



HAL
open science

Clockwise or anticlockwise? Turning the centriole triplets in the right direction!

Rustem Uzbekov, Claude Prigent

► **To cite this version:**

Rustem Uzbekov, Claude Prigent. Clockwise or anticlockwise? Turning the centriole triplets in the right direction!. FEBS Letters, 2007, 581 (7), pp.1251-4. 10.1016/j.febslet.2007.02.069. hal-00176136

HAL Id: hal-00176136

<https://hal.science/hal-00176136>

Submitted on 2 Oct 2007

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Clockwise or anticlockwise? Turning the centriole triplets in the right direction!

Rustem Uzbekov¹ and Claude Prigent²

1 Cell Cycle Group, Department of Electron Microscopy, A.N. Belozersky Institute of physico-chemical biology, M.V. Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia.

2 CNRS UMR 6061, Genetique et Developpement, Universite de Rennes 1, IFR140, Faculte de Medecine, 2 av du Pr Leon Bernard, 35043 Rennes cedex, France.

Corresponding authors:

Rustuzbekov@aol.com and Claude.prigent@univ-rennes1.fr

Abstract

Centrosomes are small cytoplasmic macromolecular assemblies composed from two major components, centrioles and pericentriolar material, each with its own complex architecture. This organelle is of interest because it plays a role in a number of fundamental cellular processes and defects in these processes have recently been correlated with variety of human disease. Increasingly, what is known about the structure of this organelle has been overshadowed by the increasing wealth of information on its biochemistry. In this short review, we highlight some of the common centriole structural errors found in the literature and define a set of rules that define centriole structure.

Key words: centrosome, centriole, microtubules, cell cycle,

Before starting this minireview we must clarify the terms “centriole” and “centrosome”. The “centrosome” is a cytoplasm organelle containing two “centrioles” (two cylinders made of nine triplets of microtubules) surrounded by a pericentriolar material.

The centrosome was discovered in the XIX century around 1870 by Walter Flemming [1-3]. Its ultrastructure and its behaviour during cell cycle progression were investigated very early by electron microscopy. As early as 1970 a detailed description of many aspects of centrosome morphology was already available [4-6].

Since its discovery, the centrosome has attracted many scientists from various fields such as microscopy, cell biology, molecular biology, biochemistry, pathology etc. However, after

more than hundred years of extensive studies, many aspects of this organelle remain a mystery; this is the case for its mechanism of centrioles duplication, for instance. During the last few years, modern biochemical methods have been used to identify centrosomal proteins, giving some new insights into centrosomal functions. But because modern biology focuses more on the functional aspects of the centrosome than on structural aspects, cell biologists tend to ignore the structure of the centrosome. Incorrect models of centrosomes that have spread in scientific papers and in well-known textbooks currently used for teaching basic biology illustrated this. The presence of these errors prompted us to write this short note. Let's take for instance two of the most popular textbooks in Cell Biology: *Molecular Cell Biology* (MCB), Third (1995) and Fourth (1997) edition (Ed. by J.E. Darnell) and *Molecular Biology of the Cell* (MBC), Fourth edition, 2002 (Ed. by B. Alberts). In all cases, a "family portrait" of 4 centrioles taken from McGill et al. (1976) [7] was used to illustrate centriolar morphology. In MBC, the figure legend describes the illustration as "a new-replicated centriole", while in MCB the phase of the cell cycle was identified as S-phase. However these centrioles cannot be from an S-phase cell, because procentrioles and mother centrioles are (1) disconnected and too far away from each other and (2) their axes have lost the perpendicular orientation characteristic of S-phase. This picture might rather correspond to centrioles from a G1 polyploid cell. To understand the origin of the mistake, one must return to the original paper from McGill et al. (1976) [7] which running title is indeed "Abnormal centrioles in mammalian cells". The centrioles used for this illustration were not taken from normal cells, but from cells incubated for 4 h in 10 µg/ml propidium iodide, a treatment reported to disturb centrosome organization as well as cell cycle progression [7]. However, the most common error lies in the structure of the two centrioles and particularly on the direction in which the centriolar triplets are curling. In the 1960s it was shown that if one observed a centriolar cylinder from its proximal end, triplet curling (vector from internal microtubule "A" to external microtubule "C") was oriented anticlockwise. This orientation remains unchanged in all kinds of centrioles [6, 8]. Misorientation first appeared in 1976 when Krstic published a scheme in which triplets were oriented clockwise [9]. Later the same mistake spread to several other publications [10-12]. A correction was made in the 1995 MBC textbook edition, which shows the triplets in the correct orientation. A similar error reappeared in the first version of the textbook from Pollard and Earnshaw (2002) [13], in which triplets in mother and daughter centrioles curl in different directions. For a mature mother centriole the direction is anticlockwise as it should be, but for daughter centriole (and the scheme) the direction is clockwise when it should be anticlockwise (their figure 37-9).

Also a confusion is made between proximal and distal ends of centrioles (Fuller et al., 1995 in their Fig. 3) [15]. This error in the orientation of the centriole triplets seems to emanate from the legend of the centrosome structure describing the sections “numbered 1 to 5 from proximal to distal ends”, whereas in fact the numeration was from the distal to proximal ends (Fig. 2 in Tournier and Bornens, 1994 [14]).

Next common mistake regards the connection of the two centrioles by their distal ends (Fig. 8 in Fuller et al., 1995) [15, 16]. Centrioles are connected and oriented to each other by their proximal ends [17-19]. The origin of procentriole duplication that starts at the surface of the mother centriole cylinder imposed the orientation. The proximal end of the new centriolar cylinder always appears close to the proximal end of the mother centriole. Also, the distal end of the centriole always remains free for cilia formation. Drawing procentrioles with a diameter significantly smaller than the mother centrioles must also be avoided (Fig. 8g and 8h in Fuller et al., 1995) [15]. The diameter of centrioles and procentrioles containing triplets remains practically identical during duplication. Centrosomes have been isolated from cells treated with nocodazole, cytochalasin, incubated in hypotonic and cold solutions and submitted to a series of centrifugations. Obviously, such treatments remove many associated proteins but unfortunately also induce morphological changes. This is particularly the case when one observes subdistal appendages (pericentriolar satellites). In vivo the base of one sub-distal appendage always appears connected to 2 to 3 centriolar triplets. These connections impose the conical shape of the appendage with a spherical head (see Fig. 1 in Vorobjev and Chentsov, 1982) [10]. In contrast, sub-distal appendages observed on isolated centrioles have often lost this conical shape and look like cylindrical structures connected to only one centriolar triplet [17]. Schemes of centrioles pair sometimes contain two “mother” centrioles (Fig. 8 in Fuller et al., 1995) [15] and (Fig. 9 in Andersen, 1999) [20] instead of one mother and one daughter centriole with a different morphology [10, 18, 21]. For example, in late interphase, after centrosome duplication and before mitosis, appendages are present only on a single mother centriole among the four centrioles, the two mother centrioles being different. Sub-distal appendages disappear during the first quarter of G2-phase [10]. During cell cycle progression, one cell, whether it contains one or two centrosomes, should contain only one centriole (the oldest) with sub-distal appendages from G1 to the middle of G2. After the middle of G2-phase none of the centrioles possess any sub-distal appendages [11, 19, 22-24]. ϵ -tubulin was reported to localize on sub-distal appendages before centrosome duplication [25]. But because ϵ -tubulin immunostaining was observed on each duplicated centrosome, a short cut was used to draw G2 and mitotic centrosomes as each containing one centriole with

subdistal appendages [26]. Sub-distal appendages do not form on the second centriole but rather completely disappear from the older mother centriole during G2-phase. Replacement of sub-distal appendages by a mitotic halo during G2-phase was first reported in 1968 by Robbins and co-workers [23], and later confirmed with details by Vorobjev and Chentsov (1982) [10]. Therefore, in the second half of G2-phase both centrosomes look identical and sub-distal appendages construction restarts only during G1-phase of next cell cycle. Regarding distal appendages, they decorate the mother centriole in G1-phase. After centrosome duplication, distal appendages appear only during mitosis on one of the centrioles of the second centrosome, the future mother centriole. While even specialists in centrosome research sometimes ignore these fundamental morphological aspects of this organelle, we would like to present postulates of centrosomal structure illustrated by an updated scheme and selected electron microscopy images (Fig.1), which hopefully will be useful to both new and established scientists interested in centrosome biology.

The best up-to-date morphological description of the centrosome is given in reviews by de Harven (1968) [5] Brinkley and Stubblefield (1970) [27], Fulton (1971)[6], and classical book of Wheatley (1982) [24], while in the most recent book “Centrosome in development and disease” (2004), largely describing all updated aspects of centrosome function, one does not find any structural scheme for the centrosome, which continues to intrigue a growing number of biologists.

Twelve principal postulates of centrosomal biology.

(see Figure):

1 - The centrosome and the basal body are two forms of the same organelle. The centrosome can produce cilia, and the basal body can function as a mitotic pole organizer. Centrosome usually contained two cylinders from nine MT triplets each – centrioles, pericentriolar matrix and some additional structures associated with centriolar cylinders. Basal bodies of cilia and flagella can contain one or two centriolar cylinders.

2 - The centriole is a polar structure, with two functionally different ends. The distal end (plus-end of the centriolar triplet’s microtubules) can be the site of cilia origin. The new centriolar cylinder (procentriole) usually starts to grow near the centriole surface, close to the

proximal end (minus end of MT of triplets) or in rare cases directly from the proximal end of the centriole.

3 - Centriolar polarity can be distinguished from the orientation of some of its components. Viewed from the proximal end, the triplets (in the direction internal MT “A” to external MT “C”) are always twisted anti-clockwise while distal appendages twist in the opposite direction – clockwise (also viewed from proximal end). Pericentriolar material can be also positioned asymmetrically covering proximal but not distal end of centriole [19].

4 - The external diameter of the distal part of the centriolar cylinder is smaller than the diameter of the proximal end. First, because the triplets change to duplets (the C- microtubule is usually shorter than A and B) and second because the angle of the vector that represents the triplets (and duplets) relative to the radius is decreasing.

5 - In a centrosome from proliferating cells the two centrioles differ structurally and functionally. Only the more mature centriole (the mother) has appendages on the distal end; the daughter centriole has only electron dense ribs along the triplets (duplets). Also, only the mother centriole has sub-distal appendages. Microtubule nucleation sites are preferentially placed on or near mother centriole.

6 - Mother and daughter centrioles are connected by their proximal ends. When a centriole functions as a basal body its relationship to the daughter can either be completely absent as in ciliated epithelium or the daughter may lose its relationship to the basal body and move some distance away from it [28].

7 - Inside the centriolar cylinder the triplets are united with each other by a complex system of connections, the structure of which changes from the proximal to the distal end. At EM level, the proximal end of the centriole cylinder appears empty while the distal end contains electron dense material.

8 - Each centriole is surrounded by electron dense material – the pericentriolar matrix. Under conditions in which the MT triplets are experimentally removed from isolated centrioles (treatment with high salt solution), this material in association with the internal centriolar connections can support the cylindrical shape of the organelle (centriolar rim) [29].

9 - The structure of the centrosome differs between mitosis and interphase. In mitosis a halo replaces the sub-distal appendages, the cilia (primary cilia) disappears, and MT nucleation capacity significantly increases.

10 - New centrioles usually form near a mother centriole but in some cases a centriole can appear *de novo* [30].

11 - There are four types of centrosome MT nucleation activity resulting in the production of (1) the interphase radial MT system, (2) the MT of the mitotic spindle, (3) the MT of the procentriole, or (4) MT of the cilia.

12 - The full maturation process from procentriole to mother centriole takes place over more than 1.5 cell cycles. Centriole duplication in somatic cells starts after the restriction point in G1 or S. During the first cell cycle after its appearance (from the end of G1 to the beginning of the next G1) a young centriole is placed near its mother and oriented perpendicular to its surface.

Acknowledgements.

We wish to thank William Earnshaw, Igor Kireev, James Maller, and Paul Sinclair for helpful discussion and English proofreading. Rustem Uzbekov work is supported by Moscow State University (Russia) and INRA (France), Claude Prigent work is supported by the CNRS, and the “Canceropole Grand Ouest”.

References

- [1] Flemming, W. (1875) Studien in der Entwicklungsgeschichte der Najaden. Sitzungsber Akad. Wissensch Wien. 71, 81-147.
- [2] Hertwig, O. (1875) Beitrage zur Kenntniss der Bildung, Befruchtung und Theilung des thierischen Eies. Morphol. Jb., 1, 347-434.
- [3] Beneden, E. van. (1876) Recherches sur les Dicyémides, survivants actuels d'un embranchement des mésozoaires. Bull. Acad. Roy. Méd. Belg., 41 (sér. II), 1160-1205.
- [4] Stubblefield, E., and Brinkley, B.R. (1967) Architecture and Function of the Mammalian Centriole. In: Formation and Fate of Cell organelles (Warren, K.B. Ed), pp. 175-218. New York, Academic Press Inc. NY.
- [5] de Harven, E. (1968) The centriole and the mitotic spindle. In: The nucleus (Dalton A.J., and Haguenu F., Eds), V.3, pp. 197-227. Acad. Press, New-York.
- [6] Fulton, C. (1971) Centrioles. In: Origin and continuity of cell organelles, pp.170-221. Springer-Verlag New-York Inc., New York.
- [7] McGill, M., Highfield, D.P., Monahan, T.M., and Brinkley, B.R. (1976) Effects of nucleic acid specific dyes on centrioles of mammalian cells. J. Ultrastr. Res., 57, 43-53.
- [8] O'Hara, P. T. (1970) Spiral Tilt of Triplet Fibers in Human Leukocyte Centrioles. J. Ultrastructure Research, 31, 195-198.

- [9] Krstic, K. (1976) *Ultrastructure of the Mammalian Cell*, pp. 114-115. New-York, Springer-Verlag.
- [10] Vorobjev, I.A., and Chentsov, Yu.S. (1982) Centrioles in the Cell Cycle. I Epithelial Cells. *J. Cell Biol.* 98, 938-949.
- [11] Vorobjev, I.A., and Nadezhdina, E.S. (1987) The centrosome and its role in the organization of microtubules. *Int. Rev. Cytol.*, 106, 227–293.
- [12] Doxsey, S.J., Stein, P., Evans, L., Calarco, P.D., and Kirschner, M. (1994) Pericentrin, a highly conserved protein involved in microtubule organization. *Cell*, 76, 639-650.
- [13] Pollard, T.D. and Earnshaw, W.C. (2002) *Cell Biology* (Earnshaw W.C. Ed.) Saunders. Philadelphia, PA.
- [14] Tournier, F. and Bornens, M. (1994) Cell cycle regulation of centrosome function. In: *Microtubules*, pp. 303-324. Wiley-Liss, Inc.
- [15] Fuller, S.D., Gowen, B.E., Reinsch, S., Sawyer, A., Buendia, B., Wepf, R. and Karsenti, E. (1995) The core of the mammalian centriole contains gamma-tubulin. *Curr. Biol.* 5(12), 1384-1393.
- [16] Fuller, S.D., Kenney, J.M., and Karsenti, E. (1992) Centrosomes. *Curr. Opin. In Structural Biol.* 2, 264-274.
- [17] Paintrand, M., Moudjou, M., Delacroix, H., and Bornens, M. (1992) Centrosome Organization and Centriole Architecture: Their Sensitivity to Divalent Cations. *J. Structural Biol.* 108, 107-128.
- [18] Chretien, D., Buendia, B., Fuller, S.D., and Karsenti, E. (1997) Reconstruction of the Centrosome Cycle from Cryoelectron Micrographs. *J. Structural Biol.* 120, 117-133.
- [19] Ou, Y. and Rattner, J.B. (2004) The Centrosome in higher organisms: structure, composition, and duplication. *Int. Rev. Cytol.*, 238, 119–182.
- [20] Andersen, S.S.L. (1999) Molecular Characteristics of the centrosome. *Int. Rev Cytology*, 187, 51- 156.
- [21] Nigg, E.A. (2002) Centrosome aberrations: cause or consequence of cancer progression? *Nature reviews, Cancer.* 2, 1-11.
- [22] Murray, R.G., Murray, A.S. and Pizzo, A. (1965) The fine structure of mitosis in rat thymic lymphocytes. *J. Cell Biol.* 26, 601-619.
- [23] Robbins, E., Jentsch, G., and Micali, A. (1968) The centriole cycle in synchronized HeLa cells. *J. Cell Biol.*, 36, 329-339.
- [24] Wheatley, D.N. (1982) *The Centriole: a central enigma of cell biology*. Elsevier Biomedical Press, Amsterdam/New York.

- [25] Chang, P., Giddings, T.H. Jr, Winey, M., and Stearns, T. (2003) ϵ -Tubulin is required for centriole duplication and microtubule organization. *Nature Cell Biol.*, 5, 71-76.
- [26] Sluder, G. (2004) Centrosome duplication and its regulation in higher animal cell. In: *Centrosomes in Development and Disease* (Nigg E.A. Ed), pp.167-189. Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim.
- [27] Brinkley, B.R., and Stubblefield, E. (1970) Ultrastructure and interaction of the kinetochore and centriole in mitosis and meiosis. In: *Advances in Cell biology* (Prescott, D.M., Goldstein, L., and Mc Conkey, E. Eds), V 1, pp. 119-184. Appleton-Century Crofts, New York.
- [28] Dawe, H.R., Farr, H., Gull, K. (2007) Centriole/basal body morphogenesis and migration during ciliogenesis in animal cells. *J Cell Sci.*, 120(Pt 1), 7-15.
- [29] Fais, D.A., Nadezhdina, E.S., and Chentsov, Y.S. (1986) The centriolar rim. The structure that maintains the configuration of centrioles and basal bodies in the absence of their microtubules. *Exp Cell Res.* 164(1), 27-34.
- [30] La Terra, S., English, C.N., Hergert, P., McEwen, B.F., Sluder, G., Khodjakov, A. (2005) The de novo centriole assembly pathway in HeLa cells: cell cycle progression and centriole assembly/maturation. *J Cell Biol.* 168(5), 713-722.

Figure legend

Centrosome structure in an animal cell at the end of G1-phase, beginning of S-phase.

From “a” to “j”, electron microscopy images (top line - serial sections of daughter centriole, second line - selected sections of mother centriole, scale bar 200 nm) illustrating different centrosome structures shown on the centrosome scheme - “k”.

MC – mother (mature) centriole, DC – daughter centriole; PC – procentriole;

PCM – pericentriolar material (pericentriolar matrix);

A - microtubule of triplet;

B - microtubule of triplet;

C - microtubule of triplet;

H – hook of C microtubule;

MTD – A-B microtubule duplex (in distal part of centriolar cylinder);

ITC – internal triplets connections system;

CS – cartwheel structure (axis with spokes);

PCS – pericentriolar satellite (= sub-distal appendage);

HPCS – head of pericentriolar satellite;

SPCS – stem of pericentriolar satellite (connected to three triplets in this case);

SS – striated structure of pericentriolar satellite stem;

MT – microtubule ;

AP – appendage (=distal appendage) ;

HAP – head of appendage;

R – rib.

