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1. Introduction
Most foot ulcers are the consequence of a trauma (repetitive high stress, ill-fitting footwear, or an object inside the shoe) associated to diabetes. They are often followed by amputation and shorten life expectancy [Reiber, 2010]. Because of somatosensory deficits, diabetic patients cannot perceive the pain announcing deep tissue injury and their natural defense is thus altered. Today, prevention is mainly based on the patient’s daily vigilance and on doctor monitoring. Although several devices aim at reducing pressure loading to facilitate wound healing [Armstrong, 1998], little has been done to prevent ulcer creations, especially because they stem from high stresses and strains inside the tissues at the boundary with bony prominences. Such ulcers usually start in deep tissues and progress outward rapidly, causing substantial subcutaneous damage underneath intact skin. Deep pressure ulcers are therefore particularly dangerous since they may be difficult to detect visually. Devices measuring surface pressures are mainly used to alert persons suffering from diabetes to abnormal pressures that may cause skin damage [Pipkin, 2008]. These measurements, however, cannot predict ulcer from internal tissue loading [Linder-Ganz, 2008]. We plan to assess ulceration risks by estimating the internal strains within the patient’s foot through a biomechanical model of the foot driven by plantar pressures measured with a commercial sensor while a subject walks.

2. Methods
The foot model runs on Artisynth (artisynth.org), a 3D biomechanical simulation platform. It integrates soft tissues, bones, joints and ligaments.

The soft tissues are divided into skin, muscles and fat. They are modelled as a finite element (FE) mesh. Its outer surface is inspired by the skin surface from the Zygote database (zygote.com). An automatic hexahedron-dominant FE mesh generator [Lobos, 2010] was used to mesh the soft tissues, Fig. 1, with 36,894 elements and 22,774 nodes. The skin layer, which is one element thick, is split into two Neo Hookean materials: the plantar skin with E = 6 GPa [Sofer, 2011] and the rest of the skin with E = 200 kPa. They both have a Poisson ratio of 0.495. The elasticity modulus chosen for the rest of the skin was measured on the foot arch of a young healthy subject using our own device: LASTIC (for Light Aspiration device for in vivo Soft Tissue Characterization). This device is based on the pipette aspiration principle and aims at characterizing in vivo the elastic modulus of soft tissues. As can be seen on Fig. 1, the plantar skin is composed of the elements below the heel, the metatarsi and the toes.

![Figure 1 Cross section of the FE mesh with its different layers: skin, muscles and bones. Only the surface elements into the red areas define the plantar skin, the rest forms the softer skin layer. The other internal elements form the fat layer.](image)

Muscles and fat are also modelled as two Neo Hookean materials with Young moduli of 50 kPa and 4 kPa, respectively and Poisson ratios of 0.495 [Sofer, 2011]. The muscle layer is defined from the Zygote database and is limited for now to the muscles of the foot arch. This area was mapped in the FE mesh to find the elements considered as muscles. The elements that are neither in the skin layer nor in the muscle layer are assumed to be part of the fat layer.

The 26 foot bones and a section of the tibia and fibula are modelled as rigid body surfaces. Their morphology was defined by the Zygote database. Each rigid body is fixed to the nearby finite element nodes of the soft tissue mesh to naturally rigidify the foot. The 33 foot joints are simulated by pivot s connecting each bone with its neighbours. Their angles of rotation vary from 45 degrees for the phalanx pivots to 5 degrees for the others. Four large ligaments constrain the model: the internal
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and external plantar fasciae, the Achilles tendon, and the transversal metatarsal head ligament. They are simulated as cables with an extension stiffness of 200 MPa and a compression stiffness of 0 MPa as extrapolated from [Gefen, 2003]. Their insertion sites were defined by an experienced podiatrist.

3. Results and Discussion

As pressure ulcers seem mainly induced by internal strains [Loerakker, 2011], our model is used to monitor their range in a dynamic setting at two key positions: (a) when both feet are fully on the ground, and (b) when the weight is only on the front of one foot (toes and metatarsi), starting walking. Plantar pressures are thus measured with a Zebris platform (zebris.de) for these two positions on a young healthy subject, Fig. 2. In terms of boundary conditions, the tibia and fibula are fixed while the measured pressures are applied to the foot model sole. Their values range from 0 to 10 N.cm⁻² for (a) and from 0 to 25 N.cm⁻² during (b).

Table 1 shows Von Mises strains computed by the model below the metatarsal heads and the heel internally (just below the bone structure) and externally (at the skin surface) for (a) two feet fully on the ground, and (b) the weight is only on the front of one foot. Internal strains are higher than external strains, realistically replicating a possible foot ulceration scenario. Furthermore, the strain difference between (a) and (b) shows that our model can handle the changes linked to a dynamic analysis of the foot position.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>(a) Ext. strain</th>
<th>(a) Int. strain</th>
<th>(b) Ext. strain</th>
<th>(b) Int. strain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5th MTT</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
<td>72.7%</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
<td>171%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4th MTT</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
<td>83.4%</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
<td>204%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd MTT</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td>81.8%</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
<td>152%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd MTT</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>33.4%</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
<td>31.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st MTT</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
<td>37.5%</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
<td>92.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heel</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
<td>137%</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>59.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 Von Mises strains measured under the metatarsal head (MTT) of each toe and under the heel, internally (just below the bone structure) and externally (at the skin surface) for (a) two feet fully on the ground, and (b) weight only on a foot front.

4. Conclusions

Our biomechanical model allows computing the strains under and within the foot for different foot positions. It shows a realistic behaviour in these positions in terms of surface and internal strains with plantar pressures measured with a commercial sensor. Average surface strains of 2.9 % and 5 % were evaluated on the skin surface below the heel and metatarsal heads while strains of 74.3 % and 118.4 % were measured internally, next to the bones, while (a) the subject foot was fully on the ground and (b) only the foot front, respectively. The analysis of internal strains caused by prescribed external loads enables localization of higher deformations inside the foot. Consequently, the model could therefore define an objective risk assessment scale for foot ulcer prevention while walking or standing, when coupled to the output of a plantar pressure sensor.

Future works will aim at linking this model with real-time data flow provided by pressure sensors to enable continuous monitoring of the internal strains within the diabetic patient’s foot necessary for daily foot ulcer prevention. The main challenge, i.e. decreasing the model’s computation time, will be addressed either by reducing the number of elements in zones less involved in ulcer formation or by limiting the FE modelling to ulcer prone areas such as the heel and the metatarsal heads.

Figure 2 Pressures measured with the Zebris platform when (a) two feet are fully on the ground, and (b) the weight is only on the front of one foot.
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