



**HAL**  
open science

# Accurate array diagnosis from near-field measurements using $\ell_1$ reweighted minimization

Benjamin Fuchs, Marco Donald Migliore

► **To cite this version:**

Benjamin Fuchs, Marco Donald Migliore. Accurate array diagnosis from near-field measurements using  $\ell_1$  reweighted minimization. Antennas and Propagation Society International Symposium (APSURSI), 2013, 2013, pp.2255-2256. 10.1109/APS.2013.6711786 . hal-00996660

**HAL Id: hal-00996660**

**<https://hal.science/hal-00996660>**

Submitted on 26 May 2014

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Accurate Array Diagnosis from Near-Field Measurements Using $\ell_1$ Reweighted Minimization

Benjamin Fuchs  
University of Rennes 1 / IETR  
France  
benjamin.fuchs@univ-rennes1.fr

Marco Donald Migliore  
DIEI  
Universita' di Cassino e del Lazio Meridionale  
Cassino, Italy  
mdmiglio@unicas.it

**Abstract**—In this contribution the use of  $\ell_1$  weighted minimization for the diagnosis of arrays from a reduced set of near-field data is investigated. Numerical results show that reweighted method gives a higher probability of an accurate estimation of the failures compared to the classic  $\ell_1$  minimization proposed in the past literature.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Near-field measurements are largely used in arrays diagnosis. In these measurement systems the data acquisition time is an important factor, and investigation of algorithms able to reduce the number of measured data is of interest [1], [2].

Recently, efficient sparse recovery technique was proposed in the framework of antenna measurements in order to reduce the number of measured data [4] - [6]. In particular, in [5] an  $\ell_1$  minimization technique was proposed to identify the fault elements in large arrays from a highly reduced set of measurements.

On the other hand, the reweighted  $\ell_1$  minimization algorithm proposed by Candes, Wakin and Boyd [7] was successfully applied in the framework of sparse array synthesis [8], [9], showing better performance compared to the  $\ell_1$  standard minimization algorithm. Starting from these results, the application of the reweighted  $\ell_1$  minimization algorithm in array diagnosis from near-field measurements is currently under investigation.

This contribution presents some preliminary results, that confirm the effectiveness of the reweighted  $\ell_1$  minimization in antenna diagnosis.

## II. THE MODEL

Let us consider an Array Under Test (AUT) consisting of  $N$  radiating elements located in known positions  $\mathbf{r}_n$ . Let  $x_n$  and  $\mathbf{f}_n(\theta, \phi)$  be the excitation coefficient and the electric-field radiation pattern of the  $n$ -th radiating element, respectively. A probe having effective height  $\mathbf{h}(\theta, \phi)$  is placed in  $M$  spatial points  $\mathbf{r}_m$ ,  $m = 1, \dots, M$ . The voltage at the probe output can be expressed by the linear system

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y} \quad (1)$$

wherein  $\mathbf{y} = (\mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{y}_2, \dots, \mathbf{y}_M)^T \in \mathbf{C}^M$ ,  $y_m$  being the probe voltage measured at point  $\mathbf{r}_m$ ,  $\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N)^T \in \mathbf{C}^N$ ,  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbf{C}^{M \times N}$  is a matrix whose element  $(m, n)$  is equal to  $\exp(-j\beta r_{m,n}) / (4\pi r_{m,n}) \mathbf{f}(\theta_{m,n}, \phi_{m,n}) \cdot \mathbf{h}(\theta'_{m,n}, \phi'_{m,n})$ ,

$r_{m,n} = |\mathbf{r}_m - \mathbf{r}_n|$ ,  $\theta_{m,n}$  and  $\phi_{m,n}$  are the relative angles between the  $m$ -th measurement point and the  $n$ -th element position in a reference system centered on the  $n$ -th array radiating element.

In array diagnostic the goal is to identify the fault elements.

Following the approach proposed in [5], we suppose that a reference failure-free array is available. As first step, we characterize this array of reference, obtaining the vector  $\mathbf{x}^r \in \mathbf{C}^N$  containing the (failure-free) excitation coefficients, and the vector  $\mathbf{y}^r \in \mathbf{C}^M$  containing the value of the probe voltage in the measurement points.

Then the field radiated by the AUT is measured. Let  $\mathbf{x}^d = \{x_1, \dots, x_N\}^T$  be the vector of excitations of the AUT and  $\mathbf{y}^d = \{y_1, \dots, y_M\}^T$  the vector collecting the far-field measured data.

Now, let us consider the system

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y} \quad (2)$$

wherein  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}^d - \mathbf{x}^r$  and  $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{y}^d - \mathbf{y}^r$ .

If the number of fault elements  $S$  is small (as usually happens) compared to the total number of elements  $N$ , i.e. if  $S \ll N$ , we have an equivalent problem involving a highly sparse array. It means that the  $\mathbf{x}$  we are looking for is sparse.




Fig. 1. Linear array,  $N=111$ ,  $M=25$ ,  $S=7$ ,  $\text{SNR}=50$  dB; occurrence of the MSE, 500 trials; upper histogram: standard  $\ell_1$  minimization algorithm; lower histogram: weighted  $\ell_1$  minimization algorithm (4 iterations).

The above technique basically allows to decrease the amount of information required to retrieve the unknown vector by introducing a-priori information on the nominal excitation in the model [10].

In [5] the sparse data were retrieved using the  $\ell_1$  minimization proposed in sparse recovery/compressed sensing literature. Recently, a reweighted version of this algorithm was proposed by Candes, Wakin and Boyd [7].

Basically, the use of weighted  $\ell_1$  norm allows to avoid to penalize the highest entries of  $\mathbf{x}$ , solving the following iterative procedure:

$$\arg \min \sum_{i=1}^N w_i^k |x_i^k| \quad \text{subject to} \quad \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}^k\|_2 < \epsilon \quad (3)$$

wherein  $k$  is the iteration index,  $\epsilon$  is fixed by the noise level affecting the vector  $\mathbf{y}$  of the measured data,  $w_i^k = \frac{1}{|x_i^{k-1}| + \eta}$  and  $\eta$  is a small quantity greater than 0 to ensure the numerical stability of the algorithm.

Note that at the first step the reweighted  $\ell_1$  minimization gives the same result of the standard  $\ell_1$  minimization procedure.

### III. NUMERICAL RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS

The AUT is a linear array of  $N = 111$  isotropic radiating elements. The nominal excitation is given by Chebyshev coefficients giving a -30 dB equiripple far-field pattern. A number of  $S = 7$  failures, represented by zero amplitude excitation coefficients, are randomly selected among the 111 coefficients. The radiated fields are measured in  $M = 25$  points, placed on a uniform  $12\lambda$  linear grid placed at  $d = 20\lambda$  distance from the AUT. A Gaussian random noise is added to the measured data. A number of 500 trials, considering random failure positions and measurement positions, were carried out for a given SNR.

The histogram of the occurrence of the Mean Square Error of the excitations is plotted in Fig. 1 in case of standard  $\ell_1$  minimization algorithm (upper histogram) and weighed




Fig. 2. Linear array,  $N=111$ ,  $M=25$ ,  $S=7$ ; black points=exact excitation coefficients; red points: excitation coefficients estimated using  $\ell_1$  minimization; MSE= -32 dB.

$\ell_1$  minimization after 4 iterations (lower histogram). The histograms show a bi-modal behavior, with two maxima respectively around -32 dB and -53 dB. In order to have a qualitative indication of the effectiveness of the excitation estimation as function of the MSE, the excitation in case of MSE equal to -32 dB is plotted in Fig. 2. The plot shows that, even if the most part of the failures are recognizable, the solution is 'non accurate' since the procedure is not able to clearly identify all the failures associated to small excitation coefficients. Numerical simulations indicated that an error lower than about -38 dB makes highly likely to identify all the failures.

Coming back to Fig. 1, the histograms show that the weighed  $\ell_1$  minimization is able to improve the accuracy of the solutions associated to an error lower than about -38 dB in the  $\ell_1$  minimization. However, the number of trials that give a MSE lower than -38 dB does not significantly change with the number of iterations. As a consequence, the figures suggest that the use of the reweighted  $\ell_1$  algorithm is advantageous provided that a preliminary study on the number of measurements required to reduce the occurrence of 'non-accurate' reconstructions to a negligible value is carried out.

It is worth stressing that the results presented in this paper are preliminary, and further studies are required to understand the performance of reweighted  $\ell_1$  minimization in the framework of near-field antenna measurements.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work was partially supported by MIUR under grant #20093CJEJ5\_002

### REFERENCES

- [1] J.J. Lee, E.M. Ferrer, D.P. Woollen, K.M. Lee, "Near-field probe used as a diagnostic tool to locate defective elements in an array antenna," IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag., vol. 36, no. 3, pp.884-889, June 1988.
- [2] O. M. Bucci, M. D. Migliore, G. Panariello, and G. Sgambato, "Accurate diagnosis of conformal arrays from near-field data using the matrix method," IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag., vol. 53, no. 3, pp. 1114-1120, Mar. 2005
- [3] M.D. Migliore, "Compressed Sensing in Electromagnetics: Theory, Applications and Perspectives", EuCap 2011, Roma
- [4] M.D. Migliore, D. Pinchera, "A Microwave Camera based on Random Projections", Antennas and Prop. Symposium, Spokane, 2011
- [5] M.D. Migliore, "A Compressed Sensing Approach for Array Diagnosis from a Small Set of Near-Field Measurements", IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag., June 2011
- [6] G. Oliveri, P. Rocca, A. Massa, "Reliable Diagnosis of Large Linear Arrays Bayesian Compressive Sensing Approach", IEEE Trans. on Antennas and Prop., Vol 60, n. 10, pp. 4627-4636, Oct. 2012.
- [7] E.J. Candes, M. B. Wakin, S. P. Boyd, "Enhancing Sparsity by Reweighted  $\ell_1$  Minimization", J. Fourier Anal Appl 14, pp. 877-905, 2008.
- [8] B. Fuchs, "Synthesis of Sparse Arrays With Focused or Shaped Beam-pattern via Sequential Convex Optimizations", IEEE Trans. on Antennas and Prop., vol 10, n. 7, 2012, pp. 3499-3503
- [9] G. Prisco, M. D'Urso, "Maximally Sparse Arrays Via Sequential Convex Optimizations", IEEE Antennas and Prop. Letters, Vol. 11, 2012, pp. 192-195
- [10] M.D. Migliore, "On electromagnetics and information theory", IEEE Trans. on Antennas and Prop., Vol 56, n. 10, October 2008, pp. 3188-3200