Multiquadratic stability and stabilization of continuous-time multiple-model

Mohammed Chadli, José Ragot, Didier Maquin

► To cite this version:

Mohammed Chadli, José Ragot, Didier Maquin. Multiquadratic stability and stabilization of continuous-time multiple-model. 11th IFAC Symposium on Automation in Mining, Mineral and Metal processing, MMM 2004, Sep 2004, Nancy, France. pp.CDRom. hal-00151293

HAL Id: hal-00151293

<https://hal.science/hal-00151293>

Submitted on 3 Jun 2007

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

MULTIQUADRATIC STABILITY AND STABILISATION OF CONTINUOUS-TIME MULTIPLE MODEL

M. Chadli, J. Ragot, D. Maquin

Centre de Recherche en Automatique de Nancy
INPL-CNRS-UMR 7039
2 Avenue de la Forêt de Haye
54516 Vandoeuvre-lès-Nancy Cedex France
{mchadli, dmaquin, jragot}@ensem.inpl-nancy.fr

Abstract: This paper deals with the stability analysis of a system represented by a multiple model. Based on a multiquadratic Lyapunov function candidate ($\mathcal{MQLF}$), new asymptotic stability conditions for continuous case are presented in linear matrix inequalities ($\mathcal{LMI}$) form. These stability conditions, extended to the controller synthesis, are formulated in bilinear matrix inequalities ($\mathcal{BMI}$). Examples will be given in the final version. Copyright ©2004 IFAC.

Keywords: Multiple model, nonlinear system, stability analysis, stabilisation, Lyapunov method, linear matrix inequalities.

1. INTRODUCTION

Analysis and synthesis studies of multiple model (Murray-Smith *et al.*, 1997) based on quadratic Lyapunov functions lead to result which are often conservative (see for example (Chadli *et al.*, 2003b), (Blanco *et al.*, 2001a) and (Tanaka *et al.*, 1998)). To overcome these conservatism non quadratic Lyapunov functions may be used. Among these functions, we can quote the piecewise quadratic function. The stability analysis using this type of function was studied these last years by using the uncertain system techniques (Zhang *et al.*, 2001), (Cao *et al.*, 1999), (Cao *et al.*, 1996). This approach allows to reduce the conservatism of the quadratic method by taking into account the partition of the state space induced by activation functions with limited local support of the variables (for example trapezoidal or triangular activation functions) (Johansen *et al.*, 1999).

In (Chadli *et al.*, 2002a) another class of non

quadratic Lyapunov functions of the form $V(x(t)) = \max(V_i(x(t)))$ with $V_i(x(t)) = x(t)^T P_i x(t)$, $P_i > 0, i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ where n is the number of local model, was also considered. The obtained results in the continuous and discrete domains are rather satisfactory in comparison with those obtained with quadratic method. The proposed stability condition in the continuous domain leads also to overcome the pessimism of those obtained by piecewise quadratic Lyapunov functions (Johansen *et al.*, 1999) when the activation functions have an infinite support (for example Gaussian activation functions).

Some works also propose another type of non quadratic Lyapunov function called multiquadratic Lyapunov functions ($\mathcal{MQLF}$) of the form $V(x(t)) = x(t)^T \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i(z(t)) P_i x(t)$, $P_i > 0$ (Chadli, 2002b), (Blanco *et al.*, 2001b), (Tanaka *et al.*, 2001), (Daafouz *et al.*, 2001), (Morère *et al.*, 2000), (Johansen, 2000), (Jadbabaie, 1999). The obtained results make it possible to also reduce

the conservatism of the quadratic approach. However, it is interesting to notice the great difference between the results of the continuous domain and the discrete domain. If the results obtained for the discrete case are global and may be formulated into a $\mathcal{LMI}$ form (Daafouz *et al.*, 2001), the results in continuous case are often local and in nonconvex form (Chadli, 2002b), (Blanco *et al.*, 2001b), (Tanaka *et al.*, 2001).

The objective of the paper is to formulate stability conditions in term of $\mathcal{LMI}$ form (Boyd *et al.*, 1994) using a $\mathcal{MQLF}$ approach. In the case when these conditions are nonlinear in the synthesis variables, a bilinear form easy to linearise by existing algorithm is given. In the stabilisation part, only the case when the input matrices are positively linearly dependant i.e. $B_i = \beta_i B, \beta_i > 0$ will be considered.

Notation: In this paper, X^T denotes the transpose of the matrix X , $X > 0$ ($X \geq 0$) means that X is a symmetric positive definite (semidefinite) matrix, $\langle \cdot \rangle$ denotes the scalar product, $|\cdot|$ denotes the absolute value, $I_s = \{1, 2, \dots, s\}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i \neq j:1}^n \mu_i(z) \mu_j(z) &= \sum_{i:1}^n \sum_{j \neq i:1}^n \mu_i(z) \mu_j(z) \\ \sum_{i < j:1}^n \mu_i(z) \mu_j(z) &= \sum_{i < j:1}^n \sum_{j:1}^n \mu_i(z) \mu_j(z) \end{aligned}$$

2. CONTINUOUS MULTIPLE MODEL

The continuous multiple model is represented as follows:

$$\dot{x}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i(z(t)) (A_i x(t) + B_i u(t)) \quad (1a)$$

$$y(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i(z(t)) C_i x(t) \quad (1b)$$

where n is the number of local models, $x(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is the state vector, $u(t) \in \mathbb{R}^m$ is the input vector, $y(t) \in \mathbb{R}^l$ is the output vector, $z(t) \in \mathbb{R}^q$ is the vector of the so-called decision variables, $A_i \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}$, $B_i \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times m}$ et $C_i \in \mathbb{R}^{l \times p}$. The activation functions $\mu_i(\cdot)$ are such that :

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i(z(t)) = 1 \\ \mu_i(z(t)) \geq 0, \quad \forall i \in I_n \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

The choice of the variable $z(t)$ leads to different classes of models. It can depend on the measurable state variables, be a function of the measurable

outputs of the system and possibly on the input. In this case, the system (1a) describes a nonlinear system. It can also be an unknown constant value, system (1a) then represents a PLDI.

3. STABILITY ANALYSIS

The considered $\mathcal{MQLF}$ depends only on the system state and has the form :

$$V(x(t)) = x(t)^T P(x(t)) x(t) \quad (3)$$

with

$$P(x(t)) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i(x(t)) P_i, P_i > 0 \quad (4)$$

Taking into account the properties of the matrices P_i and those of the activation functions, the function (3) is a Lyapunov function candidate since :

$$c_1 \|x(t)\|^2 \leq V(x(t)) \leq c_2 \|x(t)\|^2 \quad (5)$$

where c_1 and c_2 are positive scalars :

$$c_1 = \max_{i \in I_n} (\lambda_{\min}(P_i)), \quad c_2 = \min_{i \in I_n} (\lambda_{\max}(P_i)) \quad (6)$$

The proposed method using this type of function needs a priori bound on the state variation. This hypothesis implies a bounded derived activation function $\frac{d\mu_i(x(t))}{dt}$.

Assumption 1 : the activation functions $\mu_i(x(t))$ are continuously differentiable.

Considering the derivative time of the $\mathcal{MQLF}$ (3):

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{V}(x(t)) &= \dot{x}(t)^T P(x(t)) x(t) + x(t)^T P(x(t)) \cdot \\ &\quad \dot{x}(t) + x(t)^T \dot{P}(x(t)) x(t) \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Taking definition (4) into account, the last term in the right member of (7) could be bounded as follows

$$\begin{aligned} &x(t)^T \dot{P}(x(t)) x(t) = \\ &x(t)^T \sum_{i=1}^n \left\langle \frac{\partial \mu_i(x(t))}{\partial x(t)}, \frac{\partial x(t)}{\partial t} \right\rangle P_i x(t) \\ &\leq x(t)^T \sum_{i=1}^n \left| \left(\frac{\partial \mu_i(x(t))}{\partial x(t)} \right)^T \dot{x}(t) \right| P_i x(t) \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Let us now formulate the following assumption

Assumption 2 : there exists a scalar $\nu > 0$ such that $\left| \left(\frac{\partial \mu_i(x(t))}{\partial x(t)} \right)^T \dot{x}(t) \right| \leq \nu, \forall x(t) \in \mathbb{R}^p, i \in I_n$

Thus in this case the term $\left| \left(\frac{\partial \mu_i(x(t))}{\partial x(t)} \right)^T \dot{x}(t) \right|$ is bounded independently from the state:

$$x(t)^T \dot{P}(x(t)) x(t) \leq x(t)^T \nu \sum_{i=1}^n P_i x(t) \quad (9)$$

Consequently the time derivative of the $\mathcal{MQLF}$ (7) becomes :

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{V}(x(t)) &\leq \dot{x}(t)^T P(x(t)) x(t) + x(t)^T P(x(t)) \cdot \\ &\quad \dot{x}(t) + x(t)^T \nu \sum_{i=1}^n P_i x(t) \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Based on the work of (Chadli, 2002b), (Blanco *et al.*, 2001b), (Tanaka *et al.*, 2001) and (Jadbabaie, 1999) the results, which will be presented thereafter in $\mathcal{LMI}$ formulation, use the bounded time derivative of the $\mathcal{MQLF}$ candidate (10). In order to improve the analysis result and then to obtain conditions less constraining as possible, the bound should be determined as precisely as possible. To achieve this goal, the following proposition a priori supposes certain knowledge on the coupling of the activation functions, i.e. the maximum number (r) of local models simultaneously activated at each time.

Proposition 1 : taking into account the properties of the activation functions (2), the following inequalities hold, $\forall r \in \{2, \dots, n\}$:

$$\sum_{i \neq j:1}^n \mu_i(z) \mu_j(z) \leq 1 - \frac{1}{r} \quad (11)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i^2(z) \geq \frac{1}{r} \quad (12)$$

where r is the maximum number of local models simultaneously activated at each time.

Proof. : from the properties (2) of the activation functions one deduces :

$$1 = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i(z) \right)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i^2(z) + \sum_{i \neq j:1}^n \mu_i(z) \mu_j(z) \quad (13)$$

and then using (Tanaka *et al.*, 1998):

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i(z)^2 \geq \frac{1}{r-1} \sum_{i \neq j:1}^n \mu_i(z) \mu_j(z) \quad (14)$$

we obtain the property (11). In the same way the inequality (12) is deduced directly from the inequality (11) and from the equality (13). $\square$

The following result supposes a priori bound (ν) on the state variation (assumption 2).

Theorem 1 : suppose that there exists symmetric matrices $Q > 0$, $P_i > 0, i \in I_n$, M and N which verify the following $\mathcal{LMI}$:

$$P_i > P_{j+r}, i \in I_r, j \in I_{n-r} \quad (15)$$

$$A_i^T P_i + P_i A_i \leq M, \forall i \in I_n \quad (16)$$

$$A_i^T P_j + P_j A_i + A_j^T P_i + P_i A_j \leq 2N$$

$$\forall (i, j) \in I_n^2, i < j \quad (17)$$

$$M - N \leq 0 \quad (18)$$

$$N + r^{-1}(M - N) + \nu \sum_{i=1}^r P_i < -Q \quad (19)$$

with $\mu_i(z(t)) \mu_j(z(t)) \neq 0$, r is the maximum number of local models simultaneously activated at each time and ν is a bound related to the state variation (assumption 2). Then the equilibrium point of the unforced multiple model (1a) is globally exponentially stable.

Proof. : The derivative (10) of the $\mathcal{MQLF}$, along the trajectory of the unforced multiple model (1a), taking into account the conditions (15), (16) and (17) with the assumption 2 is expressed :

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{V}(x(t)) &\leq x(t)^T \nu \sum_{i=1}^r P_i x(t) + \\ &\quad x(t)^T \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i^2(x(t)) M + 2 \sum_{i < j:1}^n \mu_i \mu_j N \right) x(t) \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

or in an equivalent form :

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{V}(x(t)) &\leq x(t)^T \nu \sum_{i=1}^r P_i x(t) + \\ &\quad x(t)^T \left(N + \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i(x(t))^2 (M - N) \right) x(t) \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

The condition (18) and the property (12) allow to write

$$\dot{V}(x(t)) \leq x(t)^T \left(N + r^{-1}(M - N) + \nu \sum_{i=1}^r P_i \right) x(t) \quad x^T \dot{P}(x) x \leq x^T \sum_{i=1}^n \left| \left(\frac{\partial \mu_i(x)}{\partial x} \right)^T \dot{x} \right| P_i x \quad (22)$$

Consequently the condition (19) guarantees exponential stability of the unforced multiple model (1a). $\square$

Let us notice that when the activation functions are defined on an infinite support, i.e. $r = n$, the condition (15) is trivial.

4. CONTROLLER SYNTHESIS

In the case when the input matrices are positively linearly dependant i.e. $B_i = \beta_i B, \beta_i > 0$, it is interesting to consider the control law (Guerra *et al.*, 2001) :

$$u(t) = - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i(z(t)) \beta_i K_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i(z(t)) \beta_i} x(t) \quad (23)$$

With this control law, a closed loop continuous multiple model is then written as follows :

$$\dot{x}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i(z(t)) G_{ii} x(t) \quad (24)$$

with

$$G_{ii} = A_i - B_i K_i \quad (25)$$

Notice that in this case the closed loop continuous multiple model depend only on the dominant terms $G_{ii} = A_i - B_i K_i$. The coupled terms $G_{ij} = A_i - B_i K_j$ with $i \neq j$ are ignored.

The derivative of the $\mathcal{MQLF}$ (10) along the trajectory of the multiple model (24) is expressed:

$$\dot{V}(x(t)) = x(t)^T R(x) x(t) + x(t)^T \dot{P}(x(t)) x(t) \quad (26)$$

with

$$R(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \mu_i(x) \mu_j(x) S_{ij} \quad (27)$$

$$S_{ij} = G_{ii}^T P_j + P_j G_{ii} \quad (28)$$

The term $x(t)^T \dot{P}(x(t)) x(t)$ depends on the local gain $K_i, i \in I_n$:

The following bound may be used :

$$\left| \left(\frac{\partial \mu_i(x(t))}{\partial x(t)} \right)^T \dot{x}(t) \right| \leq \left\| \frac{\partial \mu_i(x(t))}{\partial x(t)} \right\| \|\dot{x}(t)\| \leq \left\| \frac{\partial \mu_i(x(t))}{\partial x(t)} \right\| \|x(t)\| \alpha \quad (30)$$

where

$$\alpha = \max_{i \in I_n} (\|G_{ii}\|) \quad (31)$$

Using the definition (25), the condition (31) is verified if the following $\mathcal{LM}$ depending on α and K_i are feasible:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha I & (A_i - B_i K_i)^T \\ A_i - B_i K_i & \alpha I \end{pmatrix} \geq 0, \quad i \in I_n \quad (32)$$

Assumption 3 : there exists α, K_i verifying (32) and $\eta > 0$ such that :

$$\left\| \frac{\partial \mu_i(x(t))}{\partial x(t)} \right\| \|x(t)\| \leq \eta, \quad \forall x(t) \in \mathbb{R}^p \quad (33)$$

In this case the expression (29) can be bounded as follows:

$$x(t)^T \dot{P}(x(t)) x(t) \leq \alpha \eta x(t)^T \sum_{i=1}^n P_i x(t), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^p \quad (34)$$

Theorem 2 : suppose that there exists symmetric matrices $Q > 0, P_i > 0, i \in I_n, M$ and N , matrices $K_i, i \in I_n$ and a scalar α which verify the following constraints :

$$P_i > P_{j+r}, \quad i \in I_r, \quad j \in I_{n-r} \quad (35)$$

$$S_{ii} \leq M, \quad i \in I_n \quad (36)$$

$$S_{ij} + S_{ji} \leq 2N, \quad (i, j) \in I_n^2, \quad i < j \quad (37)$$

$$M - N \leq 0 \quad (38)$$

$$N + r^{-1}(M - N) + \alpha \eta \sum_{i=1}^r P_i < -Q \quad (39)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha I & (A_i - B_i K_i)^T \\ A_i - B_i K_i & \alpha I \end{pmatrix} \geq 0, \quad i \in I_n \quad (40)$$

with $\mu_i(z(t)) \mu_j(z(t)) \neq 0, S_{ij} = (A_i - B_i K_i)^T P_j + P_j (A_i - B_i K_i)$ and η a bound respecting (33).

Then the multiple model (24) is globally exponentially stable.

Proof. : The equality (27) can be written

$$R(x) = x^T \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i^2 S_{ii} + \sum_{i < j:1}^n \mu_i \mu_j (S_{ij} + S_{ji}) \right) x$$

With conditions (36) and (37), we obtain

$$R(x) \leq x^T \left(N + \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i (x(t))^2 (M - N) \right) x \quad (41)$$

By using the proposition 1 with the assumption (34) and the constraints (35) and (40), the expression (26) is expressed as follows

$$\dot{V}(x) \leq x^T \left(N + r^{-1} (M - N) + \alpha \eta \sum_{i=1}^r P_i \right) x \quad (42)$$

finally, with the result (39), we verify $\dot{V}(x(t)) < -x(t)^T Q x(t)$, which ends the proof. $\square$

Remarks :

- In order to avoid obtaining non exploitable stabilisation conditions by numerical tools, the case of only two local models simultaneously activated (without any conditions on the input matrices B_i) is considered in (?). This case gives interesting results easy to linearise by existing technics.
- *Linearisation* - The result obtained in theorem 2 are in $\mathcal{BMI}$ form in P_i and K_i . We know that $\mathcal{BMI}$ problems are not convex and may have multiple local solutions. For solving this problem, we can use, for example, the path-following method, developed in (Hassibi *et al.*, 1999) (see (Chadli *et al.*, 2003a) for more detail). For that purpose, let P_{i0} and K_{i0} be initial values and let $P_i = P_{i0} + \delta P_i$ and $K_i = K_{i0} + \delta K_i$. The $\mathcal{LMI}$ formulation corresponds to substitute S_{ij} by

$$\begin{aligned} S_{ij} = & A_i^T P_{j0} + P_{j0} A_i - K_{i0}^T B_i^T P_{j0} - \\ & P_{j0} B_i K_{i0} + A_i^T \delta P_j + \delta P_j A_i - K_{i0}^T B_i^T \delta P_j - \\ & \delta P_j B_i K_{i0} - \delta K_i^T B_i^T P_{j0} - P_{j0} B_i \delta K_i \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

with the supplementary constraints

$$\begin{pmatrix} \zeta P_{i0} & \delta P_i \\ \delta P_i & \zeta P_{i0} \end{pmatrix} > 0, \begin{pmatrix} (\zeta \|K_{i0}\|)^2 & \delta K_i^T \\ \delta K_i & \mathcal{I} \end{pmatrix} > 0 \quad (44)$$

where $0 < \zeta \ll 1$.

5. CONCLUSION

In this paper, the stability analysis of a nonlinear system described by a multiple model and also the controller synthesis are considered. Using the $\mathcal{MQLF}$, sufficient conditions for global asymptotic stability are given using a $\mathcal{LMI}$ formulation. The results of stabilisation are given under $\mathcal{BMI}$ form. This set of inequality may be solved using a linearisation technique.

REFERENCES

- Boyd S., El Ghaoui L., Feron E. and Balakrishnan V. (1994). Linear Matrix Inequalities in System and Control Theory. *Philadelphia: SIAM*.
- Blanco Y., Perruquetti W., Borne P. (2001a). Stability and stabilisation of nonlinear systems and takagi-Sugeno's fuzzy model. In *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*. Vol. 7, pp. 221–240.
- Blanco Y., Perruquetti W., Borne P. (2001b). LMI Approach to design of robust state observer for uncertain systems with time-delay perturbation. In *Proc. of the ECC*. pp. 3917–3922, Porto, Portugal.
- Cao S.G., Rees N. W., Feng G. (1996). Stability analysis and design for a class of continuous-time. fuzzy control systems. *International Journal of Control*. Vol. 64, no 6, pp. 1069–1087.
- Cao S.G., Rees N. W., Feng G. (1999). Analysis and design of fuzzy control systems using dynamic fuzzy state space models. *IEEE Transactions on Fuzzy Systems*. Vol. 7, no 2, pp. 192–200.
- Chadli M. Maquin D. and Ragot J. (2002a). Non-quadratic stability of Takagi-Sugeno systems. In *proc. of the 41th IEEE CDC*. Las vegas, Nevada.
- Chadli M. (2002b). Stabilité et stabilisation de systèmes non linéaires en représentation multi-modèle. *Thèse de l'Institut national Polytechnique de Lorraine, CRAN*. Nancy, France.
- Chadli M. Maquin D. Ragot J. (2003a). Multiple observers for discrete-time multiple models. In *Proc. of IFAC Congres, Safeprocess*. Washington, USA.
- Chadli M. Maquin D. Ragot J. (2003b). On stability analysis of a class of multiple model. In *proc. of the 42th IEEE CDC*. Hawaiï, USA.
- Daafouz J. Bernussou J. (2001). Parameter dependent Lyapunov function for discrete time systems with time varying parametric uncertainties. *Systems and Control letters*. Vol. 43. no. 5. pp. 355–359.
- Guerra T. M., Vermeiren L. (2001). Control laws for takagi-Sugeno fuzzy models. *Fuzzy Set and Systems*. Vol. 33, no 120, pp. 95–108.

- Hassibi A., How J., Boyd S. (1999). A path-following method for solving BMI problems in control. *In Proc. of ACC*. pp. 1385–1389, San Diego, California.
- Jadbabaie A. (1999). A reduction in conservatism in stability and L2 gain analysis of Takagi-Sugeno fuzzy systems via linear matrix inequalities. *In Proc. of the world IFAC congress*. pp. 285–289, China.
- Johansen T. A. (2000). Computation of Lyapunov function for smooth nonlinear systems using convex optimization. *Automatica*. Vol. 36, pp. 1617–1626.
- Johansson M., Rantzer A., Arzén K. (1999). Piecewise quadratic stability of fuzzy systems. *IEEE Transactions on Fuzzy Systems*. Vol. 7, no. 6, pp. 713–721.
- Morère Y., Guerra T-M., Vermeiren L. (2000) Stabilité et stabilisation non quadratique de modèles flous discrets. *In the proc. of CIFA*. pp. 69–73, Lille, France.
- Murray-Smith R. Johansen T.A. (1997). Multiple model approach to modelling and control. *Taylor and Francis*. U.K.
- Tanaka. K, Ikeda T., Wang H. O. (1998). Fuzzy regulators and fuzzy observers : relaxed stability conditions and LMI- Based designs. *IEEE Transactions on Fuzzy Systems*. Vol. 6. no. 2. pp. 1–16.
- Tanaka K., Hori T., Wang H. O. (2001). A fuzzy Lyapunov approach to fuzzy control and design. *In Proc. of the ACC*. pp. 4790–4795, Arlington, VA.
- Zhang J.M., Li R. H., Zhang P. A. (2001). Stability analysis and systematic design of fuzzy control systems. *Fuzzy Set and Systems*. Vol. 120, pp. 65–72.