

Effects of aerosol organics on cloud condensation nucleus (CCN) concentration and first indirect aerosol effect

J. Wang, Y.-N. Lee, P. H. Daum, J. Jayne, M. L. Alexander

► **To cite this version:**

J. Wang, Y.-N. Lee, P. H. Daum, J. Jayne, M. L. Alexander. Effects of aerosol organics on cloud condensation nucleus (CCN) concentration and first indirect aerosol effect. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics Discussions*, European Geosciences Union, 2008, 8 (3), pp.9783-9818. <hal-00304195>

HAL Id: hal-00304195

<https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-00304195>

Submitted on 28 May 2008

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Effects of aerosol organics on cloud condensation nucleus (CCN) concentration and first indirect aerosol effect

J. Wang¹, Y.-N. Lee¹, P. H. Daum¹, J. Jayne², and M. L. Alexander³

¹Brookhaven National Laboratory, 75 Rutherford Drive, Upton, NY 11973-5000, USA

²Aerodyne Research Inc. 45 Manning Road, Billerica, MA 01821-3976, USA

³Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, P.O. Box 999, K8-88 Richland, WA 99352, USA

Received: 9 April 2008 – Accepted: 16 April 2008 – Published: 28 May 2008

Correspondence to: J. Wang (jian@bnl.gov)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.

Effects of aerosol organics on CCN concentration

J. Wang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Abstract

Aerosol microphysics, chemical composition, and CCN properties were measured on the Department of Energy Gulfstream-1 aircraft during the Marine Stratus/Stratocumulus Experiment (MASE) conducted over the coastal waters between Point Reyes National Seashore and Monterey Bay, California, in July 2005. Aerosols measured during MASE included free tropospheric aerosols, marine boundary layer aerosols, and aerosols with high organic concentration within a thin layer above the cloud. Closure analysis was carried out for all three types of aerosols by comparing the measured CCN concentrations at 0.22% supersaturation to those predicted based on size distribution and chemical composition using Köhler theory. The effect of aerosol organic species on predicted CCN concentration was examined using a single hygroscopicity parameterization. For aerosols with organics volume fraction up to 70%, such as the marine boundary layer and free troposphere aerosols, CCN concentration and the corresponding first indirect aerosol effect are insensitive to the properties of organics, and can be accurately predicted with a constant hygroscopicity for all organic species. This simplification can facilitate the prediction of indirect aerosol effects using physically-based parameterizations in large scale models. However, for the aerosols within the thin layers above clouds, organics contributed up to 90% of the total aerosol volume, and a detailed knowledge of organic hygroscopicity is required to accurately predict CCN concentrations. Derivations of organic properties in future closure studies, when aerosols are dominated by organic species, would help constrain the descriptions of organics and aerosol-cloud parameterizations in large scale models.

1 Introduction

Atmospheric aerosols affect the global energy budget by scattering and absorbing sunlight (direct effects) and by changing the microphysical structure, lifetime, and coverage of clouds (indirect effects). An increase in aerosol concentration would lead to smaller

ACPD

8, 9783–9818, 2008

Effects of aerosol organics on CCN concentration

J. Wang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



cloud droplet size and higher cloud albedo, i.e. brighter clouds (Twomey, 1977). This effect, which is known as the first indirect aerosol effect, tends to cool the global climate. The smaller cloud droplet size resulting from increased aerosol concentration also inhibits precipitation, leading to an increase in cloud lifetime and coverage, (second indirect aerosol effect, Albrecht, 1989). Although it is widely accepted that the indirect effects can strongly influence the global climate, and potentially mask the warming effect due to anthropogenic CO₂, the magnitudes of indirect aerosol effects are poorly understood. The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC, 2007) considers the indirect effects of aerosols the most uncertain components in forcing of climate change over the industrial period.

A key challenge in quantifying the aerosol indirect effects is to determine the spectrum of cloud condensation nuclei (CCN) and its spatial and temporal variations. CCN's are particles that can grow into cloud droplets at atmospherically relevant supersaturations. At a given supersaturation, CCN concentration (N_{CCN}) is determined by aerosol size distribution and chemical composition. For a particle consisting of inorganic compounds, CCN activity can be effectively predicted using Köhler theory (Köhler, 1936) based on physicochemical properties of the solute, such as its mass, molecular weight, density, and activity coefficient. However, as ambient particles are often comprised of substantial amount of organic species (Kanakidou et al., 2005), Köhler theory has been extended to include the influence of organic species on surface tension (Facchini et al., 1999) and their contributions as solute (Shulman et al., 1996). While laboratory studies have shown that CCN activities of both single- and some multi-component aerosols can be successfully described using extended Köhler theory (Raymond and Pandis, 2002, 2003; Bilde and Svenningsson, 2004; Huff-Hartz et al., 2006; Svenningsson et al., 2006), the prediction of N_{CCN} for ambient aerosols remains a challenge. Ambient aerosols often consist of hundreds of organic species. Despite recent improvements in measurement techniques, a complete speciation of the organic components in ambient aerosols remains very difficult if not impossible. For the prediction of N_{CCN} in large scale models, the hundreds of organic species cannot be simulated individually due to

Effects of aerosol organics on CCN concentration

J. Wang et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[⏪](#)[⏩](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

Effects of aerosol organics on CCN concentration

J. Wang et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[⏪](#)[⏩](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

computational constraints as well as the lack of knowledge of the precursors and formation mechanisms. Furthermore, the properties of many organic species in ambient aerosols (such as the particle density, molecular weight, solubility, and water activity coefficient) are often not available to initiate calculations using extended Köhler theory.

As a result, the treatment of aerosol indirect effects needs to be greatly simplified in large scale models including Global Circulation Models (GCM). Some GCM's avoid the calculation of N_{CCN} by using empirical relationships between aerosol mass and cloud droplet number concentration (Menon et al., 2002). These relationships are based on observations and are easy to implement in global forcing calculations, but they do not reflect the physical and chemical processes that occur during cloud nucleation, which depends on the size and chemical composition of the aerosol, as well as the updraft velocity. In addition, these relationships are based on limited measurements, and may not be sufficiently representative for use in global calculation or to predict future scenarios. More rigorous approaches, which are also more computationally intensive, are parameterizations that are based on particle size distribution, chemical composition, and Köhler theory (e.g. Abdul-Razzak and Ghan, 2000). However, due to the inability to resolve individual species in global models, the description of organic species is often greatly simplified, such as by assuming a constant hygroscopicity for all organics (Takemura et al., 2005).

The relationships among particle size distribution, chemical composition, and the ability of particles to serve as “CCN” have also been examined in a number of closure studies, which compared N_{CCN} predicted using measured ambient aerosol size distribution and composition to that directly measured. An overview of the results from previous closure studies can be found in Broekhuizen et al. (2006) and Medina et al. (2007). In these closure studies, a variety of simplified representations of particle composition and organic properties were necessary due to a limited knowledge of the identity and properties of these organic species. Therefore, these closure studies also provided tests for predicting N_{CCN} using extended Köhler theory and simplified description of aerosol organics, which is necessary in large scale models. In many closure studies,

Effects of aerosol organics on CCN concentration

J. Wang et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[⏪](#)[⏩](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

organics are assumed to be insoluble (Liu et al., 1996; Chuang et al., 2000; Cantrell et al., 2001; Roberts et al., 2002; Snider et al., 2003; Broekhuizen et al., 2006; Rissman et al., 2006; Medina et al., 2007). Other studies used different simplifications of aerosol chemical composition. For example, Van Reken et al. (2003) assumed particles observed during CRYSTAL-FACE study were pure ammonium sulfate, which was generally supported by Aerosol Mass Spectrometer (AMS) measurements. Roberts et al. (2002) also assumed about half of the organics were water soluble (e.g. dicarboxylic acids). Stroud et al. (2007) represented the organics as a mixture of levoglucosan, pinic acid, and fulvic acid. However it was found that assuming insoluble organics resulted in better agreement between the predicted and measured N_{CCN} . While closure was achieved for all or most of the data sets in some of the studies (Liu et al., 1996; Cantrell et al., 2001; Roberts et al., 2002; Van Reken et al., 2003; Broekhuizen et al., 2006; Medina et al., 2007), others showed substantial discrepancies (Chuang et al., 2000; Rissman et al., 2006; Stroud et al., 2007). In general, better closure agreements were achieved when the aerosols were not strongly influenced by anthropogenic emissions and contained low organic content. The difficulty in achieving closure in some of the studies could be due to a number of reasons. First, the CCN measurements could have substantial uncertainties and/or biases. Second, aerosol chemical composition measurements in some of the earlier closure studies were often crude and incomplete. In addition, for aircraft-based measurements, slow measurement techniques could have also contributed to the failure to achieve closure due to the spatial variability.

In this work, CCN closure studies were conducted using data collected during the Marine Stratus/Stratocumulus Experiment (MASE). Due to their extensive spatial coverage and high susceptibility, marine stratus/stratocumulus is considered a major contributor to first indirect aerosol effect. Based on sampling location, the aerosols observed during MASE were classified into three different types, which showed very different chemical characteristics. CCN concentrations at 0.22% supersaturation were predicted using measured aerosol size distribution and chemical composition, and compared to simultaneously measured N_{CCN} for the three aerosol types observed.

The closure study was performed assuming a wide range of organic properties, which is represented using a single hygroscopicity parameterization. The sensitivity of the predicted N_{CCN} to organic properties is examined. Theoretical analysis was also carried out to explore the feasibility of predicting N_{CCN} and the first indirect aerosol effect using a simplified representation of organic species. The accuracy of predicted N_{CCN} and the first indirect aerosol effect when using the simplified representation is examined.

2 Measurements

2.1 Location and instruments

The Marine Stratus/Stratocumulus Experiment (MASE) field campaign was carried out in July 2005 off the coast of Northern California between Monterey and Pt. Reyes, to study the aerosol-cloud interactions in the climatically important regime of the eastern Pacific marine stratocumulus (Daum et al., 2008¹). The Department of Energy (DOE) Gulfstream-1 (G-1) aircraft flew a total of 11 scientific flights. All G-1 sampling flights originated from Sacramento, CA where the aircraft was based. The flight strategy was to climb to an altitude of ~1.5 km or more after takeoff from Sacramento and head west towards Pt. Reyes, where the mobile facility of the DOE Atmospheric Radiation Program (ARM) was deployed. Upon completion of sampling at Pt. Reyes, the aircraft headed offshore, and flew repetitive multi-altitude patterns (about 10–15 min/leg) with legs above cloud, at multiple altitudes in-cloud, and as possible, below cloud.

During MASE, the G-1 carried a suite of instrumentation to measure the microphysics and chemical composition of aerosol, cloud microphysics, state parameters, winds, and radiation fields. Only the measurements related to this study are discussed

¹Daum, P. H., Liu, Y. G., McGraw, R. L., Lee, Y. N., Wang, J., Senum, G. I., Miller, M., and Hudson, J. G.: Microphysical properties of stratus/stratocumulus Clouds during the 2005 Marine Stratus/Stratocumulus Experiments (MASE), in preparation, 2008.

Effects of aerosol organics on CCN concentration

J. Wang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



below. Aerosol size distribution was measured by a Scanning Mobility Particle Sizer (SMPS) (Wang et al., 2003). The major components of the SMPS are a cylindrical Differential Mobility Analyzer (Model 3081, TSI Inc., Minneapolis, Minnesota) and a Condensation Particle Counter (Model 3010, TSI Inc., Minneapolis, Minnesota). Prior to measurements, the relative humidity (RH) of aerosol sample was reduced to below 25% inside a Nafion drier. Aerosol size distribution ranging from 15 nm to ~450 nm was measured every 60 s. The SMPS was calibrated using polystyrene latex standards. Data from the SMPS were reduced using the data inversion procedure described by Collins et al. (2002).

CCN concentration at 0.22% supersaturation was measured by a CCN counter (Droplet Measurement Technology, Boulder). The 0.22% supersaturation is within the typical supersaturation range of stratocumulus clouds. The CCN counter consists of a cylindrical continuous-flow gradient diffusion chamber. A constant stream-wise temperature gradient is applied such that the difference between water vapor mass diffusivity and air thermal diffusivity leads to a quasi-uniform centerline supersaturation. CCN's, which are confined near the centerline, grow into super-micrometer droplets and are detected by an Optical Particle Counter downstream (Roberts and Nenes, 2005). The CCN data during the descent and ascent of G-1 were excluded from this analysis, as the pressure change inside the CCN counter led to instability of sample flow and supersaturation.

The size-resolved chemical composition of ambient aerosol was determined using an Aerodyne Quadruple aerosol mass spectrometer (AMS, Jayne et al., 2000). The details of the AMS operation onboard the G-1 has been given in detail in Kleinman et al. (2007), and will be only briefly described here. The AMS operation alternates between pTOF (particle time of flight) and MS (mass spectrum) modes. In the pTOF mode, the particle beam is chopped and the particles are sized according to their flight time between the chopper and a particle vaporizer. In the MS mode, the chopper moves in and out of the aerosol sample beam to provide total and background signals, which allow the total mass concentrations to be deduced. For most flights, the sam-

Effects of aerosol organics on CCN concentration

J. Wang et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

Effects of aerosol organics on CCN concentration

J. Wang et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

pling average time was 30 s, which was split between the pTOF and MS modes. The AMS analysis software (Allan et al., 2004) determines concentration from MS mode and partitions that concentration into size bins according to the pTOF measurements. Measurement of aerosol species depends on the aerosol impinging on the particle vaporizer, after which it is vaporized, ionized and detected by a quadruple mass filter. During MASE, the temperature of the vaporizer was 600–650°C, adequate to vaporize mixed SO_4^{2-} – NO_3^- – NH_4^+ salts and organic compounds which together are expected to constitute most of the mass for the particles with diameters less than 500 nm. Refractory materials such as NaCl, mineral dust, or blackcarbon are not detected by the AMS. For 9 of the total 11 research flights during MASE, valid data were available for all three measurements described above.

3 Data overview: typical aerosols observed during MASE

Aerosols observed during MASE were grouped into three major types based on the sampling altitude and the characteristics of aerosol microphysics and composition. The first was “Free Troposphere (FT)” aerosol observed during the ferries between Sacramento and Pt Reyes (Fig. 1). The ferries were mostly at an altitude of 1500 m or higher and were above the boundary layer except on 18 July 2005 and 19 July 2005. Figure 2 shows the size distributions of total number, SO_4^{2-} , NO_3^- , and organics measured during the ferries on 27 July 2005 and 18 July 2005. The size distributions of the FT aerosols were often unimodal (Fig. 2a), and the aerosol mass loading was typically very low (e.g. less than $1.4 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ on 27 July 2007). Due to the low FT aerosol mass loading and the short average time associated with aircraft measurements, the mass size distributions of chemical species measured by the AMS were quite noisy (Fig. 2b). However, aerosols observed during the ferry flights on 18 July 2005 and 19 July 2005 showed much higher number and mass concentrations, which were likely due to the upward mixing of boundary layer pollution. During MASE, the composition of FT aerosols was dominated by sulfate and organics, and the contribution from nitrate was small.

The size distributions of sulfate and organics exhibited a similar shape based on the measurements on 18 July 2005 and 19 July 2005, which had higher counting statistics due to elevated aerosol mass concentrations.

“Boundary layer (BL)” aerosols were sampled over the Pacific at multiple altitudes within the boundary layer. Two examples of typical BL aerosols observed are shown in Fig. 3. Aerosol size distributions were often bimodal, with the modal diameters of the Aitken and accumulation modes near 60 and 160 nm, respectively. Similar to the FT aerosols, sulfate and organics dominated the composition of the BL aerosols, and the shapes of the sulfate and organic size distributions were similar.

During MASE, a thin layer of aerosol with high mass concentration was frequently observed just above the cloud. Aerosol within this thin layer, which was often ~60 m thick, is referred to as the “Above Cloud (AC)” type. Figure 4 shows aerosol composition within the thin layer was dominated by the organics, especial for particles with diameters less than 200 nm. Unlike the FT and BL aerosols, the size distribution of organics was quite different from that of sulfate. The sulfate mass concentration often reached its maximum at vacuum aerodynamic diameter of ~600 nm, which was substantially larger than the peak size of the organics.

4 CCN closure study

4.1 κ -Köhler theory

In our closure studies, the critical dry diameter that corresponds to activation at 0.22% supersaturation was calculated using the “ κ Köhler theory” (Petters and Kreidenweis, 2007), which uses a single parameter κ to describe the Raoult effect on CCN activation. In κ Köhler theory, the water vapor saturation ratio over the aqueous solution droplet S

Effects of aerosol organics on CCN concentration

J. Wang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



is given by:

$$S = \frac{D^3 - D_p^3}{D^3 - D_p^3(1 - \kappa)} \exp\left(\frac{4\sigma_w M_w}{RT \rho_w D}\right) \quad (1)$$

where D is the droplet diameter, D_p the dry diameter of the particle, M_w the molecular weight of water, σ_w the surface tension of aqueous solution, ρ_w the density of water, R the gas constant, T the absolute temperature. For particles comprised of multiple components, the value of κ is given by a simple mixing rule (Petters and Kreidenweis, 2007):

$$\kappa = \sum_i x_i \kappa_i \quad (2)$$

where x is the volume fraction and subscript i denotes species i . For soluble inorganic species, such as NH_4HSO_4 , NH_4NO_3 , κ_i can be derived as:

$$\kappa_i = v_i \frac{\rho_i M_w}{\rho_w M_i} \quad (3)$$

where ρ is the density, M the molecular weight, and v the van't Hoff factor.

For organic species, the derivation of κ from experimental data is based on the assumption that $\sigma_w = 0.072 \text{ J m}^{-2}$ (pure water, Petters and Kreidenweis, 2007). The derived κ is positively biased for surface active organic species due to this assumption. However, in calculation of κ for a mixture containing the same surface active species, the larger κ of the organic species partially compensates for the lower surface tension of the mixture. Although this approach is not thermodynamically rigorous, Petters and Kreidenweis (2007) showed that it predicts the hygroscopicity within measurement uncertainty for mixtures that contain both surface active species and surface inactive compounds. In addition, at the critical droplet diameter that corresponds to the maximum supersaturation at the droplet surface, the concentration of surface active

Effects of aerosol organics on CCN concentration

J. Wang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



organics is often very dilute due to the large water mass. As a result, the effect of surface active organic species is usually small except for organics with extreme properties (Ervens et al., 2005).

4.2 Derivation of aerosol chemical composition from AMS measurements

5 While AMS represents a significant improvement over traditional filter-based techniques, its characterization of aerosol composition is still incomplete. To carry out CCN closure study, we constructed aerosol chemical composition from the AMS data using following approach. First, the aerosols were considered consisting mainly of SO_4^{2-} , NO_3^- , NH_4^+ , and Organics. This assumption should be valid for the aerosols observed
10 in the free troposphere and in the thin layers above clouds. The BL aerosols might contain substantial amount of sea salt. However, it is expected that sea salt is present mainly in large particles (Lewis and Schwartz, 2005), which contribute to only a small fraction of CCN due to their low number concentrations. Therefore, although sea salt could contribute to the total aerosol mass concentration, neglecting the sea salt should not lead to an appreciable error in predicted N_{CCN} . Observed NO_3^- was assumed to
15 exist as NH_4NO_3 , and SO_4^{2-} is assumed as NH_4HSO_4 . This picture is consistent with the average concentration of SO_4^{2-} , NO_3^- , NH_4^+ observed during MASE. Given the similar CCN activity of $(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{SO}_4$ and NH_4HSO_4 , the uncertainty associated with these assumptions is expected to be small. The sensitivity of the predicted N_{CCN} to this
20 assumption is discussed further below.

For the FT and BL aerosols, the size spectra of sulfate and organics showed a similar shape and the aerosol composition was dominated by sulfate and organics. Therefore, aerosol chemical composition was treated as independent of particle size with all species internally mixed. This assumption allowed derivation of volume fraction of
25 each species using the AMS MS-mode measurements, which have much higher signal to noise ratio than the pTOF-mode measurements. During MASE, the average volume fraction for ammonium bisulfate, nitrate, and organics were 43%, 7% and 50% for the

Effects of aerosol organics on CCN concentration

J. Wang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



FT aerosols, and 51%, 3%, and 46% for the BL aerosols.

For the AC aerosols, the shapes of sulfate and organic size distributions were quite different. To describe this size dependence of chemical composition, we assumed the AC aerosols to be external mixtures of lognormal modes, and that within each mode, the chemical composition was constant, and independent of particle size. The mode diameter, concentration, geometric standard deviation, and the mass fraction of each species were derived for each mode using the approach described as follows. First, the total mass size distribution was fitted by lognormal modes (two modes for the example given in Fig. 5a). Second, the size spectra for the three species (NH_4HSO_4 , NH_4NO_3 and organics) were each expressed as a linear combination of the lognormal modes determined from the total aerosol mass. The linear coefficients, which are the mass fractions of individual species within the lognormal modes, were determined using a least square fitting procedure. Figure 5 shows that the fitted size distributions of total mass, NH_4HSO_4 , NH_4NO_3 and organics agree closely with the measurements, supporting the external-mixture nature of the aerosols. For each mode, the volume fractions were calculated from fitted mass fraction using the densities of participating species. For organics, a density of 1.2 g/cm^3 is used in this study. For the AC aerosols observed on 27 July 2005, the fitted lognormal mode parameters and the volume fraction of each species are listed in Table 1. The vacuum aerodynamic diameters (D_{va}) of the two modes were 190 nm and 410 nm, respectively. The smaller mode was dominated by organics, which contributed over 90% of the total volume. For the larger mode, the sulfate volume fraction was about 20%, a substantial increase from the fraction in the smaller mode. The fitting procedure described above was carried out for the AC aerosols observed on all flights.

Effects of aerosol organics on CCN concentration

J. Wang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

4.3 CCN closure study and the sensitivity of predicted N_{CCN} to the properties of organic species

4.3.1 CCN Closure study with assumption of insoluble organics

Closure studies were carried out for N_{CCN} at 0.22% supersaturation using the following approach. For the FT and BL aerosols, which were treated as internal mixtures of sulfate, nitrate, and organics, the fraction of each species was derived from the AMS MS-mode measurements averaged over the SMPS measurement intervals. We point out that due to the lower time resolution of SMPS, size distributions during which substantial variation in N_{CCN} was observed were excluded. Based on the derived composition, the critical dry particle activation diameter (D_{pc}) was calculated using the κ Köhler theory for each SMPS measurement interval, and N_{CCN} was then derived by integrating the size distribution from D_{pc} and above. The AC aerosols were considered as external mixture of lognormal modes. As described in Sect. 4.2, the lognormal mode parameters and the composition of each mode were derived by fitting the size distributions of total mass and each species averaged over the layer. For each mode, the N_{CCN} was predicted using the same method described above, and the total N_{CCN} is the sum of the contribution from all modes. As a starting point, the organic species were assumed to be water insoluble (i.e. $\kappa=0$), as in many previous closure studies. Figure 6 shows the comparison of predicted N_{CCN} to the measured at 0.22% supersaturation for all research flights. When the organic species are assumed to be insoluble, the predicted N_{CCN} for the FT and BL aerosols agrees well with the measured N_{CCN} . The ratio of predicted to measured N_{CCN} , (i.e. the slope of the best fit) is 0.95 and 0.94 for the FT and BL aerosols, respectively. For the AC aerosols, the ratio is only 0.46, suggesting that assuming insoluble organics substantially underestimates the N_{CCN} .

As described earlier, sulfate was assumed to exist as NH_4HSO_4 . To examine the uncertainty associated this assumption, we also carried out closure study treating all sulfate as completely neutralized $(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{SO}_4$. The results are very similar; the ratios are 0.93, 0.91, and 0.46 for the FT, BL, and AC aerosols, respectively. The sensitivity

Effects of aerosol organics on CCN concentration

J. Wang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



of predicted N_{CCN} to particle composition was also investigated by varying the mass concentration of sulfate. When the sulfate mass concentration is increased by 20%, the ratio only increases slightly to 0.99 and 0.97 for the FT and BL aerosols, respectively. Similarly, both ratios decrease slightly to 0.90 for the FT and BL aerosols when the mass loading of sulfate is decreased by 20%. As the assumption that the chemical composition was independent of particle size may not be appropriate for all FT and BL aerosols, the good agreement may be partially due to the low sensitivity of predicted N_{CCN} to the chemical composition for these two types of aerosols.

4.3.2 Sensitivity of predicted N_{CCN} to the κ value of organic species

Many organics species observed in the atmosphere are water soluble and therefore have κ values greater than zero. Petters and Kreidenweis (2007) showed that κ values for organic species commonly observed in the atmosphere range mostly from 0 to 0.25. The sensitivity of predicted N_{CCN} to the κ value of organic species (κ_{org}) is investigated in this section. For each of the three aerosol types defined above, N_{CCN} was predicted using a wide range of κ_{org} ; the ratio of predicted to measured N_{CCN} is shown in Fig. 7 as a function of κ_{org} . For the FT and BL aerosols, the predicted N_{CCN} is insensitive to κ_{org} . When κ_{org} increases from 0 to 0.25, the ratio of predicted to measured N_{CCN} increases only slightly from 0.95 to 1.15 for the FT aerosols, and from 0.94 to 1.10 for the BL aerosols. In contrast, the ratio increases from 0.46 to 1.50 for the AC aerosols. The difference in the sensitivity to κ_{org} is due to the following reason. Whereas organics contributed up to 50% of the aerosol volume for the FT and BL aerosols, the ion concentrations were dominated by the inorganics due to the high solubility of sulfate and nitrate (i.e. high κ values). The additional contribution from soluble organics, which has relatively low κ_{org} , was only secondary. As a result, for a wide range of κ_{org} , the variations in the predicted N_{CCN} and the ratio of predicted to measured N_{CCN} are small for the FT and BL aerosols. For the AC aerosols, organics dominated the composition (i.e. up to 90% of the total aerosol volume concentration), and the ratio is highly sensitive to κ_{org} . The κ_{org} for the AC aerosols was derived as

Effects of aerosol organics on CCN concentration

J. Wang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Effects of aerosol organics on CCN concentration

J. Wang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



0.1 by matching the predicted N_{CCN} to the measured. For the FT and BL aerosols, the low sensitivity of predicted N_{CCN} to κ_{org} is an important reason for the good agreement between predicted and measured N_{CCN} even when the organics are assumed to be completely insoluble, which may not be true for many organic species observed away from the source regions.

4.4 Uncertainty in first indirect aerosol effect

4.4.1 Uncertainty in first indirect aerosol effect due to the uncertainty in κ_{org}

As discussed above, ambient aerosols often consist of hundreds of organic species, which cannot be speciated with current techniques or simulated in global models. The lack of knowledge of the organic properties will also lead to an uncertainty in predicted N_{CCN} , as indicated in Fig. 7. The uncertainty in N_{CCN} translates into uncertainties in cloud droplet number concentration and albedo, and ultimately the uncertainty in indirect aerosol effects. The uncertainty in average first indirect aerosol effect, ΔF_c , can be estimated by (Schwartz and Slingo, 1996):

$$\Delta F_c \approx -\frac{F_T}{4} A_{\text{mst}} T_r^2 \Delta R_c \quad (4)$$

where F_T is the solar constant, A_{mst} the cloud fractional coverage, and T_r the fractional transmission of shortwave radiation above the cloud layer, and ΔR_c the uncertainty in cloud albedo. For global mean calculations, the cloud fraction is taken with the assumption (Charlson et al., 1987) that non-overlapped marine stratus and stratocumulus clouds having a fractional area of 30%, and T_r is 76% (Schwartz and Slingo, 1996). For the range of cloud albedo $0.28 \leq R_c \leq 0.72$, which is characteristic of the prevalent and climatically important marine stratus clouds, ΔR_c can be estimated using the following equation with error less than 10% (Schwartz and Slingo, 1996):

$$\Delta R_c = \frac{1}{3} [R_c (1 - R_c)] \cdot \Delta \ln N_d \approx 0.075 \cdot \Delta \ln N_d \quad (5)$$

Effects of aerosol organics on CCN concentration

J. Wang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



where N_d is the cloud droplet number concentration. Sotiropoulou et al. (2006) studied the uncertainty in N_d associated with the uncertainty in N_{CCN} using field data and found that for majority of the cases, the relative uncertainty in N_d is less than 50% of the relative uncertainty in N_{CCN} . Therefore, the upper limit of the relative uncertainty of

N_d can be estimated as:

$$\Delta \ln N_d \approx 0.5 \Delta \ln N_{CCN} \quad (6)$$

The lower relative uncertainty in N_d is due to the feedback of the maximum supersaturation inside clouds. For example, at a constant updraft velocity, an increase in N_{CCN} leads to reduced maximum supersaturation inside the cloud due to the competition of water vapor among more particles. As a result, the fractional increase in N_d will be less than that of N_{CCN} . Combining Eqs. (4), (5), and (6), we can estimate the upper limit of ΔF_c from the uncertainty in N_{CCN} as:

$$\Delta F_c \approx -\frac{F_T}{4} A_{mst} T_r^2 (0.038 \Delta \ln N_{CCN}) \approx -2.2 \cdot \Delta \ln N_{CCN} \left(W/m^2 \right) \quad (7)$$

It is important to point out that Eq. (7) represents the upper limit of ΔF_c , the true uncertainty in the first indirect aerosol effect could be substantially less. A recent study based on GCM model (Sotiropoulou et al., 2007) found that a 9% global average uncertainty in N_{CCN} leads to an uncertainty of $0.1 W/m^2$ in global average first indirect aerosol effect, only 50% of $0.2 W/m^2$ predicted using Eq. (7).

The uncertainty in first indirect aerosol effect was estimated using Eq. (7) for the three types of aerosols observed during MASE. For the FT and BL aerosols, the predicted N_{CCN} is insensitive to κ_{org} (Fig. 7). For the typical range of κ_{org} from 0 to 0.25, the uncertainties in predicted $\ln N_{CCN}$ (i.e. $\Delta \ln N_{CCN}$) are 0.18 and 0.16, which correspond to ΔF_c of 0.40 and $0.35 W/m^2$ for the FT and BL aerosols, respectively. For the AC aerosols, the high sensitivity of N_{CCN} to κ_{org} leads to a ΔF_c of $2.6 W/m^2$ for κ_{org} ranging from 0 to 0.25. It is expected that ambient aerosols have a wide range of sensitivities of $\ln N_{CCN}$ to κ_{org} . The global average ΔF_c is based on the average $\Delta \ln N_{CCN}$, and is likely somewhere between the cases examined here.

4.4.2 Uncertainties of predicted N_{CCN} and first indirect aerosol effect when assuming a constant κ_{org}

Given the complexity of organic species in ambient aerosol, a simplified description of organic species is necessary to predict aerosol indirect effects using physically-based parameterizations in global models. In this section, we estimate the uncertainties in predicted N_{CCN} and first indirect aerosol effect for a simplified representation, in which an average $\kappa_{\text{org}}=0.12$ is used to represent all organic species. To simplify our analysis, we start with internally mixed aerosols and represent all inorganic species using NH_4HSO_4 . As the κ values of other common inorganic species (e.g. $(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{SO}_4$ and NH_4NO_3) are very close to the κ of NH_4HSO_4 , we do not expect this assumption will affect the conclusion of our analysis. For internally mixed aerosols such as the FT and BL aerosols observed during MASE, N_{CCN} can be calculated as:

$$N_{\text{CCN}} = \int_{\ln D_{pc}}^{+\infty} n(\ln D_p) d \ln D_p, \quad (8)$$

where $n(\ln D_p)$ is particle size distribution, D_{pc} the critical dry activation diameter. The sensitivity of predicted N_{CCN} to the overall κ of particle is given by:

$$\frac{d \ln N_{\text{CCN}}}{d \ln \kappa} = \frac{d \ln N_{\text{CCN}}}{d \ln D_{pc}} \cdot \frac{d \ln D_{pc}}{d \ln \kappa}. \quad (9)$$

Based on Eq. (8), the sensitivity of N_{CCN} to D_{pc} is given by:

$$\frac{d \ln N_{\text{CCN}}}{d \ln D_{pc}} = \frac{1}{N_{\text{CCN}}} \frac{d N_{\text{CCN}}}{d \ln D_{pc}} = - \frac{n(\ln D_{pc})}{N_{\text{CCN}}} \quad (10)$$

For $\kappa > 0.2$, which is typical for atmospheric particles, we have (Petters and Kreidenweis, 2007):

$$\kappa = \frac{4 \left(\frac{4\sigma_w M_w}{RT\rho_w} \right)^3}{27D_{pc}^3 \ln^2 S_c}, \quad (11)$$

Effects of aerosol organics on CCN concentration

J. Wang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



where S_c is the critical supersaturation. From Eq. (11), the following equation can be derived:

$$\frac{d \ln D_{pc}}{d \ln \kappa} = -\frac{1}{3} \quad (12)$$

Combining Eqs. (9), (10), and (12), we can derive the sensitivity of N_{CCN} to overall κ as:

$$\frac{d \ln N_{CCN}}{d \ln \kappa} = \frac{1}{3} \frac{n (\ln D_{pc})}{N_{CCN}} \quad (13)$$

When all organic species are represented using $\overline{\kappa_{org}}$, the fraction error in calculate N_{CCN} can be estimated using Eq. (13):

$$\frac{\Delta N_{CCN}}{N_{CCN}} \approx \Delta \ln N_{CCN} = \ln \left(\frac{N'_{CCN}}{N_{CCN}} \right) = \frac{1}{3} \frac{n (\ln D_{pc})}{N_{CCN}} \ln \left(\frac{(1 - x_{org}) \kappa_{ABS} + x_{org} \overline{\kappa_{org}}}{(1 - x_{org}) \kappa_{ABS} + x_{org} \kappa_{org}} \right) \quad (14)$$

where N'_{CCN} is the CCN concentration predicted using the $\overline{\kappa_{org}}$ (0.12), κ_{ABS} the κ of ammonium bisulfate (0.7), and x_{org} the volume fraction of organic species. For aerosols with composition (i.e. x_{org}) varying with particle size, x_{org} represents the volume fraction of organics at D_{pc} .

For externally mixed aerosols, the total N_{CCN} is the sum of N_{CCN} of each participating population:

$$N_{CCN} = \sum_j N_{CCN,j} \quad (15)$$

where $N_{CCN,j}$ represents the CCN concentration of each aerosol population. The fractional error of the total N_{CCN} is then given by:

$$\frac{\Delta N_{CCN}}{N_{CCN}} = \sum_j \frac{\Delta N_{CCN,j}}{N_{CCN}} = \sum_j \left(\frac{N_{CCN,j}}{N_{CCN}} \right) \frac{\Delta N_{CCN,j}}{N_{CCN,j}} \quad (16)$$

Effects of aerosol organics on CCN concentration

J. Wang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Effects of aerosol organics on CCN concentration

J. Wang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Equation (16) shows that for externally mixed aerosols, the fractional error in overall N_{CCN} will be a weighted average of the fractional error for each aerosol population. In the rest of this section, we examine internally mixed aerosols. According to Eq. (14), for κ_{org} ranging from 0 to 0.25, the maximum fractional error in N_{CCN} for using $\overline{\kappa_{\text{org}}}$ occurs when κ_{org} is either 0 or 0.25. The corresponding error on the aerosol first indirect effect, ΔF_c , can be derived by combining Eqs. (7) and (14):

$$\Delta F_c \approx -2.2 \cdot \Delta \ln N_{\text{CCN}} = -0.7 \frac{n(\ln D_{pc})}{N_{\text{CCN}}} \ln \left(\frac{0.70(1 - x_{\text{org}}) + 0.12x_{\text{org}}}{0.70(1 - x_{\text{org}}) + \kappa_{\text{org}}x_{\text{org}}} \right) \quad (17)$$

The value of $\frac{n(\ln D_{pc})}{N_{\text{CCN}}}$ depends on the shape of particle size distribution and D_{pc} . The average $\frac{n(\ln D_{pc})}{N_{\text{CCN}}}$ is 1.60 and 1.35 for the FT and BL aerosols, respectively. For typical marine, rural, urban, and remote continental aerosol (Seinfeld and Pandis, 2006) $\frac{n(\ln D_{pc})}{N_{\text{CCN}}}$ ranges from 0.2 to 2.3 for D_{pc} between 50 and 150 nm, which is the typical range of D_{pc} under climatically relevant supersaturations. For an average $\frac{n(\ln D_{pc})}{N_{\text{CCN}}}$ of 1.3, ΔF_c is calculated as a function of x_{org} and κ_{org} using Eq. (17) and the results are shown in Fig. 8. As expected, ΔF_c increases with increasing x_{org} (Fig. 8a). When organic volume fraction was lower than $\sim 60\%$, using $\overline{\kappa_{\text{org}}}$ for all organic species leads to ΔF_c of less than 0.2 W/m^2 for typical κ_{org} ranging from 0 to 0.25. However, since Eq. (17) is based on Eq. (6), which assumes the upper limit of the uncertainty in N_d , ΔF_c can be as low as 50% (i.e. 0.1 W/m^2) of the estimate using Eq. (17) (Sotiropoulou et al., 2007). Furthermore, it is expected that ambient organics have a wide range of κ_{org} distributed about the central value of 0.12. As a result, when averaged over a large area and/or extended time period, ΔF_c may be substantially less than the 0.2 W/m^2 limit shown in Fig. 8a. However, if organics dominate the aerosol volume, ΔF_c becomes significant: when organics contribute to $\sim 90\%$ of the total aerosol volume, ΔF_c is -1.0 and 0.6 W/m^2 at κ_{org} of 0 and 0.25, respectively. The ΔF_c is less than 0.2 W/m^2 only for κ_{org}

within a narrow range from 0.09 and 0.16. This suggests that when organics dominates the aerosol volume, detailed knowledge of the organic properties is required to accurately predict N_{CCN} and first indirect aerosol effect.

Based on previous field measurements, the $\frac{n(\ln D_{pc})}{N_{\text{CCN}}}$ of marine aerosols ranges from 0.2 to 1.5 for D_{pc} between 50 and 150 nm (Quinn et al., 1995; Van Dingenen et al., 1995; Jensen et al., 1996; Seinfeld and Pandis, 2006). Unlike urban and continental aerosols whose number concentrations are often dominated by small nucleation mode and/or Aitken mode particles, marine aerosols often consist of a larger fraction of accumulation mode particles (Seinfeld and Pandis, 2006). As accumulation mode particles are likely to be CCN due to their large size, marine aerosols often have larger percentage of total particles that are CCN, which preferentially increases the denominator of Eq. (10). As a result, marine aerosols tend to have relatively lower values of $\frac{n(\ln D_{pc})}{N_{\text{CCN}}}$. Figure 8b shows the ΔF_c calculated using an average $\frac{n(\ln D_{pc})}{N_{\text{CCN}}}$ of 0.9 for marine aerosols. For κ_{org} ranging from 0 to 0.25, using $\overline{\kappa_{\text{org}}}=0.12$ for all organic species results in a ΔF_c less than 0.2 W/m^2 when organic species contribute to less than 70% of the aerosol volume, which is likely true for most marine aerosols. Zhang et al. (2007) presented aerosol chemical composition measured by AMS over the Northern Hemisphere mid-latitudes, where the aerosols are influenced by anthropogenic emissions. The volume fraction of organics estimated from the data shows that among the 37 datasets presented, the volume fraction of organics is less than 60% for 26 datasets and less than 70% for 32 datasets. The less than 0.2 W/m^2 ΔF_c corresponds to less than 10% uncertainty in predicted N_{CCN} . The low uncertainty in the predicted N_{CCN} when organic species make up less than 60–70% of aerosol volume is consistent with the results from the closure study using MASE data described in earlier sections. This can also potentially explain the results of previous closure studies, which showed that better closure agreements were generally archived when the aerosol contained low organic content.

Effects of aerosol organics on CCN concentration

J. Wang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

5 Summary

For each of the three aerosol types observed during MASE, closure analysis was carried out by comparing the N_{CCN} predicted from the measured size distribution and chemical composition using κ Köhler theory to simultaneously measured N_{CCN} . For FT and BL aerosols, the predicted N_{CCN} is insensitive to the properties of organics. The predicted N_{CCN} shows little variation and agrees with the measured N_{CCN} to within 15% when κ_{org} is assumed to be between 0 to 0.25, which represents the typical range of κ_{org} for ambient organic species. In contrast, N_{CCN} is a strong function of κ_{org} for the AC aerosols. The predicted N_{CCN} is only 46% of the measured N_{CCN} if the organic species are assumed to be insoluble (i.e., $\kappa_{\text{org}}=0$), and 150% when κ_{org} is assumed to be 0.25. The κ_{org} of the AC aerosols is consistent with a value of 0.1 derived by matching the predicted N_{CCN} to the measured. For the FT and BL aerosols, the low sensitivity of predicted N_{CCN} to κ_{org} arises because inorganic species, such as sulfate and nitrate, are more water soluble (i.e. higher κ value) compared to typical organic species observed in the atmosphere. Whereas organic species contributed up to about half of the total aerosol volume for the FT and BL aerosols, the total soluble ions, which dictate particle CCN activity, were dominated by inorganic species, and the additional contribution from the organic species was only secondary. For the AC aerosols, organics contributed up to 90% of the total aerosol volume at D_{pc} . As a result, the predicted N_{CCN} is highly sensitive to κ_{org} .

Atmospheric aerosols often consist of hundreds of organic species, which cannot be simulated individually in global models due to computational constraints. The inability to simulate individual species, coupled with the lack of thermodynamic data of many organic compounds, necessitate simplified representations of organic species when using physically based parameterizations in large scale models to evaluate indirect aerosol effects. A simplified representation in which an average $\overline{\kappa_{\text{org}}}$ of 0.12 is used for all organics is explored. The analysis shows that for typical aerosol size distribution, the predicted N_{CCN} and the corresponding first indirect aerosol effect are insensitive

Effects of aerosol organics on CCN concentration

J. Wang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Effects of aerosol organics on CCN concentration

J. Wang et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

to the properties of organic species when the volume fraction of aerosol organics is less than 60%. As a result, the estimated uncertainty in the first indirect aerosol effect (ΔF_c) for using an average $\overline{\kappa_{\text{org}}}$ (0.12) is low, between -0.2 and 0.2 W/m^2 for κ_{org} between 0 and 0.25, the typical range of κ_{org} for ambient aerosols. It is worth noting that 0.2 W/m^2 represents the upper limit of the uncertainty. Since the ambient aerosol organic species are expected to have a wide range of κ_{org} surrounding 0.12, ΔF_c may be substantially less than 0.2 W/m^2 when averaged over large area and/or extended time period. As expected, ΔF_c due to the simplified treatment increases with increasing organics volume fraction. For example, when organics contribute to 90% of the total aerosol volume, the ΔF_c can reach 0.6 and -1.0 W/m^2 . Analyses show that ΔF_c associated with the simplification depends on not only the volume fraction of organic species but also the shape of the aerosol size distribution, which controls the sensitivity of the predicted N_{CCN} to D_{pc} . For marine aerosols that often consist of larger fractions of accumulation particles, the sensitivity of predicted N_{CCN} to D_{pc} is low, which leads to lower sensitivity of predicted N_{CCN} to κ_{org} . Based on the marine aerosols measured during previous field studies, using $\overline{\kappa_{\text{org}}}$ for all organic species lead to ΔF_c less than 0.2 W/m^2 provided that the organic volume fraction is less than 70%, which is likely to be true for most marine aerosols. Due to the extensive spatial coverage and high susceptibility of marine stratus/stratocumulus, the low uncertainties in predicted N_{CCN} and the first indirect aerosol effect for marine aerosols will contribute to low uncertainties in global averages. The low sensitivity of predicted N_{CCN} to κ_{org} for aerosols with an organic volume fraction less than 60–70% can potentially explain the results of previous closure studies, which showed better agreements were generally archived when the aerosol contained low organic content.

It is important to note that the analyses presented in this work are based on a number of assumptions. Nevertheless, they suggest that for many situations, first indirect aerosol effect can be predicted accurately using an average $\overline{\kappa_{\text{org}}}$ of 0.12 for all organics present in the aerosol. This can significantly simplify the calculation of N_{CCN} , and

Effects of aerosol organics on CCN concentration

J. Wang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



facilitate the prediction of indirect aerosol effects using physically-based parameterizations in global models. More field data and modeling studies will be needed to assess the distribution of organics in the atmosphere, and the spatial and temporal coverage of aerosols dominated by organics, as detailed knowledge of the organic properties is required to accurately predict N_{CCN} for these aerosols. Derivations of κ_{org} in future closure studies, when aerosols are dominated by organic species, would help constrain the descriptions of organics and aerosol-cloud parameterizations in large scale models. We note that the uncertainties in first indirect aerosol effect estimated here represent upper limits, which could be a factor of 2 greater than the true values, as suggested by the results from Sotiropoulou et al. (2007). Nevertheless, the analyses here show that using an average $\overline{\kappa_{\text{org}}}$ for all organic species may be sufficient for many aerosol types. The accuracy of globally averaged aerosol indirect effects for using $\overline{\kappa_{\text{org}}}$ should be evaluated using global models, which will be a subject of our future studies. An efficient and accurate representation of organics may require a combination of the average $\overline{\kappa_{\text{org}}}$ for most aerosol and κ_{org} constrained by field studies for major aerosol types that are dominated by organics.

Acknowledgements. The authors thank Steven Springston who reduced the aircraft data used in this analysis and Bob Hannigan, Chief Pilot of the DOE G-1 aircraft for outstanding performance. This work was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy's Atmospheric Science Program (Office of Science, OBER) under contract DE-AC02-98CH10886.

References

- Abdul-Razzak, H. and Ghan, S. J.: A parameterization of aerosol activation 2. Multiple aerosol types, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 105, 6837–6844, 2000.
- Allan, J. D., Delia, A. E., Coe, H., Bower, K. N., Alfarra, M. R., Jimenez, J. L., Middlebrook, A. M., Drewnick, F., Onasch, T. B., Canagaratna, M. R., Jayne, J. T., and Worsnopf, D. R.: A generalised method for the extraction of chemically resolved mass spectra from aerodyne aerosol mass spectrometer data, *J. Aerosol Sci.*, 35, 909–922, 2004.

Effects of aerosol organics on CCN concentration

J. Wang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



- Bilde, M. and Svenningsson, B.: CCN activation of slightly soluble organics: the importance of small amounts of inorganic salt and particle phase, *Tellus B*, 56, 128–134, 2004.
- Broekhuizen, K., Chang, R. Y. W., Leaitch, W. R., Li, S. M., and Abbatt, J. P. D.: Closure between measured and modeled cloud condensation nuclei (CCN) using size-resolved aerosol compositions in downtown Toronto, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 6, 2513–2524, 2006, <http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/6/2513/2006/>.
- Cantrell, W., Shaw, G., Cass, G. R., Chowdhury, Z., Hughes, L. S., Prather, K. A., Guazzotti, S. A., and Coffee, K. R.: Closure between aerosol particles and cloud condensation nuclei at Kaashidhoo Climate Observatory, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 106, 28 711–28 718, 2001.
- Chuang, P. Y., Collins, D. R., Pawlowska, H., Snider, J. R., Jonsson, H. H., Brenguier, J. L., Flagan, R. C., and Seinfeld, J. H.: CCN measurements during ACE-2 and their relationship to cloud microphysical properties, *Tellus B*, 52, 843–867, 2000.
- Collins, D. R., Flagan, R. C., and Seinfeld, J. H.: Improved inversion of scanning DMA data, *Aerosol Sci. Technol.*, 36, 1–9, 2002.
- Ervens, B., Feingold, G., and Kreidenweis, S. M.: Influence of water-soluble organic carbon on cloud drop number concentration, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 110, D18211, doi:10.1029/2004JD005634, 2005.
- Facchini, M. C., Mircea, M., Fuzzi, S., and Charlson, R. J.: Cloud albedo enhancement by surface-active organic solutes in growing droplets, *Nature*, 401, 257–259, 1999.
- Huff-Hartz, K. E. H., Tischuk, J. E., Chan, M. N., Chan, C. K., Donahue, N. M., and Pandis, S. N.: Cloud condensation nuclei activation of limited solubility organic aerosol, *Atmos. Environ.*, 40, 605–617, 2006.
- Intergovernmental panel on Climate Change (IPCC): *Climate change 2007: The physical science basis*, Cambridge University Press, New York, 2007.
- Jayne, J. T., Leard, D. C., Zhang, X. F., Davidovits, P., Smith, K. A., Kolb, C. E., and Worsnop, D. R.: Development of an aerosol mass spectrometer for size and composition analysis of submicron particles, *Aerosol Sci. Technol.*, 33, 49–70, 2000.
- Jensen, T. L., Kreidenweis, S. M., Kim, Y., Sievering, H., and Pszenny, A.: Aerosol distributions in the North Atlantic marine boundary layer during Atlantic Stratocumulus Transition Experiment Marine Aerosol and Gas Exchange, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 101, 4455–4467, 1996.
- Kanakidou, M., Seinfeld, J. H., Pandis, S. N., Barnes, I., Dentener, F. J., Facchini, M. C., Van Dingenen, R., Ervens, B., Nenes, A., Nielsen, C. J., Swietlicki, E., Putaud, J. P., Balkanski, Y., Fuzzi, S., Horth, J., Moortgat, G. K., Winterhalter, R., Myhre, C. E. L., Tsigaridis, K.,

Effects of aerosol organics on CCN concentration

J. Wang et al.

Vignati, E., Stephanou, E. G., and Wilson, J.: Organic aerosol and global climate modelling: A review, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 5, 1053–1123, 2005,
<http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/5/1053/2005/>.

5 Kleinman, L. I., Daum, P. H., Lee, Y. N., Senum, G. I., Springston, S. R., Wang, J., Berkowitz, C., Hubbe, J., Zaveri, R. A., Brechtel, F. J., Jayne, J., Onasch, T. B., and Worsnop, D.: Aircraft observations of aerosol composition and ageing in New England and Mid-Atlantic States during the summer 2002 New England Air Quality Study field campaign, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 112, D09310, doi:10.1029/2006JD007786, 2007.

10 Köhler, H.: The nucleus in and the growth of hygroscopic droplets, *Trans. Farad. Soc.*, 32, 1152–1161, 1936.

Lewis, E. R. and Schwartz, S. E.: *Sea Salt Aerosol Production: Mechanisms, Methods, Measurements, and Models*, Geophysical Monograph Series, vol. 152, American Geophysical Union, Washington, D.C., 413 pp., 2004.

15 Liu, P. S. K., Leaitch, W. R., Banic, C. M., Li, S. M., Ngo, D., and Megaw, W. J.: Aerosol observations at Chebogue Point during the 1993 North Atlantic Regional Experiment: Relationships among cloud condensation nuclei, size distribution, and chemistry, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 101, 28 971–28 990, 1996.

20 Medina, J., Nenes, A., Sotiropoulou, R. E. P., Cottrell, L. D., Ziemba, L. D., Beckman, P. J., and Griffin, R. J.: Cloud condensation nuclei closure during the International Consortium for Atmospheric Research on Transport and Transformation 2004 campaign: Effects of size-resolved composition, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 112, D10S31, doi:10.1029/2006JD007588, 2007.

Menon, S., Del Genio, A. D., Koch, D., and Tselioudis, G.: GCM Simulations of the aerosol indirect effect: Sensitivity to cloud parameterization and aerosol burden, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 59, 692–713, 2002.

25 Petters, M. D. and Kreidenweis, S. M.: A single parameter representation of hygroscopic growth and cloud condensation nucleus activity, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 7, 1961–1971, 2007,
<http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/7/1961/2007/>.

30 Quinn, P. K., Marshall, S. F., Bates, T. S., Covert, D. S., and Kapustin, V. N.: Comparison of measured and calculated aerosol properties relevant to the direct radiative forcing of tropospheric sulfate aerosol on climate, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 100, 8977–8991, 1995.

Raymond, T. M. and Pandis, S. N.: Cloud activation of single-component organic aerosol particles, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 107, 4787, doi:10.1029/2002JD002159, 2002.

Raymond, T. M. and Pandis, S. N.: Formation of cloud droplets by multicomponent organic

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Effects of aerosol organics on CCN concentration

J. Wang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



particles, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 108, 4469, doi:10.1029/2003JD003503, 2003.

Rissman, T. A., VanReken, T. M., Wang, J., Gasparini, R., Collins, D. R., Jonsson, H. H., Brechtel, F. J., Flagan, R. C., and Seinfeld, J. H.: Characterization of ambient aerosol from measurements of cloud condensation nuclei during the 2003 Atmospheric Radiation Measurement Aerosol Intensive Observational Period at the Southern Great Plains site in Oklahoma, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 111, D05S11, doi:10.1029/2004JD005695, 2006.

Roberts, G. C., Artaxo, P., Zhou, J. C., Swietlicki, E., and Andreae, M. O.: Sensitivity of CCN spectra on chemical and physical properties of aerosol: A case study from the Amazon Basin, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 107, 8070, doi:10.1029/2001JD000583, 2002.

Roberts, G. C. and Nenes, A.: A continuous-flow streamwise thermal-gradient CCN chamber for atmospheric measurements, *Aerosol Sci. Technol.*, 39, 206–221, 2005.

Schwartz, S. E. and Slingo, A.: Enhanced shortwave cloud radiative forcing due to anthropogenic aerosols, in: *Clouds, Chemistry, and Climate*, edited by: Crutzen, P. and Ramanathan, V., Springer, Heidelberg, 191–236, 1996.

Seinfeld, J. H. and Pandis, S. N.: *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 2nd ed., John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, 2006.

Shulman, M. L., Jacobson, M. C., Carlson, R. J., Synovec, R. E., and Young, T. E.: Dissolution behavior and surface tension effects of organic compounds in nucleating cloud droplets, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 23, 277–280, 1996.

Snider, J. R., Guibert, S., Brenguier, J. L., and Putaud, J. P.: Aerosol activation in marine stratocumulus clouds: 2. Köhler and parcel theory closure studies, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 108, 8629, doi:10.1029/2002JD002692, 2003.

Sotiropoulou, R. E. P., Medina, J., and Nenes, A.: CCN predictions: Is theory sufficient for assessments of the indirect effect?, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 33, L05816, doi:10.1029/2005GL025148, 2006.

Sotiropoulou, R. E. P., Nenes, A., Adams, P. J., and Seinfeld, J. H.: Cloud condensation nuclei prediction error from application of Köhler theory: Importance for the aerosol indirect effect, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 112, D12202, doi:10.1029/2006JD007834, 2007.

Stroud, C. A., Nenes, A., Jimenez, J. L., DeCarlo, P. F., Huffman, J. A., Brientjes, R., Nemitz, E., Delia, A. E., Toohey, D. W., Guenther, A. B., and Nandi, S.: Cloud activating properties of aerosol observed during CELTIC, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 64, 441–459, 2007.

Svenningsson, B., Rissler, J., Swietlicki, E., Mircea, M., Bilde, M., Facchini, M. C., Decesari, S., Fuzzi, S., Zhou, J., Monster, J., and Rosenorn, T.: Hygroscopic growth and critical super-

saturations for mixed aerosol particles of inorganic and organic compounds of atmospheric relevance, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 6, 1937–1952, 2006, <http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/6/1937/2006/>.

Takemura, T., Nozawa, T., Emori, S., Nakajima, T. Y., and Nakajima, T.: Simulation of climate response to aerosol direct and indirect effects with aerosol transport-radiation model, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 110, D02202, doi:10.1029/2004JD005029, 2005.

Twomey, S.: Influence of pollution on shortwave albedo of clouds, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 34, 1149–1152, 1977.

Vandingenen, R., Raes, F., and Jensen, N. R.: Evidence for anthropogenic impact on number concentration and sulfate content of cloud-processed aerosol-particles over the North-Atlantic, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 100, 21 057–21 067, 1995.

VanReken, T. M., Rissman, T. A., Roberts, G. C., Varutbangkul, V., Jonsson, H. H., Flagan, R. C., and Seinfeld, J. H.: Toward aerosol/cloud condensation nuclei (CCN) closure during CRYSTAL-FACE, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 108, 4633, doi:10.1029/2003JD003582, 2003.

Wang, J., Flagan, R. C., and Seinfeld, J. H.: A differential mobility analyzer (DMA) system for submicron aerosol measurements at ambient relative humidity, *Aerosol Sci. Technol.*, 37, 46–52, 2003.

Zhang, Q., Jimenez, J. L., Canagaratna, M. R., Allan, J. D., Coe, H., Ulbrich, I., Alfarra, M. R., Takami, A., Middlebrook, A. M., Sun, Y. L., Dzepina, K., Dunlea, E., Docherty, K., DeCarlo, P. F., Salcedo, D., Onasch, T., Jayne, J. T., Miyoshi, T., Shimonono, A., Hatakeyama, S., Takegawa, N., Kondo, Y., Schneider, J., Drewnick, F., Borrmann, S., Weimer, S., Demerjian, K., Williams, P., Bower, K., Bahreini, R., Cottrell, L., Griffin, R. J., Rautiainen, J., Sun, J. Y., Zhang, Y. M., and Worsnop, D. R.: Ubiquity and dominance of oxygenated species in organic aerosols in anthropogenically-influenced Northern Hemisphere midlatitudes, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 34, L13801, doi:10.1029/2007GL029979, 2007.

Effects of aerosol organics on CCN concentration

J. Wang et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Effects of aerosol organics on CCN concentration

J. Wang et al.

Table 1. The fitted lognormal mode parameters and volume fraction of NH_4HSO_4 , organics, and NH_4NO_3 for the aerosol observed in a thin layer above cloud on 27 July 2005.

Mode	D_{va} (nm)	M ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	σ	Vol. fraction (NH_4HSO_4)	Vol. fraction (NH_4NO_3)	Vol. fraction (Organics)
1	190	5.0	1.38	3.0%	3.0%	94%
2	410	4.7	1.45	20%	2.0%	78%

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Effects of aerosol organics on CCN concentration

J. Wang et al.




Fig. 1. The flight track of G-1 on 27 July 2005 and the classifications of aerosol types.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

Effects of aerosol
organics on CCN
concentration

J. Wang et al.




Fig. 2. Aerosol number size distribution (**a** and **b**) and mass size distributions of SO_4^{2-} , organics, and NO_3^- (**c** and **d**) for aerosols observed during ferry flights on 27 July 2005 (**a** and **c**) and 18 July 2005 (**b** and **d**).

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

Effects of aerosol
organics on CCN
concentration

J. Wang et al.




Fig. 3. Aerosol number size distribution (**a** and **b**) and mass size distributions of SO₄²⁻, organics, and NO₃⁻ (**c** and **d**) for aerosols observed in boundary layer over ocean on 25 July 2005 (a and c) and 27 July 2005 (b and d).

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

Effects of aerosol
organics on CCN
concentration

J. Wang et al.




Fig. 4. Aerosol number size distribution (**a** and **b**) and mass size distributions of SO₄²⁻, organics, and NO₃⁻ (**c** and **d**) for aerosols observed in thin layers above clouds on 25 July 2005 (a and c) and 27 July 2005 (b and d).

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

Effects of aerosol
organics on CCN
concentration

J. Wang et al.




Fig. 5. Measured and fitted mass size distributions of total mass, NH_4HSO_4 , organics, and NH_4NO_3 for the aerosol observed in a thin layer above cloud on 27 July 2005. The thick black and cyan lines represent the measured and fitted mass size distributions. The thin blue and green curves are fitted mass size distributions for each lognormal mode.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

Effects of aerosol organics on CCN concentration

J. Wang et al.




Fig. 6. Comparison of predicted and measured CCN at 0.22% for **(a)** Free Troposphere, **(b)** Boundary layer, and **(c)** Above Cloud aerosols.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Effects of aerosol organics on CCN concentration

J. Wang et al.




Fig. 7. The ratio of predicted to measured N_{CCN} as a functions of κ of organic species.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

Effects of aerosol organics on CCN concentration

J. Wang et al.




Fig. 8. Estimated uncertainty in the first indirect aerosol effect and predicted N_{CCN} when using an average $\overline{\kappa_{org}}$ for all organic species. The uncertainty is plotted as a function of x_{org} for (a) $n(\ln D_{pc})/N_{CCN}=1.3$ and (b) $n(\ln D_{pc})/N_{CCN}=0.9$ for marine aerosols. The curves are labeled with κ_{org} .

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

