



The zero set of conformal vector fields

Andrei Moroianu, Liviu Ornea

► To cite this version:

| Andrei Moroianu, Liviu Ornea. The zero set of conformal vector fields. 2010. hal-00452449

HAL Id: hal-00452449

<https://hal.science/hal-00452449>

Preprint submitted on 2 Feb 2010

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

THE ZERO SET OF CONFORMAL VECTOR FIELDS

ANDREI MOROIANU AND LIVIU ORNEA

ABSTRACT. We show that every connected component of the zero set of a conformal vector field on a Riemannian manifold is totally umbilical.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 53C15, 53C25.

Keywords: conformal vector field, Killing vector field, totally umbilical manifolds.

1. INTRODUCTION

A well-known result of S. Kobayashi, [3], states that the connected components of the set of zeros of a Killing vector field on a Riemannian manifold are totally geodesic submanifolds of even codimension (the case of isolated singular points is included). This is a purely local result.

In [1], D.E. Blair proved the similar statement for conformal vector fields, the zero set being now a totally umbilical submanifold. However, his proof only works on *compact* manifolds and makes explicit use of the Obata theorem.

In this short note we prove that, in fact, the result is local, like that of Kobayashi. However, we were not able to prove it in full generality. Precisely, we could not prove that each connected component of the zero set of a conformal vector field is always a submanifold. For Killing fields, this follows by identifying this set with the image through the exponential map of a vector subspace of the tangent space. In the conformal case, we could not find a connection whose exponential map play this rôle. We thus need to assume that the zero set contains a differentiable curve. We do not know whether this assumption can be removed or not.

2. MAIN RESULT

Let ζ be a conformal vector field on a Riemannian manifold (M^n, g) ($n \geq 3$). We denote by ξ its metric dual 1-form, and by $\varphi := -\delta\xi/n$. Then ξ satisfies:

$$\nabla_Y \xi = \frac{1}{2} Y \lrcorner d\xi + \varphi Y^\flat, \quad \forall Y \in TM. \quad (1)$$

In [2, Theorem 2.1], Capocci proved the following result:

This work was accomplished in the framework of the Associated European Laboratory “MathMode”. L.O. is partially supported by CNCSIS PNII grant code 8, 525/2009.

Theorem 2.1. [2] Let x be a zero of the conformal field ζ . Then ζ is a homothetic vector field with respect to some conformal metric \tilde{g} defined on a neighbourhood of x if and only if the gradient of φ with respect to g belongs to the image of $\nabla\zeta$ at x ; moreover, ζ is Killing with respect to \tilde{g} if, in addition, $\varphi(x) = 0$.

Hence, if 2.1 applies, the connected component of the zero set of ζ is totally geodesic with respect to \tilde{g} , and hence totally umbilical with respect to g (see, e.g. [1, pp.2–3]).

We can now state our result:

Theorem 2.2. Let ζ be a conformal vector field on a Riemannian manifold (M^n, g) ($n \geq 3$). If a connected component of its zero set contains a smooth curve, then this connected component is a totally umbilical submanifold of M .

We show that we can apply Theorem 2.1.

From now on we identify 1-forms and vectors via the metric g whenever there is no risk of confusion. We fix some point x on M , and a local orthonormal frame $\{e_i\}$ parallel at x . We also assume that all vector fields X, Y, \dots are parallel at x in order to simplify the computations. Differentiating (1) in the direction of some vector field X yields

$$\nabla_X \nabla_Y \xi = \frac{1}{2} Y \lrcorner \nabla_X d\xi + X(\varphi)Y, \quad \forall X, Y \in TM. \quad (2)$$

On the other hand, we also have

$$\nabla_X \nabla_Y \xi = R_{X,Y}\xi + \nabla_Y \nabla_X \xi \stackrel{(1)}{=} R_{X,Y}\xi + \nabla_Y \left(\frac{1}{2} X \lrcorner d\xi + \varphi X \right). \quad (3)$$

We use Einstein's summation convention on repeated subscripts. From (2) and (3) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_X d\xi &= \frac{1}{2} e_i \wedge (e_i \lrcorner \nabla_X d\xi) \stackrel{(2)}{=} e_i \wedge \nabla_X \nabla_{e_i} \xi \\ &\stackrel{(3)}{=} e_i \wedge (R_{X,e_i}\xi + \frac{1}{2} X \lrcorner \nabla_{e_i} d\xi + e_i(\varphi)X) \\ &= e_i \wedge R_{X,e_i}\xi + \frac{1}{2} \nabla_X d\xi + d\varphi \wedge X. \end{aligned}$$

To compute the curvature term we can use the first Bianchi identity:

$$\begin{aligned} e_i \wedge R_{X,e_i}\xi &= e_i \wedge e_j R(X, e_i, \xi, e_j) = e_i \wedge e_j (R(X, e_j, \xi, e_i) + R(X, \xi, e_i, e_j)) \\ &= -e_i \wedge e_j R(X, e_i, \xi, e_j) + 2R_{X,\xi}, \end{aligned}$$

whence $e_i \wedge R_{X,e_i}\xi = R_{X,\xi}$. The previous equation yields

$$\nabla_X d\xi = 2R_{X,\xi} + 2d\varphi \wedge X. \quad (4)$$

Lemma 2.3. Let Z denote the zero set of ζ . Assume that Z contains a smooth curve $c(t)$ (that we may suppose parametrized by arc length, i.e. $g(\dot{c}, \dot{c}) = 1$).

Then $\nabla_{\dot{c}} \zeta = 0$, $\varphi(c(t)) = 0$ and $\dot{c}(\varphi) = 0$.

Proof. Extend \dot{c} to a vector field Y on M . Since the flow φ_s of Y through any point of the curve c stays (locally) on the same curve, the Lie derivative of ζ with respect to Y vanishes at every point of c :

$$(\mathcal{L}_Y \zeta)_{c(t)} = -\frac{d}{ds}|_{s=0}((\varphi_s)_* \zeta)_{c(t)} = -\frac{d}{ds}|_{s=0}(\varphi_s)_*(\zeta_{\varphi_s^{-1} c(t)}) = 0.$$

We thus get at $c(t)$

$$\nabla_{\dot{c}} \zeta = \nabla_Y \zeta = \nabla_\zeta Y = 0.$$

Moreover, since $g(\nabla_{\dot{c}} \zeta, \dot{c}) = \varphi g(\dot{c}, \dot{c}) = \varphi$, we must have $\varphi(c(t)) = 0$. Differentiating this with respect to t yields the last relation. \square

Taking (1) into account we get $\dot{c} \lrcorner d\xi = 0$. We differentiate this relation with respect to \dot{c} and obtain at any point of c :

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \nabla_{\dot{c}}(\dot{c} \lrcorner d\xi) = \nabla_{\dot{c}}\dot{c} \lrcorner d\xi + \dot{c} \lrcorner \nabla_{\dot{c}}d\xi \\ &\stackrel{(4)}{=} 4\nabla_{\dot{c}}\dot{c} \lrcorner \nabla\xi + 2R_{\dot{c}, \zeta}\dot{c} + \dot{c}(\varphi)\dot{c} - 2d\varphi g(\dot{c}, \dot{c}) \\ &= 4\nabla_{\dot{c}}\dot{c} \lrcorner \nabla\xi - 2d\varphi. \end{aligned}$$

This shows in particular that $d\varphi$ (more precisely, the gradient of φ with respect to g) belongs to the image of $\nabla\xi$ at $c(0)$.

According to Theorem 2.1, this completes the proof.

REFERENCES

- [1] D.E. Blair, *On the zeros of a conformal vector field*, Nagoya Math. J. **55** (1974), 1–3.
- [2] M.S. Capocci, *Essential conformal vector fields*, Class. Quantum Grav. **16** (1999), 927–935.
- [3] S. Kobayashi, *Fixed points of isometries*, Nagoya Math. J. **13** (1958) 63–68.

CENTRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES, ECOLE POLYTECHNIQUE, 91128 PALAISEAU CEDEX, FRANCE

E-mail address: am@math.polytechnique.fr

UNIV. OF BUCHAREST, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS, 14 ACADEMIEI STR., 70109 BUCHAREST, ROMANIA, AND INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS “SIMION STOILOW” OF THE ROMANIAN ACADEMY, 21, CALEA GRIVITEI STR., 010702-BUCHAREST, ROMANIA.

E-mail address: lornea@gta.math.unibuc.ro, Liviu.Ornea@imar.ro