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ABSTRACT: In the United States, nine-banded armadillo (*Dasypus novemcinctus*) populations are derived from two sources: (1) a continuous range expansion from Mexico led to western populations, some of which – particularly along the western Gulf Coast and west side of the Mississippi River delta – exhibit persistently high levels of leprosy infection, and (2) a small group of animals released from captivity in Florida gave rise to eastern populations that were all considered leprosy-free. Given that western and eastern populations have now merged, an important question becomes, to what extent is leprosy spreading into formerly uninfected populations? To answer this question we sampled 500 animals from populations in Mississippi, Alabama, and Georgia. Analyses of nuclear microsatellite DNA markers confirmed the historical link between source populations from Texas and Florida, but did not permit resolution of the extent to which these intermediate populations represented eastern versus western gene pools. Prevalence of leprosy was determined by screening blood samples for the presence of antibodies against *Mycobacterium leprae*, and via PCR amplification of armadillo tissues to detect *M. leprae* DNA. The proportion of infected individuals within each population varied from 0-10%. Although rare, a number of positive individuals were identified in eastern sites previously considered uninfected. This indicates leprosy may be spreading eastward and calls into question hypotheses proposing leprosy infection is confined because of ecological constraints to areas west of the Mississippi River.
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INTRODUCTION

Aside from humans, nine-banded armadillos (*Dasypus novemcinctus*) are the only other free-ranging vertebrates known to harbor naturally-occurring infections of *Mycobacterium leprae*, the causative agent in producing leprosy. Within the United States (U.S.), armadillo populations are derived from two sources. First, armadillos crossed the Rio Grande into southeast Texas sometime in the 1820s, with populations spreading rapidly north and east since (Taulman and Robbins, 1996). Second, releases of a limited number of captive animals occurred in south-central Florida in the 1920s (Humphrey, 1974). Descendants of these animals established populations which subsequently spread north and west. Western and eastern populations have now merged, probably in eastern Mississippi or western Alabama in the 1980s (Taulman and Robbins, 1996), to form a continuous distribution across much of the southern U.S.

This biogeographical history appears linked with patterns of leprosy infection. Early histopathological studies and later serological analyses both identified infected animals at multiple locations in Louisiana and Texas, in populations clearly derived from western sources (review in Truman, 2008). In contrast, virtually no infected animals were found east of the Mississippi River (Howarth et al., 1990; Truman, 2008), perhaps due to the absence of the disease among the small number of founding individuals from which these populations were derived. Now that eastern and western populations have merged, it seems possible that leprosy could spread eastward into previously uninfected populations.

However, not all western populations of armadillos exhibit leprosy infection. Mirroring the pattern of human infection, most cases of leprosy in armadillos appear confined to a region along the western Gulf Coast and west side of the Mississippi River (see Fig. 1 in Truman,
2005). Truman (1996, 2005) argued this might be due to certain ecological features that restrict leprosy to these areas. The exact nature of these features remains unknown, but might include: (1) appropriate low-lying, humid soils to facilitate survival of M. leprae outside a host, (2) high densities of armadillos, and/or (3) other environmental agents that affect either disease transmission or susceptibility. If true, this “ecological constraints” hypothesis predicts no eastward spread of leprosy because the necessary conditions do not typically exist east of the Mississippi River. In contrast, "epidemic" models (e.g., Scholl et al., 1995) predict a steady spread of leprosy as infected animals disperse eastward.

The purpose of the present study was to sample armadillo populations at several locations east of the Mississippi River in order to determine whether leprosy has spread in that direction. These results are then discussed in light of the ecological constraints versus epidemic models of leprosy distribution.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Field work

Samples were collected from May-July 2005 at the five locations shown in Fig. 1, with follow-up sampling at two sites (YZ and RS) during the summer of 2006. Sites were chosen on the basis of the following: (1) Yazoo National Wildlife Refuge (YZ; 33° 05'/90° 59’) had a soil profile and proximity to infected populations across the Mississippi River such that both ecological constraints and epidemic hypotheses predicted high levels of leprosy infection; (2) St. Catherine’s Creek National Wildlife Refuge (SC; 31° 22'/91° 26’), like Yazoo, was in close proximity to populations exhibiting high levels of infection (Truman, 2005, 2008), but possessed a different soil profile. Thus, the ecological constraints hypothesis predicted little occurrence of
leprosy, while the epidemic hypothesis predicted the opposite; (3) Stimpson Wildlife Sanctuary (ST; 31° 23'/87° 51') and (4) DeWayne Hayes Recreational Area (DH; 33° 36'/88° 28') were located at roughly the same latitudes as St. Catherine’s Creek and Yazoo, respectively, but 241-338 km farther east. Soil conditions at both sites led to the prediction from the ecological constraints hypothesis that no leprosy should be present. However, the epidemic hypothesis predicted leprosy could occur, with prevalence dependent on the extent to which each population had contact with western-derived individuals. Samples from Riverside (RS; 32° 54'/88° 11') were pooled as part of the DeWayne Hayes data in 2005, but were collected and analyzed separately in 2006. Finally, (5) Pinebloom Plantation (PB; 31° 43'/84° 38') was located so far east and had a soil profile such that both hypotheses predicted no occurrence of leprosy there.

Basic methods for capturing live animals followed previously published protocols (e.g., McDonough and Loughry, 2005). Upon capture, two ear notches were collected: one was preserved in 100% ethanol for genetic screening, the other in 70% ethanol for PCR analyses to detect *M. leprae* DNA in putatively infected animals. In addition, we clipped the end of one toenail to obtain a small blood sample, collected onto Nobuto blood strips (Advantec, Dublin, California, USA).

We also sampled dead armadillos. These included all the animals from Pinebloom and Riverside (in 2006), 34 of the animals from DeWayne Hayes, and fresh road-kills from a variety of locations. In these cases, in addition to collecting ear and blood samples, we also collected the spleen from each animal, preserving it in 100% ethanol.

**Genetic analyses**

To further evaluate the epidemic hypothesis, ear samples were screened for genetic markers that might discriminate between western and eastern source populations and allow
estimates of gene flow. Previously collected samples from Welder Wildlife Refuge (WR; 28°07'/97°22') and Tall Timbers Research Station (TT; 30°38'/84°13') were used as representatives of western and eastern gene pool sources respectively, while samples from the Tulane University Museum of Natural History (NO; 29°50'/90°00') were included as an additional intermediate population (Fig. 1).

Twenty individuals from each sampled population (n = 7; note Pinebloom was not included because of its close proximity to Tall Timbers and that samples from DeWayne Hayes and Riverside were pooled) were randomly selected and genotyped for five of the nuclear microsatellite loci (Dnov1, Dnov6, Dnov7, Dnov16 and Dnov24) previously identified by Prodöhl et al. (1996). Loci were PCR amplified using an end-labeled reverse primer with fluorescein. Two µl of PCR product from each individual was subsequently loaded onto an 8% acrylamide gel (Bio-Rad, Hercules, California, USA). DNA fingerprints were visualized with the FMBIO fluorescent imaging system (Hitachi). Allele numbers were determined with a fluorescently labeled ladder (Promega), using the FMBIO ANALYSIS 8.0 image analyzer program.

Intra-population genetic variation was estimated by observed (Ho) and expected (He) heterozygosities (unbiased estimate, Nei, 1978), using GENETIX 4.04 (Belkhir et al., 2001). We estimated the number of alleles independent of the sample size (hereafter called allelic richness) using an adaptation of the rarefaction index of Hurlbert (1971), as implemented in FSTAT 2.9.3 (Goudet, 1995). Because one locus exhibited a serious Hardy-Weinberg disequilibrium in six populations (see Results), measures of intra-population genetic diversity were averaged over the four remaining loci.
We tested for linkage disequilibrium between all pairs of loci in each population using exact tests as implemented in GENEPOP 3.3 (Raymond and Rousset, 1995). Departure from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium was tested using permutation procedures of GENETIX for each locus in each population and across all loci. Deviations from Hardy-Weinberg proportions were quantified by the unbiased estimator of Wright's inbreeding coefficient ($F_{IS}$), calculated according to Weir and Cockerham (1984).

Differentiation between all pairs of populations was assessed using a log-likelihood G-based exact test (Goudet et al., 1996). Tests computed for each locus were combined in a global test by use of Fisher's method (Manly, 1985). Pairwise levels of differentiation ($F_{ST}$) were calculated using Weir and Cockerham's (1984) estimators. These analyses were conducted using GENEPOP. In addition, a neighbor-joining tree (Saitou and Nei, 1987) was constructed from chord distances ($D_c$) among populations (Cavalli-Sforza and Edwards, 1967).

**Leprosy screening**

Some animals from Yazoo were captured and transferred to the animal colony maintained by the National Hansen’s Disease Program at Louisiana State University (LSU). For these animals, whole blood was collected by venipuncture and the serum was harvested after centrifugation in serum separation tubes (SST BD-Vacutainer, Franklin Lakes, New Jersey, USA) and stored frozen until use. For all other animals, whole blood collected on Nobuto strips was air dried and held at room temperature before the serum was extracted by immersion in Phosphate Buffered Saline pH 7.2 for 3 hours. Both regular sera and Nobuto eluted whole blood showed equal proficiency among test animals in an earlier pilot study.

Serum or eluted whole blood was tested in an ELISA for IgM antibodies to the species specific PGL-1 antigen of *M. leprae* using the procedure of Truman et al. (1986). The synthetic
neoglycoconjugate antigen (ND-O-BSA) was used in all studies and supplied by Dr. Patrick Brennan (Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado, USA) through contract with the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Disease. Samples were tested in triplicate at a 1:35 dilution and all positive or equivocal reactions reassessed 3 times to confirm consistency.

Tissues (ear or spleen) from animals deemed to be positive for PGL-1 IgM antibodies in the ELISA were examined with PCR to confirm presence of *M. leprae* DNA following the methods of Williams et al. (1990). Automated DNA sequencing was performed by the LSU Genelab facility to confirm identity as *M. leprae*.

**Population data analyses**

Using data collected in 2005, we compared the number of armadillos observed per hour of observation at each site to determine if prevalence was related to population density (Truman et al., 1991). Pair-wise Chi-square tests were used to compare leprosy prevalence between populations. We used previously published prevalence data (Truman, 2005) from two populations west of the Mississippi River, Tensas National Wildlife Refuge (TS; 31° 57′/91° 23′), Louisiana (18/77 animals leprosy-positive), and Desha County (DC; 33° 44′/91° 16′), Arkansas (9/42 animals positive; Fig. 1) to compare prevalence between eastern and western populations. Because leprosy prevalence in these western populations was similar, we combined data from them for comparison with the eastern populations.

**RESULTS**

**Population density**

There were no obvious demographic differences among the sampled populations, but significantly more armadillos were observed per hour at Yazoo than at other sites where such
data were available (Table 1, ANOVA $F_{3, 57} = 69.47$, $P < 0.0001$; post-hoc pair-wise comparisons were significant for Yazoo versus each other site but there were no significant differences in pair-wise comparisons among the other 3 sites).

**Intra-population genetic diversity**

The loci Dnov1, Dnov6, Dnov7, Dnov16, and Dnov24 revealed 10, 8, 4, 4, and 5 alleles respectively. Indices of intra-population genetic diversity are presented in Table 2. Overall, intra-population genetic diversity declined slightly from west to east, as did allelic richness. We found no evidence for genotypic disequilibrium, as only four of the 70 tests performed (population-loci pair combinations) were statistically significant at the 0.05 level, and none remained significant after sequential Bonferroni correction (Table 3). Thus, the five loci can be considered statistically independent. However, we did find strong evidence for Hardy-Weinberg disequilibrium at one locus (Dnov16). Indeed, 11 of the 35 exact tests performed were significant at the 0.05 level, and six tests remained significant after Bonferroni correction (Table 3). These six tests corresponded to a strong heterozygote deficiency ($F_{IS}$ from 0.743 to 1.000) at locus Dnov16. This may be due to the presence of null alleles in high frequencies. Hence, locus Dnov16 was not included in subsequent population genetic analyses.

**Population differentiation**

All population pairs were significantly differentiated, even after Bonferroni correction. Levels of differentiation measured by $F_{ST}$ values ranged from 0.030 between populations NO and ST to 0.232 between populations TT and DH. However, the tree constructed from genetic distances between populations did not show a marked population structure (Fig. 2). Interestingly, populations from Welder in Texas and Tall Timbers in Florida were relatively close to each other, even though they were geographically the most widely separated. Apart
from this peculiar population pair, other populations clustered according to their geographical proximity (Fig. 2).

**Leprosy prevalence**

A total of 500 armadillos were sampled (Table 1). No road-killed animals tested positive for leprosy, nor were any collected at Pinebloom or St. Catherine's, but each of the remaining three sites presented at least one leprosy-positive individual (Table 1). In all cases, infection was confirmed both serologically and with PCR. The prevalence presented for Yazoo is somewhat problematic because of fungal contamination on some of the Nobuto strips collected there. Consequently, a second estimate was obtained from the 71 animals (38 adult males and 33 adult females) relocated to LSU. Five (7.04%) of these animals were positive.

Except for the small group of roadkilled animals, all sampled populations exhibited significantly lower levels of leprosy prevalence in comparison with reference populations from west of the Mississippi River (Table 4). Leprosy prevalence at Riverside in 2006 was significantly higher than that observed in the Pinebloom and St. Catherine's samples, but otherwise there were no significant differences in prevalence among the sampled populations (Table 4).

**DISCUSSION**

The last extensive sampling for leprosy among armadillos east of the Mississippi River occurred in the late 1980s and found no evidence of infection based on histopathological examination of nerve damage in ear tissues (Howerth et al., 1990). Although this method is less sensitive, the large sample size in that study argues against the possibility that infected animals were present and not detected, leading us to conclude that, historically, leprosy was not present.
in eastern populations. Our study indicates this is no longer true and provides a valuable update of current infection patterns.

Our data also provide some support for both hypotheses of leprosy occurrence in nine-banded armadillos. First, consistent with the ecological constraints hypothesis, no infected animals were found at St. Catherine's even though, like Yazoo, this population is located close to populations across the Mississippi River that exhibit substantial levels of infection (Fig. 1). Similarly, Yazoo, with its appropriate soil conditions, harbored a population with several infected individuals, although prevalence was significantly lower than in nearby populations to the west. In contrast, consistent with the epidemic hypothesis, both populations in eastern Mississippi/western Alabama contained at least one infected animal. Most remarkable were the data from Riverside, where prevalence mirrored that found 240 km to the west at Yazoo. This is the first report of any leprosy-infected armadillos occurring at substantial distances east of the Mississippi River and indicates leprosy is spreading eastward. Thus, if ecological constraints do influence the occurrence of leprosy, our results suggest they are not as restrictive as previously supposed (Truman, 1996, 2005).

Of course, the two hypotheses are not mutually exclusive, so both likely play a role. For example, environmental conditions in eastern populations might limit leprosy prevalence to levels much lower than those seen west of the Mississippi River, but infection could nonetheless persist due to dispersal of infected animals into these areas. Such a scenario is supported by data indicating armadillo populations are relatively fluid and that some individuals move considerable distances in short periods of time (Taulman and Robbins, 1996; Bond et al., 2000; Gammons, 2006; McDonough et al., 2007). Alternatively, waves of infection may have spread rapidly through the entire range of *D. novemcinctus* in the U.S., with pockets of infection persisting in
local areas containing appropriate environmental conditions (C. Brooks, pers. comm.).

Additional sampling will be required to evaluate these possibilities.

Further sampling will be necessary to address other questions raised by our findings. For example, populations in central Mississippi need to be examined to determine whether there is a continuous distribution of infection across the state or if Stimpson and DeWayne Hayes/Riverside represent isolated eastern pockets of infected animals. Similarly, the prevalence of leprosy at Riverside suggests populations in central and eastern Alabama should be screened to ascertain if the disease has spread even farther to the east.

Unfortunately, genetic analyses were of limited value in understanding the patterns of infection we report. The recent founding of U.S. populations seems to have resulted in high levels of uniformity at both protein (Ramsey and Grigsby, 1985; Moncrief, 1988; Huchon et al., 1999) and molecular genetic levels (Loughry et al., 1998; Huchon et al., 1999) that prevent easy discrimination among them. Ideally, analyses of gene flow could provide important insights. For example, the epidemic hypothesis would be strengthened if we could show that animals at Stimpson and Riverside possess genetic markers shared by infected populations to the west. However, the limited number of loci we examined did not permit such fine scale assessment.

Nevertheless, our genetic data did retrieve the historical pattern of colonization in confirming that founding populations from Florida were derived from individuals of western origin in Texas. Moreover, the pattern of intra-population genetic diversity was consistent with the colonization history of the sampled area. It is also noteworthy that populations from Mississippi (Yazoo and St. Catherine's) and Louisiana (New Orleans) had a genetic diversity comparable to that of the reference source population from Texas (Welder). Two alternative scenarios might explain these observations. The first is that there has been no erosion of genetic
diversity following colonization towards the northeast from the region around Welder. The second envisions an initial decrease in genetic diversity following this colonization, but which was later counterbalanced by an increase in diversity due to the meeting of populations coming from the east after their introduction in Florida. Both additional sample sites and more molecular markers (such as those now available due to the sequencing of the \textit{D. novemcinctus} genome, Chang and Adams, 2008) are required to evaluate these alternatives and allow more precise determination of gene flow among U.S. armadillo populations.
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### TABLE 1. Prevalence of leprosy infection and other features of the nine-banded armadillo populations sampled.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Number sampled</th>
<th>Number of days (hours)</th>
<th>Density (number of animals observed per h)</th>
<th>Number infected (% positive)(^a)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DeWayne Hayes Recreational Area</td>
<td>36 Males, 20 Females, 4 Males, 1 Female</td>
<td>8 (37.77)</td>
<td>1.08 ± 0.88</td>
<td>2 (3.29%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riverside (2006)</td>
<td>32 Males, 35 Females, 0 Males, 1 Female</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>7 (10.29%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pinebloom Plantation</td>
<td>24 Males, 33 Females, 5 Males, 3 Female</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Catherine’s Creek NWR</td>
<td>29 Males, 38 Females, 0 Males, 3 Female</td>
<td>21 (134.80)</td>
<td>0.99 ± 0.42</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stimpson Wildlife Sanctuary</td>
<td>30 Males, 33 Females, 2 Males, 5 Female</td>
<td>14 (89.00)</td>
<td>1.04 ± 0.44</td>
<td>1 (1.43%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yazoo NWR (2005 + 2006)</td>
<td>72 Males, 72 Females, 6 Males, 4 Female</td>
<td>18 (88.25)</td>
<td>3.23 ± 0.56</td>
<td>9 (5.84%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road-kills</td>
<td>7 Males, 3 Females, 1 Male, 1 Female</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) All infected animals tested positive in both serological and PCR analyses.
### Table 2. Genetic polymorphism in seven populations of nine-banded armadillos. a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Population</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Allelic richness</th>
<th>Observed heterozygosity (Ho)</th>
<th>Gene diversity (He)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DeWayne Hayes</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3.77</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Orleans</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3.95</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Catherine's Creek</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>4.29</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stimpson</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3.89</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tall Timbers</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3.48</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Welder</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>4.33</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yazoo</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3.81</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*aMeasures were averaged over four loci (Dnov16 excluded).*
TABLE 3. Departures from Hardy-Weinberg proportions (F$_{IS}$) for seven populations of nine-banded armadillos at each of the five loci examined and their combination.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Dnov1</th>
<th>Dnov6</th>
<th>Dnov7</th>
<th>Dnov16</th>
<th>Dnov24</th>
<th>All loci</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DeWayne Hayes</td>
<td>-0.356$^a$</td>
<td>0.069</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>0.887$^b,c$</td>
<td>-0.068</td>
<td>-0.100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Orleans</td>
<td>-0.197</td>
<td>-0.308$^a$</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.900$^b,c$</td>
<td>-0.083</td>
<td>-0.156$^a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Catherine’s Creek</td>
<td>0.378$^a$</td>
<td>-0.041</td>
<td>0.357</td>
<td>0.743$^b,c$</td>
<td>-0.112</td>
<td>0.110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stimpson</td>
<td>-0.206</td>
<td>0.293$^a$</td>
<td>0.253</td>
<td>1.000$^b,c$</td>
<td>0.179</td>
<td>0.143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tall Timbers</td>
<td>-0.073</td>
<td>-0.136</td>
<td>-0.167</td>
<td>0.273</td>
<td>-0.088</td>
<td>-0.109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Welder</td>
<td>-0.143</td>
<td>-0.216</td>
<td>0.526</td>
<td>0.876$^b,c$</td>
<td>0.089</td>
<td>0.056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yazoo</td>
<td>-0.261</td>
<td>-0.039</td>
<td>-0.023</td>
<td>1.000$^b,c$</td>
<td>-0.032</td>
<td>-0.094</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ P < 0.05
$^b$ P < 0.001
$^c$ P < 0.05 after Bonferroni correction
TABLE 4. Chi-square values\(^a\) for pair-wise comparisons of leprosy prevalence (proportion of infected individuals) among sampled populations of nine-banded armadillos.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Population(^b)</th>
<th>TS + DC</th>
<th>YZ-all</th>
<th>YZ-LSU</th>
<th>DH-all</th>
<th>RS</th>
<th>PB</th>
<th>SC</th>
<th>ST</th>
<th>RK</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TS + DC</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YZ-all</td>
<td>15.20***</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YZ-LSU</td>
<td>6.70**</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DH-all</td>
<td>11.08***</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RS</td>
<td>3.67*</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PB</td>
<td>15.52***</td>
<td>2.62</td>
<td>2.97</td>
<td>3.31</td>
<td>5.15*</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC</td>
<td>16.48***</td>
<td>2.83</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>3.56</td>
<td>5.51*</td>
<td>NC</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST</td>
<td>14.15***</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>3.47</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RK</td>
<td>2.71</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>nc(^c)</td>
<td>nc(^c)</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) \(* P < 0.05, ** P < 0.01, *** P < 0.001\)

\(^b\) Full names for all populations are given in Fig. 1. DH-all includes data from DeWayne Hayes and Riverside collected in 2005 and 2006; YZ-LSU refers to 71 animals relocated from Yazoo to the Hansen's Disease research colony at Louisiana State University.

\(^c\) Not calculated because two cells contained 0s.
FIGURE CAPTIONS

FIGURE 1. Map of sampling localities. DH = DeWayne Hayes Recreational Area (Columbus, MS), PB = Pinebloom Plantation (Albany, GA), RS = Riverside (Riverside, AL), SC = St. Catherine’s Creek National Wildlife Refuge (Natchez, MS), ST = Stimpson Wildlife Sanctuary (Jackson, AL), and YZ = Yazoo National Wildlife Refuge (Hollandale, MS). In addition to these main sampling localities, a few (n = 12) fresh road-killed animals were collected in various parts of Mississippi. These individuals are shown as ▲. Also shown are the locations of reference populations from just west of the Mississippi River presenting high prevalence of leprosy infection (DC = Desha County, AR and TS = Tensas National Wildlife Refuge, LA) and sources of genetic samples used to represent a western (WR = Welder Wildlife Refuge, Sinton, TX), eastern (TT = Tall Timbers Research Station, Tallahassee, FL) and intermediate (NO = New Orleans, LA) population. Populations used for genetic analyses are indicated by ●.

FIGURE 2. Neighbor-joining radial tree inferred from Cavalli-Sforza and Edwards' (1967) genetic distances between populations, as calculated from microsatellite data. Branch lengths are drawn proportionally to genetic distances between populations, expressed in units of expected numbers of mutations accumulated per locus.
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