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Randomized Permutations
in a Coarse Grained Parallel Environment

[Extended Abstract† ]

Jens Gustedt
LORIA & INRIA Lorraine ‡

ABSTRACT
We show how to uniformly distribute data at random (not to be confounded with permutation routing) in a coarse grained parallel environment with $p$ processors. In contrast to previously known work, our method is able to fulfill the three goals of uniformity, work-optimality and balance among the processors simultaneously. To guarantee the uniformity we investigate the matrix of communication requests between the processors. We show that its distribution is a generalization of the multivariate hypergeometric distribution and we give algorithms to compute it efficiently.

Categories and Subject Descriptors
D.1.3 [Programming Techniques]: Concurrent Programming—Distributed programming, Parallel programming;
G.2.1 [Discrete Mathematics]: Combinatorics—Permutations and combinations

General Terms
Algorithms, Performance, Theory

Keywords
Randomized permutations, coarse grained parallelism, uniformly generated communication matrix

1. RANDOM PERMUTATIONS
Random permutation of data is a basic step in many computations. It is used e.g. (i) to achieve a distribution of the data to avoid load imbalances in parallel and distributed computing, (ii) good generation of random samples to test algorithms and their implementations, (iii) in statistical tests, (iv) in computer games, to only name a few. Creating such permutations is relatively costly: one issue that causes this relatively high cost is the generation of (pseudo-)random numbers, but it is not the only one. Another important issue is that a standard algorithm to generate random permutations addresses memory in an unpredictable way and thus causing a lot of cache misses. The running time of a straightforward permutation program is more or less bound to the cpu-memory latency.

Reducing the cost of such a time consuming subroutine is thus an issue, and here we will present an approach to achieve this. First of all, our approach is a parallel one, i.e. uses several processors to compute a random permutation in a parallel or distributed setting. But it also has implications on the sequential framework since allows to relax the constraint imposed by cpu-memory latency to one on cpu-memory bandwidth.

When designing an alternative to the straightforward random generation of permutations, we have to ensure that we do not lose upon its quality: assuming that we have a “real” generator of random numbers we want each possible permutation to occur equally likely.

2. A COARSE GRAINED FRAMEWORK
Our goal is to describe a realistic framework for the generation of random permutations. As a real suitable family of models of parallel computation we use a coarse grained setting that was derived from BSP, see Valiant [1990], which is called PRO, see Gebremedhin et al. [2002]. PRO allows the design and analysis of scalable and resource-optimal algorithms. It is based on (i) relative optimality compared to a fixed sequential algorithm as an integral part of the model; (ii) measuring the quality of the parallel algorithm according to its granularity, that is the relation between $p$ and $n$. In particular, coarseness here means $p \leq \sqrt{n}$, for $p$ the number of processors and $n$ the number items. Whereas solving the problem for a broader range of $p$ might be intellectually challenging, it is not of much relevance for real architectures in a foreseeable future.

PRO only considers algorithms that are both time- and space-optimal. As a consequence of this enforced optimality, a PRO-algorithm always yields linear speed-up relative to a reference sequential algorithm. In addition, PRO assumes that the coarse grained communication cost only depends on $p$ and the bandwidth of the considered point-to-point interconnection network. By that it allows for a design and analysis of algorithms that is still relatively simple but at the same time ensures efficiency of implementations on a wide range of platforms, see Essaïdi et al. [2002].

The use of such models is in contrast to the assumptions that are made by Czumaj et al. [1998] (which solve the
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problem by simulating some fine grained sorting network) and algorithms developed in for the PRAM setting (see eg Hagerup [1991]) and which are either not cost-optimal or not balanced between processors. Please also note, that the so-called permutation routing problem (see eg [Kruskal et al. 1990]) as it was intensively studied for the BSP and similar models is very different from our problem here. There one tries to optimize the communication of the messages during one superstep, the so-called b-relation.

Guérin Lassous and Thierry [2000] investigated several other algorithms to compute random permutations in a coarse grained setting.

3. CONCURRENC OF THREE GOALS

Up to our knowledge, none of the previous algorithms concurrently fulfilled the following three goals.

Uniformity: provided we have a perfect generator of randomness, all permutations must appear equally likely;

Work-optimality: to be suitable for useful implementation the total work (including communication and generation of random numbers) must at least asymptotically be the same as in a sequential setting;

Balance: during the course of the algorithm none of the processors must be overloaded with work or data.

Especially uniformity and balance seem to work against each other. A typical trick to obtain balance for an algorithm that has some (small) probability of imbalance is to start-over whenever such an imbalance is detected. Usually this works well on the work-optimality when probabilities are small enough, and only increases the average running time a bit. But this also means that certain runs that lead to valid permutations are rejected. It is not even to see that all permutations can be obtained nor is it in general possible to prove uniformity.

Another trick to avoid imbalance and non-uniformity is to iterate. If we have a method that is non-uniform but balanced we can iterate it to obtain a uniform distribution. Usually this needs a logarithmic number of iterations and balanced we can iterate it to obtain a uniform distribution.

4. THE COMMUNICATION MATRIX

We achieve our goal by first computing a matrix \( A = (a_{ij}) \) that accounts the amount of communication from processor \( P_i \) to processor \( P_j \). \( A \) is not an arbitrary matrix but has special properties. In particular we have that the row and column sums are all equal to \( m \).

If we suppose we are given such a matrix \( A \), a permutation can be realized by sending out \( a_{ij} \) items between all pairs of processors. As we aim for a random permutation we must ensure that (i) the individual items that are send from \( P_i \) to \( P_j \) are chosen arbitrarily and that (ii) all items that are received on \( P_j \) are mixed randomly. This can easily be achieved by two local random permutations, one before the communication and the other thereafter.

So what remains to be done is the efficient sampling of such matrices under the appropriate probability distribution. Since we want a uniform distribution for the permutations not all matrices \( A \) occur with the same probability. The distribution is not trivial and in particular the different \( a_{ij} \) are not independent.

It turns out that the distribution for these matrices is a generalization of the hypergeometric distribution, see [Siegrist 2001] for the related probability theory. In fact, the technical part of the paper shows how for the recursive computation of a split into independent submatrices it suffices to locally compute hypergeometric distribution on individual processors. The computation of that distribution can be easily and efficiently be done by the theory and code as given in [Zechner 1994]. By our technique we are able to give an algorithm that samples the matrix with the correct distribution. It has an optimal work load and communication of \( O(m) \) per processor and a number of communication rounds (or supersteps) of \( O(\log p) \), see [Gustedt 2002].
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