac{1}{2}\omega\varepsilon_s']Z^4 - 4Z^3 + [6 - \omega\varepsilon_s']Z^2 - 4Z + [1 + \frac{1}{2}\omega\varepsilon_s']
\]

\[
= (Z - 1)^2 \{ [1 + \frac{1}{2}\omega\varepsilon_s']Z^2 - 2[1 - \frac{1}{2}\omega\varepsilon_s']Z + [1 + \frac{1}{2}\omega\varepsilon_s'] \}.
\]

The double eigenvalue \( Z = 1 \) is the same as in the anharmonic case and does not cause any trouble either (minimal eigensubspaces are still one-dimensional). The other factor of the polynomial has clearly two distinct complex conjugate roots of modulus 1. This configuration corresponds to a stability case for the scheme.

5.2.3 Case \( q = 4 \)

The analysis performed in the anharmonic case remains valid here. The eigenvalue \( Z = -1 \) is double and the associated minimal eigensubspace is two-dimensional. Iterates of the amplification matrix are therefore linearly increasing and the case is unstable.

5.2.4 Synthesis for the Harmonic Lorentz–Kashiwa Model

The scheme (14) for one-dimensional harmonic Maxwell–Lorentz equations is stable with the condition

\[
\delta t < \delta x/c_\infty.
\]

5.3 Young Model

5.3.1 General Case

Once more, the polynomial \( \phi_1 \) is identically zero and we study the derivative of polynomial \( \phi_0 \), which we denote

\[
\psi_0(Z) = 4Z^3 + [-12 + 6\omega\varepsilon_s' + 3q]Z^2 + [12 - 8\omega\varepsilon_s' + 4(\omega - 1)q]Z + [-4 + 2\omega\varepsilon_s' + q].
\]
The condition $|\psi_0(0)| < |\psi_0^*(0)|$ is equivalent to $(4 - 2\omega \varepsilon_s') + (4 - q) > 0$, which we assume $(\omega < 2/\varepsilon_s'$ and $q \in [0, 2]$). We carry on computing

$$
\psi_1(Z) = [(2\omega \varepsilon_s' + q)(8 - (2\omega \varepsilon_s' + q))] Z^2 + [(2\omega \varepsilon_s' + q)(4(2\omega \varepsilon_s' + q) - 16 - 4q)] + 16\omega q Z + [(2\omega \varepsilon_s' + q)(8 - 3(2\omega \varepsilon_s' + q)) + 16\omega q].
$$

We see immediately in this formulation that $\psi_1^*(0) > 0$. Besides

$$
\psi_1^*(0) + \psi_1(0) = 4\{ -4\omega^2 \varepsilon_s'^2 + 8\omega \varepsilon_s' + 4(\omega(\varepsilon_s' + 1) + 1)q - q^2 \},
$$

$$
\psi_1^*(0) - \psi_1(0) = 2\{ [2\omega - q]^2 + 4\omega(\varepsilon_s' - 1)[q + \omega(\varepsilon_s' + 1)] \} > 0,
$$

Let us note $f(\omega) = -4\omega^2 \varepsilon_s'^2 + 8\omega \varepsilon_s' + 4(\omega(\varepsilon_s' + 1) + 1)q - q^2$, we want to prove that this quantity is positive for $\omega \in [0, 2/(2\varepsilon_s' - 1)]$. We derive to obtain $f'(\omega) = -4\varepsilon_s' q - 8\omega \varepsilon_s'^2 + 8\varepsilon_s' + 4q$ which vanishes at $\omega = (2\varepsilon_s' - (\varepsilon_s' - 1)q)/2\varepsilon_s'^2$. This corresponds to values of $\omega$ between $1/\varepsilon_s'^2$ (value for $q = 2$) and $1/\varepsilon_s'$ (value for $q = 0$), which always belong to the interval $[0, 2/(2\varepsilon_s' - 1)]$. At this point we have a maximum of the function $f(\omega)$. To conclude, we only have to evaluate the limit for $f(\omega)$ as $\omega \to 0$ and the value of $f(\omega)$ at $\omega = 2/(2\varepsilon_s' - 1)$. If both values are positive, $f(\omega)$ will be positive on the whole interval.

$$
\lim_{\omega \to 0} f(\omega) = 4q - q^2 > 0.
$$

$$
f(2/(2\varepsilon_s' - 1)) = (2\varepsilon_s' - 1)^2 (2 - q) + 2(2\varepsilon_s' - 1) + 4(\varepsilon_s' - 1)(2\varepsilon_s' + 1) > 0,
$$

If $\varepsilon_s' = 1, q = 2$ and $\omega = 2$, we have $\psi_1^*(0) = -\psi_1(0)$. We will treat this case apart. We finally compute $\psi_2(Z)$ which can be cast as

$$
\psi_2(Z) = 8[(2\omega \varepsilon_s' - q)^2 + 8(\varepsilon_s' - 1)q] \overline{\psi}_2(Z)
$$

with

$$
\overline{\psi}_2(Z) = [-4\omega^2 \varepsilon_s'^2 + 8\omega \varepsilon_s' + 4(\omega(\varepsilon_s' + 1) + 1)q - q^2] Z - [(2\omega \varepsilon_s' + (1 - \omega)q)(-4 + 2\omega \varepsilon_s' + q)].
$$

We notice that $\psi_2$ is identically zero if $\varepsilon_s' = 1$ and $q = 2\omega \varepsilon_s' = 2\omega$, which has to be treated separately. In the opposite case, we check that

$$
\overline{\psi}_2^*(0) \geq f(\omega) > 0,
$$

$$
\overline{\psi}_2^*(0) + \overline{\psi}_2(0) = q\omega[8 - 2\omega \varepsilon_s' - q] > 0,
$$

$$
\overline{\psi}_2^*(0) - \overline{\psi}_2(0) = [2\omega \varepsilon_s' + q][8 - 4\omega \varepsilon_s' + (\omega - 2)q].
$$

The quantity $8 - 4\omega \varepsilon_s' + (\omega - 2)q$ is minimum if $q = 2$ (since $\omega < 2$) and is then equal to $4 - 2\omega(2\varepsilon_s' - 1)$. As in the anharmonic case if $\omega < 2/(2\varepsilon_s' - 1)$ this quantity is positive, and if $\omega = 2/(2\varepsilon_s' - 1)$ this quantity is zero. Yet we want to show that $\psi_0$ is a Schur or a simple von Neumann polynomial, we therefore have to revert to the study of $\phi_0$. Once more the cases $\varepsilon_s' = 1, q = 2$ and $\omega = 2$ have to be treated specifically.

**5.3.2 Case $q = 0$**

In the case when $q = 0$, we revert to the direct study of $\phi_0$

$$
\phi_0(Z) = Z^4 - [4 - 2\omega \varepsilon_s'] Z^3 + 2[3 - 2\omega \varepsilon_s'] Z^2 - [4 - 2\omega \varepsilon_s'] Z + 1
$$

$$
= (Z - 1)^2 [Z^2 - 2(1 - \omega \varepsilon_s') Z + 1],
$$
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which has \( Z = 1 \) as a double root, which is no more a problem as in the anharmonic case. Both other roots are complex conjugate, distinct and have a unit modulus if \( \omega \varepsilon_s' < 2 \). If \( \varepsilon_s' = 1 \) and \( \omega = 2 \), \( Z = -1 \) is also a double root. Then we have

\[
G + \text{Id} = \begin{pmatrix}
2 & -\sigma & 0 & 0 \\
\sigma^* & 2 & 1 & -\frac{1}{2} \\
0 & 0 & 1 & i \\
0 & 0 & -2 & 1
\end{pmatrix},
\]

which has only \((\sigma, 2, 0, 0)^t\) as eigenvalue (associated to 0). This is a cause of instability for the scheme.

### 5.3.3 Case \( q = 2 \)

The case \( q = 2 \) is treated by the general case except when \( \omega = 2/(2\varepsilon_s' - 1) \). In this case \( 2\omega \varepsilon_s' = 2 + \omega \), hence

\[
\phi_0(Z) = Z^4 + \omega Z^3 + 2[\omega - 1]Z^2 + \omega Z + 1 = (Z + 1)^2(Z^2 - (2 - \omega)Z + 1).
\]

We therefore have to study the stable subspaces through the amplification matrix for the eigenvalue \(-1\). We have

\[
G + \text{Id} = \begin{pmatrix}
2 & -\sigma & 0 & 0 \\
\sigma^* & 2 & 2\omega & -1 \\
0 & -\omega & 2 & 2\omega \\
0 & 2 & -2\omega & 2
\end{pmatrix},
\]

which has a unique eigenvector (associated to 0), namely \((\sigma, 2, -1, -2)^t\). This is an unstable case.

### 5.3.4 Case \( \varepsilon_s' = 1 \)

Only the case when \( \varepsilon_s' = 1 \), \( q = 2\omega \) remains to study, in which case \( \psi_2 \) vanishes. We compute \( \phi_0 \) which is equal to

\[
\psi_0(Z) = (Z^2 - 2[1 - \omega]Z + 1)^2.
\]

The two complex conjugate roots \( 1 - \omega \pm i\sqrt{\omega(2 - \omega)} \) are both double with modulus 1. We therefore have to study the associated stable subspaces. The only associated eigenvectors are \((\sigma, \omega \mp i\sqrt{\omega(2 - \omega)})^t\) respectively and the associated minimal eigensubspaces are two-dimensional, which leads to instability. If \( \varepsilon_s' = 1 \), we should assume \( q < 2\omega \), which in physical variables reads \( \delta x > 2\varepsilon_\infty/\omega_1 \) which is not a stability condition. It should therefore be avoided to use the Lorentz–Young scheme in the harmonic case for \( \varepsilon_s = \varepsilon_\infty \) when \( q \) can reach the value \( 2\omega \), i.e. if \( \omega \geq 1 \). Another way to see this condition is to give \( \omega < 1 \) as a stability condition if \( \varepsilon_s' = 1 \).

### 5.3.5 Synthesis for the Harmonic Lorentz–Young et al. Model

The scheme (9)–(15) for the one-dimensional harmonic Maxwell–Lorentz equations is stable with the condition

\[
\delta t < \min \left( \frac{\delta x}{\varepsilon_\infty}, \frac{2}{\omega_1 \sqrt{2\varepsilon_s'} - 1} \right) \quad \text{if} \quad \varepsilon_s > \varepsilon_\infty \quad \text{and} \quad \delta t < \min \left( \frac{\delta x}{\varepsilon_\infty}, \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\omega_1} \right) \quad \text{if} \quad \varepsilon_s = \varepsilon_\infty,
\]

### 6 Basic Polynomials in Dimension 1

The previous computations lead us to define basic polynomials associated to each one-dimensional scheme. We will see that these polynomials will prove useful in higher dimensions.
Debye (Joseph et al.)

\[ P_{D,J}(Z) = [1 + \delta \varepsilon'_s]Z^3 - [3 + \delta \varepsilon'_s - (1 + \delta)q]Z^2 + [3 - \delta \varepsilon'_s - (1 - \delta)q]Z - [1 - \delta \varepsilon'_s] \]
\[ = [1 + \delta \varepsilon'_s]Y^3 + [2\delta \varepsilon'_s + (1 + \delta)q]Y^2 + [(1 + 3\delta)q]Y + [2\delta q]. \]

Debye (Young)

\[ P_{D,Y}(Z) = [(1 + \delta \alpha)(1 + \delta)]Z^3 - [3 + \delta + \delta \alpha + 3\delta^2 \alpha - (1 + \delta)q]Z^2 + [3 - \delta - \delta \alpha + 3\delta^2 \alpha - (1 - \delta)q]Z - [(1 - \delta \alpha)(1 - \delta)] \]
\[ = [(1 + \delta)(1 + \delta \alpha)]Y^3 + [2\delta(1 + \alpha) + (1 + \delta)q]Y^2 + [(1 + 3\delta)q]Y + [2\delta q]. \]

Lorentz (Joseph et al.)

\[ P_{L,J}(Z) = [1 + \delta + \omega \varepsilon'_s]Z^4 - [4 + 2\delta + 2\omega \varepsilon'_s - (1 + \delta + \omega)q]Z^3 + [6 + 2\omega \varepsilon'_s - 2q]Z^2 - [4 - 2\delta + 2\omega \varepsilon'_s - (1 - \delta + \omega)q]Z + [1 - \delta + \omega \varepsilon'_s] \]
\[ = [1 + \delta + \omega \varepsilon'_s]Y^4 + [2\delta + 2\omega \varepsilon'_s + (1 + \delta + \omega)q]Y^3 + [2\omega \varepsilon'_s + (1 + 3\delta + 3\omega)q]Y^2 + [2(\delta + 2\omega)q]Y + [2\omega q]. \]

Lorentz (Kashiwa et al.)

\[ P_{L,K}(Z) = [1 + \delta + \frac{1}{2}\omega \varepsilon'_s]Z^4 - [4 + 2\delta - (1 + \delta + \frac{1}{2}\omega)q]Z^3 + [6 - \omega \varepsilon'_s + (\omega - 2)q]Z^2 - [4 - 2\delta - (1 - \delta + \frac{1}{2}\omega)q]Z + [1 - \delta + \frac{1}{2}\omega \varepsilon'_s] \]
\[ = [1 + \delta + \frac{1}{2}\omega \varepsilon'_s]Y^4 + [2\delta + \omega \varepsilon'_s + (1 + \delta + \frac{1}{2}\omega)q]Y^3 + [2\omega \varepsilon'_s + (1 + 3\delta + 3\omega)q]Y^2 + [2(\delta + 2\omega)q]Y + [2\omega q]. \]

Lorentz (Young)

\[ P_{L,Y}(Z) = [1 + \delta]Z^4 - [4 + 2\delta - 2\omega \varepsilon'_s - (1 + \delta)q]Z^3 + 2[3 - 2\omega \varepsilon'_s + (\omega - 1)q]Z^2 - [4 - 2\delta - 2\omega \varepsilon'_s - (1 - \delta)q]Z + [1 - \delta] \]
\[ = [1 + \delta]Y^4 + [2\delta + 2\omega \varepsilon'_s + (1 + \delta)q]Y^3 + [2\omega \varepsilon'_s + (1 + 3\delta + 2\omega)q]Y^2 + [2(\delta + 2\omega)q]Y + [2\omega q]. \]

7 The Two-Dimensional Space Case

7.1 Maxwell Equations

In dimension 2, the field may be decoupled into two polarisations which lead to different schemes and also a different number of variables. We use here similar notations as those introduced in the one-dimensional case, namely \(\lambda_x = c_\infty \delta t/\delta x, \lambda_y = c_\infty \delta t/\delta y, \sigma_x = \lambda_x(e^{i\kappa_x} - 1), \sigma_y = \lambda_x(e^{i\kappa_y} - 1), q_x = |\sigma_x|^2\) and \(q_y = |\sigma_y|^2\).
7.1.1 Polarisation \((B_x, B_y, E_z)\)

The polarisation \((B_x, B_y, E_z)\) is also called the transverse electric polarisation \(TE_z\).

\[
\begin{align*}
B^{n+\frac{1}{2}}_{x,j,k+\frac{1}{2}} - B^{n-\frac{1}{2}}_{x,j,k+\frac{1}{2}} &= -\lambda_y \left( \mathcal{E}^n_{z,j,k+1} - \mathcal{E}^n_{z,j,k} \right), \\
B^{n+\frac{1}{2}}_{y,j,k+\frac{1}{2}} - B^{n-\frac{1}{2}}_{y,j,k+\frac{1}{2}} &= \lambda_x \left( \mathcal{E}^n_{x,j+1,k} - \mathcal{E}^n_{x,j,k} \right), \\
D^{n+1}_{z,j,k} - D^n_{z,j,k} &= \lambda_x \left( B^{n+\frac{1}{2}}_{y,j,k+\frac{1}{2}} - B^{n+\frac{1}{2}}_{y,j,k-\frac{1}{2}} \right) - \lambda_y \left( B^{n+\frac{1}{2}}_{x,j,k+\frac{1}{2}} - B^{n+\frac{1}{2}}_{x,j,k-\frac{1}{2}} \right).
\end{align*}
\]

7.1.2 Polarisation \((B_z, E_x, E_y)\)

The polarisation \((B_z, E_x, E_y)\) is also called the transverse magnetic polarisation \(TM_z\).

\[
\begin{align*}
B^{n+\frac{1}{2}}_{z,j,k+\frac{1}{2}} - B^{n-\frac{1}{2}}_{z,j,k+\frac{1}{2}} &= -\lambda_x \left( \mathcal{E}^n_{y,j+1,k} - \mathcal{E}^n_{y,j,k} \right) + \lambda_y \left( \mathcal{E}^n_{x,j+1,k+1} - \mathcal{E}^n_{x,j+1,k} \right), \\
D^{n+1}_{x,j,k} - D^n_{x,j,k} &= \lambda_y \left( B^{n+\frac{1}{2}}_{z,j,k+\frac{1}{2}} - B^{n+\frac{1}{2}}_{z,j,k-\frac{1}{2}} \right), \\
D^{n+1}_{y,j,k} - D^n_{y,j,k} &= -\lambda_x \left( B^{n+\frac{1}{2}}_{z,j,k+\frac{1}{2}} - B^{n+\frac{1}{2}}_{z,j,k-\frac{1}{2}} \right).
\end{align*}
\]

7.2 The Debye–Joseph et al. Scheme

7.2.1 Polarisation \((B_x, B_y, E_z)\)

Coupling polarisation \((B_x, B_y, E_z)\) with the Debye–Joseph et al. scheme, we obtain the amplification matrix

\[
G = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & -\sigma_y & 0 \\
0 & 1 & \sigma_x & 0 \\
\frac{(1+\delta\sigma_x^*)}{1+\delta\sigma_y^*} & \frac{(1-\delta\sigma_y^*)}{1+\delta\sigma_x^*} \frac{(1-\delta\epsilon'_z')(1+\delta)}{(1+\delta e_z'')} & \frac{2\delta}{1+\delta e_z''} & 1 \\
\sigma_y^* & -\sigma_x^* & -(q_x+q_y) & 1
\end{pmatrix}
\]

associated to the variable \((B^{n+\frac{1}{2}}_{x,j,k+\frac{1}{2}}, B^{n-\frac{1}{2}}_{y,j+\frac{1}{2},k}, E^n_{z,j,k}, D^n_{z,j,k})^t\). The characteristic polynomial is proportional to the characteristic polynomial in dimension 1 for the same scheme with 1 as an extra root

\[
\phi_0(Z) = YP_{D1}(Z).
\]

In polynomial \(P_{D1}(Z)\), the variable \(q\) means \(q = q_x + q_y\) in the two-dimensional case. The polynomial only depends on this sum and not on the separate values of \(q_x\) and \(q_y\). The general case treated in dimension one concludes to a Schur polynomial, we therefore have a von Neumann polynomial here. We also see easily on the amplification matrix in the case \(q = 0\) (if \(q_x\) only or \(q_y\) only vanish, we do not have a specific case), that the eigenspaces associated to the eigenvalue 1 are indeed stable. As for the particular case \(\epsilon'_z = 1\), which gave rise to two complex conjugate eigenvalues, different from 1, we may add this new eigenvalue with the same conclusion, namely stability with the condition \(q = q_x + q_y < 4\) i.e. \(\sqrt{2}e_{\infty}\delta t < \delta x\) if \(\delta x = \delta y\).
7.2.2 Polarisation ($B_z, E_x, E_y$)

Coupling polarisation ($B_z, E_x, E_y$) with the Debye–Joseph et al. scheme yields the amplification matrix

\[
G = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & -\frac{\sigma_y}{1+\delta^2} & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{\sigma_y}{1+\delta^2} & \left(1-\delta^2\right)\alpha & 2\frac{\sigma_y}{1+\delta^2} & 0 \\
\frac{\sigma_y}{1+\delta^2} & 0 & \frac{\sigma_y}{1+\delta^2} & 0 \\
\left(\frac{\sigma_y}{1+\delta^2}\right) & 0 & \frac{\sigma_y}{1+\delta^2} & 1 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]

associated to the variable $\left(B^n_{x,j,k}, B^{n-\frac{1}{2}}_{y,j,k}, \mathcal{E}^n_{x,j,k}, \mathcal{E}^{n-\frac{1}{2}}_{y,j,k}, D^n_{x,j,k}, D^{n-\frac{1}{2}}_{y,j,k}\right)^T$. Anew we have a proportional polynomial to that of the one-dimensional case with two extra roots

\[
\phi_0(Z) = Y\left[\left(1 + \delta\varepsilon_0^*\right)Y + 2\delta\varepsilon_0^*\right]P_{D,Y}(Z),
\]

which are equal to 1 and $\left(1 - \delta\varepsilon_0^*\right)/(1 + \delta\varepsilon_0^*)$ respectively and which are not roots in dimension 1 in the general case.

Only the root 1 could induce stability problems and we have seen that only the case $q = 0$ would make it a multiple root. The matrix $G$ is then block-diagonal, with two rank-two blocks identical to that of the one-dimensional case. The stability is once more ensured with the condition $q = q_x + q_y < 4$.

7.3 The Debye–Young Scheme

7.3.1 Polarisation ($B_x, B_y, E_z$)

Coupling polarisation ($B_x, B_y, E_z$) with Debye–Young scheme, we obtain the amplification matrix

\[
G = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & -\frac{\sigma_y}{1+\delta^2} & 0 \\
0 & 1 & \frac{\sigma_y}{1+\delta^2} & 0 \\
\frac{\sigma_y}{1+\delta^2} & \left(1-\delta^2\right)\alpha & \frac{2\sigma_y}{1+\delta^2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{2\sigma_y}{1+\delta^2} & \frac{1-\delta}{1+\delta} \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]

associated to the variable $\left(B^n_{x,j,k}, B^{n-\frac{1}{2}}_{y,j,k}, \mathcal{E}^n_{x,j,k}, \mathcal{E}^{n-\frac{1}{2}}_{y,j,k}, D^n_{x,j,k}, D^{n-\frac{1}{2}}_{y,j,k}\right)^T$. The computation of the characteristic polynomial leads to the same polynomial as in one dimension but with 1 as an extra eigenvalue

\[
\phi_0(Z) = Y P_{D,Y}(Z).
\]

Anew we revert to the arguments of the one-dimensional case, the double eigenvalue 1 of the $q = 0$ case not being a problem.

7.3.2 Polarisation ($B_z, E_x, E_y$)

Coupling polarisation ($B_z, E_x, E_y$) with the Debye–Young scheme, we obtain the amplification matrix

\[
G = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & -\frac{\sigma_y}{1+\delta^2} & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{\sigma_y}{1+\delta^2} & \left(1-\delta^2\right)\alpha & 2\frac{\sigma_y}{1+\delta^2} & 0 \\
\frac{\sigma_y}{1+\delta^2} & 0 & \frac{\sigma_y}{1+\delta^2} & 0 \\
\left(\frac{\sigma_y}{1+\delta^2}\right) & 0 & \frac{\sigma_y}{1+\delta^2} & 1 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]
associated to the variable \((B_n^{\frac{n-1}{2}} x_j, k+\frac{1}{2}, \mathcal{E}_x^{n+\frac{1}{2}} x_j, k+\frac{1}{2}, \mathcal{P}_x^{n+\frac{1}{2}} x_j, k+\frac{1}{2})\). The computation of the characteristic polynomial leads to the same polynomial as in dimension 1 but with two extra eigenvalues

\[ \phi_0(Z) = Y \left[(1 + \delta)(1 + \delta \alpha) Y + 2 \delta (1 + \alpha) \right] P_{D,Y}(Z) \]

which are 1 and \((1 - \delta)(1 - \delta \alpha)/(1 + \delta)(1 + \delta \alpha)\). Anew we revert to the argument in the one-dimensional case, the triple eigenvalue 1 of case \(q = 0\) not being a problem.

### 7.4 The Lorentz–Joseph et al. Scheme

#### 7.4.1 Polarisation \((B_x, B_y, E_z)\)

Coupling polarisation \((B_x, B_y, E_z)\) with the Lorentz–Joseph et al. scheme, we obtain the amplification matrix

\[
G = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & -\sigma_y & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \sigma_x & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{2 \delta \sigma_y}{1 + \delta + \omega_{\sigma}^2} & \frac{2 \delta \sigma y}{1 + \delta + \omega_{\sigma}^2} & 0 & -\sigma_x & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-\sigma_y^* & -\sigma_y^* & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{2 \delta \sigma x}{1 + \delta + \omega_{\sigma}^2} & \frac{2 \delta \sigma x}{1 + \delta + \omega_{\sigma}^2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-\sigma_x^* & -\sigma_x^* & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]

associated to the variable \((B_n^{\frac{n-1}{2}} x_j, k+\frac{1}{2}, \mathcal{E}_x^{n+\frac{1}{2}} x_j, k+\frac{1}{2}, \mathcal{P}_x^{n+\frac{1}{2}} x_j, k+\frac{1}{2})\). The computation of the characteristic polynomial leads to the same polynomial as in dimension 1 but with the extra eigenvalue 1

\[ \phi_0(Z) = Y P_{L,J}(Z). \]

The triple eigenvalue in the \(q = 0\) case is not a problem.

#### 7.4.2 Polarisation \((B_x, E_x, E_y)\)

Coupling polarisation \((B_x, E_x, E_y)\) with the Lorentz–Joseph et al. scheme, we obtain the amplification matrix

\[
G = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{2 \delta \sigma y}{1 + \delta + \omega_{\sigma}^2} & \frac{2 \delta \sigma y}{1 + \delta + \omega_{\sigma}^2} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -\frac{2 \delta \sigma y}{1 + \delta + \omega_{\sigma}^2} & -\frac{2 \delta \sigma y}{1 + \delta + \omega_{\sigma}^2} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]

associated to the variable \((B_n^{\frac{n-1}{2}} x_j, k+\frac{1}{2}, \mathcal{E}_x^{n+\frac{1}{2}} x_j, k+\frac{1}{2}, \mathcal{P}_x^{n+\frac{1}{2}} x_j, k+\frac{1}{2})\). The characteristic polynomial is once more proportional to the one-dimensional polynomial

\[
\phi_0(Z) = Y \left[(1 + \delta + \omega_{\sigma}^y) Y^2 + 2 \delta (1 + \omega_{\sigma}^y) Y + 2 \omega_{\sigma}^y Y P_{L,J}(Z) \right]
\]

\[
= Y \left[(1 + \delta + \omega_{\sigma}^y) Y^2 - 2Z + (1 - \delta + \omega_{\sigma}^y) Y P_{L,J}(Z) \right].
\]

In the anharmonic case, and by the von Neumann technique, we check easily that

\[
\psi_0(Z) = [1 + \delta + \omega_{\sigma}^y] Z^2 - 2Z + [1 - \delta + \omega_{\sigma}^y]
\]

is a Schur polynomial. Besides the double root 1 if \(q = 0\) is still no problem.
In the harmonic case, $\psi_0(Z)$ has two distinct complex conjugate roots with modulus 1. This is not a problem in itself, except if $\varepsilon'_s = 1$ in which case $\psi_0(Z)$ is also a factor in $P_{L,F}(Z)$

$$\phi_0(Z) = (Z - 1)[(1 + \omega \varepsilon'_s)Z^2 - 2Z + (1 + \omega \varepsilon'_s)]P_{L,F}(Z) = (Z - 1)[(1 + \omega \varepsilon'_s)Z^2 - 2Z + (1 + \omega \varepsilon'_s)]^2[Z^2 - (2 - q)Z + 1].$$

This is already the case when we have detected double eigenvalues in dimension 1, giving rise to instabilities for $q = 2/(1 + \omega)$. It is better to avoid this scheme in the case when $\varepsilon'_s$.

### 7.5 The Lorentz–Kashiwa et al. Scheme

#### 7.5.1 Polarisation $(B_x, B_y, E_z)$

Coupling polarisation $(B_x, B_y, E_z)$ with the Lorentz–Kashiwa et al. scheme, we obtain the amplification matrix

$$G = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & (1-q)D-(2-q)\frac{1}{2}\omega_z & \frac{\sigma_z}{D} \\
0 & 0 & -\sigma_y & 0 \\
\frac{\sigma_y}{D} & 0 & -\sigma_x & \frac{\sigma_x}{D} \\
\frac{\sigma_x}{D} & 0 & \frac{\sigma_x}{D} & 0 \\
\frac{\sigma_x}{D} & 0 & \frac{\sigma_x}{D} & 0 \\
-\sigma_x & 0 & \frac{\sigma_x}{D} & 0 \\
-\sigma_y & 0 & \frac{\sigma_y}{D} & 0
\end{pmatrix},$$

associated to the variable $(B_{x,j,k+\frac{1}{2}}^n, B_{y,j,k+\frac{1}{2}}^n, E^n_{x,j,k}, P^n_{x,j,k}, J^n_{x,j,k})^t$. The calculation of the characteristic polynomial leads to the same polynomial as in dimension 1 with the extra root 1

$$\phi_0(Z) = Y P_{LK}(Z).$$

The triple eigenvalue 1 of case $q = 0$ is not a problem.

#### 7.5.2 Polarisation $(B_z, E_x, E_y)$

Coupling polarisation $(B_z, E_x, E_y)$ with the Lorentz–Kashiwa et al. scheme, we obtain the amplification matrix

$$G = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{\sigma_y}{D} & \frac{\sigma_y}{D} & \frac{\sigma_y}{D} & 0 \\
\frac{\sigma_y}{D} & \frac{\sigma_y}{D} & \frac{\sigma_y}{D} & 0 \\
\frac{\sigma_y}{D} & \frac{\sigma_y}{D} & \frac{\sigma_y}{D} & 0 \\
-\sigma_y & 0 & 0 & \frac{\sigma_y}{D} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{\sigma_y}{D} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{\sigma_y}{D} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{\sigma_y}{D}
\end{pmatrix},$$

associated to the variable $(B_{x,j,k+\frac{1}{2}}^n, B_{y,j,k+\frac{1}{2}}^n, E^n_{x,j,k}, P^n_{x,j,k}, J^n_{x,j,k})^t$. The computation of the characteristic polynomial leads to a polynomial proportional to the one-dimensional one

$$\phi_0(Z) = Y[(1 + \delta + \frac{1}{2}\omega \varepsilon'_s)Y^2 + \frac{2(\delta + \omega \varepsilon'_s)}{Y} + (2\omega \varepsilon'_s)]P_{LK}(Z) = Y[(1 + \delta + \frac{1}{2}\omega \varepsilon'_s)Z^2 - 2(\delta + \omega \varepsilon'_s)Z + (1 - \delta + \frac{1}{2}\omega \varepsilon'_s)]P_{LK}(Z).$$

In the anharmonic case, and by the von Neumann technique, we check easily that

$$\psi_0(Z) = [1 + \delta + \frac{1}{2}\omega \varepsilon'_s]Z^2 - [2 - \omega \varepsilon'_s]Z + [1 - \delta + \frac{1}{2}\omega \varepsilon'_s].$$
is a Schur polynomial. Besides, the root 1, which is a double one if \( q = 0 \), does not lead to any problem.

In the harmonic case, we have the extra roots 1 and two complex conjugate roots of modulus 1, which are not roots of \( P_{L,K}(Z) \). The stability is hence given under the same conditions as in the one-dimensional case.

### 7.6 The Lorentz–Young Scheme

#### 7.6.1 Polariation \((B_x, B_y, E_z)\)

Coupling polarisation \((B_x, B_y, E_z)\) with the Lorentz–Young scheme, we obtain the amplification matrix

\[
G = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & -\sigma_y & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & \sigma_x & 0 & 0 \\
\sigma_y & -\sigma_x & (1+\delta)(1-q)-2\omega\alpha & 1+\delta+2\omega & 1+\delta \\
0 & 0 & \frac{2\omega\alpha}{1+\delta} & \frac{1+\delta}{1+\delta} & \frac{2\omega\alpha}{1+\delta} \\
0 & 0 & \frac{2\omega\alpha}{1+\delta} & \frac{1+\delta}{1+\delta} & \frac{2\omega\alpha}{1+\delta} \\
0 & 0 & \frac{2\omega\alpha}{1+\delta} & \frac{1+\delta}{1+\delta} & \frac{2\omega\alpha}{1+\delta}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

associated to the variable \((B_x^{n-\frac{1}{2}} B_y^{n-\frac{1}{2}} E_z^n P^n_y Z^{J_y^{n-\frac{1}{2}}})\). The computation of the characteristic polynomial leads to the same polynomial as in dimension 1 but with 1 as an extra eigenvalue

\[
\phi_0(Z) = Y P_{LY}(Z).
\]

The triple eigenvalue 1 of the case \( q = 0 \) is not a problem.

#### 7.6.2 Polariation \((B_x, E_x, E_y)\)

Coupling polarisation \((B_x, E_x, E_y)\) with the Lorentz–Young scheme, we obtain the amplification matrix

\[
G = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & \sigma_y & 0 & 0 & -\sigma_x & 0 & 0 \\
-\sigma_y & (1+\delta)(1-q)-2\omega\alpha & 2\omega & -\frac{1+\delta}{1+\delta} & \sigma_x \sigma_y & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{2\omega\alpha}{1+\delta} & \frac{1+\delta}{1+\delta} & \frac{2\omega\alpha}{1+\delta} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{2\omega\alpha}{1+\delta} & \frac{1+\delta}{1+\delta} & \frac{2\omega\alpha}{1+\delta} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\sigma_x & \sigma_x \sigma_y & 0 & 0 & (1+\delta)(1-q)-2\omega\alpha & \frac{2\omega\alpha}{1+\delta} & \frac{2\omega\alpha}{1+\delta} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{2\omega\alpha}{1+\delta} & \frac{1+\delta}{1+\delta} & \frac{2\omega\alpha}{1+\delta} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{2\omega\alpha}{1+\delta} & \frac{1+\delta}{1+\delta} & \frac{2\omega\alpha}{1+\delta}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

associated to the variable \((B_x^{n-\frac{1}{2}} E_{x+j+\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{E}_x^{n+2} P^n_{x+j+\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{J}^{n-\frac{1}{2}}_{x,j} \mathcal{P}^n_{y,j+k+\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{J}_{y,j,k+\frac{1}{2}})\). The computation of the characteristic polynomial leads to a polynomial proportional to that of dimension 1

\[
\phi_0(Z) = Y[(1 + \delta)Y^2 + (2(\delta + \omega\epsilon_{\delta})Y + (2\omega\epsilon_{\delta})P_{LY}(Z) \\
= Y[(1 + \delta)Z^2 - (2 - \omega\epsilon_{\delta})Z + (1 - \delta)]P_{LY}(Z).
\]

In the anharmonic case, and by von Neumann technique, we check easily that

\[
\psi_0(Z) = [1 + \delta]Z^2 - [2 - 2\omega\epsilon_{\delta}]Z + [1 - \delta]
\]

is a Schur polynomial. Besides, the root 1 which is a double one if \( q = 0 \) is not a problem.

In the harmonic case, we have the extra roots 1 and two complex conjugate roots of modulus 1, which are not roots of \( P_{LY}(Z) \). The stability is therefore ensured under the same conditions as in the one-dimensional case.
We have studied the stability of numerical schemes for Maxwell–Debye and Maxwell–Lorentz equations in space dimension 1 and 2. In dimension 2, the characteristic polynomials of each scheme and in both polarisation happen to be proportional to the characteristic polynomials for the same scheme in space dimension 1. In all the cases, the extension to dimension 2 goes with an extra root 1 compared to the one-dimensional case. This is the only extra root in the $TE_z$ polarisation. For the $TM_z$ polarisation, there is one other extra root for the Debye equation and two other extra roots for the Lorentz equation, all these roots being on the unit circle. For the Yee scheme applied to the raw Maxwell equations, the stability condition is $q \leq 4$ in dimensions 1, 2 et 3, recalling that $q = q_x + q_y$ in dimension 2 ($q = \max(q_x + q_y, q_x + q_z, q_y + q_z)$ in dimension 3). The results are gathered in two tables according to $\varepsilon_s = \varepsilon_{\infty}$ or not.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Scheme</th>
<th>dimension 1</th>
<th>dimension 2 ($\delta x = \delta y$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Debye</td>
<td>Joseph et al.</td>
<td>$q \leq 4$</td>
<td>$\delta t \leq \frac{\delta x}{c_{\infty}}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debye</td>
<td>Young</td>
<td>$q \leq 4$, $\delta \leq 1$</td>
<td>$\delta t \leq \min(\frac{\delta x}{c_{\infty}}, 2t_r)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lorentz</td>
<td>Joseph et al.</td>
<td>$q \leq 2$</td>
<td>$\delta t \leq \frac{\delta x}{\sqrt{2}c_{\infty}}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lorentz</td>
<td>Kashiwa et al.</td>
<td>$q &lt; 4$</td>
<td>$\delta t &lt; \frac{\delta x}{c_{\infty}}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lorentz</td>
<td>Young</td>
<td>$q \leq 2$, $\omega \leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{2}\varepsilon_{\infty}-1}$</td>
<td>$\delta t \leq \min(\frac{\delta x}{\sqrt{2}c_{\infty}}, \frac{2}{\omega_1\sqrt{2}\varepsilon_{\infty}-1})$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harm.</td>
<td>Joseph et al.</td>
<td>$q \leq 2$</td>
<td>$\delta t \leq \frac{\delta x}{\sqrt{2}c_{\infty}}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harm.</td>
<td>Kashiwa et al.</td>
<td>$q &lt; 4$</td>
<td>$\delta t &lt; \frac{\delta x}{c_{\infty}}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harm.</td>
<td>Young</td>
<td>$q &lt; 2$, $\omega \leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{2}\varepsilon_{\infty}-1}$ or $q \leq 2$, $\omega &lt; \frac{2}{\sqrt{2}\varepsilon_{\infty}-1}$</td>
<td>$\delta t &lt; \min(\frac{\delta x}{\sqrt{2}c_{\infty}}, \frac{2}{\omega_1\sqrt{2}\varepsilon_{\infty}-1})$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Stability of schemes for $\varepsilon_s > \varepsilon_{\infty}$.

For each model, we have at least one scheme for which the stability condition is the same as for the raw Maxwell equations ($q < 4$). In Young models, the extra conditions correspond to a fine enough discretization of Debye and Lorentz equations respectively,... because stability is not the only issue. Applications to classical materials show in general that the condition due to the Maxwell equations is the more restrictive one and not conditions due to the constitutive law of the material.

Computations in dimension 3 are too tedious to be carried out by hand. They have been automated (see [3]).
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Scheme</th>
<th>$q$</th>
<th>$\delta t$</th>
<th>$\delta t$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Debye</td>
<td>Joseph et al.</td>
<td>$q &lt; 4$</td>
<td>$\delta t &lt; \frac{\delta x}{c_\infty}$</td>
<td>$\delta t &lt; \frac{\delta x}{\sqrt{2}c_\infty}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debye</td>
<td>Young</td>
<td>$q &lt; 4$</td>
<td>$\delta t &lt; \frac{\delta x}{c_\infty}$</td>
<td>$\delta t &lt; \frac{\delta x}{\sqrt{2}c_\infty}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lorentz</td>
<td>Joseph et al.</td>
<td>$q &lt; 4$</td>
<td>$\delta t &lt; \frac{\delta x}{c_\infty}$</td>
<td>$\delta t &lt; \frac{\delta x}{\sqrt{2}c_\infty}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lorentz</td>
<td>Kashiwa et al.</td>
<td>$q &lt; 4$</td>
<td>$\delta t &lt; \frac{\delta x}{c_\infty}$</td>
<td>$\delta t &lt; \frac{\delta x}{\sqrt{2}c_\infty}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lorentz</td>
<td>Young</td>
<td>$q &lt; 2$, $\omega &lt; 2$</td>
<td>$\delta t \leq \min\left(\frac{\delta x}{\sqrt{2}c_\infty}, \frac{2}{\omega^2}\right)$</td>
<td>$\delta t \leq \min\left(\frac{\delta x}{2c_\infty}, \frac{2}{\omega^2}\right)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harm.</td>
<td>Joseph et al.</td>
<td>$q &lt; \frac{2\omega}{1+\omega}$</td>
<td>to avoid</td>
<td>to avoid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harm.</td>
<td>Kashiwa et al.</td>
<td>$q &lt; 4$</td>
<td>$\delta t &lt; \frac{\delta x}{c_\infty}$</td>
<td>$\delta t &lt; \frac{\delta x}{\sqrt{2}c_\infty}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harm.</td>
<td>Young</td>
<td>$q &lt; 2$, $\omega &lt; 1$</td>
<td>$\delta t \leq \min\left(\frac{\delta x}{\sqrt{2}c_\infty}, \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\omega^2}\right)$</td>
<td>$\delta t \leq \min\left(\frac{\delta x}{\sqrt{2}c_\infty}, \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\omega^2}\right)$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Stability of schemes for $\varepsilon_s = \varepsilon_\infty$.


