



# Geometric diffusion of energy and comparison with the vibrational conductivity approach

Alain Le Bot

► **To cite this version:**

Alain Le Bot. Geometric diffusion of energy and comparison with the vibrational conductivity approach. Journal of Sound and Vibration, Elsevier, 1998, 212, pp.637-647.

**HAL Id: hal-00468900**

**<https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-00468900>**

Submitted on 31 Mar 2010

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Geometric diffusion of the energy and comparison with the vibrational conductivity approach

A. LE BOT

Laboratoire de Tribologie et Dynamique des Systèmes CNRS  
École centrale de Lyon, FRANCE alain.le-bot@ec-lyon.fr

## ABSTRACT

The vibrational conductivity approach is sometimes used to evaluate the spatial repartition of the energy density of dynamical structural/acoustic systems in high frequency range. This is a significant improvement of the Statistical Energy Analysis which only provides a single energy value per sub-system. However, this model is based on the underlying assumption that the wave field is constructed as a superposition of plane waves. This hypothesis may fail for largely non diffuse fields.

This paper is devoted to the study of other types of waves. The fields are still described in terms of energy quantities which are solved using a differential equation written along the "streamlines of energy". Results strongly depend on the geometry of these streamlines. Whenever this geometry is known, for instance for plane, cylindrical and spherical waves, the differential equation may be solved. The plane wave case is in good agreement with the vibrational conductivity approach, whereas a large class of other waves are generated by this equation. Some numerical simulations illustrate these facts.

## 1 Introduction

It is well-known that finite element methods or boundary element methods applied to the resolution of classical dynamical equations of motion, are limited in frequency owing to the increasing number of degrees of freedom. This is the reason why non conventional models such as *Statistical Energy Analysis* (SEA) have been studied and are successful today. Among several improvements of this last method, the vibrational conductivity approach may be used to model the spatial repartition of the energy density inside each sub-system [1]. The main advantage of the use of this diffusion equation compared to the solving of classical governing equations lies in its low numerical cost. Moreover, thanks to a heat conduction analogy [1], it becomes possible to re-employ thermal softwares to solve vibrational problems in high frequency range.

However, some recent investigations [2, 3] shows that the asymptotic behavior of the energy density predicted with the thermal analogy is contradictory with the asymptotic behavior of the energy density deduced from equations of motion. The diffusion equation is usually built under the plane wave assumption. Therefore it cannot be correctly applied to situations where other types of waves dominate: infinite systems and heavily damped systems for instance. Actually, the applicability of the vibrational conductivity approach to one-dimensional systems seems to encounter a large consensus for whom are interested in that domain. Direct proofs of the diffusion

equation based on analytical developments are available [4]. But the generalization of the diffusion equation to two-dimensional systems leads to the limitation mentioned above.

In this paper, the diffusion of the energy in multi-dimensional systems is studied by examining the geometry of the lines of propagation of energy. These curves are characterized by a geometric factor which depends on the position. Moreover, for any systems which behave like wave guides for two travelling waves, a simplified energy equation is derived. Plane waves are embedded as a particular case but this equation also includes other types of waves, such as cylindrical and spherical waves.

## 2 A review of the diffusion equation

This section summarizes the main steps of the derivation of the diffusion equation of vibrational conductivity. The complete formulations may be found in different papers cited below.

Two continuous energy quantities are involved in opposition with the discrete variables appearing in the SEA: the total energy density  $W$ , which is a scalar field, and the active energy flow  $\mathbf{I}$ , which is a vector field. These quantities introduced in the framework of this model, may be related with classical energy quantities deduced from motion equations in various ways. The common idea to all these interpretations is that energies considered here are classical energies where all details irrelevant in a high frequency view, are removed. Usually, local averages over time, space, frequency or ensemble are involved.

The first step in deriving the energy equation is the local energy balance for a non-loaded region:

$$\mathbf{div}.\mathbf{I} + p_{\text{diss}} = 0 \quad (1)$$

where  $p_{\text{diss}}$  is the power density being dissipated. The damping model adopted here is the same as in SEA: power density being dissipated is proportional to the energy density. Hence:

$$p_{\text{diss}} = \eta\omega W \quad (2)$$

where  $\eta$  is the hysteretic damping loss factor and  $\omega$  is the circular frequency. The validity of this relationship has been discussed in the literature about SEA.

Finally, a local relationship expresses the energy flow in terms of energy density:

$$\mathbf{I} = \frac{-c_g^2}{\eta\omega} \mathbf{grad}W \quad (3)$$

where  $c_g$  is the group velocity of the wave at hand. This relationship has been widely applied for one-dimensional cases [5, 1]. In [4], explicit calculations based on analytical solutions of governing equations for rods and beams, clearly establish the latter expression. The generalization to two-dimensional structures has been implemented in [1]. In [6, 7], this relationship is demonstrated for plane waves and in [8, 3, 9] several proofs have been proposed for wave fields built as a superposition of plane waves. An analogy with Fourier's law in thermic is often stated: the energy propagates from high levels to low levels.

By substituting equations (2,3) into the energy balance (1), it yields:

$$\frac{-c_g^2}{\eta\omega} \Delta W + \eta\omega W = 0 \quad (4)$$

Equation (4) is analogous to the steady state heat conduction equation with a convective term. The solutions of this equation have a slow space evolution in opposition with the energy densities predicted from the classical governing equations. The diffusion equation (4) only predicts the macroscopic evolution of the energy density without any detail on the smallest disturbances which are not useful for medium and high frequency models. This is the sense of the local space averages introduced in [4]. Alternatively, the solution of this diffusion equation may be viewed as the frequency average of the energy density deduced from classical governing equations. As a compensation for this loss of information, a significative gain is obtained in required time-computation which allows the solving of vibrational problems up to high frequencies.

### 3 Geometric analysis of streamlines

In this section, a particular generalized coordinate system is attached to any problem. On the one hand, the diffusion equation will be rewritten in this system and, on the other hand an original equation will be derived in this system exclusively.

Let consider the vector field  $\mathbf{I}$ . It is a continuous function except at singularities originated from driving forces for instance. Where the field is continuous, it defines a family of lines of flow which are lines at every point tangent to the vector at that point. These curves are the lines of propagation of the energy.

In all examples with which we are concerned later on, the differential equations for these streamlines can be integrated. The algebraic equations obtained involve one or two parameters depending to the dimension. Furthermore, by integrating the differential equations of the family of surfaces perpendicular to the lines of flow, an additional parameter is obtained which matches with the arc length  $s$  measured along the lines. The set of these parameters can be viewed as a curvilinear coordinate system. The streamlines are then a coordinate line. More details about this procedure can be found in [10].

Let denote by  $\mathbf{t}$  the unit vector tangent to the streamlines. Obviously, at any point,  $\mathbf{I}$  and  $\mathbf{t}$  are colinear vectors. Then,  $\mathbf{I} = I\mathbf{t}$  where  $I$  is the magnitude of  $\mathbf{I}$ . In terms of the curvilinear coordinates, the divergence of a vector field  $\mathbf{T} = T\mathbf{t}$  which is at every point colinear with the vector  $\mathbf{t}$ , is:

$$\mathbf{div}\cdot\mathbf{T} = \frac{\partial T}{\partial s} + \Gamma T \quad (5)$$

where  $\Gamma$  is a geometric factor which depends only on the local geometry of the streamlines.

In order to obtain a geometric interpretation of this factor  $\Gamma$ , let apply the equation (5) to the vector field  $\mathbf{t}$  itself. Then,

$$\mathbf{div}\cdot\mathbf{t} = \Gamma \quad (6)$$

Now, referring to the divergence theorem, it yields:

$$\int_V \mathbf{div}\cdot\mathbf{t}dV = \oint_S \mathbf{t}\cdot\mathbf{n}dS \quad (7)$$

where  $V$  is a volume enclosed by the surface  $S$  and  $\mathbf{n}$  is the outward unit vector normal to  $S$ . Then, for an infinitesimal volume  $V$ ,  $\mathbf{div}\cdot\mathbf{t}$  is found to represent the flux or net outflow per unit volume of the vector  $\mathbf{t}$  from the surface  $S$ :

$$\mathbf{div}\cdot\mathbf{t} = \frac{1}{V} \oint_S \mathbf{t}\cdot\mathbf{n}dS \quad (8)$$




Figure 1: Geometric interpretation of the factor  $\Gamma$ .

Finally, let choose  $V$  as a beam of streamlines limited by two sections  $S$  and  $S'$  as shown in Figure 1. As vectors  $\mathbf{t}$  and  $\mathbf{n}$  are perpendicular along the streamlines, the scalar product  $\mathbf{t} \cdot \mathbf{n}$  is non-zero only on the two sections. Since the volume is  $V = S\Delta s$ , it yields:

$$\Gamma = \frac{1}{S} \frac{\Delta S}{\Delta s} \quad (9)$$

where  $\Delta S = S' - S$ . Then the factor  $\Gamma$  can be interpreted as the rate of relative increase of section of a beam of streamlines. This clarifies the dependence on the local geometry of such streamlines.

Later on, we are interested in the study of the propagation of the energy along a particular streamline. So, this streamline has an arc length  $s$  and a geometric factor  $\Gamma(s)$  which only depends on the position  $s$ . Moreover, ordinary derivatives with respect to  $s$  are used in place of partial derivatives. Now, let re-write equations (3,4) along this line of propagation of energy. Using the well-known expressions of the gradient and the Laplacian in generalized coordinate system [10] and remembering that the only non-vanishing component of the intensity vector is the first one, one obtains first,

$$I(s) = \frac{-c_g^2}{\eta\omega} \frac{dW}{ds} \quad (10)$$

for the expression of the energy flow in terms of energy density, and secondly,

$$\frac{d^2W}{ds^2} + \Gamma(s) \frac{dW}{ds} - \left( \frac{\eta\omega}{c_g} \right)^2 W(s) = 0 \quad (11)$$

for the differential equation on the energy density for a non-loaded region.

#### 4 Travelling waves along streamlines

In this section, an alternative point of view is examined, leading to an energy equation different from equation (11).

Now, we focus one's attention on special cases where the field can be considered as a superposition of just two travelling waves: a  $s$ -positive travelling wave noted with an upperscript  $+$  and a  $s$ -negative one noted with a lowerscript  $-$ . This restriction includes all systems which behave as wave guides but also certain systems with a particular symmetry. The partial energy densities

associated to separately these travelling waves are noted  $W^+$  and  $W^-$  and the partial energy flows are noted  $I^+$  and  $I^-$ .

As a travelling wave is a particular solution of the motion equation, the power balance (1) may still be applied to one travelling wave:

$$\frac{dI^\pm}{ds} + \Gamma(s)I^\pm(s) + p_{\text{diss}}^\pm = 0 \quad (12)$$

for a non-loaded region. As we are concerned with travelling waves, a mere relationships exists between energy flows and energy densities:

$$I^\pm(s) = \pm c_g W^\pm(s) \quad (13)$$

The minus sign before the group velocity in the right handside stems from the direction of propagation. The power densities being dissipated are modelled as in SEA, then:

$$p_{\text{diss}}^\pm = \eta\omega W^\pm \quad (14)$$

Now, by substituting (14,13) into the power balances (12), it yields:

$$I^\pm(s) = \frac{-c_g^2}{\eta\omega} \left[ \frac{dW^\pm}{ds} + \Gamma(s)W^\pm(s) \right] \quad (15)$$

When both waves travel simultaneously along the streamline, the complete energy density  $W$  is equal to the sum of the partial energy densities  $W^+$ ,  $W^-$  of each separate wave plus an additional interfering term. For the sake of a high frequency model, this interfering term can be removed. This is a usual approximation in the high frequency literature especially in acoustics with ray methods. This approximation, for instance, may be justified by considering that the energy quantities are averaged over a small space domain [4]. An alternative view is to consider ensemble averages on different parameters as exposed in [11]. It turns out that whatever interpretation is retained, it leads to simple relationships between the total energy quantities and partial ones:

$$W(s) = W^+(s) + W^-(s) \quad I(s) = I^+(s) + I^-(s) \quad (16)$$

Then, a linear superposition principle on energy quantities is valid.

By adding equations (15), a relationship between  $I$  and  $W$  is obtained:

$$I(s) = \frac{-c_g^2}{\eta\omega} \left[ \frac{dW}{ds} + \Gamma(s)W(s) \right] \quad (17)$$

which is quite different from (10). Finally, by introducing this relationship into the energy balance, it comes:

$$\frac{d^2W}{ds^2} + 2\Gamma(s)\frac{dW}{ds} + \left[ \frac{d\Gamma}{ds} + \Gamma^2(s) - \left( \frac{\eta\omega}{c_g} \right)^2 \right] W(s) = 0 \quad (18)$$

At this stage, it can be noticed that equations (17,18) strongly depends on the geometric factor  $\Gamma$  which depends upon the geometry of the streamlines. So equations (17,18) cannot be solved without the knowledge of this factor. These equations require to know *a priori* the geometry of the streamlines. In other words, equations (17,18) contain information about the magnitude of the energy density and energy flow but not about the direction of the latter. However, in certain

cases of simple geometry, this factor is known. Then calculations are possible. This situation is similar to Bernoulli's equation in fluid mechanics. Bernoulli's equation describes the energy balance along a streamline. Each time that such a streamline is known (pipes, emptying of tank and so on), Bernoulli's equation provides a solution to the problem. However with very rare exceptions, irrotational motion for instance, Bernoulli's equation cannot be generalized over the whole domain.

## 5 Particular geometries

In this section, solutions of equations (17,18) are sought for some particular geometries and compared with the solutions of equations (10,11).

### 5.1 Plane waves

One-dimensional systems behave as wave guides. The energy propagates into a beam of parallel curves. So, the geometric factor  $\Gamma$  vanishes and the energy equations (10,11) become:

$$I(s) = \frac{-c_g^2}{\eta\omega} \frac{dW}{ds} \quad (19)$$

$$\frac{d^2W}{ds^2} - \left(\frac{\eta\omega}{c_g}\right)^2 W(s) = 0 \quad (20)$$

In a same way, the energy equations (17,18) lead exactly to the same reduced form.

The general solution of this set of equations is:

$$W(s) = A^+ e^{-\frac{\eta\omega}{c_g}s} + A^- e^{\frac{\eta\omega}{c_g}s} \quad (21)$$

for the energy density and:

$$I(s) = c_g \left[ A^+ e^{-\frac{\eta\omega}{c_g}s} - A^- e^{\frac{\eta\omega}{c_g}s} \right] \quad (22)$$

for the energy flow.  $A^+$  and  $A^-$  are two arbitrary constants which have to be determined with appropriate boundary conditions usually expressed on  $I$  [4].

### 5.2 Cylindrical waves

Let consider a two-dimensional axisymmetric system. The factor  $\Gamma$  is equal to  $1/s$  and the energy equations (10,11) become:

$$I(s) = \frac{-c_g^2}{\eta\omega} \frac{dW}{ds} \quad (23)$$

$$\frac{d^2W}{ds^2} + \frac{1}{s} \frac{dW}{ds} - \left(\frac{\eta\omega}{c_g}\right)^2 W(s) = 0 \quad (24)$$

The general solution of this set of equations is:

$$W(s) = A^+ K_0\left(\frac{\eta\omega}{c_g}s\right) + A^- I_0\left(\frac{\eta\omega}{c_g}s\right) \quad (25)$$

for the energy density and:

$$I(s) = c_g \left[ A^+ K_1 \left( \frac{\eta\omega}{c_g} s \right) - A^- I_1 \left( \frac{\eta\omega}{c_g} s \right) \right] \quad (26)$$

for the energy flow. In these relationships  $K_i$  and  $I_i$  denote respectively the modified Bessel functions of first and second kind of order  $i$ .

In opposition, the reduced forms of equations (17,18) are:

$$I(s) = \frac{-c_g^2}{\eta\omega} \left[ \frac{dW}{ds} + \frac{1}{s} W \right] \quad (27)$$

and:

$$\frac{d^2 W}{ds^2} + \frac{2}{s} \frac{dW}{ds} - \left( \frac{\eta\omega}{c_g} \right)^2 W(s) = 0 \quad (28)$$

Equation (28) is different from equation (24) about the factor  $2/s$  instead of  $1/s$ . This difference stems from the relationship (27) which clearly shows that the energy flow is not proportional to the gradient of energy density. Thus, the analogy with Fourier's law established for plane waves, is no longer valid.

The general solution of this set of equations is:

$$W(s) = A^+ \frac{e^{-\frac{\eta\omega}{c_g} s}}{s} + A^- \frac{e^{\frac{\eta\omega}{c_g} s}}{s} \quad (29)$$

for the energy density and:

$$I(s) = c_g \left[ A^+ \frac{e^{-\frac{\eta\omega}{c_g} s}}{s} + -A^- \frac{e^{\frac{\eta\omega}{c_g} s}}{s} \right] \quad (30)$$

for the energy flow.

Let compare some asymptotic developments of these solutions. The general solution of the governing equation for an infinite membrane is  $H_0^{(2)}(ks)$  where  $k$  is the wave number and  $H_0^{(2)}$  the Hankel function of the second kind and order zero. As the kinetic energy density is proportional to the square modulus of displacement, an asymptotic development of the Hankel function for large arguments leads to an energy density  $W(s) \propto e^{-\eta k_0 s}/s$  where  $k_0$  is the undamped wave number. Moreover, for a membrane the group velocity is  $c_g = \omega/k_0$ , then  $W(s) \propto e^{-\eta\omega s/c_g}/s$ . This result is in agreement with the first term of (29). The decrease is like  $1/s$ . A similar calculation for infinite plates without evanescent waves should give the same result. On the other hand, a far-field development of the first term of solution (25) corresponding to an outgoing wave, is  $W(s) \propto e^{-\eta\omega s/c_g}/\sqrt{s}$ . The decrease is like  $1/\sqrt{s}$ . This disagreement points out that the diffusion equation does not correctly predict direct field.

### 5.3 Spherical waves

The argumentation developed in the previous sub-section can be applied to the case of spherical waves. The factor  $\Gamma$  is equal to  $1/s^2$  and the equations (10,11) take the particular form:

$$I(s) = \frac{-c_g^2}{\eta\omega} \frac{dW}{ds} \quad (31)$$



$$\frac{d^2 W}{ds^2} + \frac{2}{s} \frac{dW}{ds} - \left( \frac{\eta\omega}{c_g} \right)^2 W(s) = 0 \quad (32)$$

The general solution of this set of equations is:

$$W(s) = A^+ \frac{e^{-\frac{\eta\omega}{c_g} s}}{s} + A^- \frac{e^{\frac{\eta\omega}{c_g} s}}{s} \quad (33)$$

for the energy density and:

$$I(s) = c_g \left[ A^+ \frac{e^{-\frac{\eta\omega}{c_g} s}}{s} \left( 1 + \frac{c_g}{\eta\omega s} \right) + -A^- \frac{e^{\frac{\eta\omega}{c_g} s}}{s} \left( 1 - \frac{c_g}{\eta\omega s} \right) \right] \quad (34)$$

for the energy flow.

The reduced forms of equations (17,18) are:

$$I(s) = \frac{-c_g^2}{\eta\omega} \left[ \frac{dW}{ds} + \frac{2}{s} W \right] \quad (35)$$

and:

$$\frac{d^2 W}{ds^2} + \frac{4}{s} \frac{dW}{ds} + \left[ \frac{2}{s^2} - \left( \frac{\eta\omega}{c_g} \right)^2 \right] W(s) = 0 \quad (36)$$

Once again, the two latter equations are different from the two formers. In particular, as already remarked for cylindrical waves, the energy flow is not proportional to the gradient of the energy density.

The general solution (35,36) is:

$$W(s) = A^+ \frac{e^{-\frac{\eta\omega}{c_g} s}}{s^2} + A^- \frac{e^{\frac{\eta\omega}{c_g} s}}{s^2} \quad (37)$$

$$I(s) = c_g \left[ A^+ \frac{e^{-\frac{\eta\omega}{c_g} s}}{s^2} + -A^- \frac{e^{\frac{\eta\omega}{c_g} s}}{s^2} \right] \quad (38)$$

The decrease is like  $1/s$  according to (33) and  $1/s^2$  according to (37). But is well-known that the acoustical energy decreases like  $1/s^2$  in unbounded space.

## 6 Numerical simulations

The first simulation concerns a circular membrane with radius  $s_{\max}$ . Three calculations have been carried out. The first one is a classic calculation. The governing equation is solved and then a linear combination  $aH_0^{(1)}(ks) + bH_0^{(2)}(ks)$  is obtained for the transverse displacement. The transverse displacement is assumed to be known at  $s_{\min}$  and to be zero at  $s_{\max}$ . The constants  $a$  and  $b$  are then determined. Energy density and energy flow are deduced from the transverse displacement. Note that the hysteretic damping  $\eta$  is introduced in the expression of the tension of the membrane, which becomes a complex number. Secondly, the solutions (25,26) of the diffusion equation are involved. Boundary conditions are the followings: the energy flow vanishes at  $s_{\max}$  and is assumed to be known at  $s_{\min}$ . Obviously, the numerical value of the energy flow at  $s_{\min}$  is estimated




Figure 2: Comparison of energy densities and energy flows evaluated with three different methods for an axisymmetric membrane. \*, equation of motion - o, diffusion equation (4) - +, energy equation (18).

from the classical simulation. Finally, the third calculation is carried out with solutions (29,30) in a similar manner as previous one. These calculations have been applied to an axisymmetric membrane with group velocity  $c_g = 340$  m/s, frequency  $f = 1000$  Hz, damping loss factor  $\eta = 0.05$ ,  $s_{\min} = 0.25$  wavelength and radius  $s_{\max} = 5$  wavelengths. Results are shown in Figure 2. The energy density predicted by the diffusion equation (4) is under-estimated near the excitation point and over-estimated in far-field. The decrease of this solution is clearly too weak. This shortcoming emphasized in this numerical simulation on circular systems is however observed for some square systems [3]. In opposition, the energy density predicted by the energy equation (18) is a smooth estimation of the classic response. This result well agrees with the averaging procedure over a wavelength introduced by Wholever and Bernhard [4].

The second simulation is close to the first. The studied system is a circular plate excited at its center and clamped at its edge. The transverse displacement is now a linear combination of four functions  $aH_0^{(1)}(ks) + bH_0^{(2)}(ks) + cI_0(ks) + dK_0(ks)$ . As the plate is clamped at  $s_{\max}$ , the displacement and the slope (first derivative of displacement) are set to zero. Furthermore, the displacement is assumed to be known at  $s_{\min}$  and the bending moment to vanish modelling a plate with a hole. The four constants  $a$ ,  $b$ ,  $c$  and  $d$  are determined and the energy quantities are computed. The other calculations are performed in a similar way as for membrane case. The results are shown in Figure 3 for the following values: group velocity  $c_g = 680$  m/s (two times phase velocity), frequency  $f = 1000$  Hz, damping loss factor  $\eta = 0.05$ , Poisson's ratio  $\nu = 0.3$ ,  $s_{\min} = 0.25$  wavelength and radius  $s_{\max} = 5$  wavelengths. The conclusions are the same as membrane case. However, the oscillation magnitude of the energy density due to interferences are larger than membrane case.

The third numerical simulation concerns an acoustical enclosure. The acoustic potential with a spherical symmetry is  $ae^{-iks}/s + be^{iks}/s$ . The constants are determined by setting that the pressure is known at  $s_{\min}$  and that the normal velocity vanishes at  $s_{\max}$  which is the boundary condition for a perfect reflection. Then, energy density and energy flow are deduced. The following numerical values are implemented: group velocity  $c_g = 340$  m/s, frequency  $f = 1000$  Hz, damping loss factor




Figure 3: Comparison of energy densities and energy flows evaluated with three different methods for an axisymmetric plate. \*, equation of motion - o, diffusion equation (4) - +, energy equation (18).

$\eta = 0.008$ ,  $s_{\min} = 0.5$  wavelength and radius  $s_{\max} = 5$  wavelengths. Figure 4 highlights that the shortcoming of the diffusion equation observed in two-dimensional systems, is more important for three-dimensional acoustical enclosures.

## 7 Conclusion

In this study, a system of energy equations has been proposed to model the spread of energy throughout multi-dimensional systems in high frequency range. The derivation of these equations matches the one proposed by Nefski and Sung [1] for the special one-dimensional case. But significant differences appear for other dimensions.

The analysis of the spread of the energy rest on the geometry of the lines of propagation of energy. A geometric factor summarizes the local geometry of these curves. The energy equations strongly depends on this geometric factor. Obviously, solving these equations is possible only if this geometric factor is known. In fact, these equations are able to predict the magnitude of the energy but not its direction of propagation. This is an important limitation. But, there exists at least some cases of symmetry for which the geometry of the streamlines are known. Plane wave solution matches with the one deduced from the vibrational conductivity approach. Moreover, cylindrical and spherical waves are found. This is an improvement of the diffusion equation. It should be remarked that plane waves verify the analogy with Fourier's law in thermic but not other types of waves.

In conclusion, the application of the vibrational conductivity approach to multi-dimensional systems may encounter some difficulties due to the underlying plane wave representation. For some particular geometries, other kinds of wave may dominate. It is then important to correctly account for the geometric factor. This is the purpose of the energy equation proposed in this text.




Figure 4: Comparison of energy densities and energy flows evaluated with three different methods for a spherical acoustical enclosure. \*, equation of motion - o, diffusion equation (4) - +, energy equation (18).

## 8 Acknowledgments

The author gratefully acknowledges Mr E. LUZZATO (EDF-DER) for his scientific collaboration, his advices and encouragements.

## REFERENCES

- [1] D.J. Nefske and S.H. Sung. Power flow finite element analysis of dynamic systems: Basic theory and application to beams. *NCA Publication*, 3, 1987.
- [2] R.S. Langley. Analysis of beam and plate vibrations by using the wave equation. *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, 150:47–65, 1991.
- [3] R.S. Langley. On the vibrational conductivity approach to high frequency dynamics for two-dimensional structural components. *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, 182:637–657, 1995.
- [4] J.C. Wohlever and R.J. Bernhard. Mechanical energy flow models of rods and beams. *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, 153:1–19, 1992.
- [5] S.A. Rybak V.D. Belov and B.D. Tartakovskii. Propagation of vibrational energy in absorbing structures. *Soviet Physic Acoustic*, 23:115–119, 1977.
- [6] O.M. Bouthier and R.J. Bernhard. Simple models of energy flow in vibrating membranes. *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, 182:129–147, 1995.
- [7] O.M. Bouthier and R.J. Bernhard. Simple models of energy flow in vibrating plates. *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, 182:149–164, 1995.
- [8] M. Djimadoum and J.L. Guyader. Possibilities to generalize the heat transfer approach to vibration of plates problems. In *Inter-Noise'95, Newport Beach CA*, 1995.
- [9] M.N. Ichchou and L. Jezequel. Comments on simple models of the energy flow in vibrating membranes and on simple models of the energetics of transversely vibrating plates. *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, 195:679–685, 1996.
- [10] P. M. Morse and H. Feshbach. *Methods of theoretical physics*. Mc Graw-Hill, 1953.
- [11] R.S. Langley. Spatially averaged frequency response envelopes for one- and two-dimensional structural components. *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, 178:483–500, 1994.