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1. Introduction

A non-diaphragm type shock tube is being developed for the gas-dynamic laser research. The shock tube of this type has been designed to be operated without a diaphragm which the conventional shock tube has in order to separate the high and low pressure regions. By this new scheme, several advantageous properties are expected to be obtained. The vacuum vessel of the conventional shock tube should be opened to atmosphere every time after each shot in order to replace a diaphragm by a new one. This process always introduces impurities into the vacuum vessel. The reproducibility of experiments sometimes depends on the reproducibility of diaphragm-breaking-phenomena.

In the non-diaphragm type shock tube, there is no necessity for replacing a diaphragm after each experiment. Thus, it will be possible to reduce influx of impurities in the experiment. In addition, the reproducibility and the efficiency of experiments may be expected to be largely improved.

2. High pressure driven pistons in the non-diaphragm shock tube

High pressure driven pistons in the non-diaphragm shock tube are shown in Fig.2. The cylinder sections C and D are filled with a higher pressure gas than that of the driver room. Since a small pinhole (ϕ=1mm) is drilled at the center of the piston B, the pressures of cylinder sections C and D are equal at the initial stage. When the gas is released from the C part through exhaust valve, the pressure of section C quickly decreases. Because of a low conductance through the pinhole between C and D, a pressure imbalance quickly develops and this will lead
the fast movement of the piston B toward left hand side. When the gas in the section D flows out through lateral holes, the pressure imbalance between the section D and the driver section causes a fast movement of the piston A to the left side, and this makes the high pressure gas in the driver section flowing into the driven section. Consequently, a shock wave will occur.

3. Improvement of piston B

At the first stage of the experiment, due to the heaviness and the large lateral area of the piston B, its movement toward the cylinder section C was not so fast to generate the shock wave. Therefore, in order to solve this problem, the new lighter piston which has almost four times smaller surface area was made. Moreover, to increase the gas release speed from the cylinder section C, the exhaust pipe diameter was enlarged. And the lateral holes of the cylinder section C were increased. Finally, after these improvements, shock wave has been generated.

Table 1. Improvement point

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Improvement point</th>
<th>Before improved</th>
<th>After improved</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Piston weight (g)</td>
<td>272</td>
<td>198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piston surface area cm²</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>40.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral hole</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exhaust pipe diameter (mm)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig.2 High pressure driven pistons in the non-diaphragm shock tube.

Fig.3 Piston B
(Before improvement)

Fig.4 Piston B
(After improvement)
4. Measurement of shock wave velocity

Figure 5 shows schematic diagram of the shock tube and instrumentation. The distance between the channel 1 and the channel 2 is 50cm. These two piezo electric detectors pick up shock wave impacts, so we can determine the shock wave velocity by measuring the time interval of these two arrival times of a shock wave. Figure 6 shows waveforms of the piezo electric detectors by arriving a shock wave. By using Rankine–Hugoniot equation, it is possible to calculate the temperatures behind the incident and reflected shock waves from the Mach number.

The value $P_4/P_1$ is the initial pressure ratio. $T_2$ is the temperature behind a incident shock wave, and $T_5$ is the temperature behind a reflected shock wave. The gas in driver section is He, and the gas in driven section is $N_2$. The values of $\kappa_1$ and $\kappa_4$ are the specific heat ratio of $N_2$ ($\kappa_1=1.404$) and He($\kappa_4=1.667$). The values of $a_1$ and $a_4$ are the sound speed of $N_2$($a_1=346$m/s) and He($a_4=997$m/s) . $M_s$ is the mach number and $T_1$(293K) is room temperature .

\[
\frac{P_4}{P_1} = \frac{2\kappa_1 M_s^2 - (\kappa_1 - 1)}{\kappa_1 + 1}\left[1 - \frac{\kappa_1 - 1}{\kappa_1 + 1}\frac{a_1}{a_4}\left(M_s - \frac{1}{M_s}\right)\right]^\frac{2\kappa_4}{\kappa_4 - 1}
\]

\[
T_2 = \left[\frac{2\kappa_1 M_s^2 - (\kappa_1 - 1)(\kappa_1 - 1)M_s^2 + 2}{(\kappa_1 + 1)^2 M_s^2}\right] T_1
\]

\[
T_5 = \left[\frac{2(\kappa_1 - 1)M_s^2 + (3 - \kappa_1)(3\kappa_1 - 1)M_s^2 - 2(\kappa_1 - 1)}{(\kappa_1 + 1)^2 M_s^2}\right] T_1
\]

Fig.6 Waveforms of the 1st and 2nd channel piezo electric detectors by arriving a shock wave

5. Experimental result

Figure 7 shows mach number versus initial pressure ratio $P_4/P_1$. $M_{sth}$ is theoretical values from Rankine–Hugoniot equation. In this experiment, driver section and driven section pressure range were 5~20atm, and 5~20Torr respectively, and obtained mach number was in the range of 2.45~4.11. When $P_1$ was 5Torr, mach number uncertainty was ±0.01~0.14. When $P_1$ was 10Torr,
it was ±0.01~0.13. When \( P_1 \) was 20 Torr, it was ±0.01~0.03. From this result, reproducibility was better in the case of \( P_1 = 20 \) and higher initial pressure ratio \( P_4/P_1 \).

The theoretical value is determined by assuming that the gas in driver section instantaneously flows into the driven section. However, in the experiment this flow is actually restricted by piston operation, which produces a delay time to start the operation.

Figure 8 shows temperatures behind incident shock wave \( T_2 \), and Fig. 9 shows temperatures behind reflected shock wave \( T_5 \). The value of \( T_2 \) is in the range of 600~1200K, and \( T_5 \) is in the range of 1000~2500K.

### 6. Summary

Before the modification of the structure in high pressure region, shock wave could not be generated. However, after modification, shock wave has successfully been generated. Reasonable reproducibility has been achieved and stable high pressure driven piston operation has been established.