# Invariant multidimensional matrices 

Jean Vallès

## To cite this version:

Jean Vallès. Invariant multidimensional matrices. 2004. hal-00001032

HAL Id: hal-00001032
https://hal.science/hal-00001032
Preprint submitted on 14 Jan 2004

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire HAL, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Invariant multidimensional matrices 

Jean Vallès

"On se persuade mieux, pour l'ordinaire, par les raisons qu'on a soi-même trouvées que par celles qui sont venues dans l'esprit des autres"(Pascal).


#### Abstract

In [AO] the authors study Steiner bundles via their unstable hyperplanes and proved that (see [AO], Tmm 5.9) : A rank $n$ Steiner bundle on $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ which is $S L(2, \mathbb{C})$ invariant is a Schwarzenberger bundle. In this note we give a very short proof of this result based on Clebsch-Gordon problem for $S L(2, \mathbb{C})$ modules.


## 1 Introduction

Let $A, B$, and $C$ three vector spaces over $\mathbb{C}$ and $\phi: A \otimes B \rightarrow C^{*}$ a linear surjective map. We consider the sheaf $\mathcal{S}_{\phi}$ on $\mathbb{P}(A) \times \mathbb{P}(B)$ defined by,

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}_{\phi} \longrightarrow C \otimes O_{\mathbb{P}(A) \times \mathbb{P}(B)} \xrightarrow{{ }^{t} \phi} O_{\mathbb{P}(A) \times \mathbb{P}(B)}(1,1)
$$

with fibers $\mathcal{S}_{\phi}(a \otimes b)=\{c \in C \mid \phi(a \otimes b)(c)=0\}$.
Remark 1. If $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} C<\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} A+\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} B-1$ then $\operatorname{ker}(\phi)$ meets the set of decomposable tensors, so there exist $a \in A, a \neq 0$ and $b \in B, b \neq 0$ such that $\phi(a \otimes b)=0$.
Remark 2. When $\mathcal{S}_{\phi}$ is a vector bundle it gives two "associated" Steiner bundles $S_{A}$ on $\mathbb{P}(A)$ and $S_{B}$ on $\mathbb{P}(B)$ after projections (see [DK], prop. 3.20).

We denote by $(\mathbb{P}(A) \times \mathbb{P}(B) \times \mathbb{P}(C))^{\vee}$ the variety of hyperplanes tangent to the Segre $\mathbb{P}(A) \times \mathbb{P}(B) \times \mathbb{P}(C)$ and by $(\{a\} \times\{b\} \times \mathbb{P}(C))^{\vee}$ the set of hyperplanes in $\mathbb{P}(A \otimes B \otimes C)$ containing $\{a\} \times\{b\} \times \mathbb{P}(C)$.
The next proposition is a reformulation of many results from, [GKZ] (see for instance Thm 3.1 ' page 458 , prop 1.1 page 445 ), [AO] (see thm page 1 ) and [DO] (see cor.3.3).

Proposition 1.1. Let $A, B$, and $C$ three vector spaces over $\mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} A=n+1$, $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} B=m+1$ and $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} C \geq n+m+1$ and $\phi: A \otimes B \rightarrow C^{*}$ a surjective linear map. Then the following propositions are equivalent:

1) $\mathcal{S}_{\phi}$ is a vector bundle over $\mathbb{P}(A) \times \mathbb{P}(B)$.
2) $\phi(a \otimes b) \neq 0$ for all $a \in A, a \neq 0$ and $b \in B, b \neq 0$.
3) $\phi \notin(\{a\} \times\{b\} \times \mathbb{P}(C))^{\vee}$ for all $a \in A, a \neq 0$ and $b \in B, b \neq 0$.
4) $\phi \notin(\mathbb{P}(A) \times \mathbb{P}(B) \times \mathbb{P}(C))^{\vee}$

Remark 3. When $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} C=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} A+\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} B-1$ the variety $(\mathbb{P}(A) \times \mathbb{P}(B) \times \mathbb{P}(C))^{\vee}$ is an hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}\left((A \otimes B \otimes C)^{\vee}\right)$. This hypersurface is defined by the vanishing of the
hyperdeterminant, say $\operatorname{Det}(\Phi)$ where $\Phi$ is the generic tridimensional matrix (see [GKZ], chapter 1 and 14).
Proof. It is clear that 1) 2) and 3) are equivalent. It remains to show that 3) and 4) are equivalent too.
Since $\partial(a b c)=(\partial(a)) b c+a(\partial(b)) c+a b(\partial(c))$ an hyperplane $H$ is tangent to the Segre in a point $(a, b, c)$ if and only if it contains $\mathbb{P}(A) \times\{b\} \times\{c\}$ and $\{a\} \times \mathbb{P}(B) \times\{c\}$ and $\{a\} \times\{b\} \times \mathbb{P}(C)$. We prove here that the third condition implies the two others. Let $H$ an hyperplane containing $\{a\} \times\{b\} \times \mathbb{P}(C)$, we show that there exists $c \in C$ such that $H$ contains $\mathbb{P}(A) \times\{b\} \times\{c\}$ and $\{a\} \times \mathbb{P}(B) \times\{c\}$. Let $\phi$ the trilinear application corresponding to $H$. Since $\phi(a \otimes b)(C)=0$ we have a $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} C$-dimensional family of bilinear forms vanishing on $(a, b)$. Now finding a bilinear form of the above family (i.e. finding $c \in C)$ which verify $\phi(A \otimes b)(c)=0$ and $\phi(a \otimes B)(c)=0$ imposes at most $n+m$ conditions. Since $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} C \geq n+m+1$, this point $c$ exists.

## 2 Invariant tridimensional matrix under $S L(2, \mathbb{C})$-action

In the second part of this note we will consider the boundary case

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} C=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} A+\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} B-1
$$

Then, instead of writing $\phi$ induces a vector bundle or $\phi \notin(\mathbb{P}(A) \times \mathbb{P}(B) \times \mathbb{P}(C))^{\vee}$ we will write equivalently $\operatorname{Det}(\phi) \neq 0$.
We denote by $S_{i}$ the irreducible $S L(2, \mathbb{C})$-representations of degree $i$ and by $\left(x^{i-k} y^{k}\right)_{k=0, \cdots, i}$ a basis of $S_{i}$.

Theorem 2.1. Let $A, B$ and $C$ be three non trivial $S L(2, \mathbb{C})$-modules with dimension $n+1, m+1$ and $n+m+1$ and $\phi \in \mathbb{P}(A \otimes B \otimes C)$ an invariant hyperplane under $S L(2, \mathbb{C})$. Then,

$$
\operatorname{Det}(\phi) \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow \phi \text { is the multiplication } S_{n} \otimes S_{m} \rightarrow S_{n+m}
$$

Proof. When $\phi \in \mathbb{P}\left(S_{n} \otimes S_{m} \otimes S_{n+m}\right)$ is just the multiplication $S_{n} \otimes S_{m} \rightarrow S_{n+m}$ it is well known that it corresponds to Schwarzenberger bundles (see [DK], prop 6.3).
Conversely, let $A=\oplus_{i \in I} S_{i} \otimes U_{i}, B=\oplus_{j \in J} S_{j} \otimes V_{j}$ where $U_{i}, V_{j}$ are trivial $S L(2, \mathbb{C})$ representations of dimension $n_{i}$ and $m_{j}$. Let $x^{i} \in S_{i}, x^{j} \in S_{j}$ be two highest weight vectors and $u \in U_{i}, v \in V_{j}$. Since $\operatorname{Det}(\phi) \neq 0, \phi\left(\left(x^{i} \otimes u\right) \otimes\left(x^{j} \otimes v\right)\right) \neq 0$ and by $S L(2, \mathbb{C})$ invariance $\phi\left(\left(x^{i} \otimes u\right) \otimes\left(x^{j} \otimes v\right)\right)=x^{i+j} \phi(u \otimes v) \in S_{i+j} \otimes W_{i+j}$. By hypothesis $\phi(u \otimes v) \neq 0$ for all $u \in U_{i}$ and $v \in V_{j}$ so, by the Remark 1 , it implies that $\operatorname{dim} W_{i+j} \geq n_{i}+m_{j}-1$, and $S_{i+j}^{n_{i}+m_{j}-1} \subset C^{*}$.
Assume now that $B$ contains at least two distinct irreducible representations. Let $i_{0}$ and $j_{0}$ the greatest integers in $I$ and $J$. We consider the submodule $B_{1}$ such that $B_{1} \oplus S_{j_{0}}^{m_{j}}=B$. Then the restricted map $A \otimes B_{1} \rightarrow C^{*}$ is not surjective because the image is concentrated in the submodule $C_{1}^{*}$ of $C^{*}$ defined by $C_{1}^{*} \oplus S_{i_{0}+j_{0}}^{n_{i}+m_{j_{0}}-1}=C^{*}$. Now since

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(C_{1}\right)<\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}}(A)+\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(B_{1}\right)-1
$$

there exist $a \in A, b \in B_{1} \subset B$ such that $\phi(a \otimes b)=0$. A contradiction with the hypothesis $\operatorname{Det}(\phi) \neq 0$.

So $A=S_{i}^{n_{i}}, B=S_{j}^{m_{j}}$ and $S_{i+j}^{n_{i}+m_{j}-1} \subset C^{*}$. Since $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} C=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} A+\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} B-1$, we have $(i+1) n_{i}+(j+1) m_{j}-1=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} C \geq(i+j+1)\left(n_{i}+m_{j}-1\right)$ which is possible if and only if $n_{i}=m_{j}=1$ and $C=S_{i+j}$.

Corollary 2.2. A rank $n$ Steiner bundle on $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ which is $S L(2, \mathbb{C})$ invariant is a Schwarzenberger bundle.

Proof. Let $S$ a rank $n$ Steiner bundle on $\mathbb{P}^{n}$, i.e $S$ appears in an exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow S \longrightarrow C \otimes O_{\mathbb{P}(A)} \longrightarrow B^{*} \otimes O_{\mathbb{P}(A)}(1) \longrightarrow 0
$$

where $\mathbb{P}(A)=\mathbb{P}^{n}, \mathbb{P}(B)=\mathbb{P}^{m}$ and $\mathbb{P}(C)=\mathbb{P}^{n+m}$. If $S L(2, \mathbb{C})$ acts on $S$ the vector spaces $A, B$ and $C$ are $S L(2, \mathbb{C})$-modules since $A$ is the basis, $B^{*}=H^{1} S(-1)$ and $C^{*}=H^{0}\left(S^{*}\right)$. If $S$ is $S L(2, \mathbb{C})$-invariant the linear surjective map

$$
A \otimes\left(H^{1} S(-1)\right)^{*} \rightarrow H^{0}\left(S^{*}\right)
$$

is $S L(2, \mathbb{C})$-invariant too.
Remark. The proofs of the theorem and the proposition, given in this paper, are still valid for more than three vector spaces when the format is the boundary format.
I would like to thank L.Gruson, M.Meulien and N.Perrin for their help.
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