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Hydration of the <1 µm size fraction of a high-charge montmorillonite (Clay Minerals Society Source Clay SAz-1), and of low- and high-charge beidellites (Source Clays SbId-1 and SbCa-1, respectively) was studied by modeling of X-ray diffraction patterns recorded under controlled relative humidity (RH) for Sr- and/or Ca-saturated specimens. The influence of layer charge and charge location on smectite hydration was studied. Distribution of layers with different hydration states (dehydrated – 0W, monohydrated – 1W, bi-hydrated – 2W, or tri-hydrated – 3W) within smectite crystals often leads to two distinct contributions to the X-ray diffraction pattern, each contribution having different layer types randomly interstratified. Structure models are more heterogeneous for beidellite than for montmorillonite. For beidellite, two distinct populations of particles with different coherent scattering domain sizes account for the heterogeneity. Increased hydration heterogeneity in beidellite originates also from the presence of 0W (non-expandable) and of 1W layers under high relative humidity (RH) conditions. Similarly, after ethylene-glycol (EG) solvation, some beidellite layers incorporate only one plane of EG molecules whereas homogeneous swelling was observed for montmorillonite with the systematic presence of two planes of EG molecules.

For montmorillonite and beidellite, the increase of layer charge shifts the 2W-to-1W and the 1W-to-0W transitions towards lower RH values. For all samples, layer thickness of 0W, 1W, and 2W layer types was similar to that determined for low-charge SWy-1 montmorillonite (Source Clay SWy-1), and no change of layer thickness was observed as a function of the amount or of the location of layer charge. Layer thickness however increased with increasing RH conditions.
INTRODUCTION

Bentonite, a rock containing mostly smectite, is a promising buffer material for engineered barriers for nuclear waste disposal. This potential results from its mechanical self-healing ability, its low hydraulic conductivity, and its high sorption capacity. This combination is expected to prevent or delay possible radionuclide migration. However, the properties of smectite can be altered by storage-induced conditions such as the thermal pulse resulting from the waste package. By analogy with burial diagenesis of clayey sediments (Weaver 1960; Hower and Mowatt 1966; Burst 1969; Shutov et al. 1969; Perry and Hower 1972; Hower et al. 1976; among many others), smectite is expected to transform to illite by way of intermediate interstratified structures with increasing temperature. This transition was originally conceived as following smectite dehydration (Burst 1969; Shutov et al. 1969; Perry and Hower 1972). More recently, structural changes affecting smectite during the early stages of transformation have been shown to impact essentially the location and amount of layer charge (Sato et al. 1996; Drits et al. 1997; Beaufort et al. 2001), the initial smectite being a low-charge montmorillonite with dominant octahedral charge. Sato et al. (1996) proposed that beidellite-like layers (with dominant tetrahedral charge) initially form from montmorillonite in addition to an increase in layer charge. Then, these beidellite-like layers transform to illite. This proposal is supported by hydrothermal alteration experiments conducted at moderate temperatures (<200°C, see Beaufort et al. 2001) leading to beidellitic layers from alteration of montmorillonite.

Modification of layer charge and charge location can possibly affect smectite hydration ability. Crystalline swelling of 2:1 phyllosilicates is controlled indeed by the balance between repulsive forces between adjacent 2:1 layers and attractive forces between hydrated interlayer cations and the negatively charged surface of 2:1 layers (Norrish 1954;
Van Olphen 1965; Kittrick 1969a, 1969b; Laird 1996, 1999). Thus crystalline swelling is characterized by the amount of layer charge and its location (octahedral vs. tetrahedral). Different hydration states may correspond to 0, 1, 2 or 3 “planes” of H$_2$O molecules in smectite interlayers. Intercalation of H$_2$O planes produces a stepwise hydration depending on RH, easily detected by X-ray diffraction (XRD) by an increase in basal spacing (Nagelschmidt 1936; Bradley et al. 1937; Mooney et al. 1952; Méring and Glaeser 1954; Norrish 1954; Walker 1956; among others).

From these considerations, an increase in layer charge increases attractive forces between the hydrated interlayer cation and the 2:1 layers, thus decreasing interlayer thickness. Charge location may affect smectite hydration from the charge under-saturation of oxygen atoms defining the basal surfaces of 2:1 layers. In montmorillonite-like layers, the charge under-saturation resulting from R$^{2+}$-for-R$^{3+}$ substitutions in the octahedral sheet is distributed among a large number of basal oxygen atoms which are thus weakly under-saturated. In contrast, when Al$^{3+}$-for-Si$^{4+}$ substitutions occur in tetrahedral sites the charge deficit is distributed by the three nearest neighbor basal oxygen atoms. These oxygen atoms are strongly under-saturated and produce strong attractive interactions with interlayer cations. This strong local under-saturation is sometimes assumed to decrease layer hydration (e.g., Laird 1996, 1999). However, experimental results are not consistent with this expected influence of the size and location of layer charge on hydration behavior. For example, Sato et al. (1992) reported similar $d$(001) values for smectite with different layer-charge locations, and smaller $d$(001) values were not observed for smectite with higher layer charge. Furthermore, Chiou and Rutherford (1997) and Michot et al. (2005) reported an increase in H$_2$O content with increasing layer charge. Similar results were obtained by Laird (1999) who attributed the increased hydration to H$_2$O adsorption on external surfaces of the crystallites.
This article complements Ferrage et al. (2005b), in which the hydration of low-charge montmorillonite was studied, and investigates the effect of the size and location of layer charge on smectite hydration. The hydration of three reference smectites, including high-charge montmorillonite, and low- and high-charge beidellites, is considered as a function of relative humidity after saturation by Ca\(^{2+}\) and Sr\(^{2+}\).

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

**Sample preparation**

Smectites used here are montmorillonite and beidellite samples from the Source Clay Repository of The Clay Minerals Society. The high-charge montmorillonite (SAz-1) has a structural formula of: 
\[
[\text{Al}_{2.80}\text{Fe}^{3+}_{0.20}\text{Mg}_{1.00})(\text{Si}_{7.86}\text{Al}_{0.14})\text{O}_{20}(\text{OH})_4] \text{M}^+_{1.14} 
\]
(Jaynes and Bigham 1987). Compared to SWy-1 montmorillonite studied by Ferrage et al. (2005b), SAz-1 has more Mg\(^{2+}\)-for-Al\(^{3+}\) octahedral substitutions and limited tetrahedral substitution.

Low-charge SbId-1 beidellite (Glen Silver Pit, DeLamare Mine, Idaho) has a structural formula of: 
\[
[\text{Al}_{3.77}\text{Fe}^{3+}_{0.11}\text{Mg}_{0.21})(\text{Si}_{7.27}\text{Al}_{0.73})\text{O}_{20}(\text{OH})_4] \text{M}^+_{0.67} 
\]
(Post et al. 1997).

High-charge SbCa-1 beidellite contains more Al\(^{3+}\)-for-Si\(^{4+}\) tetrahedral substitutions with a structural formula: 
\[
[\text{Al}_{3.82}\text{Fe}^{3+}_{0.18}\text{Mg}_{0.06})(\text{Si}_{6.80}\text{Al}_{1.20})\text{O}_{20}(\text{OH})_4] \text{M}^+_{1.07}. 
\]

For all samples, size fractionation to < 1 \(\mu\)m was obtained by centrifugation. Then, ion exchange was achieved with 1 mol.L\(^{-1}\) aqueous solutions of CaCl\(_2\), for SAz-1 only, and SrCl\(_2\), with three steps of saturation followed by three washing steps, as described by Ferrage et al. (2005b). Resulting samples are hereafter referred to as Ca- and Sr-SAz-1, Sr-SbId-1 and Sr-SbCa-1.
X-ray diffraction and fitting strategy

XRD patterns were recorded from oriented preparations of the air-dried homoionic samples. Full-width at half-maximum intensity (FWHM) of the 001 reflection and 00l reflection irrationality ($\xi$ parameter) were measured to qualitatively estimate hydration heterogeneity (see Ferrage et al. 2005b). The $\xi$ parameter is calculated as the standard deviation of the $l\times d(00l)$ values (in Å) for all measurable 00l reflections over the 2-50°2θ angular range, although some of these reflections may correspond to various interstratified structures. These values are listed in Table 1.

Models combine the XRD modeling algorithm of Drits and coworkers (Sakharov and Drits 1973; Drits and Sakharov 1976; Drits et al. 1997; Sakharov et al. 1999) with a trial-and-error approach to quantify hydration heterogeneity. The calculation of the XRD profile includes the mean number of layers (N) in the coherent scattering domains (CSDs), the absorption coefficient ($\mu^*$), and the preferred orientation of the sample ($\sigma^*$). The $z$ coordinates of dehydrated (0W), monohydrated (1W), and bi-hydrated (2W) layers, and crystal strains ($\sigma_z$) described by Ferrage et al. (2005b) were used. H2O molecules were distributed in 2W smectite as a unique plane of H2O molecules on each side of the central interlayer mid-plane, at ~1.20 Å along the $c^*$ axis. The number of interlayer H2O molecules in hydrated layers was considered a variable parameter.

The fitting strategy developed by Ferrage et al. (2005b) was used to model XRD patterns. A main structure, periodic along the $c^*$ axis where possible, was used to reproduce the experimental XRD pattern. If required, additional contributions to the diffracted intensity were introduced. These additional contributions are related to random interstratifications of two or three layer types (0W, 1W, 2W layers), which are hereafter referred to as heterogeneous structures as compared to the main structure. Because RH values were < 80%,
tri-hydrated layers (3W layers at 18.0-18.5 Å) were not observed, as transition from 2W-to-3W smectite occurs for RH values of > 90% for Ca-exchanged smectites (Watanabe and Sato 1988). Up to four structures (periodic and/or interstratified) were used for very heterogeneous samples but these contributions are not necessarily related to distinct populations of crystals. To minimize the number of adjustable parameters, layers of same hydration state present in different structures were assumed to have identical properties. Thus, for a given sample, each layer type (0W, 1W or 2W layers) was assigned a chemical composition (number of interlayer H₂O molecules and cations, and chemical composition of the 2:1 layer), layer thickness, and atomic coordinates for each component. Similarly, a unique value of σ* and σ_z parameters was assigned for each RH value. Each parameter was allowed to vary with RH. For beidellite, models consider either (1) a unique CSD size for all interstratified structures at a given RH, or (2) the physical mixture of two or more interstratified structures with different CSD sizes (see below).

Hereafter, indices “00l” and “001, 002, …” are used to identify experimental diffraction maxima, although these maxima correspond to interstratified and not to periodic structures.

RESULTS

Qualitative description of experimental patterns

Ca- and Sr-saturated montmorillonite SAz-1. At 0% RH, Ca-SAz-1 (Fig. 1a) exhibits a 001 reflection at ~11.65 Å, a position intermediate between 0W and 1W smectite, indicating hydration heterogeneity. Accordingly, the 001 reflection is broad (FWHM = 1.05°, Table 1) and a large value of ξ parameter was obtained (0.56 Å, Table 1). In contrast, the
pattern of Sr-SAz-1 recorded at 0% RH (Fig. 1b) is typical of 1W smectite (001 at ~12.10 Å) with a low value of $\xi$ (0.04 Å), but note the high FWHM of the 001 reflection (0.88°). At 20% RH, the $d$(001) value of Sr-SAz-1 at ~13.1 Å is intermediate between 2W and 1W smectites. Experimental diffraction maxima are poorly defined, and peaks at ~6.1 Å (002 reflection of 1W smectite), and at ~5.1 Å (003 reflection of 2W smectite) are observed (Fig. 1b). For this sample, high values are obtained for $\xi$ and FWHM of the 001 reflection (1.20 Å and 1.66°, respectively). In contrast, Ca-SAz-1 exhibits a 001 reflection at ~14.9 Å and well-defined diffraction maxima of 2W smectite. Over the 35-80% RH range, XRD patterns for Ca- and Sr-SAz-1 are similar, and correspond to dominant 2W smectite (Ca: $d$(001) = 15.1-15.6 Å; Sr: $d$(001) = 15.2-15.9 Å – Table 1). Note that the 002 reflection is poorly defined whatever the RH (Figs. 1a, 1b).

**Sr-saturated beidellite (SbId-1 and SbCa-1).** XRD patterns of both beidellites samples include reflections at ~7.2 and ~3.6 Å (K on Figs. 1c, 1d), produced by a minor contribution from kaolinite-group minerals. At 0% RH, Sr-SbId-1 displays poorly defined diffraction maxima with a 001 reflection at ~11.10 Å and large values of FWHM and $\xi$ (1.28° and 0.76 Å, respectively, Fig. 1c, Table 1). In contrast, Sr-SbCa-1 shows well-defined diffraction maxima corresponding to 1W smectite ($d$(001) ~12.0 Å) and low values for FWHM of the 001 reflection and $\xi$ (0.75° and 0.04 Å, respectively). At 20% RH, $d$(001) values at ~12.3-12.4 Å for both samples indicate a 1W smectite, along with low values for FWHM and $\xi$. At ambient RH, the $d$(001) values near 14.9 Å for Sr-SbId-1 and near 15.2 Å for Sr-SbCa-1 indicate dominant 2W smectite with well-defined diffraction maxima. However, both XRD patterns present a high-angle shoulder of the 001 reflection and a peak at ~6.1 Å corresponding to 1W smectite (Figs. 1c, 1d), which increases $\xi$. From 40 to 80% RH, the peak at ~6.1 Å is absent and the high-angle shoulder of the 001 reflection decreases with increasing RH (Figs. 1c, 1d). The $d$(001) values are similar for the two samples throughout
this RH range and low values of FWHM and $\xi$ systematically indicate the dominance of 2W smectite. As for SAz-1, the 002 reflection of 2W smectite is poorly defined.

Note also the peaks at \(~25\text{ Å}\) and \(~22\text{ Å}\) for samples recorded over the 35-80% RH and 0-20% RH range, respectively. These peaks may be attributed to an ordered interstratified structure consisting of 2W and 0W and of 1W and 0W smectite, respectively (Figs. 1c, 1d). For Sr-SbCa-1, these reflections are similar to those reported by Chipera and Bish (2001).

**Modeling of X-ray diffraction profiles**

Structure models providing optimum fits shown in Figure 1 are described schematically (relative proportion and composition of each structure) in Figure 2 as a function of RH. Structural parameters are listed in Table 2.

**Ca- and Sr-SAz-1 montmorillonite.** XRD patterns for Ca-SAz-1 and Sr-SAz-1 over the 0-80% RH range were fitted assuming two structures (Figs. 1a, 1b, 2a, 2b). Over the 20-80% RH range for Ca-SAz-1 and the 35-80% RH range for Sr-SAz-1, the model consists of a main structure dominated by 2W smectite and of a second, heterogeneous, structure containing 0W, 1W, and 2W layers (Figs. 2a, 2b). 2W layers are systematically prevailing in the heterogeneous structure except for Sr-SAz-1 at 35% RH where 2W and 1W smectite are present in equal amounts (Figs. 2a, 2b). Relative abundance of the heterogeneous structure decreases with increasing RH. The proportion of 1W and 0W layers in the dominantly 2W structure decreases also with increasing RH. Both trends account for the sharpening and the increased intensity of the reflection at \(~7.75\text{ Å}\) with increasing RH (Figs. 1a, 1b). At 20% RH, two contributions were used to fit the pattern of Sr-SAz-1, a near-periodic 1W smectite, and a heterogeneous structure containing 0W, 1W, and 2W layers. 1W and 2W layers prevail in the latter structure which accounts for the reflection at \(~5.1\text{ Å}\). The different compositions of the
two structures produce the broadening of the 001 reflection observed at this RH (1.66°, Table 1). At 0% RH, patterns of Ca-SAz-1 and Sr-SAz-1 were fitted assuming a main structure dominated by 1W smectite and a more heterogeneous structure dominated by 0W layers (Figs. 2a, 2b). The irrationality of 00l reflections for Ca-SAz-1 ($\xi = 0.56$ Å) is produced by the high amount of 0W layers in the main structure, in which 1W layers prevail, and by the strong contribution of the most heterogeneous structure.

With increasing RH, the layer thickness of 1W and 2W layers increases for both samples to accommodate the larger numbers of interlayer H$_2$O molecules (Table 2), as reported by Ferrage et al. (2005b) for low-charge montmorillonite. Among other parameters, $\sigma^*$ was found nearly constant (6.7-7.5°) for all XRD patterns except for 0% RH, whereas N and $\sigma_z$ are steadily decreasing and increasing, respectively, with increasing RH.

**Sr-SbId-1 and Sr-SbCa-1 beidellite.** In the optimum structure model determined for Sr-SbId-1 and Sr-SbCa-1, interstratified structures can have different N values. In this case, the evolution of beidellite hydration results from the hydration properties of two particle populations with distinct N values. However, to minimize the number of adjustable parameters other parameters (layer chemical composition, layer thickness, $\sigma^*$, $\sigma_z$, e.g.) are identical for all layer types, whatever the interstratified structure (Table 2).

At 60% and 80% RH, one particle population contains essentially 2W layers and accounts for the main features of the experimental patterns (Figs. 2c, 2d, 3). The second population is a very heterogeneous structure of small CSD size (3.5 layers, Table 2, Figs. 2c, 2d, 3), which produces the asymmetry of the 001 reflection at high angles and of the 005 reflection at low angles (Fig. 3). At 40% RH, a second interstratified structure with dominant 2W layers coexisting with 1W layers occurs with a large CSD size (30 and 40% of 1W layers for Sr-SbId-1 and Sr-SbCa-1, respectively, Figs. 2c, 2d). Structure models determined at 35% RH include four structures, one structure having a low CSD size (Figs. 2c, 2d). Finally, two
structures were considered to reproduce patterns recorded at 20% and 0% RH. The first
structure, with a large CSD size, is dominated by 1W smectite and accounts for the shape of
the 001 reflection and for the position of other maxima. For Sr-SbId-1 at 0% RH, this
structure incorporates significant proportions of 0W layers. The second structure, whose
content does not depend on RH, has smaller CSD size and contains 1W and 0W layers, the
proportion of 0W layers increasing with decreasing RH (Figs. 2c, 2d).

For both Sr-SbId-1 and Sr-SbCa-1 the amount of kaolinite-group minerals did not
vary (~16% and ~10% for Sr-SbId-1 and Sr-SbCa-1, respectively, Figs. 2c, 2d). As observed
for montmorillonite (this study and Ferrage et al. 2005b), layer thickness of 1W and 2W
layers increased with increasing RH for Sr-SbId-1 (11.95-12.70 Å and 15.12-15.65 Å,
respectively) and for Sr-SbCa-1 (11.98-12.70 Å and 15.10-15.60 Å, respectively). Among
other parameters, $\sigma^*$ is nearly constant (7.0-8.0° and 4.8-5.5° for Sr-SbId-1 and Sr-SbCa-1,
respectively). With increasing RH, $\sigma_z$ increases whereas $N$ decreases, except at 0% RH for Sr-
SbId-1.

For beidellite, the low intensity reflection at ~25 Å over the 35-80% RH range may
correspond to an ordered (Reichweit parameter $R = 1$ with maximum possible degree of
order, R1-MPDO, see Drits and Tchoubar 1990) interstratified structure containing similar
proportions of 0W and 2W layers (Figs. 1c, 1d, 5). Similarly, the reflection at ~22 Å observed
over the 0-20% RH range may correspond to a R1-MPDO structure containing 1W and 0W
layers in similar proportions. These features are discussed below.

Note that fits of similar quality were obtained for Sr-SbId-1 and Sr-SbCa-1 with
structures models in which all contributions contain identical layers and have identical crystal
parameters ($N$, $\sigma^*$). However, modeling of XRD patterns obtained after ethylene-glycol (EG)
solvation allows rejection of these alternative models (see below).
Modeling of X-ray diffraction profiles after EG solvation. XRD patterns obtained after EG solvation of Ca-saturated SWy-1 and SAz-1 and of Sr-saturated SbId-1 and SbCa-1 are compared to calculated profiles in Figure 4 and optimal structural parameters are listed in Table 3. For montmorillonite, the best model involves a unique structure of 2EG layers (with two planes of interlayer EG molecules), interstratified with non-expandable (0EG) layers at 1% and 2% in Ca-SWy-1 and Ca-SAz-1, respectively. These contents of collapsed layers are similar to samples at 80% RH. No 1EG layers were found.

XRD patterns of EG-solvated beidellite were only simulated assuming two interstratified structures (Table 3). One (with a large CSD size) contains mostly 2EG layers, and the second is more heterogeneous and contains 15% of 1EG and 15% of 0EG layers. After EG solvation, the relative abundance of the most heterogeneous structure (with a low CSD size) is similar to that of the population with a low CSD size in the air-dried state (38% and 42% for Sr-SbId-1 and Sr-SbCa-1, respectively). This similarity strongly supports the presence of different particle populations. Combining the two contributions leads to a 2EG:1EG:0EG ratio of 86:7:7 for both Sr-SbId-1 and Sr-SbCa-1.

DISCUSSION

Structure models

Montmorillonite. Structure models determined for SAz-1 (this study) and SWy-1 (Ferrage et al. 2005b) are consistent for a given interlayer cation. From ambient to 80% RH these models include two interstratified structures dominated by 2W layers, one being more heterogeneous with the presence of the three layer types (0W, 1W and 2W layers – Figs. 2a,
2b). Hydration of high-charge SAz-1 montmorillonite is thus homogeneous, with a slight segregation of 2W layers.

**Beidellite.** Complex structure models with numerous contributions were required to reproduce experimental XRD patterns for beidellites. At ambient RH, the large high-angle asymmetry of the 001 reflection and reflections from both 1W- and 2W-dominated structures required a greater number of contributions. In addition, structure models include a heterogeneous structure containing high proportions of 0W and 1W layers even at 80% RH. This contribution has a small CSD size (3.5-5.0 layers), and its relative proportion is constant (~40-45% for Sr-SbId-1 and Sr-SbCa-1) through the RH range investigated.

The particle population with a large CSD size (population A in Figure 6) exhibits transitions to higher hydration states with increasing RH: from 0W/1W to 1W and further to 2W (for Sr-SbId-1) and from 1W to 2W (for Sr-SbCa-1). In both samples, the 1W-2W transition occurs through intermediate 2W/1W interstratified structures. The particle population with a small CSD size (population B in Figure 6) maintains its heterogeneous layer composition throughout the RH range despite the steady increase of the 2W layer proportion, at the expense of 0W layers, with increasing RH.

**Impurities in beidellites.** For Sr-SbId-1 and Sr-SbCa-1, low-intensity reflections occur at ~25 Å and ~22 Å (for the 35-80% and 0-20% RH ranges, respectively). The ~25 Å peak possibly corresponds to a R1-MPDO structure containing similar proportions of 0W and 2W layers (Figs. 1c, 1d). Similarly, the reflection at ~22 Å possibly corresponds to a R1-MPDO structure containing 1W and 0W layers in similar proportions. The positions of these reflections do not significantly change after EG solvation (Fig. 4). The relative proportion of this structure was determined for Sr-SbId at 80% RH (Fig. 5). For Figure 5a, the optimum model (Fig. 2c) is shown for $\theta < 5^\circ$. Note that the computed “background” intensity is inconsistent with the measured one. Over this region, a R1-MPDO structure containing 0W
and 2W layers exhibits two main reflections at ~25.0 Å and ~12.5 Å, the latter being much weaker. To reproduce the shoulder at ~25 Å, the R1-MPDO structure should contribute ~3% of the total diffracted intensity (Fig. 5b), without affecting the relative proportion of the different layer types. Consequently, the low-angle reflections may be related to impurities.

**Origin of hydration heterogeneity in beidellite.** Two populations of particles were found in beidellites (Fig. 6). Hydration of population A, with a large CSD size, is homogeneous with dominant 1W and 2W layer types except for Sr-SbId-1 at 0 and 35% RH and for Sr-SbCa-1 at 35 and 40% RH. In contrast, hydration of population B, with a small CSD size, is heterogeneous over the entire RH range for each beidellite. This observation suggests that layer charge and charge location in population B are likely similar for both samples. In addition, populations A and B probably differ in both samples from the heterogeneity of their layer charge distributions.

Because of population B, the number of 0W and 1W layers is relatively high at 80% RH for Sr-SbId-1 (14% and 10%, respectively) and Sr-SbCa-1 (9% and 14%, respectively). In contrast, at this high RH value, Sr-SWy-1 contains 2% of 0W and 1W layers and Sr-SAz-1 shows 1% and 4% of 0W and 1W layers, respectively. Hydration heterogeneity persists at high relative humidity only for beidellite. XRD profile modeling of EG-solvated samples confirmed the observed hydration/swelling heterogeneity of beidellite (global 2EG:1EG:0EG ratio of 86:7:7 for both Sr-SbId-1 and Sr-SbCa-1), although EG solvation is known to induce homogeneous configuration of smectite interlayers (2EG layers). Collapsed layers after EG solvation are considered as non-expandable layers. Although the nature of 1EG layers is unknown, they may possess a higher layer charge than smectite (vermiculite-type layers). Note that 0EG and 1EG layers are present mostly in the most heterogeneous structure. Finally, 2EG layers in populations A and B probably have a similar layer charge, which is
close to that determined for the whole sample [\(\sim 0.67\) and \(\sim 1.07\) per \(\text{O}_2\text{(OH)}_4\), respectively for SbId-1 and SbCa-1] because of the high proportion of 2EG layers in EG-solvated samples.

The near complete swelling of layers following EG solvation is observed for montmorillonite and for population A of beidellite. In contrast, for beidellite increasing layer charge from the mean layer charge may produce vermiculite-like layers, discrete illite layers or irreversibly collapsed K-beidellite layers when potassium is present as assumed for SbId-1 (Post et al. 1997). However, heterogeneous structures where layers have different hydration/swelling abilities is not a priori characteristic of smectite with layer charge originating in the tetrahedra, although such interstratified structures probably occur more frequently in beidellite compared to montmorillonite. Further study is required to assess the systematic (?) presence of highly heterogeneous structures in natural beidellite.

**Influence of layer charge and charge location on hydration of 2:1 phyllosilicates**

The influence of layer charge on smectite hydration (Fig. 7) was derived from the relative proportions of layer types as a function of RH. For all samples, XRD patterns were recorded from RH = 35 (ambient) to 80% RH in adsorption conditions and then at 20% and 0% RH in desorption conditions. Relative proportions of the different layer types were considered for montmorillonite and beidellite along the adsorption (40%, 60% and 80% RH) and desorption (35%, 20% and 0% RH) pathways. See Ferrage et al. (2005b) for data on Ca- and Sr-saturated SWy-1 montmorillonite.

**Smectite.** 0W layer content is equal or slightly lower for Ca-SAza-1 compared to Ca-SWy-1 and gradually decreases with increasing RH (Fig. 7a). In adsorption conditions, 2W layers dominate the two samples, 1W layers being more abundant for Ca-SWy-1. At 20% RH along the desorption pathway, Ca-SAza-1 is dominated by 2W layers (~75%) whereas Ca-SWy-1 contains similar amounts of 2W and 1W layers. This difference indicates that the 2W-
to-1W transition occurs at lower RH values for Ca-SAz-1 compared to Ca-SWy-1. At 0% RH, the two samples have similar contents of 2W and 1W layers, 0W layers being more abundant in Ca-SAz-1.

The proportion of 0W layers is similar in Sr-SAz-1 and Sr-SWy-1 (Fig. 7b) except at 0% RH, where Sr-SAz-1 is dominated by 1W layers and Sr-SWy-1 is mostly dehydrated. At 20% RH, Sr-SWy-1 and Sr-SAz-1 are dominated by 1W layers, with a greater number of 0W and 2W layers in the latter. At 35% RH, 2W layers prevail in Sr-SAz-1 and Sr-SWy-1 is mostly monohydrated. These observations are similar to those made on Ca-saturated specimens: 2W-to-1W and 1W-to-0W transitions are shifted towards lower RH values with increasing layer charge. Along the adsorption pathway, the number of 2W layers in Sr-SAz-1 is similar (at 40 and 80% RH) or slightly lower (at 60% RH) compared to Sr-SWy-1, in contrast to Ca-saturated samples.

Proportions of layer types are plotted in Figures 7c, 7d as a function of RH for beidellite. Figure 7c describes the overall behavior of the two populations of particles, and the behavior of population A is given in Figure 7d. Note that for population A, the layer charge is likely homogeneous and close to that of the entire sample. Along the adsorption pathway and at 20% RH, hydration of Sr-SbCa-1 and Sr-SbId-1 is similar for the entire sample and for population A crystallites (Figs. 7c, 7d). At 35% RH, Sr-SbCa-1 contains more 2W layers than Sr-SbId-1 indicating that a greater layer charge shifts the 2W-to-1W transition to lower RH values, similar to montmorillonite. At 0% RH, the different composition of the two samples produces a shift of the 1W-to-0W transition to lower RH values with increasing layer charge.

Hydration of montmorillonite and beidellite is compared in Figures 7e, 7f for a given layer charge [~0.7 per O$_{20}$(OH)$_4$ for SWy-1 and SbId-1, and ~1.1 per O$_{20}$(OH)$_4$ for SAz-1 and SbCa-1]. Hydration of SbCa-1 and SbId-1 may be estimated from their population A. For a similar layer charge, hydration is greater for beidellite than for montmorillonite over 0-80%
RH. Additional studies on beidellite with a more homogenous layer charge distribution are required to confirm this observation.

**Expandable 2:1 phyllosilicates.** In summary, it appears that a greater layer charge increases the stability of 1W and 2W layers towards lower RH values, at least upon H₂O desorption, for montmorillonite and beidellite. This trend probably occurs owing to the greater number of interlayer cations when increasing layer charge. Whatever the size and location of layer charge, smectite interlayer thickness and the number of interlayer H₂O molecules are about constant for a given interlayer cation and RH value (see below). As the number of interlayer H₂O molecules is systematically higher than necessary to provide octahedral coordination to interlayer cations, the hydration sphere of a given cation is constant for smectite. The amount of H₂O molecules coordinating interlayer cations thus increases at the expense of H₂O molecules not directly bound to these cations when layer charge increases. As the 2W-to-1W transition occurs as interlayer H₂O molecules not coordinated to interlayer cations are still present in 2W smectite (Ferrage et al. 2007) the higher density of hydrated interlayer cations is likely responsible for the observed increased stability of most hydrated layers towards lower RH values when layer charge is increased.

Although consistent with the molecular simulations performed by Smith et al. (2004), this increased stability may appear as contradicting the conventional wisdom that high-charge layers are less hydrated than low-charge layers (Laird 1999). The inverse relationship between hydration (as measured from layer basal distance) and layer charge is the foundation to differentiate smectite from vermiculite (Brindley 1980; Calle and Suquet 1988; Suquet and Pezerat 1988). In addition, trioctahedral vermiculite basal distance decreases with increasing layer charge (Calle and Suquet 1988; Suquet and Pezerat 1988), and the stability of less hydrated layers is increased towards higher RH values when layer charge is increased (Suquet and Pezerat 1987). The present results can be reconciled with the hydration behaviors
attributed to smectite and vermiculite. In the present study, stability of hydrated smectite layers increases with increasing layer charge and is thus maximum at the upper limit of smectite layer charge [0.5-0.6 net charge per $\text{O}_{10}(\text{OH})_2$]. This charge threshold is the lower charge limit for vermiculite, whose hydration differs from that of smectite most likely because of the prevalence of attractive forces between interlayer cations and the 2:1 layer above this threshold. The total number of interlayer H$_2$O molecules is however slightly higher for vermiculite (6.6-7.6 per $\text{O}_{20}(\text{OH})_4$ – Le Renard and Mamy 1971; Calle et al. 1977; Slade et al. 1985) than for smectite (5.0-7.0 per $\text{O}_{20}(\text{OH})_4$ – This study and Laird 1999; Ferrage et al. 2005b). The reduced layer basal distance is thus not due to a reduced hydration of vermiculite compared to smectite but more likely linked to the reorganization of H$_2$O molecules coordinated to interlayer cations. Consistently, increasing the layer charge favors ordering in the interlayer cation distribution (Drits 1987), and the layer-charge boundary between smectite and vermiculite may correspond to the onset of this ordering. This boundary may also coincide with the formation of a two-dimensional network of H$_2$O molecules in the interlayer above a certain density of H$_2$O molecules bound to interlayer cations. This hypothesis is supported by the reduced breadth of the H$_2$O molecule distributions determined for saponite when layer charge is increased (Ferrage et al. 2005a).

In addition, the contrast of layer basal distance observed between dioctahedral smectite (this study) and trioctahedral vermiculite (Suquet and Pezerat 1987; Calle and Suquet 1988; Suquet and Pezerat 1988) is possibly enhanced by the ordered distribution of isomorphic substitutions in vermiculite, which probably favors interlayer species ordering. Trioctahedral vermiculites are indeed isostructural to trioctahedral micas from which they differ essentially by the hydrophilic character of their interlayer cations. Layer stacking, which is commonly ordered for trioctahedral vermiculite in contrast to dioctahedral smectite, and more especially to montmorillonite, can also favor ordering of interlayer species and thus
enhance the observed contrast of layer basal distance. The influence of charge location
(tetrahedral vs. octahedral) is probably minor, at least for dioctahedral smectite, because
montmorillonite and beidellite display an equal hydration behavior as a function of layer
charge (Fig. 7). Finally, note that 3W layer appears not to follow the same trend, in that their
stability is more restricted when layer charge of dioctahedral smectite is increased (Laird et al.
1995). However, in this study layer-charge increase may be influenced by the relative
proportion of tetrahedral substitutions.

**Interlayer thickness (IT).** The ratio of IT to cation radius is plotted in Figure 8 as a
function of RH. Similar values were obtained for all samples, in agreement with Sato et al.
(1992) who reported similar \(d(001)\)-values for hydrated smectite regardless of the size and
location of layer charge. This observation is consistent with the similar \(H_2O\) content
determined at a given RH for each sample (Table 2) but is counter to the frequently assumed
decrease of layer thickness with increasing layer charge (Laird 1996).

For Ca-saturated samples, the greater number of 2W layers and the associated
increase of \(H_2O\) content in SAz-1 compared to SWy-1 (Figs. 7a, 7b) is consistent with the
water-adsorption isotherms reported by Chiou and Rutherford (1997) for the same samples.
By comparing the water-adsorption isotherms for SAz-1 and SWy-1, these authors showed
that the interlayer \(H_2O\) uptake increases with the layer charge. Laird (1999) criticized these
results as counter to the frequently accepted decrease of hydration ability with an increase in
smectite layer charge. However, Laird (1999) reported similar \(d(001)\) values for smectites
with different charges and attributed the increased number of adsorbed \(H_2O\) to the adsorption
on clay external surfaces. The results in the present article account only for interlayer \(H_2O\)
and support the conclusions of Chiou and Rutherford (1997), in agreement with Michot et al.
(2005). The water-vapor adsorption isotherms obtained by the latter authors on synthetic
saponite showed an increased number of adsorbed H\(_2\)O molecules with increasing layer
charge over the entire RH range.

**Dehydrated layer thickness**

Except for Sr-SbId-1 at 0% RH, the thickness of 0W layers was equal to 10.0 Å for
Ca- and Sr-SAz-1 and for Sr-saturated beidellite (Table 2). Similar results were obtained for
SWy-1 when saturated with Mg\(^{2+}\), Ca\(^{2+}\) and Sr\(^{2+}\), whereas the thickness of 0W layers was
lower for samples saturated with monovalent cations at 9.6 Å, 9.6 Å and 10.0 Å for Na\(^+\), Li\(^+\)
and K\(^+\), respectively (Ferrage et al. 2005b). The latter values are consistent with those
expected for dehydrated specimens. Because of the smaller radii of divalent cations, layer
thickness values of ~10.0 Å appear high. Ferrage et al. (2005b) observed an increase of \(\sigma_z\) in
samples dominated by 2W layers compared to those dominated by 1W layers. This increase
was attributed to the greater interlayer thickness, and thus to the weaker electrostatic
interactions between the 2:1 layer and interlayer cations in 2W layers. Similar results were
obtained for SAz-1 and beidellite samples (Table 2). However, \(\sigma_z\) does not decrease further in
0W layers, except for Na-SWy-1 at 0% RH (Ferrage et al. 2005b), probably because of
residual H\(_2\)O in the “dehydrated” layers. Monovalent interlayer cations ensures a local
compensation of under-saturated basal oxygen atoms, whereas charge compensation is more
diffuse with long-distance interactions, possibly through H-bonds, for divalent cations. The
latter configuration would probably favor the presence of H\(_2\)O molecules linked with under-
saturated oxygen atoms via H-bonds. The presence of additional H\(_2\)O molecules is favored
also by the higher ionic potential (valency/ionic radius) of divalent cations. The coulombic
radius of a H\(_2\)O molecule \((r = 1.4-1.5 \text{ Å} – \text{Williams et al. 1994; Li and Nussinov 1998})\) is
similar to that of a K\(^+\) cation \((r = 1.38 \text{ Å} – \text{Shannon 1976})\), and their presence may account for
the 10.0 Å layer thickness determined here and by Ferrage et al. (2005b) for 0W layers in samples saturated with divalent cations. Residual H$_2$O molecules would produce an increased $\sigma_z$, as observed, because of the different radii of H$_2$O molecules and interlayer cations. Local charge compensation by monovalent interlayer cations reduces the number of interlayer H$_2$O molecules, as observed for K- and Na-saturated SWy-1 montmorillonites (Ferrage et al. 2005b). For these two samples, the thickness of 0W layers is similar to that expected for totally dehydrated layers at 9.6 Å and 10.0 Å for Na- and K-saturated SWy-1 at 0% RH, respectively (Ferrage et al. 2005b). For Li-saturated SWy-1 montmorillonite, the layer thickness is higher than expected and $\sigma_z$ is higher at 0% compared to other RH conditions, possibly from the presence of residual H$_2$O molecules in 0W layers, in agreement with the high affinity of Li$^+$ for H$_2$O.

**Limitations for qualitative indicators of smectite hydration heterogeneity**

For SWy-1, Ferrage et al. (2005b) related smectite-hydration heterogeneity to the qualitative $\xi$ parameter describing the irrationality of 00$l$ reflection positions. Values of $\xi$ were < 0.4 Å when a specific layer type accounted for > 70% of the layer content. However, this relation is mostly due to the specific distribution of the different layer types in SWy-1. The different layer types are indeed randomly distributed in SWy-1, with possibly a slight tendency to segregation. In this case, diffraction features follow Méring’s principle (Méring 1949) and an increased heterogeneity broadens the 001 reflection and increases the positional irrationality of 00$l$ reflections.

The relative proportion of the prevailing layer type is plotted in Figure 9 as a function of $\xi$ for SAz-1, SbId-1 and Sr-SbCa-1. For beidellite, results are given after subtraction of the kaolinite contribution and normalization of the smectite layer abundances to
100%. As reported by Ferrage et al. (2005b), for most samples, $\xi$ is > 0.4 Å when the
dominant layer type accounts for ~70% or less of the layer content. However, note the
“outliers” in Figure 9. For instance, Sr-SbId-1 and Sr-SbCa-1 at 40% RH do not follow the
trend and most beidellites have low $\xi$ values relative to their hydration heterogeneity (Figs.
2c, 2d, 9). Furthermore, Sr-SbCa-1 at 35% and 40% RH have similar contents of the
dominant layer type of 55-59% of 2W layers (Fig. 9) but different $\xi$ of 1.54 Å and 0.05 Å at
35% and 40% RH, respectively (Table 1). Similarly, Sr-SAz-1 contains 66 % of 1W layers at
20% RH and 69% of 2W layers at 35% RH but has different $\xi$ values of 1.20 Å and 0.12 Å,
respectively (Table 1).

These discrepancies are related to the inability of qualitative parameters, such as $\xi$, to
describe hydration heterogeneity in complex systems. The $\xi$ parameter depends not only on
the proportion of layer types but also on their distribution within crystallites. Similarly, the
FWHM of the 001 reflection is influenced by CSD size and hydration heterogeneity. Some
discrepancies observed for beidellite are explained from the experimental XRD patterns (Figs.
1c, 1d). At 40% RH, the 001 reflection of Sr-SbId-1 and Sr-SbCa-1 exhibits a sharp
maximum and a pronounced high-angle shoulder. This shoulder corresponds to a
heterogeneous structure with a small CSD size (Figs. 2c, 2d), which does not contribute much
intensity to the XRD pattern. In contrast, the essentially 2W structure contributes more to the
001 reflection (position and shape) and most of the diffraction features, including $\xi$. Similarly,
the FWHM of the 001 reflection is not influenced by the high-angle shoulders, although they
stem from hydration heterogeneity (Figs. 1c, 1d, 2c, 2d).

The high value of $\xi$ for Sr-SbCa-1 at 35% RH results mainly from the reflection at
~6.1 Å, which results from an interstratified structure dominated by 1W layers in a sample
dominated by 2W layers. However, this structure accounts for 8% of the clay fraction and
does not modify significantly the proportion of the different layer types compared to the same
sample at 40% RH. The inability of the qualitative parameters, such as ξ, to provide a realistic
description of the beidellite hydration is a result of the segregation of the different layer types,
leading to the numerous structures involved in the models and their compositions.

Finally, Sr-SAza-1 recorded at 20% and 35% RH have nearly equal contents of 1W
and 2W layers, respectively, but different ξ values. For each sample, structure models consist
of a main interstratified structure, dominated by 1W layers at 20% RH and 2W layers at 35%
RH, whereas a minor, more heterogeneous structure contains 1W and 2W layers in nearly
equal proportions. The different values of ξ obtained for these two samples (Fig. 9) result
from the different structure factors for 1W and 2W layers. The structure factor is larger for
2W layers than for 1W layers over the low-angle region, and if they exist equally in an
interstratified structure, then related reflections are near the position expected for a periodic
2W smectite (e.g., peak at ~5.1 Å for Sr-SAza-1 at 20% RH, see Fig. 1b). The coexistence of a
1W/2W interstratified structure with a near periodic 2W smectite does not produce significant
peak shift or broadening, and low value of ξ is determined at 35% RH. In contrast, the
coexistence of a 1W/2W interstratified structure with a near periodic 1W structure produces a
greater ξ value, as measured at 20% RH.

The above discussion outlines the limitations of qualitative parameters to describe
hydration heterogeneity. These criteria are relevant only when layer types are randomly
distributed (Ferrage et al. 2005b). Relevance of the qualitative parameters may be enhanced
by careful analysis of position, intensity and profile of basal reflections over the entire 2-
50°20 CuKα angular range. However, quantitative analysis of smectite hydration as obtained
from XRD pattern modeling is preferred if the distribution of layer types is to be determined.
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**Figure Captions**

**Figure 1.** Comparison between experimental and calculated XRD patterns as a function of RH. Experimental and calculated optimal XRD patterns are shown as crosses and as solid lines, respectively. a) Ca-SAz-1. b) Sr-SAz-1. c) Sr-SbIId-1. d) Sr-SbCa-1. For all samples, the gray bar indicates a modified scale factor for the high-angle region.

**Figure 2.** Structure models obtained from XRD profiles modeling as a function of RH. Relative proportions, expressed in wt%, of the interstratified contributions are plotted on the y-axis whereas their compositions (relative proportions of the different layer types) are plotted on the x-axis. Light gray, dark gray and solid bars represent 0W, 1W, and 2W layers, respectively. Open bars with a dashed outline represent a kaolinite contribution.

**Figure 3.** Illustration of the contributions to the calculated profiles for Sr-SbIId-1 at 80% RH. Intensities in the high-angle region (10-50°2θ) are scaled by ×10 compared to the low-angle region (4-10°2θ). Maxima corresponding to kaolinite-group minerals are labeled Kaol.

**Figure 4.** Comparison between calculated and experimental XRD patterns obtained after ethylene-glycol solvation of the samples. Experimental and calculated optimal XRD patterns are shown as crosses and as solid lines, respectively. For all samples, the gray bar indicates a modified scale factor for the high-angle region.
Figure 5. Contribution of an ordered R1-MPDO (see text for details) interstratified structure to the XRD pattern of Sr-SbId-1 recorded at 80% RH. a) Experimental and calculated pattern as in Figure 1c. XRD profile corresponding to the R1-MPDO structure is shown as open circles. b) Optimal fit to the experimental data including the contribution of a R1-MPDO structure to the diffracted intensity (see text for details). The gray bar indicates a modified scale factor for the high-angle region.

Figure 6. Evolution of relative abundance of the layer types as function of RH for each population of particles, including all interstratified structures contributing to that population, considered for beidellites. a) Sr-SbId-1. b) Sr-SbCa-1. Triangles, diamonds, and squares represent 0W, 1W and 2W layers.

Figure 7. Evolution of relative abundance of layer types as a function of RH. a) Ca-SAz-1 and Ca-SWy-1. b) Sr-SAz-1 and Sr-SWy-1. c) Sr-SbId-1 and Sr-SbCa-1. d) Population A of Sr-SbId-1 and Sr-SbCa-1. e) Sr-SAz-1 and population A of Sr-SbCa-1. f) Sr-SWy-1 and population A of Sr-SbId-1. Triangles, diamonds, and squares represent 0W, 1W and 2W layers. Data for Ca- and Sr-SWy-1 are those reported by Ferrage et al. (2005b). XRD data collection was performed in adsorption conditions at 40, 60, and 80% RH, and in desorption conditions at 0, 20, 35% RH.

Figure 8. Evolution of layer thickness of hydrated layers as a function of RH for all samples including SWy-1 (Ferrage et al. 2005b). Interlayer thickness (IT) is represented by the ratio IT:ionic radius. IT is calculated as the basal distance d(001) minus the thickness of the 2:1 layer (6.54 Å). Linear regression lines are plotted for each cation and include all samples saturated with this cation.
Figure 9. Relative proportion of the major layer type derived from XRD profile modeling as a function of departure from rationality parameter $\xi$. Values of these two parameters (70% and 0.4 Å, respectively) limiting the “homogeneous” hydration are shown as dotted lines. Open symbols indicate samples with $\xi$ parameters $> 0.4$ Å.
**Table 1:** Basal-reflection qualitative parameters (position, width and rationality) as a function of relative humidity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Ca-Saz-1</th>
<th>Sr-Saz-1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>~0% (vacuum)</td>
<td>11.65</td>
<td>1.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20%</td>
<td>14.88</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>~35% (room)</td>
<td>15.10</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40%</td>
<td>15.28</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60%</td>
<td>15.37</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80%</td>
<td>15.62</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Sr-Sbid-1</th>
<th>Sr-SbCa-1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>~0% (vacuum)</td>
<td>11.10</td>
<td>1.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20%</td>
<td>12.34</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>~35% (room)</td>
<td>14.90</td>
<td>1.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40%</td>
<td>15.29</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60%</td>
<td>15.55</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80%</td>
<td>15.73</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Notes:* Position \([d(001)]\) and FWHM of the 001 reflection are given in Å and in °Cu Kα, respectively. The \(\xi\) parameter which accounts for the departure from rationality of the 00\(l\) reflection series is calculated as the standard deviation of the \(l\times d(00l)\) values (in Å) for the \(X_i\) measurable reflections over the 2-50°Cu Kα angular range.
Table 2: Optimum structural parameters used for the simulation of XRD profiles.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>RH</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>room</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca-SAz-1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. Tck. 2W</td>
<td>14.30</td>
<td>14.88</td>
<td>15.10</td>
<td>15.16</td>
<td>15.25</td>
<td>15.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nH₂O</td>
<td>2*3.1</td>
<td>2*3.2</td>
<td>2*3.2</td>
<td>2*3.3</td>
<td>2*3.5</td>
<td>2*3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. Tck. 1W</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>12.61</td>
<td>12.70</td>
<td>12.76</td>
<td>12.80</td>
<td>12.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nH₂O</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. Tck. 0W</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>σ*</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>σz</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr-Saz-1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. Tck. 2W</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15.10</td>
<td>15.26</td>
<td>15.30</td>
<td>15.50</td>
<td>15.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nH₂O</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2*3.0</td>
<td>2*3.0</td>
<td>2*3.0</td>
<td>2*3.1</td>
<td>2*3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. Tck. 1W</td>
<td>12.03</td>
<td>12.30</td>
<td>12.32</td>
<td>12.32</td>
<td>12.40</td>
<td>12.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nH₂O</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. Tck. 0W</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>σ*</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>σz</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr-SbId-1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. Tck. 2W</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15.12</td>
<td>15.24</td>
<td>15.30</td>
<td>15.50</td>
<td>15.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nH₂O</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2*2.5</td>
<td>2*3.2</td>
<td>2*3.2</td>
<td>2*3.2</td>
<td>2*3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. Tck. 1W</td>
<td>11.95</td>
<td>12.32</td>
<td>12.32</td>
<td>12.32</td>
<td>12.40</td>
<td>12.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nH₂O</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. Tck. 0W</td>
<td>9.80</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>6.5(5.0)</td>
<td>9.0(4.0)</td>
<td>7.2(3.5)</td>
<td>7.2(3.5)</td>
<td>7.0(3.5)</td>
<td>6.5(3.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>σ*</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>σz</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr-SbCa-1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. Tck. 2W</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15.10</td>
<td>15.30</td>
<td>15.30</td>
<td>15.53</td>
<td>15.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nH₂O</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2*3.0</td>
<td>2*3.0</td>
<td>2*3.0</td>
<td>2*3.0</td>
<td>2*3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. Tck. 1W</td>
<td>11.98</td>
<td>12.30</td>
<td>12.30</td>
<td>12.35</td>
<td>12.42</td>
<td>12.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nH₂O</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. Tck. 0W</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>7.6(5.0)</td>
<td>6.5(4.0)</td>
<td>6.5(3.5)</td>
<td>6.5(3.5)</td>
<td>6.3(3.5)</td>
<td>5.7(3.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>σ*</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>σz</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Layer thickness (L. Tck.) of 2W, 1W and 0W layers are given in Å. For hydrated layers, the number of interlayer H₂O molecules (nH₂O) is indicated per O₂0(OH)₄. N is the mean number of layers in the coherent scattering domains, orientation parameter (σ*) and layer thickness variability parameter (σz) are given in ° and in Å, respectively. N values in parentheses correspond to the second population of particles (see text for details).
Table 3. Optimum structural parameters used for the simulation of XRD profiles obtained after EG solvation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>S cont. (%)</th>
<th>% 2EG</th>
<th>% 1EG</th>
<th>% 0EG</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>L. Tck. 2EG</th>
<th>L. Tck. 1EG</th>
<th>L. Tck. 0EG</th>
<th>σ*</th>
<th>σz</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ca-SWy-1 EG</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>16.90</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca-SAz-1 EG</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>16.75</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr-Sbld-1 EG</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>16.88</td>
<td>12.90</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr-SbCa-1 EG</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>16.88</td>
<td>12.90</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>42</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Calculated XRD patterns include the contributions of one or two interstratified structures (S). Layer thickness (L. Tck.) of 2EG, 1EG, and 0EG layers are given in Å. N, σ*, and σz as in Table 2. For beidellites the contribution of kaolinite-group minerals should be added to fit the experimental XRD pattern.
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