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ABSTRACT

A comprehensive mitochondrial phylogeny of the family Mugilidae (Durand et al., Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 64 (2012) 73-92 [1]) demonstrated the polyphyly or paraphyly of a proportion of the 20 genera in the family. Based on these results, here we propose a revised classification with 25 genera, including 15 genera currently recognized as valid (Agonostomus, Aldrichetta, Castraen, Chaenomugil, Chelon, Crenimugil, Ellolobolon, Joturnus, Mugil, Myxus, Neomyxus, Oedalechilus, Rhinomugil, Sicamugil and Trachystoma), 7 resurrected genera (Dajaus (for Agonostomus monticola)), Gracilimugil (for Liza argentea)), Minimugil (for Siamugil casasia), Osteomugil (for several species currently under Moolgarda and Valamugil, including M. cuneatus, M. engeli, M. perusi, and V. robustus), Planiliza (for Indo-Pacific Chelon spp., Indo-Pacific Liza spp., and Paramugil parattus), Plicomugil (for Oedalechilus labiosus), and Squalomugil (for Rhinomugil nasutus) and 3 new genera: Neobelon gen. nov. (for Liza falcipinnis), Parachelon gen. nov. (for L. grandisquamis) and Pseudomycus gen. nov. (for Myxus capensis).

Genus Chelon was shown to include exclusively Chelon spp. and Liza spp. from the Atlantic and the Mediterranean, and Liza spp. species endemic to eastern southern Africa. Genus Crenimugil should now include C. crenilabis, Moolgarda sebili and V. buchanani. Genus names Liza, Moolgarda, Paramugil, Valamugil and Xenomugil should be abandoned because they are no longer valid. Further genetic evidence is required to confirm or infrm the validity of the genus Paracrenimugil Senou 1988. The mitochondrial phylogeny of the 25 genera from the present revision is the following: [(Siamugil, (Minimugil, Rhinomugil)); Trachystoma, ((Myxus, Neomyxus), (Castraen, Chaenomugil, (Agonostomus, Dajaus, Joturnus), Mugil)]; (Aldrichetta, Grecilimugil; Neobelon gen. nov.; (Pseudomyxus gen. nov., (Chelon, Oedalechilus, Planiliza, Parachelon gen. nov.)); ((Squalomugil, (Ellolobolon, Plicomugil), (Crenimugil, Osteomugil)). Agonostomus monticola and several species with large distribution ranges (including Moolgarda sebili, Mugil cephalus and M. cuneatus) consist of separate lineages whose geographic distribution suggests they are cryptic species, thus warranting further taxonomic work in the Mugilidae at the infra-generic level.
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RESUME

and cunnesius undertaken for the Mugilidae (reviewed in [1]) represent a considerable number of valid genera (Table 1) have been proposed for the Mugilidae [11]. The first thorough taxonomic revision of the Mugilidae was produced by Schultz [38], who mainly used mouth anatomy to define species and genera. Schultz [38] validated only ten previously defined mugilid genera and described three new ones, a revision that was subsequently questioned (review in [43]). The taxonomy and nomenclature of Mugilidae have still not been finalized [44], with between 14 and 20 genera being recognized as valid according to the most recent revisions [11, 12, 45]. The Integrated Taxonomic Information System (http://www.itis.gov/; information retrieved on 16 August 2011) recognizes 16 valid genera, while Eschmeyer and Fricke [13] list 20 valid genera. Two genera, Liza and Mugil, currently represent 40% of the species richness of the family Mugilidae [13]. While the taxonomy and nomenclature of species in the genus Mugil are mostly stable, those in the genus Liza have undergone changes since [38] (Table 1), reflecting disagreement among authors regarding the taxonomic placement of some of the species currently under this genus.

Molecular phylogenetic investigations of the Mugilidae have been mostly regional in scope, with a majority of studies concerning mugilid species sampled from the Mediterranean region, and a few other studies concerned with species from the Atlantic waters of South America, or from India, or from eastern Asia (reviewed in [1]). More recent studies have attempted to expand taxonomic sampling by including species and genera from various locations worldwide in addition to their initial treatment of Mediterranean Mugilidae [46, 47]. The most comprehensive phylogenetic survey of Mugilidae published thus far concerned 55 species representing 19 of the 20 currently recognized genera [1](Fig. 1). A substantial proportion of the species in particularly speciose genera Chelidon (5/7 of currently recognized species), Liza (14/19), Mugil (9/12), Moolgarda (4/5) and Valamugil (3/4) were included. Broad geographic sampling was undertaken for the ubiquitous genera Chelidon, Liza, and Mugil. Emphasis was also put on sampling several widely-distributed species of these and other genera, including C. macrolepis, Crenimugil crenilabis, Moolgarda cunneius, M. seheli, Mugil cephalus, M. curema and Valamugil buchanani.

Durand et al.’s [1] phylogeny allowed to test previous phylogenetic hypotheses based on morphology and morphoanatomy, themselves in contradiction with one another (Figs. 1A-E of [1]). It was found that several genera in the Mugilidae actually were polyphyletic or paraphyletic with other genera and that the

1. Introduction

The potential input of molecular phylogenies to modern taxonomy is considerable [2-5], to the extent that a DNA-based approach to taxonomy is being envisaged [6-8]. It is arguable that molecular phylogenies should provide the basis to taxonomy in the cases where conflicts or uncertainty persist from classifications based on morphology, morpho-anatomy, and other phenotypic characters. Unlike molecular phylogenies, traditional taxonomy based on morphological characters can for instance be misled by phenotypic plasticity, morphological convergence, and arbitrary character weighting [8, 9].

In the last 130 years, up to 281 nominal species and 43 nominal genera (Table 1) have been proposed for the Mugilidae [11]. The first thorough taxonomic revision of the Mugilidae was produced by Schultz [38], who mainly used mouth anatomy to define species and genera. Schultz [38] validated only ten previously defined mugilid genera and described three new ones, a revision that was subsequently questioned (review in [43]). The taxonomy and nomenclature of Mugilidae have still not been finalized [44], with between 14 and 20 genera being recognized as valid according to the most recent revisions [11, 12, 45]. The Integrated Taxonomic Information System (http://www.itis.gov/; information retrieved on 16 August 2011) recognizes 16 valid genera, while Eschmeyer and Fricke [13] list 20 valid genera. Two genera, Liza and Mugil, currently represent 40% of the species richness of the family Mugilidae [13]. While the taxonomy and nomenclature of species in the genus Mugil are mostly stable, those in the genus Liza have undergone changes since [38] (Table 1), reflecting disagreement among authors regarding the taxonomic placement of some of the species currently under this genus.

Molecular phylogenetic investigations of the Mugilidae have been mostly regional in scope, with a majority of studies concerning mugilid species sampled from the Mediterranean region, and a few other studies concerned with species from the Atlantic waters of South America, or from India, or from eastern Asia (reviewed in [1]). More recent studies have attempted to expand taxonomic sampling by including species and genera from various locations worldwide in addition to their initial treatment of Mediterranean Mugilidae [46, 47]. The most comprehensive phylogenetic survey of Mugilidae published thus far concerned 55 species representing 19 of the 20 currently recognized genera [1](Fig. 1). A substantial proportion of the species in particularly speciose genera Chelidon (5/7 of currently recognized species), Liza (14/19), Mugil (9/12), Moolgarda (4/5) and Valamugil (3/4) were included. Broad geographic sampling was undertaken for the ubiquitous genera Chelidon, Liza, and Mugil. Emphasis was also put on sampling several widely-distributed species of these and other genera, including C. macrolepis, Crenimugil crenilabis, Moolgarda cunneius, M. seheli, Mugil cephalus, M. curema and Valamugil buchanani.

Durand et al.’s [1] phylogeny allowed to test previous phylogenetic hypotheses based on morphology and morphoanatomy, themselves in contradiction with one another (Figs. 1A-E of [1]). It was found that several genera in the Mugilidae actually were polyphyletic or paraphyletic with other genera and that the
molecular phylogeny matches no one of the previous, morphology-based classifications. Here, we propose a revised classification based on these results.

2. Methods

We thus examined the phylogenetic placement of each of the 19 mugilid genera in the mitochondrial phylogeny of Mugilidae produced by [1] (Fig. 1). In addition, we determined the phylogenetic placement of the genus Xenomugil (represented by its single species X. thoburni).

A partial phylogeny of Mugilidae based on all available nucleotide sequences of a 300-bp fragment of the cytochrome b (cytb) gene had initially shown X. thoburni haplotypes to be embedded within the Mugil curema lineage (Appendix A). Consequently, here we run a new phylogenetic treatment of the genera Mugil and Xenomugil, using a new matrix of sequences that comprised representatives from all Mugil spp. lineages of [1], the new sequences of two X. thoburni individuals, and the additional sequences of two M. cephalus individuals from the Galapagos Islands. Both X. thoburni and additional M. cephalus from the Galapagos Islands were collected at Bahia Divine, Isla Santa Cruz on 15 June 2011 by T. Ballesteros. Their partial nucleotide sequences at the 16S rRNA, cytochrome-oxidase I (COI) and cytb loci [GENBANK (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) accession numbers JX559523 to JX559535] were obtained using the same experimental protocols as [1].

For the present revision, a genus name was conserved if the topology of the tree supported the monophyly of the genus. When a genus currently considered valid was paraphyletic or polyphyletic, we split it into the minimum necessary number of genera according to the topology of the tree. The current genus name was conserved for the type species (Table 1) and, when applicable, its sister species in the same genus. For the other monophyletic groups under the same genus, former genus names were resurrected whenever applicable. For this, we considered the history of genus nomenclature in Mugilidae and the validity of the 43 genera proposed thus far (Table 1). The principle of priority [52] was followed when a previously proposed genus name was available. When no genus name was available for a given lineage, we proposed a new genus name.

Thus, our concern was to minimize disruption to the existing nomenclature. This accords with the principles of the PhyloCode [53].

3. Results and Discussion

A summary of Durand et al.’s [1] phylogenetic results (Fig. 1) and their taxonomic implications at the genus level are examined in the following. We added information on the distribution of each genus. Genera are listed in alphabetical order.

3.1. Agonostomus

Agonostomus was paraphyletic with respect to Joturus; A. monticola was phylogenetically closer to J. pichardi than both were from A. catalai (Fig. 1). At locus 16S, the nucleotide divergence between A. monticola (GENBANK JQ060644- JQ060652) and A. catalai (GENBANK JQ060643) was 13.3-13.5% (Kimura 2-parameter; MEGA 5 [50]) while the estimated divergence between A. telfairii (GENBANK DQ532834) and A. catalai was 0.2%. Since A. telfairii, which is the type-species of the genus, is genetically closer to A. catalai than A. monticola, it is the latter that should be placed under a different genus name,
namely Dajaus which is the earliest genus name available for A. monticola (see Table 1). The genus Agonostomus under its present, revised definition exclusively occurs in the South-West Indian Ocean [11].

3.2. Aldrichetta

Aldrichetta was found it to be the sister subclade of Liza argentea; no taxonomic change is needed for Aldrichetta, which is monotypic [13]. The distribution of this genus is restricted to the temperate coastal waters of Australia and New Zealand [11].

3.3. Cestraeus

The genus Cestraeus, represented by two species (C. goldiei and C. oxyrhinchus) in [1] was found to be monophyletic and a brother genus to Chaenomugil, Mugil, and (Agonostomus + Joturus); no taxonomic change is needed regarding Cestraeus because of its monophyly. The genus Cestraeus is present in the Indo-Malay-Papua archipelago, in New Caledonia and in Fiji [11].

3.4. Chaenomugil

This genus was found to be a brother genus to Cestraeus, Mugil and (Agonostomus + Joturus). No taxonomic change is needed for Chaenomugil, which is monotypic [13]. C. proboscideus, the only species in the genus, occurs in the eastern Pacific, from Baja California to Peru [11].

3.5. Chelon

Chelon labrosus grouped with L. aurata, L. ramada, L. saliens, L. richardsonii, L. bandialensis, Liza sp. (S. Africa) and L. tricuspidens to form a subclade, which turned out to exclusively comprise species distributed in Atlantic and Mediterranean waters or species apparently endemic to eastern southern Africa (L. tricuspidens and an apparently undescribed Liza sp. [1]). The other Chelon species sampled, all from the Indo-Pacific, formed a distinct subclade together with Indo-Pacific Liza spp. and Paramugil parmatus. All species in the ‘Atlantic’ subclade (Fig. 5A of [1]), which includes Chelon labrosus (the type species of the genus Chelon) should be placed under Chelon by the principle of priority (see Table 1). The other Liza and Chelon species sampled should be placed under different genera (see below).

3.6. Crenimugil

Crenimugil crenilabis (the type species of the genus Crenimugil; Table 1) grouped with Moolgarda sebili and Valamugil buchanani to form a distinct cluster within the (Crenimugil, Moolgarda, Valamugil) subclade. This well-supported lineage should be named Crenimugil because of the priority of the latter to Valamugil (Table 1), and because Moolgarda is both a nomen nudum and a nomen dubium [11]. The close evolutionary affinity of C. crenilabis with M. sebili and V. buchanani has previously been highlighted on the basis of shared morpho-anatomical characters ([10]; Fig. 3). We were unable to obtain a sample of C. heterochelos, designated by Senou [10] as the type species of his genus Paracrenimugil. Based on the accuracy of the rest of Senou’s [10] cladistic tree (Fig. 3), resurrecting the genus Paracrenimugil for C. heterochelos is an eventuality that deserves consideration. The genus Crenimugil has a wide Indo-West Pacific distribution.

3.7. Ellochelon
The genus *Ellochelon* was found to be the sister lineage of *Oedalechilus labiosus*. No taxonomic change is needed for *Ellochelon*, which is monotypic [13]. *E. vaigiensis*, the only species in the genus, has a wide Indo-West Pacific distribution, from Natal to Tahiti [11].

### 3.8. Joturus

The genus *Joturus* was the sister lineage of *Agonostomus monticola*. No taxonomic change is needed for *Joturus*, which is monotypic [13]. This genus is present on both the Pacific and the Atlantic coasts of the American continent, from Mexico to Panama, and in the Caribbean Sea [11].

### 3.9. Liza

The type species of the genus *Liza* is *Mugil capito* (currently *L. ramada*; [13]). The phylogenetic results of [1] imply that *L. ramada* be placed under genus *Chelon*, which in turn implies that *Liza* is a junior synonym of *Chelon*. Hence, the name *Liza* is now unavailable.

*Liza argentea* and *L. falcipinnis* were each distinct from the other *Liza* species, all of which clustered within a single clade (Fig. 2A). The latter comprised *Myxus capensis*, *Oedalechilus laboe*, and three subclades: one that corresponds to *L. grandisquamis*, a second one that includes *Chelon labrosus* and all *Liza* spp. of the Atlantic and the Mediterranean (see above), and a third sub-clade that includes *Chelon* spp. and *Liza* spp. from the Indo-West Pacific only (namely, *C. macrolepis*, *C. melinopterus*, *C. planiceps*, *C. subviridis*, *L. abu*, *L. affinis*, *L. alata*, *L. haematocheila*, and *Paramugil parmatus*) (Fig. 2A). *Liza argentea* and *L. falcipinnis* each merit an individual genus name. *Liza argentea* was previously assigned to the genus *Gracilimugil* [36] and we here propose that this genus be resurrected for this species; *L. falcipinnis* should be assigned a new genus name since there does not seem to be any genus name available for that species (Table 1), and *L. grandisquamis* should similarly be assigned a new genus name [52]. The ‘Indo-West Pacific’ (*Chelon* spp. + *Liza* spp. + *P. parmatus*) subclade contains *L. alata*, a senior synonym of *L. ordensis* which is the type species of the subgenus *Planiliza* [37] (Table 1), hence it should be assigned genus name *Planiliza* by the principle of priority [52]. The genus *Gracilimugil* occurs in southwestern Australia.

### 3.10. Moolgarda

This genus was polyphyletic (Fig. 1; Fig. 2B). The name *Moolgarda* predates both *Crenimugil* and *Valamugil* (Table 1) but the position of *M. para*, the type species of the genus [37], is uncertain and the type specimen has been lost [11]. Therefore, *Moolgarda* should be considered a nomen dubium and no use can be made of this genus name in the present revision. The *Moolgarda* species that belong to the *Crenimugil crenilabis* subclade should be placed under *Crenimugil* (see above). The other species, including *M. cunesius*, *M. engeli*, *M. pennis* and *Valamugil robustus* clustered into a distinct subclade (Fig. 2B), hence deserve a different genus name. For this, we propose to use the name *Osteomugil* following Lüther [42], who described this genus from *M. cunesius* (the type species), and who also suggested that it might include *M. engeli*.

### 3.11. Mugil

All 9 *Mugil* species examined by [1] (*M. bananensis*, *M. capurrii*, *M. ophalus*, *M. curema*, *M. hospe*, *M. incilis*, *M. liza*, *M. rubriculus* and *M. trichodon*) clustered into a single, well-supported clade (Fig. 4A of [1]). *Mugil*
was found to be paraphyletic with *Xenomugil* (Fig. 2C). The name *Mugil* remains valid by the principle of priority [52] (Table 1). This genus has a temperate-tropical circumglobal distribution [11].

### 3.12. *Myxus*

*Myxus* was polyphyletic, with *M. elongatus* (its type-species) pairing with *Neomyxus leuciscus*, and *M. capensis* being part of the distinct clade external to *O. labeo* and the two (*Liza* spp. + *Chelon* spp.) subclades. The name *Myxus* should be maintained for *M. elongatus* (the type-species of the genus), while *M. capensis* deserves genus rank. As there is currently no genus name available for *M. capensis* (Table 1), a new genus name has to be proposed. The genus *Myxus* under its present, revised definition is restricted to the temperate waters of Australia.

### 3.13. *Neomyxus*

*Neomyxus* is the sister lineage of *M. elongatus*. No taxonomic change is needed for *Neomyxus*, which is monotypic [13]. The only representative of this genus, *N. leuciscus*, occurs around islands of the Central Pacific, from Hawaii to Samoa [11].

### 3.14. *Oedalechilus*

The mitochondrial phylogeny (Fig. 1) revealed a polyphyletic *Oedalechilus: O. labeo*, its type species, clustered with *Myxus capensis, Chelon* spp., *Liza* spp. and *P. parmatus* to form a distinct subclade, while *O. labiosus* paired with *E. vaigiensis* within another subclade that also included *R. nasutus*. The genus name *Oedalechilus* should be maintained for *O. labeo* (its type-species; Table 1), *O. labiosus* should be reassigned to the genus *Plicomugil* following Schultz [41] and Harrison and Howes [54]. Therefore, under its present, revised definition, the genus *Oedalechilus* is monotypic. It occurs in the Western Mediterranean Sea and in the Azores archipelago [11]. The genus *Plicomugil* is distributed in the Indo-West Pacific, from the Red Sea to the Philippines.

### 3.15. *Paramugil*

Ghasemzadeh [12] defined the genus *Paramugil* for *P. parmatus*, which was embedded within the Indo-Pacific sub-clade of (*Liza* spp. + *Chelon* spp.) (Fig. 2A), for which we argued that the genus name *Planiliza* be resurrected (see above). Hence, *Paramugil* should now be regarded as a junior synonym of *Planiliza*.

### 3.16. *Rhinomugil*

*Rhinomugil* was polyphyletic, with *R. corsula* being the sister lineage of *Sicamugil cascasia*, while *R. nasutus* paired with the lineage that includes *Ellochelon* and *O. labiosus* (Fig. 1). The name *Rhinomugil* should be maintained for *R. corsula*, its type species (Table 1) but *R. nasutus* should be assigned a different genus name, namely *Squalomugil* [31] (see Table 1). *R. corsula* is a freshwater species from India; *R. nasutus* occurs in the estuarine waters and mangroves of New Guinea and tropical Australia [11].

### 3.17. *Sicamugil*
Siamugil was paraphyletic, with S. hamiltonii being sister group to (R. corsula + S. cascasia) (Fig. 1). Siamugil should be maintained for S. hamiltonii, the type species of the genus (Table 1), and S. cascasia should be re-assigned to Senou’s [10] Minimugil (Table 1). S. hamiltonii occurs in Myanmar; S. cascasia is distributed in the Ganges River and its tributaries [11].

3.18. Trachystoma

Genus Trachystoma formed a distinct clade on its own. The mitochondrial phylogeny confirmed the peculiar systematic status of the monotypic genus Trachystoma (Fig. 1). T. petardi, the only species in the genus, inhabits the rivers of eastern Australia, from Queensland to New South Wales [11].

3.19. Valamugil

Most Valamugil species, along with Moolgarda species, were split into two strongly supported lineages, one of which was paraphyletic with Crenimugil crenilabis. V. robustus belonged to another subclade, which also comprised Moolgarda spp. and Valamugil spp. Valamugil should now be considered as a junior synonym of Crenimugil since V. seheli (currently Moolgarda seheli), the type species of Valamugil, clusters with C. crenilabus (the type species of Crenimugil) into a single, well supported subclade. The subclade that includes M. cunnesius, M. engeli and M. perusi should now be assigned to Lüther’s [42] Osteomugil. It is remarkable that Senou’s [10] cladistic treatment, based on morphological characters, yielded the same result regarding the Crenimugi / Moolgarda / Valamugil group (Fig. 3) as the present molecular phylogeny (Fig. 2B). Senou [10] however fell short of proposing that M. seheli and V. buchanani be placed under Crenimugil, and that M. cunnesius, M. engeli and M. perusi be placed under Osteomugil. Valamugil robustus was the most externally branching species relative to the other species in our Osteomugil subclade. Therefore, although here we placed V. robustus, M. cunnesius, M. engeli and M. perusi under a single genus, it may be argued that V. robustus be assigned a different genus name because of the large nucleotide distance that separates it from the other species in the sub-clade. The unique position of the first dorsal fin in V. robustus relative to all the other Valamugil spp. and Moolgarda spp. [11] would provide morphological support for this distinction. Nevertheless, we adopted a conservative approach and we leave this taxonomic problem to future research. The genus Osteomugil under its present, revised definition has a wide Indo-Pacific distribution, from South Africa to French Polynesia [11].

3.20. Xenomugil

The Xenomugil thoburni haplotypes were found to be embedded within the Mugil curema haplogroup (Fig. 2C). Therefore, there is no phylogenetic rationale to recognizing the genus Xenomugil as valid. The placement of X. thoburni haplotypes within the Mugil spp. subclade implies that Xenomugil is a synonym of Mugil. Further research is needed to clarify the systematics of the M. curema species complex and, in particular, whether X. thoburni is a distinct, biological species.

4. Conclusion

All phylogenetic hypotheses based on morphology and morpho-anatomy proposed within the last few decades for Mugilidae (review in [1]) were in contradiction with one another and the molecular phylogenetic results [1] supported no one. Here, we proposed a new classification that is consistent with
the molecular phylogeny of [1], resurrecting genus names previously fallen into oblivion and eventually pointing out the need for new genus names in cases where no name is available [52]. The revised classification of the Mugilidae family proposed here recognizes 25 genera, including 15 genera currently considered as valid (Agonostomus, Aldrichetta, Cestraeus, Chaenomugil, Chelon, Crenimugil, Eellochel, Joturnus, Mugil, Myxus, Neomyxus, Oedalechilus, Rhinomugil, Sicamugil and Trachystoma) and 6 resurrected genera (Grazielimugil, Minimugil, Osteomugil, Planilika, Phionmugil and Squalonmugil). The mitochondrial phylogeny also singled out three isolated lineages (currently L. falcipinnis, Myxus capensis, and L. grandisquamis) for which no genus name is yet available. We here propose the following new genus names: Neobelon gen. nov. (type species: Mugil falcipinnis Valenciennes 1836); Parachelon gen. nov. (type species: M. grandisquamis Valenciennes 1836); and Pseudomyxus gen. nov. (type species: M. capensis Valenciennes 1836). Further genetic evidence is required to confirm or infirm the validity of genus Paracrenimugil proposed by Senou [10] for C. heterochilus, as no genetic data are yet available for this species. Genus names Liza, Moolgarda, Paramugil, Valamugil and Xenomugil were shown to be invalid and they should now be abandoned.

More research is needed to address taxonomic issues at the infra-generic level. For instance, Agonostomus monticola and several species with large distribution ranges (including Moolgarda seheli, Mugil cephalus and M. curema) consisted of separate lineages whose geographic distribution suggests they are cryptic species (Figs. 1, 2). Nuclear-DNA markers are powerful to detect reproductive isolation among cryptic species in sympatry. Nuclear genotyping has already led to identifying three biological species within M. cephalus from Taiwan [55] and two biological species within M. curema from the central western Atlantic [1]. Given the general helplessness of morphology and morpho-anatomy to reconstruct a consistent phylogeny of Mugilidae, a central role should now be assigned to molecular phylogenetics and population genetics in the taxonomy of species in this family.

5. Taxonomic description of three new mugilid genera

5.1. Neobelon, new genus

The new genus name Neobelon is here proposed for Mugil falcipinnis Valenciennes 1836 [18], here designated as its type species. The nucleotide sequences examined were those of the cyt b gene (GENBANK accession no. JQ060212), the COI gene (GENBANK JQ060469), and the 16S rRNA gene (GENBANK JQ060716) of voucher specimen no. MNHN 2009-0730 (from Toubacouta, Saloum estuary, Senegal), and the homologous sequences of specimens collected in St Louis, Senegal (GENBANK JQ060213, JQ060470, and JQ060717; JQ060214, JQ060471, and JQ060718) and at the fish market of Lome, Togo (GENBANK JQ060215, JQ060472, and JQ060719) (Table 1 of [1]). The new genus is unique by the placement of its mitochondrial haplotypes on the phylogenetic tree of Mugilidae (Fig. 1), alone forming one of the seven major clades that stem from the common ancestor to all current mugilid species. The name of the genus is derived from Chelon, preceded by greek prefix “neo-” meaning “new”. Distribution: West Africa, from Saint-Louis in northern Senegal to Congo [11].

5.2. Parachelon, new genus

The new genus name Parachelon is here proposed for Mugil grandisquamis Valenciennes 1836 [18], here designated as its type species. The nucleotide sequences examined were those of the cyt b gene (GENBANK accession nos. JQ060218 and JQ060219), the COI gene (GENBANK JQ060475 and JQ060476), and the 16S rRNA gene (GENBANK JQ060722 and JQ060723) of voucher specimens nos. MNHN 2009-731 and
SAIAB-83182 (both from Saloum estuary, Senegal), and the homologous sequences of additional specimens collected in the Saloum estuary, Senegal (GenBank JQ060216, JQ060473, and JQ060720) and at the fish market in Bissau, Guinea Bissau (GenBank JQ060217, JQ060474, and JQ060721). This new genus is unique by the placement of its mitochondrial haplotypes on the phylogenetic tree of Mugilidae (Fig. 1), where it forms a subclade sister to Chelon, Oedalechilus, and Planiliza within the clade that also comprises Pseudomyxus gen. nov. The name of the genus is derived from Chelon, preceded by greek prefix “para-” meaning “beside”. Distribution: West Africa, from Senegal to Nigeria [11].

5.3. Pseudomyxus, new genus

The new genus name Pseudomyxus is here proposed for Mugil capensis Valenciennes 1836 [18], here designated as its type species. The nucleotide sequences examined were those of the cytb gene (GenBank JQ060396), the COI gene (GenBank JQ060615) and the 16S rRNA gene (GenBank JQ060867) of a new specimen collected in the East Kleinemonde estuary, South Africa (sampling details in Table 1 of [1]). The new genus is unique by the placement of its mitochondrial haplotypes on the phylogenetic tree of Mugilidae (Fig. 1): Pseudomyxus gen. nov., together with Chelon, Oedalechilus, Parachelon gen. nov. and Planiliza, forms one of the seven major clades of the Mugilidae family. Pseudomyxus gen. nov. represents the most external lineage within this clade (Fig. 1). The name of the genus is derived from Myxus, preceded by greek prefix “pseudo-” meaning “false”. Distribution: South Africa [11].
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genus</th>
<th>Author and date</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
<th>Type species</th>
<th>Genus assigned by author (date)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mugil</td>
<td>Linnaeus 1758</td>
<td>[14]</td>
<td>Mugil australis Linnaeus 1758</td>
<td>Mugil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cephalus</td>
<td>Lacépéde 1799</td>
<td>[16]</td>
<td>Mugil australis Linnaeus 1758</td>
<td>Mugil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aesthetes</td>
<td>Bennett 1832</td>
<td>[17]</td>
<td>Agonostomus cf. Bennett 1832</td>
<td>Agonostomus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cestraeus</td>
<td>Valenciennes 1836</td>
<td>[18]</td>
<td>Cestraeus plicatus Valenciennes 1836</td>
<td>Cestraeus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dajous</td>
<td>Valenciennes 1836</td>
<td>[19]</td>
<td>Mugil monteria Bancroft 1834</td>
<td>Mugil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neosis</td>
<td>Valenciennes 1836</td>
<td>[20]</td>
<td>Neosis typhlops Valenciennes 1836</td>
<td>Neosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arnion</td>
<td>Gist 1848</td>
<td>[21]</td>
<td>Mugil australis Linnaeus 1758</td>
<td>Mugil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ello</td>
<td>Gist 1848</td>
<td>[22]</td>
<td>Mugil australis Linnaeus 1758</td>
<td>Mugil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jatruns</td>
<td>Poey 1861</td>
<td>[23]</td>
<td>Mugil australis Linnaeus 1758</td>
<td>Mugil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chaenomugil</td>
<td>Gill 1865</td>
<td>[25]</td>
<td>Chaenomugil typhlops Günther 1861</td>
<td>Chaenomugil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhinomugil</td>
<td>Gill 1863</td>
<td>[26]</td>
<td>Mugil australis Linnaeus 1758</td>
<td>Mugil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myxus</td>
<td>(= Myxus)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gomostomus</td>
<td>Macdonald 1869</td>
<td>[27]</td>
<td>Gomostomus menards Macdonald 1869</td>
<td>Gomostomus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neomyxus</td>
<td>Vaillant 1894</td>
<td>[28]</td>
<td>Neomyxus macdonald Vaillant 1894</td>
<td>Neomyxus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eilochelus</td>
<td>Fowler 1903</td>
<td>[29]</td>
<td>Eilochelus australis Fowler 1903</td>
<td>Eilochelus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Squamomugil</td>
<td>Ogilby 1908</td>
<td>[30]</td>
<td>Squamomugil australis Ogilby 1908</td>
<td>Squamomugil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xenorhynchichthys</td>
<td>Regan 1908</td>
<td>[31]</td>
<td>Xenorhynchichthys australis Ogilby 1908</td>
<td>Xenorhynchichthys</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eliochelus</td>
<td>Whiteley 1930</td>
<td>[32]</td>
<td>Eliochelus typhlops Whiteley 1930</td>
<td>Eliochelus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protomugil</td>
<td>Popov 1930</td>
<td>[33]</td>
<td>Protomugil typhlops Popov 1930</td>
<td>Protomugil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sicyomugil</td>
<td>Fowler 1939</td>
<td>[34]</td>
<td>Sicyomugil typhlops Fowler 1939</td>
<td>Sicyomugil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planipinnia</td>
<td>Whiteley 1945</td>
<td>[37]</td>
<td>Planipinnia typhlops Whiteley 1945</td>
<td>Planipinnia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Algalbetta</td>
<td>Whiteley 1945</td>
<td>[38]</td>
<td>Algalbetta typhlops Whiteley 1945</td>
<td>Algalbetta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xenomugil</td>
<td>Schultz 1846</td>
<td>[39]</td>
<td>Xenomugil typhlops Schultz 1846</td>
<td>Xenomugil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crenimugil</td>
<td>Schultz 1846</td>
<td>[40]</td>
<td>Crenimugil typhlops Schultz 1846</td>
<td>Crenimugil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hetromugil</td>
<td>Schultz 1846</td>
<td>[41]</td>
<td>Hetromugil typhlops Schultz 1846</td>
<td>Hetromugil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oscymugil</td>
<td>Whiteley 1848</td>
<td>[42]</td>
<td>Oscymugil typhlops Whiteley 1848</td>
<td>Oscymugil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pimugil</td>
<td>Smith 1948</td>
<td>[43]</td>
<td>Pimugil typhlops Smith 1948</td>
<td>Pimugil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stridugra</td>
<td>Smith 1948</td>
<td>[44]</td>
<td>Stridugra typhlops Smith 1948</td>
<td>Stridugra</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valamugil</td>
<td>Smith 1948</td>
<td>[45]</td>
<td>Valamugil typhlops Smith 1948</td>
<td>Valamugil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plicomugil</td>
<td>Schultz 1853</td>
<td>[46]</td>
<td>Plicomugil typhlops Schultz 1853</td>
<td>Plicomugil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oscomugil</td>
<td>Luther 1877</td>
<td>[47]</td>
<td>Oscomugil typhlops Luther 1877</td>
<td>Oscomugil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Menimugil</td>
<td>Senou 1888</td>
<td>[48]</td>
<td>Menimugil typhlops Senou 1888</td>
<td>Menimugil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paracrenomugil</td>
<td>Senou 1888</td>
<td>[49]</td>
<td>Paracrenomugil typhlops Senou 1888</td>
<td>Paracrenomugil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudomugil</td>
<td>Senou 1888</td>
<td>[50]</td>
<td>Pseudomugil typhlops Senou 1888</td>
<td>Pseudomugil</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note:ND: no data.*
Fig. 1. Revised genus names in Mugilidae, superimposed on the phylogenetic tree of Mugilidae (55 species from 19 genera), inferred using partitioned maximum-likelihood analysis of 3,885 aligned nucleotides from three mitochondrial gene loci (modified from [1]). Taxon names at extremity of branches according to the current nomenclature [13]; when species identification was uncertain, an unknown species or “sp.” was assigned to the recognized genus for the taxon. Proposed new genus designations are shown on the right-hand side of the figure; black background: resurrected genera or newly proposed genera (‘n. g.’); open genera maintained in their current name. Asterisks indicate nodes with a posteriori probability from partitioned Bayesian analysis $\geq 0.95$ [1]; scale bar: 0.1 inferred nucleotide substitution/site under (GTR+G+I) model. a Details in Fig. 2A; b details in Fig. 2B; c details in Fig. 2C.
Fig. 2. Details of the tree presented in Fig.1. Taxon names at extremity of branches are given according to the current nomenclature [13]; when species identification was uncertain, an unknown species or “sp.” was assigned to the recognized genus for the taxon. Proposed new genus designations are shown on the right-hand side of each figure; black background: resurrected genera; open genera maintained in their current name. Asterisks indicate nodes with a posteriori probability from partitioned Bayesian analysis ≥ 0.95 [1]; scale bar: 0.1 inferred nucleotide substitution/site. (A) Revised genus names proposed for species in the current genera Chelon, Liza and Paramugil. (B) Revised genus names proposed for the current genera Crenimugil, Moolgarda and Valamugil. (C) Phylogenetic tree depicting relationships of Mugil spp. and Xenomugil thoburni. Relationships were inferred using partitioned maximum-likelihood (RAxML [48]) analysis of 2,385 aligned nucleotides from partial 16S rRNA, COI and cytB genes (ML score - 13353.1). Myxus elongatus and Agonostomus catalii were selected as outgroup taxa following the mugilid phylogeny of [1] (Fig.1). Branch lengths are proportional to number of substitutions under the (GTR+G) model. Bold taxa not included in [1].
Fig. 3. Parsimony tree of *Moolgara* spp., *Valamugil buchanani* and *Crenimugil* spp. based on a cladistic analysis of 46 morphological characters (redrawn from [10]).
Molecular phylogenetic analysis of Mugilidae partial sequences (300 bp) of the cytb gene, inferred by using the Maximum Likelihood method from Tamura and Nei’s [49] model as implemented in MEGA 5 [50]. (A) Entire tree with the highest log-likelihood \( \ln(L) = -5162.2 \). Sequences for outgroups Arcos sp. (Gobiidae) and Salarias fasciatus (Blenniidae) [51] are from GenBank (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/; accession nos. AP004452 and AP004451, respectively). (B) Detail of the Mugil subtree; sequences of Xenomugil thoburni and two M. cephalus from the Galapagos Islands were kindly provided by S. Livi (pers. comm.). The percentage of pseudotrees generated by bootstrap resampling (500 runs), in which the associated individuals clustered together is shown next to the branches (bootstrap scores below 70% not shown). Branch length is proportional to the number of substitutions per site. Specimen numbers refer to Table 1 of [1].