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Limit of Spin Squeezing in Finite Temperature Bose-Einstein Condensates
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We show that, at finite temperature, the maximum spin squeezing achievable using interactions in Bose-Einstein condensates has a finite limit when the atom number $N \to \infty$ at fixed density and interaction strength. We calculate the limit of the squeezing parameter for a spatially homogeneous system and show that it is bounded from above by the initial non-condensed fraction.

PACS numbers: 03.75.Gg, 42.50.Dv, 03.75.Kk, 03.75.Pp, 03.75.Mn.

Atomic clocks based on cold alkali atoms in two hyperfine states $a$ and $b$ are widely used as frequency standards. When atoms in uncorrelated quantum states are used, the clock precision is limited by the so-called projection noise, resulting from the quantum nature of the collective spin $S$, i.e. the sum of the effective spin $1/2$ of each atom. This limit is actually already reached in most precise clocks [1]. Spin squeezing [2] amounts to creating quantum correlations among the atoms so as to increase the precision of the atomic clock beyond this standard quantum limit. The relative improvement on the variance of the measured frequency $\Delta \omega^{2}_{ab}$ defines the spin squeezing parameter $\xi^{2}_{ab}$ [2]. Spin squeezing in atomic ensembles was first obtained by quantum non-demolition measurements [4, 5]. Recently a significant amount of spin squeezing (e.g. 6 or 8 dB) has been achieved using atoms in a resonant optical cavity [6] or exploiting atomic interactions in bimodal Bose-Einstein condensates [7, 8]. The ultimate limits of the different paths to spin squeezing are still an open question. We determine here the influence of the non-condensed fraction for spin squeezing schemes using Bose-Einstein condensates [5, 10].

A central issue is the scaling of the squeezing for large atom numbers. Most studies are based on a two-mode description [2]. In this case the squeezing parameter optimized over time $\xi^{2}_{best}$ tends to zero (infinite metrology gain) for $N \to \infty$ as $\xi^{2}_{best} \sim N^{-2/3}$. The first analysis of squeezing at finite temperature [11] used a large $N$ expansion in a Bogoliubov-like approach and could not predict any deviation of spin squeezing from the two-mode model. Here, using fully non-perturbative semiclassical field simulations and a powerful formulation of Bogoliubov theory in terms of the time dependent condensate phase operator [12, 13], we find on the contrary a dramatic effect of the multimode nature of the field: For a spatially homogeneous system in the thermodynamic limit, the two-mode scaling $\xi^{2}_{best} \sim N^{-2/3}$ turns out to be completely irrelevant, and the spin squeezing has a finite optimal value that we determine analytically.

The physical problem that we face is the dynamical evolution of a finite temperature Bose condensed gas after a pulse $\pi/2$ that puts each atom in a coherent superposition of two internal states $a$ and $b$. This produces a non-equilibrium state that has a non-trivial evolution due to the atomic interactions inside each internal state. For simplicity, it is assumed that there is no cross-interaction between $a$ and $b$ atoms.

*Semi-classical field simulations* - In Fig 1 we compare the two-mode theory with semi-classical field simulations at finite temperature in a trap. The gas is initially in state $a$ at thermal equilibrium. In that state, we assume that thermal fluctuations dominate over quantum fluctuations and we use a classical field description [13] with an energy cut-off at $k_{B}T$. The initial field $\psi^{(0)}_{a}$ then randomly samples the thermal equilibrium classical field distribution for the canonical ensemble at temperature $T$. For the initially empty state $b$, inspired by the truncated Wigner approach [17, 18] we represent the vacuum by a classical field $\psi^{(0)}_{b}$ having in each mode independent Gaussian complex fluctuations of zero mean and variance $1/2$. A sudden $\pi/2$ pulse mixes the initial fields $\psi^{(0)}_{a}$ and $\psi^{(0)}_{b}$ so that, at time $t = 0^+$, i.e. just after the pulse:

$$\psi_{a,b}(0^+) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [\psi^{(0)}_{a,b} + \psi^{(0)}_{b,a}]. \quad (1)$$

FIG. 1: (Color online) Spin squeezing parameter $\xi^{2}$ as a function of time after the pulse mixing the states $a$ and $b$, for $N = 10^5$ Rb atoms ($s$-wave scattering length $a = 5.3$ nm), in a harmonic trap with oscillation frequency $\omega/2\pi = 50$Hz. The Thomas-Fermi chemical potential is $\mu = 15.36\hbar \omega$. Finite temperature semi-classical field simulations with initial non-condensed fractions: (a) $\langle N_{nc}\rangle/N = 0.34$ (black solid line), (b) $\langle N_{nc}\rangle/N = 0.20$ (red line), (c) $\langle N_{nc}\rangle/N = 0.09$ (blue line), corresponding to $k_{B}T/\mu = 2.08, 1.53, 1.17$ respectively. Dashed line: Two-mode theory for comparison.
At later times, each field evolves independently according to the non-linear Schrödinger equation
\[ i\hbar \partial_t \psi_{a,b} = \left[ -\frac{\hbar^2 \Delta}{2m} + \frac{g}{2} \omega \psi_{a,b}^2 + g(\psi_{a,b}(r,t))^2 \right] \psi_{a,b}. \] (2)

This corresponds to a harmonically trapped gas with same oscillation frequency \( \omega \) and same coupling constant \( g = 4\pi\hbar^2a/m \) for the two internal states, where \( a \) is the s-wave scattering length. As shown in Fig.1, the squeezing is created dynamically by the interactions. However, even for a moderate non-condensed fraction \( \langle N_{\text{nc}} \rangle/N = 0.09 \), the best \( \xi^2 \) in the multimode theory is larger by more than one order of magnitude than in the two-mode theory.

In order to isolate the effect of the non-condensed fraction from other dynamical effects taking place in the trapped system, as for example the spatial dynamics of the condensate wave function \( \langle N_{\text{nc}} \rangle/N \leq 0.09 \), and we generalize the results to the case of a quantum trapped system, as for example the spatial dynamics of the condensate wave function \( \langle N_{\text{nc}} \rangle/N \leq 0.09 \).

As a first step, we performed semi-classical field simulations for different temperatures and increasing system sizes \([21]\). The result (not shown) is that \( \xi^2_{\text{best}} \) converges to a finite value at the thermodynamic limit: \( N \rightarrow \infty, V \rightarrow \infty, \rho, g, T = \text{constant}, \) where \( \rho = N/V \) is the total density. Five independent physical parameters are in the model, \( h/m, g \) or \( a, k_B T, N \) and \( V \). From dimensional analysis, \( \xi^2_{\text{best}} \) is a function of the three independent dimensionless quantities that one can form, \( N, \sqrt{\rho a^3}, \) and \( k_B T/\rho g \).

The existence of a thermodynamic limit then implies
\[ \xi^2_{\text{best}} = f \left( \sqrt{\rho a^3}, \frac{k_B T}{\rho g} \right). \] (8)

As a second step, we performed simulations increasing the density in the weakly interacting limit \([22]\), \( \rho \rightarrow \infty \), \( g \rightarrow 0 \) with \( T, \rho g = \text{constant} \). We find that, for a given \( k_B T/\rho g, \xi^2_{\text{best}} \) then scales as \( 1/\rho \propto \sqrt{\rho a^3} \). This implies:
\[ \xi^2_{\text{best}} / \sqrt{\rho a^3} = F(k_B T/\rho g). \] (9)

In Fig.2 we show the universal behavior \([\rho]\). The circles and the squares correspond to two different values of \( \sqrt{\rho a^3} \) in simulations.

Semi-classical field analytics - We now develop an analytical theory to explain these results. We split the fields after the pulse as \( \psi_a = \frac{\sqrt{a}}{\sqrt{a^+}} + \psi_{a \perp} \) and similarly for \( \psi_b \).

We introduce the modulus and phase conjugate variables \( c_{a,b} \) and \( c_{a,b}^\dagger \) for the condensate modes
\[ a_0 = e^{i\theta_a} \sqrt{N_{a0}}, \quad b_0 = e^{i\theta_b} \sqrt{N_{b0}}, \] (10)
and we introduce number conserving non-condensed fields \( \Lambda_a \) and \( \Lambda_b \) \([22]\) that we expand over Bogoliubov modes with amplitudes \( c_{0a} \) and \( c_{0a}^\dagger \) respectively \([23]\):
\[ \Lambda_a = e^{-i\theta_a} \psi_{a \perp} = \sum_{k \neq 0} (U_{k} c_{a,k} + V_{k} c_{a,-k}^\dagger) e^{ikr}. \] (11)

\[ U_k + V_k = \left( \frac{E_k}{E_k + \rho g} \right)^{1/4}; \quad E_k = \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2m}. \] (12)

The spin raising component \( S_+ = S_x = \psi_{a}^\dagger \psi_{b} \) is given by
\[ S_+ = e^{-i(\theta_a - \theta_b)} \left( \sqrt{N_{a0}N_{b0}} + \int d^3r \Lambda_a^\dagger \Lambda_b \right). \] (13)

Our strategy is to perform a double expansion of \( \langle S_+ \rangle \). We will need terms up to \( \sim N \) in the thermodynamic limit and up to order one in the non-condensed fraction \( \langle N_{\text{nc}} \rangle/N \). In this framework, we can approximate \( \langle S_+ \rangle \) in the denominator of \([7]\) by its value at \( t = 0^+ \) so that
\[ \xi^2 \simeq \frac{4}{N} \Delta S_{1,\text{min}}^2. \] (14)

We sketch the main steps. In the Bogoliubov limit, the condensate phases at \( t > 0 \) obey \([12]\)
\[ \theta_a - \theta_b = (\theta_a - \theta_b)(0^+) - \frac{\hbar g}{V} \int \left[ (N_{a0} - N_{b0}) + S \right] \] (15)
\[ (\theta_a - \theta_b)(0^+) = -2 \frac{\text{Im}(\theta_0)}{\sqrt{N_{a0}}} + O(N^{-1}). \] (16)
\[ S = \sum_{k \neq 0} \left( U_k + V_k \right)^2 \left( |c_{a,k}|^2 - |c_{b,k}|^2 \right). \] (17)
The thermodynamic limit is reached already for \( N = 3 \times 10^4 \) except for the lowest value of \( k_B T / \rho g \). Lower solid line: analytical semi-classical field result (21). Upper solid line: quantum result (25). Inset: quantum analytical semi-classical field result (21). Upper solid line: quantum result (25).

Non-condensed fraction \( \langle S \rangle \) by quantum result (25). Inset: quantum analytical semi-classical field result (21). Upper solid line: reached in an infinite time, which is a limitation of the semi-classical field picture.

Best squeezing - For the calculation of the best squeezing, one looks at the asymptotic behavior of the relative phase derivative of the phase difference. An explicit calculation gives:

\[
\xi^2 = \xi_{best}^2 + \left( \frac{\hbar}{pg} \right)^2 \left[ 1 + O \left( \frac{N_{nc}}{N} \right) \right] + O \left( \frac{1}{N} \right) \tag{19}
\]

with the best squeezing

\[
\xi_{best}^2 = \left( \langle S^2 \rangle / N \right). \tag{20}
\]

which remarkably only involves the multimode part of the phase difference. An explicit calculation gives

\[
\xi_{best}^2 = \frac{1}{2\rho} \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} s_k^2 n_k^{(0)} \left[ \left( \frac{s_k^{(0)}}{s_k^{(0)}} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{s_k^{(0)}}{s_k^{(0)}} \right)^2 \right] \tag{21}
\]

(solid line in Fig.2). In (21), \( s_k = U_k + V_k \) given in (12), and \( s_k^{(0)} \) is the equivalent quantity before the pulse obtained by replacing \( \rho g \) with \( 2pg \) in (12); \( n_k^{(0)} = k_B T / \epsilon_k^{(0)} \) are the equilibrium occupation numbers of Bogoliubov modes before the pulse with \( \epsilon_k^{(0)} = [E_k (E_k + 2pg)]^{1/2} \).

Squeezing time - From (19), the best squeezing is reached in an infinite time, which is a limitation of the analytical approach. However, the numerical squeezing curve as a function of time is indeed quite flat around its minimum, so that it suffices in practice to determine the “close to best” squeezing time \( t_\eta \) defined as

\[
\xi^2 (t_\eta) = (1 + \eta) \xi_{best}^2 \text{, where } \eta > 0. \tag{22}
\]

The “close to best” squeezing time \( t_\eta \) for \( \eta = 0.2 \) is shown in Fig.3 and compared to simulations.

A last important issue is that of thermalization, neglected in Bogoliubov theory and in our analytical treatment, but fully included in the semi-classical field simulations. Indeed it is possible to reach \( \xi^2 = (1 + \eta) \xi_{best}^2 \) with \( \xi_{best} \) given by (21) only if \( t_\eta \) given by (22) is shorter than the thermalization time

\[
t_\eta < t_{therm}. \tag{23}
\]

In Fig.4 we show the squeezing parameter \( \xi^2 \) and contrast \( \langle S_x \rangle \) across the thermalization process that brings the system back to equilibrium after the pulse. For the squeezing, we compare the simulation with (i) the full Bogoliubov theory (without the analytic expansions) that we implement numerically for a finite size system and (ii) a Bogoliubov ergodic model (12) where the amplitudes \( c_{nk}, c_{nk}^* \) in (15) sample microcanonical distributions with number of particles and the energy \( \{N_n, E_n\} \) \( \{N_b, E_b\} \) fixed to a random value set by the pulse. Note that the simulation agrees with the Bogoliubov model at short times (included the “close to best” squeezing time) and then converges towards the ergodic model. We extract a thermalization time \( t_{therm} \) from the contrast. As thermalization occurs the excited modes dephase and

\[
\langle S_x \rangle = \Re \left( \sum_k b_k^* a_k \right) \sim \Re (b_0^* a_0). \tag{24}
\]
At zero temperature we get \( \Re \sigma_{aa} \) that (26) predicts \( \xi_{\text{best}} \). In practice \( \xi_{\text{best}} \) is the product of \( \sqrt{\rho a} \) and of a universal function of \( k_B T/\rho g \) that we calculated analytically, and is bounded from above by the initial non-condensed fraction. Our analytical treatment is restricted to evolution times smaller than the thermalization time, but this is enough to access \( \xi_{\text{best}} \) as we showed by semi-classical field simulations (that include thermalization) over a wide range of parameters.

The longer time scale for the decay of \( \langle b_0^2 a_0 \rangle \) is set by phase spreading due to partition noise \([24]\) plus thermal corrections.

Quantum field - All our analytic calculations for the semi-classical field can be generalized to the quantum field. In particular, (20) and (22) are unchanged and

\[
\xi_{\text{best}}^2 = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{k}}{2\rho} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\langle n_k \rangle}{s_k} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \left( \frac{\langle s_k \rangle^2}{s_k} + \frac{s_k^4}{\langle s_k^0 \rangle^2} \right) - 1 \right].
\]

At zero temperature we get

\[
\xi_{\text{best}}^2 T=0 = \sqrt{\frac{8}{\pi}} \left[ \frac{19}{6} \sqrt{2} - \frac{3}{2} \ln(\sqrt{2} + 1) - \pi \right] \approx 0.02344.
\]

In practice \( \rho a < 10^{-6} \) in present squeezing experiments so that (20) predicts \( \xi_{\text{best}}^2 T=0 \approx 2.10^{-5} \). This value is very low, in particular below the limit given by particle losses \([25]\). Asymptotically for \( k_B T \gg \rho g \), \( \xi_{\text{best}}^2 \) identifies with the initial non-condensed fraction. An interesting result is that at any temperature the initial non-condensed fraction is larger than \( \xi_{\text{best}}^2 \), see these two quantities in the inset of Fig. 2. Already for \( k_B T/\rho g = 2 \), \( \xi_{\text{best}}^2 \) and \( \langle N_{\text{oc}} \rangle / N \) are within a factor three. A similar conclusion seems to hold in a trap, see Fig. 4.

In conclusion we have shown that a realistic description of the limits of spin squeezing in interacting Bose-Einstein condensates has to be multimode: The best achievable spin squeezing \( \xi_{\text{best}}^2 \) admits a finite limit for \( N \to \infty \) at fixed density and interaction strength, contrarily to the vanishing prediction of the two-mode model. We find that \( \xi_{\text{best}}^2 \) is the product of \( \sqrt{\rho a} \) and of a universal function of \( k_B T/\rho g \) that we calculated analytically, and is bounded from above by the initial non-condensed fraction. Our analytical treatment is restricted to evolution times smaller than the thermalization time, but this is enough to access \( \xi_{\text{best}}^2 \) as we showed by semi-classical field simulations (that include thermalization) over a wide range of parameters.

EW acknowledges support from Polish Government Research Funds: N N202 104136, 2009-2011.

\[ \xi_{\text{best}}^2 T=0 = \sqrt{\frac{8}{\pi}} \left[ \frac{19}{6} \sqrt{2} - \frac{3}{2} \ln(\sqrt{2} + 1) - \pi \right] \approx 0.02344. \]

\[ N \to \infty \]