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Abstract

The recognition in real time of crowd dynamics in public places are becoming essential to avoid crowd related disasters and ensure safety of people. We present in this paper a new approach for Crowd Event Recognition. Our study begins with a novel tracking method, based on HOG descriptors, to finally use pre-defined models (i.e. crowd scenarios) to recognize crowd events. We define these scenarios using statistics analysis from the data sets used in the experimentation. The approach is characterized by combining a local analysis with a global analysis for crowd behavior recognition. The local analysis is enabled by a robust tracking method, and global analysis is done by a scenario modeling stage.

1. Introduction

Just two decades ago, computer vision community had started to focus on the study of crowds in public areas or during public events [1]. This study is motivated by the increasing need for public safety and the high level of degeneration risk especially when a large number of people (crowd) is involved.

In the research field related to crowd analytics we can find different sub-topics like crowd density estimation, crowd tracking, face detection and recognition in crowds, crowd behavior analysis, among others. We are interested in crowd behavior analysis, which is a newest area in the research community. Our goal is to automatically recognize crowd abnormal events in video sequences. In general, the usual process for activity analysis in a video sequence is composed of the following three stages [4]: (1) detection, (2) tracking and (3) event recognition. This process can be applied to crowds as well as individuals.

We propose a new approach for crowd event recognition. The paper considers the second and the third stage of the process mentioned above, to improve the recognition stage. For this purpose in the tracking stage we compute, for every detected object in the first stage (detection), feature points (i.e. corner points) using FAST approach [2]. Then for each computed feature point we build a descriptor based on Histogram of Oriented Gradients (HOG) [3], to finally track these feature points through its respective descriptors. Finally, in the last stage (event recognition) we statistically analyze the vectors formed by the tracking of the feature points, to recognize a pre-defined event.

2. Previous Work

Nowadays, there are many research works related to crowd. The existent approaches in this field can be classified in two categories [5]. One of them is related to crowd event detection, and the other, to crowd density estimation. Some approaches for the second category are based on counting, either: faces, heads or persons [10, 11] but their performance is low when there are occlusions. There are also approaches based on texture and motion area ratio [6, 7, 8, 9], which are really useful for analysis for crowd surveillance. However, neither of them work for event recognition because they cannot detect abnormal situations.

Most of the methods in the first category aim at detecting abnormal events in crowd flows using motion patterns. Motion patterns correspond either to normal behaviors (frequent patterns) or abnormal behaviors (unusual patterns) [12, 13]. For example, Ihaddadene et al.[12] approach detects abnormal motion variations using motion heat maps and optical flow. They compute points of interest (POI) in the regions of interest given by the maps. The variations of motion are estimated to highlight potential abnormal events but it is necessary to carefully define, in advance, an appropriate threshold and the regions of interest for every scenario. Mehran et al. [13] propose to use social force model for the detection of abnormal behaviors in crowds. The method consists in matching a grid of particles with the frame and moving them along the underlying flow field. Then the social force is computed between moving particles to extract interaction forces, to finally determine the ongoing behavior of the crowd through the change of interaction forces in time. The resultant vector field is denoted
as force flow, and is used to model the normal behaviors. The method captures the dynamics of crowd behavior without using object tracking or segmentation, nevertheless the obtained false positives could be problematic.

The tracking stage is another topic for the vision community. In the literature we can find several approaches for object tracking trying to solve the occlusion problem. Nevertheless, handling the occlusion for tracking people in crowd is often a harder problem to solve than for tracking individual. Most of the methods for tracking individuals with occlusion may not be so scalable to crowds. One scalable method is KLT [15], which tracks feature points allowing multiple object tracking. Kaniche et al. [16] propose a HOG tracker for gesture recognition, which can be extended to multiple object tracking in crowd. They select for each individual in the scene a set of points and characterize them by computing 2D HOG descriptors, then they track these descriptors to construct temporal HOG descriptors.

Our approach uses statistical pre-defined models of scenarios to detect crowd events in video frames. The utilization of these pre-defined models allows us a more flexible and general way to model scenarios. We use object tracking to estimate crowd direction and speed, in lieu of using a holistic approach for its higher accuracy. Others approaches use also object tracking as in [12] (optical flow), however our approach is more robust because we are using HOG descriptors which better characterized the tracked points.

3. Crowd Tracking

This section describes the tracking process for crowd through the feature points computed for every object detected in a frame. We briefly describe the object detection process which does not belong to our contribution.

To perform object detection we use the technique proposed by Nghiem et al. [17] to calculate the difference between the current image and the reference one (background). The idea is to set up the moving regions by grouping foreground neighbouring pixels, where moving regions are classified into objects depending on their size (crowds, persons, groups, etc.).

Once the moving objects are detected in the scene using moving segmentation we track these objects by tracking the feature points

3.1 Feature Points

After obtaining the detected moving objects in the current frame, we compute for each of them a set of feature points to track. For this, we use FAST approach [2]. However, any other corner detector approach could be applied like the one proposed by Shi et al. in [18]. Our method consists in a descendant sort out of the detected feature points using corner strength information. Then, from these points (beginning from the most significant, i.e. the one with the biggest value of corner strength) a subset of feature points is chosen to ensure a minimum distance: between them. And also between all tracked points in the corresponding object. The minimum distance improves the feature point distribution for an object and prevents mixing tracked points.

3.2 2D HOG Descriptor

We build a HOG descriptor [3] for each detected feature point. To compute the descriptor we define around the feature point a block of 9 cells $(3 \times 3)$ where a cell is defined by a matrix of $p \times p$ pixels ($p \in \{3, 5\}$). Then, we compute the approximate absolute gradient magnitude (normalized) and gradient orientation for every pixel in the block using Sobel operator. Using gradient orientation we assign to each pixel from a cell one of the $K$ orientation bins (by default $K = 9$). For each bin, we compute the sum of gradients of its pixel. Finally, we obtain for each cell inside a block a feature vector of $K$ orientation bins. The 2D descriptor is then a vector for the whole block, concatenating the feature vectors of all its cells normalized by $p$.

3.3 Descriptor Tracking

The feature points detected in the previous frame are tracked in the current frame using the 2D HOG descriptors. In the current frame we calculate the mean over the trajectory, $S_{GM}$, of an object speed within a time window using all speed values from the feature points that belong to the same object. If the feature point is newly detected in the current frame we assume that $S_{GM} = S_{mean}$, where $S_{mean}$ is the mean speed of the object at the current frame. To reduce the processing time we are using a searching window which is define based on a searching radius. For a given feature point $F$, the searching radius, $R_s$, is computed:

$$R_s = S_{GM} + \frac{1}{T} \times (S_{mean} - S_{GM})$$

Where $T$ is the number of frames where $F$ was tracked. From equation (1), $R_s$ is more accurate when $F$ has a longer track.

The difference between two HOG descriptors, $d^n$ and $d^m$, is defined by the equation:

$$E(d^n, d^m) = \sum_{i=1}^{9 \times K} MAX(v^n_i, v^m_i) \times (d^n_i - d^m_i)^2$$

Where $v^n$ and $v^m$ correspond to the variances of the HOG descriptors of $d^n$ and $d^m$, respectively, computed through out the time window.
Finally, we track \( F \) in the current frame comparing the difference (equation (2)) of the HOG descriptors between \( F \) and \( r \) (\( \forall r \) a point inside the window of radius \( R_s \)). We choose the point \( r' \) in the current frame which better matches with the point \( F \) in the previous frame by computing the difference between their HOG descriptors.

We update the HOG descriptor of the tracked point by computing the equation below:

\[
d_i^F = (1 - \alpha) d_i^r + \alpha d_i^F, \quad i = 1 \ldots 9 \times K \quad (3)
\]

Where \( d_i^F \) is the mean HOG descriptor and \( d_i^r \) is the HOG descriptor of the point \( r \) in the current frame. \( \alpha \) is a cooling parameter. In the same way, to update the variance of the mean descriptor bin in the current frame:

\[
v_i^F = (1 - \alpha) \times |d_i^r - d_i^F| + \alpha v_i^F, \quad i = 1 \ldots 9 \times K \quad (4)
\]

4. Crowd Event Recognition

Crowd behavior can be characterized by regular motion patterns like direction, speed, etc. For this reason, the most robust and simple approach for crowd event recognition is to use pre-defined models of crowd events. In this section, we explain the crowd motion information computed to define and recognize the different crowd events used in this study.

Our approach consists in modeling crowd events through the information obtained with the tracking of the feature points. We rely on those motion vectors of feature points computed over multiple frames. For us a vector is a collection of several elements which are the mean HOG descriptor, the start and end point of the trajectory of the tracked feature point, together with start and end time. The computed attributes (information) related to motion vectors are direction, speed, and crowd density.

Direction is the property that identifies the direction of the trajectory of feature points (called vectors). We divide the Cartesian plane into 8 parts where each part is a direction between the angles \( \alpha, \alpha + 45 \) and \( \alpha \in \{0, 45, 90, 135, 180, 225, 270, 315\} \), see Figure 1. The angle of the vector is computed between the axis \( X \) (where \( x = 0 \) is the starting direction of the vector) and the vector, this measure decides in which of the 8 directions is classified the vector. After this, we calculate the principal crowd directions considering the density percentage of feature points in each direction. If this percentage is bigger than a threshold \( t \) we assume there is a crowd in that direction.

The speed is directly related to the length of the vectors. For each frame we calculate the speed of every vector considering its length and the number of tracking frames of the feature point associate to the vector. We obtain the crowd average speed using the speed of all the vectors in the frame.

For crowd density we build a grid over the image and then we compute the density of feature points in each grid-cell. This information will help us to recognize the crowd events. 6 crowd events are modeled, which are walking, running, evacuation, local dispersion, crowd formation and crowd splitting. The models defined for this study are described below:

- **Walking**: corresponds to a significant number of individuals moving at a low speed. We compute the mean speed, measured as pixels per frame, considering all vectors in a frame. We set up the threshold \( t_1 \), and when the mean speed is under this threshold we recognize a crowd walking event.

- **Running**: corresponds to a significant number of individuals moving at a high speed. We compute the mean speed, measured as pixels per frame, considering all vectors in a frame. We use the same threshold \( t_1 \), but when the mean speed is over \( t_1 \) we recognize a crowd running event.

- **Evacuation**: corresponds to a rapid dispersion of the crowd in different directions. We use the attributes direction and crowd density to recognize this event. When there are more than 4 principal directions, when the minimum distance between the principal directions is over a threshold \( t_2 \) (euclidean distance between the grid-cells containing the feature points related to principal directions), and if the addition of the crowd density per principal direction is over a threshold \( t_3 \), this event is recognized.

- **Crowd Formation**: corresponds to the merge of several individuals, where the individuals approach from different directions. Crowd density and the distance between the principal directions are used to model the current event. We set up the thresholds \( t_4 \) for the distance between the principal directions, and \( t_5 \) for the crowd density in the respective grid-cells. When the minimum distance is under \( t_4 \) and the crowd density is over \( t_5 \), a crowd formation event is recognized.
• **Crowd Splitting**: corresponds to a cohesive crowd of individuals which splits into two or more flows. The crowd density and the distance between the principal directions are used to model the current event. We set up the thresholds \( t_6 \) for the distance between the main directions, and \( t_7 \) for the crowd density in the respective grid-cells. When the maximum distance is over \( t_6 \) and the crowd density is under \( t_7 \), a crowd splitting event is recognized.

• **Local Dispersion**: corresponds to localized movement of people within a crowd away from a given threat. This event is very similar to crowd formation/splitting because this model uses the same attributes, plus another one: the speed. Nevertheless the thresholds (also used for crowd formation/splitting) are different. Moreover, the threshold for the distance between the grid-cells has to be over a threshold \( t_8 \) and the crowd density has to be distributed between the grid-cells with more than 1 principal directions. The mean speed has to be under a threshold \( t_9 \).

5. Experimental Results

To validate our approach we have tested the PETS Dataset S3. High Level, which contains four sequences respectively with timestamps \( 14 : 16, 14 : 27, 14 : 31 \) and \( 14 : 33 \). For each sequence we use the videos recorded by camera 1 (View 1), and we consider that there are two video clips inside the sequences \( 14 : 16, 14 : 27 \) and \( 14 : 33 \) and one video clip for the sequence \( 14 : 31 \). A video clip is about 130 frames long. The videos depict the 6 crowd scenarios described in the previous section. The crowd scenarios are acted by about 40 people from Reading University Campus. All the experiments have been performed on one view and our plan is to complete the experiments on the other views.

The thresholds used in the event models have been set up experimentally. We are currently designing a learning process to compute and optimize the thresholds.

Table 1 presents some measures to evaluate our approach: true positives (TP), false positives (FP) and sensitivity (SN). We consider TP as the crowd event that matched with the ground truth for each frame, FP as the not matched crowd event recognized for each frame, and SN is defined as \( TP / (TP + FN) \). Since the ground truth is not established for the S3 High Level, we have built the ground truth manually.

Table 2 contains the frame number of the 7 videos clips. Table 3 shows the significant time intervals where the pre-defined events were recognized for the 7 videos clips. The columns are the different videos. There are 6 rows which represent the crowd scenarios in our study. Each element of the table contains the frames where the event is recognized in the corresponding video clip. The video clips named time_stamp-B are the continuation of the video sequence time_stamp, i.e. if the last frame of time_stamp-A is 104 the first frame of time_stamp-B is 105. Inside the brackets two time intervals are separated by “;”. Significant time interval is when the size is bigger than 9 frames. False positives of crowd event can be detected as significant time intervals.

Figure 2 shows some illustrations of the results of our approach. The black lines are the trajectories of the tracked feature points depicting their direction and length.

6. Conclusion

In this paper we have presented a novel approach for recognizing crowd events. The contributions are the combination of local and global analysis. The local analysis is achieved by tracking HOG descriptors and the global analysis is obtained by statistical analysis of the HOG motion patterns. Also, the use of HOG descriptors for tracking enables a high accuracy in crowd event recognition and a better characterization of feature points. The approach has successfully validated on PETS dataset. There are still some errors in the recognized events. These errors are mainly do to the set up the thresholds at the level of scenario models. For future work we plan to improve the threshold computation by automating the construction of scenario models. We are also currently computing the HOG motion vectors in 3D for the approach to be independence from the scene. The scenario...
models (besides the thresholds) are easy to model by users and can be extended to other crowd scenarios. Definition of a language for modeling these scenarios can also enhance the flexibility of the approach to pre-define the scenarios.
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Figure 2: The first row presents the original frames and the second row the output of our approach.