

Some translation-invariant Banach function spaces which contain c_0

*Pascal Lefèvre, Daniel Li,
Hervé Queffélec, Luis Rodríguez-Piazza*

Abstract. *We produce several situations where some natural subspaces of classical Banach spaces of functions over a compact abelian group contain the space c_0 .*

Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 43A46, 46B20; Secondary: 42A55, 42B35, 43A07, 46E30

Key-words. cotype; Hilbert set; invariant mean; Orlicz space; q -Rider set; Riemann-integrable function; Rosenthal set; set of uniform convergence; Sidon set; p -Sidon set.

1 Introduction

Let G be a compact abelian group and $\Gamma = \widehat{G}$ its dual group. It is a familiar theme in Harmonic Analysis to compare the “thinness” properties of a subset $\Lambda \subseteq \Gamma$ with the Banach space properties of the space X_Λ , where X is a Banach space of Haar-integrable functions on G and X_Λ is the subspace of X consisting of the $f \in X$ whose spectrum lies in Λ : $\widehat{f}(\gamma) = 0$ if $\gamma \notin \Lambda$. We refer to Kwapien-Pelczyński’s classical paper [17] for such investigations.

It is known that, denoting by Ψ_2 the Orlicz function $e^{x^2} - 1$:

- (1) If $L_\Lambda^{\Psi_2} = L_\Lambda^2$, then Λ is a Sidon set (Pisier [35], Théorème 6.2);
- (2) If \mathcal{C}_Λ has a finite cotype, then Λ is a Sidon set (Bourgain-Milman [3]).

Recall that Λ is a *Sidon set* if every continuous function on G with spectrum in Λ has an absolutely convergent Fourier series.

In a previous paper, we proved, among other facts, the following extension of (1) ([19], Theorem 2.3):

- (1′) If $L_\Lambda^{\Psi_2}$ has cotype 2, then Λ is a Sidon set;

We also showed the following variant of (2) ([19], Theorem 1.2):

- (2′) If U_Λ has a finite cotype, then Λ is a Sidon set,

where $U = U(\mathbb{T})$ is the space of the continuous functions on the circle group \mathbb{T} whose Fourier series converges uniformly on \mathbb{T} .

In this work, we study what are the implications on Λ of the fact that some Banach space X_Λ contains, or not, the space c_0 . In particular, we shall extend (1') and (2').

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we show that if ψ is an Orlicz function which violates the Δ_2 -condition, in a strong sense: $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\psi(2x)}{\psi(x)} = +\infty$ (which is the case of Ψ_2), and if X_0 is a linear subspace of L^∞ on which the norms $\|\cdot\|_2$ and $\|\cdot\|_\psi$ are not equivalent, then the closure X of X_0 in L^ψ contains c_0 . It follows that if Λ is not a Sidon set, then $L_\Lambda^{\Psi_2}$ contains c_0 , and *a fortiori* that if $L_\Lambda^{\Psi_2}$ has a finite cotype, then Λ is a Sidon set, which generalizes (1').

In Section 3, we extend (2') by showing that: If Λ is not a set of uniform convergence (*i.e.* if $U_\Lambda \neq \mathcal{C}_\Lambda$), then U_Λ does contain c_0 . In particular, if U_Λ has a finite cotype, then $U_\Lambda = \mathcal{C}_\Lambda$, so \mathcal{C}_Λ has a finite cotype and therefore, in view of (2), Λ is a Sidon set. This explains why the proof of (2') in [19] mimicked Bourgain and Milman's.

In Section 4, we use the notion of invariant mean in $L^\infty(G)$. We say that Λ is a *Lust-Piquard set* if, for every function $f \in L_\Lambda^\infty$, the product γf of f with every character $\gamma \in \Gamma$ has a unique invariant mean. Of course, if every $f \in L_\Lambda^\infty$ is continuous (*i.e.* Λ is a Rosenthal set), then Λ is a Lust-Piquard set. F. Lust-Piquard ([27]) showed that there are Lust-Piquard sets which are not Rosenthal sets, and, more precisely, that $\Lambda = \mathbb{P} \cap (5\mathbb{Z} + 2)$, where \mathbb{P} is the set of the prime numbers, is a Lust-Piquard set such that \mathcal{C}_Λ contains c_0 (if Λ is a Rosenthal set, \mathcal{C}_Λ cannot contain c_0). We construct here another kind of "big" Lust-Piquard set Λ , namely a Hilbert set. Then \mathcal{C}_Λ contains c_0 by a result of the second-named author ([22], Theorem 2).

In Section 5, we investigate under which conditions the space \mathcal{C}_Λ is complemented in L_Λ^∞ . We conjecture that this happens only if $\mathcal{C}_\Lambda = L_\Lambda^\infty$, *i.e.* Λ is a Rosenthal set. We are only able to show that, under that condition of complementation, \mathcal{C}_Λ does not contain c_0 , and, moreover, every $f \in L_\Lambda^\infty$ which is Riemann-integrable is actually in \mathcal{C}_Λ .

Notation. Throughout this paper, G is a compact abelian group, and $\Gamma = \widehat{G}$ is its (discrete) dual group. The Haar measure of G is denoted by m , and integration with respect to m by dt or dx . We shall write the group structure of Γ additively, so that, for $\gamma \in \Gamma$, the character $(-\gamma) \in \Gamma$ is the function $\overline{\gamma} \in \mathcal{C}(G)$. When G is the circle group $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$, we identify, as usual, the character $e_n: t \mapsto e^{int}$ with the integer $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, and so the dual group Γ to \mathbb{Z} ; the Haar measure is then $dt/2\pi$.

For $f \in L^1(G)$, the Fourier coefficient of f at $\gamma \in \Gamma$ is $\widehat{f}(\gamma) = \int_G f(t) \overline{\gamma(t)} dt$. If X is a linear function subspace of $L^1(G)$, we denote by X_Λ the subspace of those $f \in X$ for which the Fourier coefficients vanish outside of Λ .

When we say that a Banach space X contains a Banach space Y , we mean that X contains a (closed) subspace isomorphic to Y .

Acknowledgements. This work was partly supported by a Picasso project (EGIDE-MCYT) between the french and spanish governments.

We thank the referee for a very careful reading of this paper and for many suggestions to improve the writing.

2 Subspaces of Orlicz spaces

Let ψ be an Orlicz function, that is, an increasing convex function $\psi: [0, +\infty[\rightarrow [0, +\infty[$ such that $\psi(0) = 0$ and $\psi(+\infty) = +\infty$. We shall assume that ψ violates the Δ_2 -condition, in the following strong sense:

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\psi(2x)}{\psi(x)} = +\infty. \quad (*)$$

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ be a probability space. The Orlicz space $L^\psi(\Omega)$ is the space of all the (equivalence classes of) measurable functions $f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ for which there is a constant $C \geq 0$ such that

$$\int_{\Omega} \psi\left(\frac{|f(t)|}{C}\right) d\mathbb{P}(t) \leq 1$$

and then $\|f\|_{\psi}$ is the least possible constant C .

Observe that (*) implies that there exists $a > 0$ such that $\psi(2t) \geq 4\psi(t)$ for every $t \geq a$. Hence, for all $n \geq 0$, one has $\psi(2^n a) \geq 4^n \psi(a)$. It follows that, for $2^n a \leq x < 2^{n+1} a$, we have

$$\psi(x) \geq \psi(2^n a) \geq 4^n \psi(a) \geq \left(\frac{x}{2a}\right)^2 \psi(a) = C x^2.$$

Hence $\psi(x) \geq C x^2$ for every $x \geq a$, and so the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\psi}$ is stronger than the norm of L^2 .

Theorem 2.1 *Suppose that ψ is an Orlicz function as above. Let X_0 be a linear subspace of $L^\infty(\Omega)$ on which the norms $\|\cdot\|_2$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\psi}$ are not equivalent. Then there exists in X_0 a sequence which is equivalent, in the closure X of X_0 for the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\psi}$, to the canonical basis of c_0 .*

Proof. We first remark that, thanks to (*), we can choose, for each $n \geq 1$, a positive number x_n such that

$$\psi\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2^n} \psi(x), \quad \forall x \geq x_n.$$

Since ψ increases, we have for every $x \geq 0$:

$$\psi\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2^n} \psi(x) + \psi(x_n).$$

Next, ψ is continuous since it is convex. Hence there exists $a > 0$ such that $\psi(a) = 1$. Then, since ψ is increasing, we have, for every $f \in L^\infty(\Omega)$:

$$\int_{\Omega} \psi\left(a \frac{|f|}{\|f\|_{\infty}}\right) d\mathbb{P} \leq 1,$$

and so $\|f\|_\psi \leq (1/a) \|f\|_\infty$.

Now, let α_n , $n \geq 1$, be positive numbers less than $a/2$ such that $\sum_{n \geq 1} \alpha_n < a$. We shall construct inductively a sequence of functions $f_n \in X_0$, with $\|f_n\|_\psi = 1$, and a sequence of positive numbers $\beta_n \leq 1/2^n$ such that:

- (i) $\mathbb{P}(\{|f_n| > \alpha_n\}) \leq \beta_n$, for every $n \geq 1$;
- (ii) if we set $M_1 = 1$ and, for $n \geq 2$:

$$M_n = \psi\left(\frac{\|f_1\|_\infty + \cdots + \|f_{n-1}\|_\infty}{2}\right),$$

then $(M_n + \psi(x_n))\beta_n \leq 1/2^n$;

- (iii) for every $n \geq 1$, $\|g_n\|_\psi \geq 1/2$, with $g_n = f_n \mathbb{I}_{\{|f_n| > \alpha_n\}}$.

For this, we start with β_1 such that $(1 + \psi(x_1))\beta_1 = 1/2$. Since the norms $\|\cdot\|_\psi$ and $\|\cdot\|_2$ are not equivalent on X_0 , there is an $f_1 \in X_0$ with $\|f_1\|_\psi = 1$ and $\mathbb{P}(\{|f_1| > \alpha_1\}) \leq \beta_1$. Suppose now that f_1, \dots, f_{n-1} and $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-1}$ have been constructed. We choose then $\beta_n \leq 1/2^n$ in order that $(M_n + \psi(x_n))\beta_n \leq 1/2^n$. Since the norms $\|\cdot\|_\psi$ and $\|\cdot\|_2$ are not equivalent on X_0 , we can find $f_n \in X_0$ such that $\|f_n\|_\psi = 1$ and $\|f_n\|_2$ is so small that

$$\mathbb{P}(\{|f_n| > \alpha_n\}) \leq \beta_n.$$

Since $\|f_n - g_n\|_\psi \leq (1/a) \|f_n - g_n\|_\infty \leq \alpha_n/a$, we have $\|g_n\|_\psi \geq \|f_n\|_\psi - \alpha_n/a \geq 1/2$, and that finishes the construction.

Now, consider

$$g = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} |g_n|.$$

Set $A_n = \{|f_n| > \alpha_n\}$ and, for $n \geq 1$:

$$B_n = A_n \setminus \bigcup_{j>n} A_j.$$

We have $\mathbb{P}(\limsup A_n) = 0$, because $\sum_{n \geq 1} \mathbb{P}(A_n) \leq \sum_{n \geq 1} \beta_n < +\infty$. Now g vanishes out of $\bigcup_{n \geq 1} B_n \cup (\limsup A_n)$ and $\int_{B_n} \psi(|g_n|) d\mathbb{P} \leq \int_\Omega \psi(|f_n|) d\mathbb{P} \leq 1$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} \psi\left(\frac{|g|}{4}\right) d\mathbb{P} &= \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \int_{B_n} \psi\left(\frac{|g|}{4}\right) d\mathbb{P} \\
&\leq \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \int_{B_n} \frac{1}{2} \left[\psi\left(\frac{\|f_1\|_{\infty} + \dots + \|f_{n-1}\|_{\infty}}{2}\right) + \psi\left(\frac{|g_n|}{2}\right) \right] d\mathbb{P} \\
&\quad \text{by convexity of } \psi \text{ and because } g_j = 0 \text{ on } B_n \text{ for } j > n \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} M_n \mathbb{P}(A_n) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \int_{B_n} \psi(|g_n|) d\mathbb{P} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \psi(x_n) \mathbb{P}(A_n) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} (M_n + \psi(x_n)) \beta_n + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \leq 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $g \in L^{\psi}(\Omega)$.

It follows that the series $\sum_{n \geq 1} g_n$ is weakly unconditionally Cauchy in X . Since $\|g_n\|_{\psi} \geq 1/2$, it has, by the Bessaga-Pelczyński's theorem, a subsequence which is equivalent to the canonical basis of c_0 . The same is true for $(f_n)_{n \geq 1}$ since

$$\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \|f_n - g_n\|_{\psi} \leq \frac{1}{a} \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \|f_n - g_n\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{1}{a} \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \alpha_n < 1.$$

That ends the proof. \square

Of course, the proof shows that the assumption that the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\psi}$ is not equivalent to $\|\cdot\|_2$ can be replaced by the non-equivalence of $\|\cdot\|_{\psi}$ with many other norms. We only used the fact that the topology of convergence in measure is not equivalent on X_0 to the topology defined by $\|\cdot\|_{\psi}$.

When we apply this result to the probability space (G, m) , we get (see [19], Theorem 2.3):

Theorem 2.2 *Let ψ be as in Theorem 2.1 and let G be a compact abelian group. Then, for $\Lambda \subseteq \Gamma = \widehat{G}$, either L_{Λ}^{ψ} has cotype 2, or it contains c_0 .*

In particular, either Λ is a Sidon set and $L_{\Lambda}^{\Psi_2} = L_{\Lambda}^2$, or $L_{\Lambda}^{\Psi_2}$ contains c_0 (and so it has no finite cotype).

Proof. Observe that when $L_{\Lambda}^{\psi} \neq L_{\Lambda}^2$, the norms $\|\cdot\|_{\psi}$ and $\|\cdot\|_2$ are not equivalent on $X_0 = \mathcal{P}_{\Lambda}$, the subspace of the trigonometric polynomials whose spectrum is contained in Λ . So the first part follows directly from Theorem 2.1. The second one follows from Pisier's characterization of Sidon sets ([35], Théorème 6.2): Λ is a Sidon set if and only if $L_{\Lambda}^{\Psi_2} = L_{\Lambda}^2$. \square

Remark. It is proved in [19], Theorem 2.3, that Λ is a $\Lambda(\psi)$ -set (i.e. $L_{\Lambda}^{\psi} = L_{\Lambda}^2$) when $L_{\Lambda}^{\Psi_2} \subseteq L_{\Lambda}^{\psi} \subseteq L_{\Lambda}^2$ and L_{Λ}^{ψ} has cotype 2.

3 Uniform convergence

A function $f \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T})$ is said to have a *uniformly convergent Fourier series* if $\|S_k(f) - f\|_\infty \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow +\infty} 0$, where

$$S_k(f) = \sum_{j=-k}^k \widehat{f}(j) e_j.$$

The space $U(\mathbb{T})$ of *uniformly convergent Fourier series* is the space of all such $f \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T})$. With the norm

$$\|f\|_U = \sup_{k \geq 1} \|S_k(f)\|_\infty,$$

$U(\mathbb{T})$ becomes a Banach space.

A set $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ is said to be a *set of uniform convergence (UC-set)* if $U_\Lambda = \mathcal{C}_\Lambda$ as linear spaces. They are then isomorphic as Banach spaces. There exist sets Λ which are not UC-sets, but for which \mathcal{C}_Λ does not contain c_0 (for instance, a Rosenthal set which contains arbitrarily long arithmetical progressions [38]). For U_Λ the situation is different; we have:

Theorem 3.1 *If Λ is not a UC-set, then U_Λ contains c_0 .*

Corollary 3.2 *If U_Λ has a finite cotype, then Λ is a Sidon set.*

Proof. If U_Λ has a finite cotype, it cannot contain c_0 . Hence U_Λ is isomorphic to \mathcal{C}_Λ . It follows that \mathcal{C}_Λ has a finite cotype, and so Λ is a Sidon set, by Bourgain-Milman's theorem [3]. \square

Remark. This result was proved in [19], Theorem 1.2, by adapting the proof of Bourgain and Milman. Now it becomes clear why this proof happened to mimic the original one.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Since Λ is not a UC-set, there exists a trigonometric polynomial $P_1 \in \mathcal{C}_\Lambda$ such that $\|P_1\|_U = 1$ and $\|P_1\|_\infty \leq 1/2$. Let $N_1 \geq 2$ such that $\widehat{P_1}(n) = 0$ for $|n| \geq N_1$. The spaces $U_{\Lambda \setminus \Lambda \cap \{-N_1+1, \dots, 0, \dots, N_1-1\}}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\Lambda \setminus \Lambda \cap \{-N_1+1, \dots, 0, \dots, N_1-1\}}$ remain non-isomorphic, and so we can find a trigonometric polynomial P_2 such that $\widehat{P_2}(n) = 0$ for $|n| \leq N_1 - 1$ with $\|P_2\|_U = 1$ and $\|P_2\|_\infty \leq 1/4$. Carrying on this construction, we get a sequence of integers $2 \leq N_1 < N_2 < \dots$ and a sequence of trigonometric polynomials $P_l \in \mathcal{C}_\Lambda$ such that $\|P_l\|_U = 1$, $\|P_l\|_\infty \leq 1/2^l$ and $\widehat{P_l}(n) = 0$ for $n \notin \{\pm N_{l-1}, \dots, \pm(N_l - 1)\}$.

Now, fix an integer $L \geq 1$ and a sequence a_1, \dots, a_L of complex numbers.

For each $k \geq 1$, let l_k such that $N_{l_k} \leq k < N_{l_k+1}$. We have, when $L \geq l_k + 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| S_k \left(\sum_{l=1}^L a_l P_l \right) \right\|_{\infty} &\leq \left\| \sum_{l=1}^{l_k} a_l P_l \right\|_{\infty} + \|a_{l_k+1} S_k(P_{l_k+1})\|_{\infty} \\ &\leq \max_{1 \leq j \leq l_k} |a_j| \sum_{l=1}^{l_k} \|P_l\|_{\infty} + |a_{l_k+1}| \|P_{l_k+1}\|_{\infty} \\ &\leq 2 \max\{|a_1|, \dots, |a_{l_k}|, |a_{l_k+1}|, \dots, |a_L|\}. \end{aligned}$$

The inequality $\|S_k(\sum_{l=1}^L a_l P_l)\|_{\infty} \leq 2 \max\{|a_1|, \dots, |a_{l_k}|, |a_{l_k+1}|, \dots, |a_L|\}$ remains trivially true for $L \leq l_k$, because in this case $S_k(\sum_{l=1}^L a_l P_l) = \sum_{l=1}^L a_l P_l$. Therefore we get

$$\left\| \sum_{l=1}^L a_l P_l \right\|_U \leq 2 \max\{|a_1|, \dots, |a_L|\}.$$

It follows that the series $\sum_{l \geq 1} P_l$ is weakly unconditionally Cauchy. Since it is obviously not convergent, U_{Λ} contains a subspace isomorphic to c_0 by Bessaga-Pełczyński's theorem (see [6], pages 44–45, Theorem 6 and Theorem 8). \square

Remark 1. There is the stronger notion of *CUC*-set. $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ is a *CUC*-set if $\left\| \sum_{j=k_1}^{k_2} \widehat{f}(j) e_j - f \right\|_{\infty} \xrightarrow[k_2 \rightarrow +\infty]{k_1 \rightarrow -\infty} 0$ for every $f \in \mathcal{C}_{\Lambda}$. Obviously, for subsets of \mathbb{N} ,

the two notions coincide. Theorem 3.1 is not valid for *CUC*-sets: let H be a Hadamard lacunary sequence. Then $\Lambda = H - H$ is not a *CUC*-set (Fournier [8]), but it is *UC* and Rosenthal, so that $U_{\Lambda} = \mathcal{C}_{\Lambda}$ does not contain c_0 .

However, it is not known whether $\mathcal{C}_{\Lambda_1 \cup \Lambda_2}$ lacks c_0 whenever this is true for \mathcal{C}_{Λ_1} and \mathcal{C}_{Λ_2} . If we replace the space $\mathcal{C}(G)$ by $U(\mathbb{T})$, the answer is in the negative. Indeed, J. Fournier shows ([8]), completing Soardi and Travaglini's work [43], that there exist two *UC*-sets $\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2 \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$, which are Rosenthal sets, but $\Lambda_1 \cup \Lambda_2 = H + H - H$ is not *UC*. Therefore $U_{\Lambda_1} = \mathcal{C}_{\Lambda_1}$ and $U_{\Lambda_2} = \mathcal{C}_{\Lambda_2}$ do not contain c_0 , though $U_{\Lambda_1 \cup \Lambda_2}$ contains c_0 .

Remark 2. *UC*-sets Λ for which \mathcal{C}_{Λ} contains c_0 are constructed in [24].

Remark 3. We stated Theorem 3.1 for uniform convergence because it is the classical case. Actually, J. Fournier ([8], page 72) and S. Hartman ([13], page 107) introduced the space $L^1 - UC$ as the set of all $f \in L^1(\mathbb{T})$ for which $\|S_k(f) - f\|_1 \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow +\infty]{} 0$. It is normed by $\|f\|_{UL^1} = \sup_{k \geq 1} \|S_k(f)\|_1$. Λ is said to be an $L^1 - UC$ -set if $(L^1 - UC)_{\Lambda} = L^1_{\Lambda}$. The same proof as above shows that if $(L^1 - UC)_{\Lambda} \neq L^1_{\Lambda}$, then $(L^1 - UC)_{\Lambda}$ contains c_0 . More generally, let $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ and let X be a Banach space contained, as a linear subspace, in $L^1(\mathbb{T})$ such that the linear space generated by $X \cap \Lambda$ is dense in X . We can define $X - UC$ in an obvious way, and we have: if $X - UC$ is not isomorphic to X , then it contains c_0 .

We give another consequence of Theorem 3.1. Recall (see [30]) that $\Lambda \subseteq \Gamma$ is a *Riesz set* if every measure with spectrum in Λ is absolutely continuous, with respect to the Haar measure (in short, $\mathcal{M}_\Lambda = L_\Lambda^1$).

Corollary 3.3 *If U_Λ does not contain c_0 , then Λ is a Riesz set.*

Proof. If $U_\Lambda \not\supseteq c_0$, then $U_\Lambda = \mathcal{C}_\Lambda$, by Theorem 3.1, and so $\mathcal{C}_\Lambda \not\supseteq c_0$. It follows then that Λ is a Riesz set (F. Lust-Piquard [25], her first Théorème 3.1). Let us recall why. For $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_\Lambda$, the convolution operator $C_\mu: f \in \mathcal{C}(G) \mapsto f * \mu \in \mathcal{C}_\Lambda \subseteq \mathcal{C}(G)$ is weakly compact, because $\mathcal{C}(G)$ has Pełczyński's property (V) and $\mathcal{C}_\Lambda \not\supseteq c_0$. Its adjoint operator $\nu \in \mathcal{M}(G) \mapsto \nu * \mu \in \mathcal{M}_\Lambda$ is also weakly compact. Hence, if $(K_j)_j$ is an approximate unit for the convolution, there is a sequence $(j_n)_n$ such that $K_{j_n} * \mu$ is weakly convergent. Since $K_j * \mu$ converges weak-star to μ , it follows that $\mu \in L_\Lambda^1$. \square

Remark. Another proof can be given, without using Theorem 3.1, but using that $U(\mathbb{T})$ has Pełczyński's property (V) (Saccone [42], Theorem 2.2; for $U_{\mathbb{N}}(\mathbb{T})$, see Bourgain [1], Lemme 2 and Lemme 3, and Saccone [41], Theorem 4.1). Then, as before, $K_{j_n} * \mu$ is weakly convergent, in $U(\mathbb{T})^*$ this time. So there are convex combinations which converge in the norm of $U(\mathbb{T})^*$. But then they converge in the norm of $U_{\mathbb{N}}(\mathbb{T})^*$, and so $u \in L^1(G)$ (see D. Oberlin [33], page 310). Note that Oberlin's argument (as well as Bourgain's one) depends on Carleson's Theorem (via [47]).

4 Invariant means and Hilbert sets

An *invariant mean* M on $L^\infty(G)$ is a continuous linear functional on $L^\infty(G)$ such that $M(\mathbf{1}) = \|M\| = 1$ and $M(f_x) = M(f)$ for every $f \in L^\infty(G)$. The Haar measure m defines an invariant mean, and W. Rudin ([40]) showed that, for infinite compact abelian groups G , there always exist other invariant means on $L^\infty(G)$. A function $f \in L^\infty(G)$ has a unique invariant mean if $M(f) = \widehat{f}(0)$ for every invariant mean M on $L^\infty(G)$. Every continuous function (or, even, every Riemann-integrable function: [39], page 38, or [44]) has a unique invariant mean.

Definition 4.1 *A subset Λ of $\Gamma = \widehat{G}$ is called a Lust-Piquard set if γf has a unique invariant mean for every $f \in L_\Lambda^\infty$ and every $\gamma \in \Gamma$.*

In other words, Λ is a Lust-Piquard set if for every invariant mean M on $L^\infty(G)$ and every $f \in L_\Lambda^\infty$, one has:

$$M(\gamma f) = \widehat{f}(-\gamma).$$

In [26] (and then in [21]; see also [28]), F. Lust-Piquard called them *totally ergodic sets*. We use a different name because J. Bourgain ([2], 2.I, page 206), used the terminology “ergodic set” for another property (see also [24]).

Note that it is required that the invariant means agree on $\bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} L_{\Lambda - \gamma}^\infty$, and not only on L_Λ^∞ , because the invariant means may coincide on L_Λ^∞ for trivial reasons; for instance, all the invariant means are equal to 0 on $L_{2\mathbb{Z}+1}^\infty$ (since $f(x + 1/2) = -f(x)$ for $f \in L_{2\mathbb{Z}+1}^\infty$). It is clear that if Λ is a Lust-Piquard set, then $\Lambda - \gamma$ is also a Lust-Piquard set for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

It is obvious that every Rosenthal set is a Lust-Piquard set (since every continuous function has a unique invariant mean), and it is shown in [21] that every Lust-Piquard set is a Riesz set. On the other hand, Y. Katznelson (see [39], pages 37–38) proved that \mathbb{N} is not a Lust-Piquard set.

F. Lust-Piquard ([27], Theorem 2 and Theorem 4) showed that $\Lambda = \mathbb{P} \cap (5\mathbb{Z} + 2)$, where \mathbb{P} is the set of the prime numbers, is totally ergodic (a Lust-Piquard set, with our terminology) although \mathcal{C}_Λ contains c_0 .

In the following theorem, we give another example of such a situation. Let us recall that $H \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ is a *Hilbert set* if there exist two sequences of integers $(p_n)_{n \geq 1}$ and $(q_n)_{n \geq 1}$, with $q_n \neq 0$, such that

$$H = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} \left\{ p_n + \sum_{k=1}^n \varepsilon_k q_k ; \varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n = 0 \text{ or } 1 \right\}.$$

It is shown in [22], Theorem 2, that \mathcal{C}_H contains c_0 when H is a Hilbert set.

Theorem 4.2 *There exists a Hilbert set $H \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ which is a Lust-Piquard set.*

We begin with a lemma, which is implicit in [27], proof of Theorem 4.

Lemma 4.3 *The family of Lust-Piquard sets in Γ is localizable for the Bohr topology.*

Let us recall that the *Bohr topology* of a discrete abelian group Γ is the topology of pointwise convergence, when Γ is seen as a subset of $\mathcal{C}(G)$; it is also the natural topology on Γ as a subset of the dual group of G_d , the group G with the discrete topology. A class \mathcal{F} of subsets of Γ is *localizable for the Bohr topology* if $\Lambda \in \mathcal{F}$ whenever for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$ there is a neighbourhood V_γ of γ for the Bohr topology such that $\Lambda \cap V_\gamma \in \mathcal{F}$. This notion is due to Y. Meyer ([30]).

For the sake of completeness, we shall give a proof.

Proof of Lemma 4.3. We are going to prove that if V_γ is a neighbourhood of $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that $\Lambda \cap V_\gamma$ is a Lust-Piquard set, then $\overline{\gamma}f$ has a unique invariant mean for every $f \in L_\Lambda^\infty$, and that will prove the lemma.

By the regularity of the algebra $L^1(G_d) = \ell_1(G) = \mathcal{M}_d(G)$, there exists a discrete measure $\nu \in \mathcal{M}_d(G)$ such that $\widehat{\nu}(\gamma) = 1$ and $\widehat{\nu} = 0$ outside V_γ . Since $(\overline{\gamma}f) * (\overline{\gamma}\nu) \in L_{(\Lambda \cap V_\gamma) - \gamma}^\infty$, and since $(\Lambda \cap V_\gamma) - \gamma$ is a Lust-Piquard set, we have:

$$M((\overline{\gamma}f) * (\overline{\gamma}\nu)) = [(\overline{\gamma}f) * \widehat{(\overline{\gamma}\nu)}](0) = \widehat{f}(\gamma) \widehat{\nu}(\gamma) = \widehat{f}(\gamma).$$

But $\overline{\gamma}\nu$ is a discrete measure, and we have, for every discrete measure μ :

$$M(\mu * g) = M(g) \widehat{\mu}(0)$$

for every $g \in L^\infty(G)$ and every invariant mean M . This is so since, if $\mu = \sum_k a_k \delta_{x_k}$, with $\sum_k |a_k| < +\infty$, we have

$$M(\mu * g) = \sum_k a_k M(g_{x_k}) = \sum_k a_k M(g).$$

Hence $M(\overline{f}) = \widehat{f}(\gamma)$, as required. \square

Proof of Theorem 4.2. We are going to construct a Hilbert set $H \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ which is discrete in \mathbb{Z} for the Bohr topology. For such a set, there is, for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, some Bohr-neighbourhood V_k of k such that $H \cap V_k$ is finite. Therefore, we have $L_{H \cap V_k}^\infty = \mathcal{C}_{H \cap V_k}$, and so $H \cap V_k$ is a Lust-Piquard set.

Let $(d_n)_{n \geq 0}$ be a strictly increasing sequence of positive integers such that:

$$d_n \mid d_{n+1}, \quad n \geq 0, \quad \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{2^{n+1}}{d_n} < 1.$$

For every $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, consider:

$$V(k) = k + d_{|k|}\mathbb{Z},$$

which is a Bohr-neighbourhood of k .

Now, we are going to show that we can choose, for every $n \geq 0$, an integer $r_n \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, d_n - 1\}$ such that, if

$$H_n = d_n + r_n + \left\{ \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \varepsilon_l d_l; \varepsilon_l = 0 \text{ or } 1 \right\},$$

then

$$H_p \cap V(k) = \emptyset$$

for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and every $p > |k|$. The set $H = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} H_n$ will be the required set.

We are going to do this by induction. First, we may choose an arbitrary $r_0 \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, d_0 - 1\}$, and we set $H_0 = \{d_0 + r_0\}$. Suppose now that we have found r_1, r_2, \dots, r_{p-1} such that the previous conditions are fulfilled:

$$H_j \cap V(k) = \emptyset, \quad \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq p-1, \quad |k| < j.$$

To find r_p , note that $m \in H_p \cap V(k)$ if and only if

$$m \in k + d_{|k|}\mathbb{Z} \tag{1}$$

and there exist $\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_{p-1} \in \{0, 1\}$ such that

$$m = d_p + r_p + \sum_{l=0}^{p-1} \varepsilon_l d_l. \tag{2}$$

Since, for $0 \leq l < p$, one has $d_l \mid d_{l+1} \mid \cdots \mid d_p$, conditions (1) and (2) are equivalent to $r_p \equiv 0 \pmod{d_0}$, for $k = 0$, and, for $1 \leq l = |k| < p$, to:

$$k \equiv r_p + \sum_{j=0}^{|k|-1} \varepsilon_j d_j \pmod{d_{|k|}}.$$

For each such k ($0 \leq |k| < p$), there are

$$\frac{d_p}{d_{|k|}} \cdot 2^{|k|}$$

possible choices for r_p . As

$$\frac{d_p}{d_0} + 2 \sum_{l=1}^{p-1} 2^l \frac{d_p}{d_l} \leq \frac{d_p}{d_0} + 2 \sum_{l=1}^{+\infty} 2^l \frac{d_p}{d_l} < d_p,$$

by hypothesis, we can find an $r_p \in \{0, 1, \dots, d_p - 1\}$ such that the set H_p constructed from it verifies $H_p \cap V(k) = \emptyset$ for $|k| < p$. That ends the proof. \square

Remark 1. Some particular Hilbert sets are the *IP*-sets, *i.e.* the sets F for which there exists a sequence $(p_n)_{n \geq 1}$ of integers such that

$$F = \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^n \varepsilon_k p_k; \varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n = 0 \text{ or } 1, n \geq 1 \right\}.$$

Question. Does there exist an *IP*-set F which is a Lust-Piquard set?

Every point of an *IP*-set F is non-isolated in F (see [10], Theorem 2.19; note that every point of an *IP*-set is inside the translation by this point of a sub-*IP*-set). Therefore we cannot use an argument similar to that of the previous theorem. Hilbert sets and *IP*-sets are different in several ways. For instance, every set $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ which has a positive uniform density contains a Hilbert set ([14], Theorem 11.11; [22], Theorem 4), but not necessarily an *IP*-set ([14], Theorem 11.6; [32], page 151). Another difference is that \mathcal{C}_Λ never has the Unconditional Metric Approximation Property if $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ is an *IP*-set ([23], Proposition 11), but can have this property when Λ is a Hilbert set ([23], Theorem 10).

Remark 2. Let \mathcal{F} be a class of subsets of Γ , which contains all the finite sets, and which is localizable for the Bohr topology. It follows from the proof of Theorem 4.2 that such a class must contain some Hilbert sets. In particular \mathcal{F} has to contain sets Λ such that Λ contains parallelepipeds of arbitrarily large dimensions. Note that this last assertion is actually implicit in [27]. Indeed, by Dirichlet's theorem, $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{P} \cap (5\mathbb{Z}+2)} \frac{1}{n} = +\infty$, and by [31], Corollary 2, we have $\sum_{n \in \Lambda} \frac{1}{n} < +\infty$ when Λ does not contain parallelepipeds of arbitrarily large dimensions. It is known that the sets belonging to the following classes cannot contain parallelepipeds of arbitrarily large dimensions:

- a) $\Lambda(p)$ -sets (see [31], Theorem 3, and [9], Theorem 4);
- b) UC -sets ([9], Theorem 4);
- c) p -Sidon sets ([15], Lemma 1);
- d) stationary sets ([18], Proposition 2.5);
- e) q -Rider sets (see [24] or [19] for the definition). Note that, for $1 \leq q < 4/3$, q -Rider sets are p -Sidon sets, for every $p > q/(2 - q)$ (see [20]), and so the result is in c). For $4/3 \leq q < 2$, there is no explicit published proof of that, and therefore we shall give one in Proposition 4.4, after this Remark.

Hence these classes are not localizable for the Bohr topology.

This remark shows that there is no hope to construct sets of the above classes by way of localization.

Proposition 4.4 *If Λ is a q -Rider set, $1 \leq q < 2$, then Λ cannot contain parallelepipeds of arbitrarily large dimensions.*

Proof. A Sidon set (with constant less than 10, say) inside a parallelepiped P of size 2^n cannot contain more than $C n \log n$ elements ([16], Chapter 6, § 3, Theorem 5, page 71), whereas if P were contained in a q -Rider set, it should contain a quasi-independent (hence Sidon with constant less than 10) set of size at least $C 2^{\varepsilon n}$, with $\varepsilon = (2 - q)/q$ ([36], or [37], Teorema 2.3). \square

Note that another proof of Proposition 4.4 is implicit in [15]. Indeed the proof given in [15], Lemma 1, that p -Sidon sets share this property only uses the fact, proved in [4], Eq. (9), that if Λ is a p -Sidon set, then, with $\alpha = 2p/(3p - 2)$, there is a constant $C > 0$ such that $\|f\|_r \leq C \sqrt{r} \|\widehat{f}\|_\alpha$ for all $r \geq 2$ (equivalently: $\|f\|_{\Psi_2} \leq C' \|\widehat{f}\|_\alpha$) for every $f \in \mathcal{C}_\Lambda$. Now the fourth-named author proved that these inequalities characterize p -Rider sets ([36]; see also [37], Teorema 2.3).

5 Complemented subspaces

Since Λ is a Rosenthal set if $L_\Lambda^\infty = \mathcal{C}_\Lambda$, it is natural to ask whether Λ is a Rosenthal set if there exists a projection from L_Λ^∞ onto \mathcal{C}_Λ . We have not been able to answer this, even if this projection were to have norm 1 (see [12], where the condition that the space does not contain ℓ_1 is crucial), but we have a partial result. Recall that it is not known whether $\mathcal{C}_\Lambda \not\supseteq c_0$ implies that Λ is a Rosenthal set.

Theorem 5.1 *Let $\Lambda \subseteq \Gamma$ be such that there exists a surjective projection $P: L_\Lambda^\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_\Lambda$. Then \mathcal{C}_Λ does not contain c_0 . Moreover, every Riemann-integrable function in L_Λ^∞ is actually in \mathcal{C}_Λ .*

Recall that a function $h: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is *Riemann-integrable* if it is bounded and almost everywhere continuous. Actually, the last assertion of the proposition means that every element of L_Λ^∞ which contains a Riemann-integrable function contains also a continuous one.

Proof. 1) By [22], Proposition 14, if \mathcal{C}_Λ contains c_0 , there is a sequence $(f_n)_{n \geq 1}$ in \mathcal{C}_Λ , which is equivalent to the canonical basis of c_0 , and whose w^* linear span F in L_Λ^∞ is isomorphic to ℓ_∞ . The restriction $P|_F$ is a projection from F onto a subspace of \mathcal{C}_Λ which contains $E = \overline{\text{span}\{f_n; n \geq 1\}}$.

Observe that E is a separable subspace of \mathcal{C}_Λ . So there exists a countable subset $\Lambda_1 \subseteq \Lambda$ such that $E \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{\Lambda_1}$. Moreover, there exists a countable subgroup $\Gamma_0 \subseteq \Gamma$ such that Λ_1 is contained in Γ_0 . Taking $\Lambda_0 = \Lambda \cap \Gamma_0$, we have $E \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{\Lambda_0}$, and \mathcal{C}_{Λ_0} is a separable space.

The set Γ_0 being a subgroup, there exists a measure μ on G whose Fourier transform is $\widehat{\mu} = \mathbb{1}_{\Gamma_0}$. The map $f \mapsto f * \mu$ gives a projection from \mathcal{C}_Λ onto \mathcal{C}_{Λ_0} , and Sobczyk's theorem gives a projection from \mathcal{C}_{Λ_0} onto E . So there exists a projection from $F \simeq \ell_\infty$ onto $E \simeq c_0$, which is a contradiction.

2) We first assume that the group G is metrizable, so that $\mathcal{C}(G)$ is separable.

Let RI_Λ be the subspace of L_Λ^∞ consisting of Riemann-integrable functions (more precisely: the elements of L_Λ^∞ which have a Riemann-integrable representative).

Consider the restriction of P to RI_Λ . For $f \in RI$, the set

$$\{x \mapsto \xi(f_x); \xi \in L^\infty(G)^*, \|\xi\| \leq 1\}$$

is stable ([46], Theorem 15-6 c)). Let $\mu \in (\mathcal{C}_\Lambda)^*$, and set $\varphi(x, y) = (P^*\mu_y)(f_x)$ for $x, y \in G$. The map $x \in G \mapsto f_x \in L^\infty(G)$ is scalarly measurable ([45], Theorem 16) and $y \mapsto P^*\mu_y$ is continuous for the w^* -topology. Moreover $\{x \mapsto (P^*\mu_y)(f_x); y \in G\}$ is stable, so by [46], Theorem 10-2-1, φ is measurable. Measurability refers here to the completion of the product measure $m \otimes m$ on $G \times G$, so in order to deduce that the map $x \in G \mapsto \varphi(x, x) = (P^*\mu_x)(f_x)$ is measurable, we need the following lemma (note that our φ is bounded).

Lemma 5.2 *Let G be a metrizable compact abelian group, and $\varphi: G \times G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ a function such that:*

- 1) $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}^\infty(G \times G)$;
- 2) the map $y \mapsto \varphi(x, y)$ is continuous, for every $x \in G$.

Then the map $x \mapsto \varphi(x, x)$ is measurable.

Proof. G being metrizable, there exists a bounded sequence $(f_n)_n$ in $L^1(G)$ such that

$$g(0) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_G f_n g \, dm, \quad \text{for every } g \in \mathcal{C}(G). \quad (3)$$

This sequence $(f_n)_n$ represents an approximate identity.

For every n , the function $(x, y) \mapsto f_n(x - y)\varphi(x, y)$ is integrable in $G \times G$. Define

$$F_n(x) = \int_G f_n(x - y) \varphi(x, y) \, dm(y) = \int_G f_n(t) \varphi(x, x - t) \, dm(t).$$

By Fubini's theorem F_n is defined almost everywhere, and is integrable. So F_n is measurable, for every n . The lemma follows since, by (3),

$$\varphi(x, x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(x), \quad \text{for every } x \in G. \quad \square$$

The fact that the map $x \in G \mapsto (P^*\mu_x)(f_x) = \langle \mu, [P(f_x)]_{-x} \rangle$ is measurable means, since μ is arbitrary, that $x \mapsto [P(f_x)]_{-x} \in \mathcal{C}_\Lambda$ is scalarly measurable. Since we have assumed that $\mathcal{C}(G)$ is separable, this map is strongly measurable, by Pettis's measurability theorem ([7], II §1, Theorem 2). Now we showed in the beginning of the proof that \mathcal{C}_Λ does not contain c_0 ; so a result of J. Diestel [5] (see [7], II, §3, Theorem 7) says that this map is Pettis-integrable, which means that if we define Qf using

$$\langle Qf, \mu \rangle = \int_G \langle f_x, P^*(\mu_x) \rangle dx,$$

for every $\mu \in (\mathcal{C}_\Lambda)^*$, then Q maps RI_Λ into \mathcal{C}_Λ , and not only into its bidual (see the definition of Pettis-integrability in [7], II, §3, page 53, Definition 2, or in [46], Definition 4-2-1).

Thus Q is a projection from RI_Λ onto \mathcal{C}_Λ such that $Q(f_x) = (Qf)_x$ for every $f \in RI_\Lambda$ and every $x \in G$.

We want to prove that $Qf = f$ for every $f \in RI_\Lambda$, and for that we have to see that $\widehat{Qf}(\gamma) = \widehat{f}(\gamma)$ for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$. But it suffices to show that $\widehat{Qf}(0) = \widehat{f}(0)$, since, after replacing Λ by $(\Lambda - \gamma)$ and Q by $Q_\gamma: L_{\Lambda-\gamma}^\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\Lambda-\gamma}$, with $Q_\gamma(g) = \overline{\gamma}Q(\gamma g)$, we then get for $f \in RI_\Lambda$ with $g = \overline{\gamma}f$:

$$\widehat{Qf}(\gamma) = [\overline{\gamma}(Qf)]^\wedge(0) = \widehat{Q_\gamma g}(0) = \widehat{g}(0) = (\overline{\gamma}f)^\wedge(0) = \widehat{f}(\gamma).$$

So, let $f \in RI_\Lambda$. Every Riemann-integrable function has a unique invariant mean ([39], Lemma 7; [44]); hence there are ([39], Proposition page 38; or [26], Proposition 1) convex combinations $\sum_{k \in I_n} c_{n,k} f_{x_{n,k}}$, $c_{n,k} > 0$, $\sum_{k \in I_n} c_{n,k} = 1$, of translates of f which converge in norm to the constant function $\widehat{f}(0)\mathbf{1}$. We have:

$$Q\left(\sum_{k \in I_n} c_{n,k} f_{x_{n,k}}\right) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} Q[\widehat{f}(0)\mathbf{1}] = \widehat{f}(0)\mathbf{1}.$$

But $Q(\sum_{k \in I_n} c_{n,k} f_{x_{n,k}}) = \sum_{k \in I_n} c_{n,k} (Qf)_{x_{n,k}}$, and its Fourier coefficient at 0 is:

$$\sum_{k \in I_n} c_{n,k} \widehat{Qf}(0) = \widehat{Qf}(0).$$

Therefore $\widehat{Qf}(0) = \widehat{f}(0)$. □

3) In order to finish the proof, we have to explain why we may assume that G is metrizable.

Let Λ be as in the theorem, and $f \in RI_\Lambda$. As explained in the proof of the first part of the theorem, there exists a countable subgroup $\Gamma_0 \subseteq \Gamma$ such that $f \in RI_{\Lambda_0}$, for $\Lambda_0 = \Lambda \cap \Gamma_0$, and there exists a projection from $L_{\Lambda_0}^\infty$ onto \mathcal{C}_{Λ_0} .

Let H be the annihilator of Γ_0 ; that is, H is the following closed subgroup of G :

$$H = \Gamma_0^\perp = \{x \in G; \gamma(x) = 1, \forall \gamma \in \Gamma_0\}.$$

The quotient group G/H is metrizable since its dual group Γ_0 is countable. Let π_H denote the quotient map from G onto G/H . It is known that the map $g \mapsto g \circ \pi_H$ gives an isometry from $L_{\Lambda_0}^\infty(G/H)$ onto $L_{\Lambda_0}^\infty(G)$ sending $\mathcal{C}_{\Lambda_0}(G/H)$ onto $\mathcal{C}_{\Lambda_0}(G)$.

In order to finish our reduction to the metrizable case we only have to see that this isometry sends $RI_{\Lambda_0}(G/H)$ onto $RI_{\Lambda_0}(G)$. It is easy to see, via the map $g \mapsto g \circ \pi_H$, that having a Riemann-integrable function $g: G/H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is the same as having a Riemann-integrable function $g: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with the property $g(x+h) = g(x)$, for every $x \in G$ and every $h \in H$. Therefore the above isometry sends $RI_{\Lambda_0}(G/H)$ into $RI_{\Lambda_0}(G)$. The surjectivity of this map is a consequence of the following proposition:

Proposition 5.3 *Let $f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a Riemann-integrable function such that $\widehat{f}(\gamma) = 0$, for every $\gamma \in \Gamma \setminus \Gamma_0$. Then there exists a Riemann-integrable function $g: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that:*

- a) $f = g$ almost everywhere;
- b) $g(x) = g(x+h)$, for all $x \in G$ and for all $h \in H$.

Proof. We can and we will assume that f is in fact real valued. Take an increasing sequence $(K_n)_n$ of compact subsets of G such that, if $B = \bigcup_n K_n$, then:

- i) f is continuous at every point of B ;
- ii) $m(G \setminus B) = 0$.

Using the compactness of K_n and the continuity of f on B , one can find a neighbourhood W_n of 0 such that

$$|f(x) - f(x+y)| \leq \frac{1}{n}, \quad \text{for every } x \in K_n, \text{ and every } y \in W_n. \quad (4)$$

Let $(V_n)_n$ be a decreasing sequence of open symmetric neighbourhoods of 0 such that $V_n + V_n \subseteq W_n$, for every n . For every n , define f_n as:

$$f_n(x) = \frac{1}{m(V_n)} \int_{V_n} f(x-y) dm(y), \quad x \in G.$$

f_n is a continuous function since it is the convolution of f and

$$\psi_n = \frac{1}{m(V_n)} \mathbb{1}_{V_n}.$$

We also have

$$\widehat{f_n}(\gamma) = \widehat{f}(\gamma) \widehat{\psi_n}(\gamma) = 0, \quad \text{for all } \gamma \in \Gamma \setminus \Gamma_0.$$

Then the continuous function f_n only depends on the classes in G/H ; that is,

$$f_n(x) = f_n(x+h), \quad \text{for all } x \in G, \text{ all } h \in H \text{ and all } n.$$

Define

$$g(x) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) + \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) \right), \quad x \in G.$$

It is clear that $g(x) = g(x + h)$, for all $x \in G$ and for all $h \in H$. Since $V_n \subseteq W_n$, we have from (4) that $|f_n(x) - f(x)| \leq 1/n$, for all $x \in K_n$. If $x \in B = \bigcup_n K_n$, then there exists N such that $x \in K_n$, for all $n \geq N$. Therefore $|f_n(x) - f(x)| \leq 1/n$, for all $n \geq N$, and $g(x) = f(x)$. So $f = g$ almost everywhere.

In order to finish the proof we are going to see that every point of B is a point of continuity of g , and so g is Riemann-integrable. Let x be in B . Given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists N such that $1/N \leq \varepsilon$ and $x \in K_n$, for all $n \geq N$. We are going to prove

$$|g(x) - g(x + y)| \leq \varepsilon, \quad \text{for every } y \in V_N. \quad (5)$$

So g will be continuous at x .

Take $n \geq N$, and $y \in V_N$. For every $z \in V_n$ we have $x + y + z \in W_N$, and $|f(x) - f(x + y + z)| \leq 1/N$. By the definition of f_n we get $|f(x) - f_n(x + y)| \leq 1/N$, for every $n \geq N$. Then we obtain (5) easily, since $f(x) = g(x)$. \square

Remarks. 1) Actually the proof shows that if Λ is a Lust-Piquard set and if there exists a surjective projection $Q: L_\Lambda^\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_\Lambda$ which commutes with translations, then Λ is a Rosenthal set.

2) Talagrand's work [45] uses Martin's axiom, and, in [46], another axiom, called L . But these axioms do not intervene in the results we use (they are needed to obtain Riemann-integrability from the weak measurability of translations: see [46], Theorem 15-4).

3) F. Lust-Piquard and W. Schachermayer ([29], Corollary IV.4 and Proposition IV.15; see also [11], Theorem V.1, Corollary VI.18, and Example VIII.10) showed that if $L^1(G)/L_{\Gamma \setminus (-\Lambda)}^1$ does not contain ℓ_1 (which is equivalent to L_Λ^∞ having the weak Radon-Nikodym property [46], Corollary (7-3-8)), then $L_\Lambda^\infty = RI_\Lambda$. Hence Λ must be a Rosenthal set if L_Λ^∞ has the weak Radon-Nikodym property and there exists a projection from L_Λ^∞ onto \mathcal{C}_Λ . However, a direct proof is available. For a more general result, see [11], Example following Proposition VII.6.

4) The first part of the proof is the same as the one used by A. Pełczyński ([34], Cor. 9.4 (a)) to show that $A(\mathbb{D}) = \mathcal{C}_\mathbb{N}$ is not complemented in $H^\infty = L_\mathbb{N}^\infty$.

Question. When Λ is not a Rosenthal set, or, merely when \mathcal{C}_Λ contains c_0 , how big can $L_\Lambda^\infty/\mathcal{C}_\Lambda$ be?

References

- [1] J. Bourgain, Quelques propriétés linéaires topologiques de l'espace des séries de Fourier uniformément convergentes, Séminaire d'Initiation à

- l'Analyse (G. Choquet, M. Rogalski, J. Saint-Raymond), 1982/83, n°14, Université Pierre et Marie Curie, Paris VI (1983).
- [2] J. Bourgain, An approach to pointwise ergodic theorem, *Lecture Notes in Math.* 1317 (1988) 204–223.
 - [3] J. Bourgain and V. Milman, Dichotomie du cotype pour les espaces invariants, *C.R.A.S. Paris* 300 (1985) 263–266.
 - [4] M. Bożejko and T. Pytlik, Some types of lacunary Fourier series, *Colloq. Math.* 25 (1972) 117–124.
 - [5] J. Diestel, Applications of weak compactness and bases to vector measures and vectorial integration, *Revue Roumaine Math. Pures et Appl.* 18 (1973) 211–224.
 - [6] J. Diestel, Sequences and Series in Banach Spaces, Graduate Texts in Math. 92, Springer-Verlag (1984).
 - [7] J. Diestel and J. J. Uhl Jr, Vector Measures, Math. Surveys 15, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence (1977).
 - [8] J. J. F. Fournier, Two UC -sets whose union is not a UC -set, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 84 (1982) 69–72.
 - [9] J. Fournier and L. Pigno, Analytic and arithmetic properties of thin sets, *Pacific J. Math.* 105 (1983) 115–141.
 - [10] H. Furstenberg, Recurrence in ergodic theory and combinatorial number theory, M. B. Porter Lectures, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J. (1981).
 - [11] N. Ghoussoub, G. Godefroy, B. Maurey and W. Schachermayer, Some topological and geometrical structures in Banach spaces, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* 70 (1987), n°378.
 - [12] G. Godefroy, Existence and uniqueness of isometric preduals: a survey, *Contemporary Math.* 85 (1989) 131–193.
 - [13] S. Hartman, Some problems and remarks on relative multipliers, *Colloq. Math.* 54 (1987) 103–111.
 - [14] N. Hindman, Ultrafilters and Combinatorial Number Theory, *Lecture Notes in Math.* n°751, pages 119–184, Springer (1979).
 - [15] G. W. Johnson and G. S. Woodward, On p -Sidon sets, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 24 (1974) 161–167.
 - [16] J.-P. Kahane, Some random series of functions, Second edition, Cambridge studies in adv. math. 5, Cambridge Univ. Press (1985).

- [17] S. Kwapien and A. Pełczyński, Absolutely summing operators and translation invariant spaces of functions on compact abelian groups, *Math. Nachrichten* 94 (1980) 303–340.
- [18] P. Lefèvre, On some properties of the class of stationary sets, *Colloq. Math.* 76 (1998) 1–18.
- [19] P. Lefèvre, D. Li, H. Queffélec and L. Rodríguez-Piazza, Lacunary Sets and Function Spaces with finite cotype, *Journal Funct. Anal.* 188 (2002) 272–291.
- [20] P. Lefèvre and L. Rodríguez-Piazza, p -Rider sets are q -Sidon sets, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 131 (2003) 1829–1838.
- [21] D. Li, A class of Riesz sets, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 119 (1993) 889–892.
- [22] D. Li, On Hilbert sets and $\mathcal{C}_\Lambda(G)$ -spaces with no subspace isomorphic to c_0 , *Colloq. Math.* 68 (1995) 67–77.
- [23] D. Li, Complex Unconditional Metric Approximation Property for $\mathcal{C}_\Lambda(\mathbb{T})$ -spaces, *Studia Math.* 121 (1996) 231–247.
- [24] D. Li, H. Queffélec and L. Rodríguez-Piazza, Some new thin sets in Harmonic Analysis, *Journal d'Analyse Mathématique* 86 (2002) 105–138.
- [25] F. Lust-Piquard, Propriétés géométriques des sous-espaces invariants par translation de $L^1(G)$ et $C(G)$, Séminaire sur la géométrie des espaces de Banach 1977–1978, exposé 26, Ecole Polytechnique, Palaiseau (1978).
- [26] F. Lust-Piquard, Eléments ergodiques et totalement ergodiques dans $L^\infty(G)$, *Studia Math.* 69 (1981) 191–225.
- [27] F. Lust-Piquard, Bohr local properties of $\mathcal{C}_\Lambda(\mathbb{T})$, *Colloq. Math.* 58 (1989) 29–38.
- [28] F. Lust-Piquard, Means on $CV_p(G)$ -subspaces of $CV_p(G)$ with RNP and Schur property, *Annales Inst. Fourier* 39 (1989) 969–1006.
- [29] F. Lust-Piquard and W. Schachermayer, Functions in $L^\infty(G)$ and associated convolution operators, *Studia Math.* 93 (1989) 109–136.
- [30] Y. Meyer, Spectres des mesures et mesures absolument continues, *Studia Math.* 30 (1968) 87–99.
- [31] I. M. Miheev, Trigonometric series with gaps, *Anal. Math.* 9 (1983) 43–55.
- [32] M. B. Nathanson, Sumsets Contained in Infinite Sets of Integers, *Journ. Combin. Theory, Series A* 28 (1980) 150–155.
- [33] D. Oberlin, A Rudin-Carleson theorem for uniformly convergent Taylor series, *Michigan Math. J.* 27 (1980) 309–313.

- [34] A. Pełczyński, Banach spaces of analytic functions and absolutely summing operators, Regional conference series in math. 30, Amer. Math. Soc. (1977).
- [35] G. Pisier, Sur l'espace de Banach des séries de Fourier aléatoires presque sûrement continues, Séminaire sur la géométrie des espaces de Banach 1977–1978, exposés 17–18, Ecole Polytechnique, Palaiseau (1978).
- [36] L. Rodríguez–Piazza, Caractérisation des ensembles p -Sidon p.s., *C.R.A.S. Paris* 305 (1987) 237–240.
- [37] L. Rodríguez–Piazza, Rango y propiedades de medidas vectoriales. Conjuntos p -Sidon p.s., Thesis, Universidad de Sevilla (1991).
- [38] H. P. Rosenthal, On Trigonometric Series Associated with Weak* Closed Subspaces of Continuous Functions, *Journ. Math. Mech.* 17 (1967) 485–490.
- [39] L. A. Rubel and A. L. Shields, Invariant subspaces of L^∞ and H^∞ , *Journal für die reine und angew. Math.* 272 (1975) 32–44.
- [40] W. Rudin, Invariant means on L^∞ , *Studia Math.* 44 (1972) 219–227.
- [41] S. Saccone, The Pełczyński property for tight subspaces, *J. Funct. Anal.* 148 (1997) 86–116.
- [42] S. Saccone, Function theory in spaces of uniformly convergent Fourier series, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 128 (2000) 1813–1823.
- [43] P. M. Soardi and G. Travaglini, On sets of completely uniform convergence. *Colloq. Math.* 45 (1981) 317–320.
- [44] M. Talagrand, Some functions with a unique invariant mean, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 82 (1981) 253–256.
- [45] M. Talagrand, Closed convex hull of set of measurable functions, Riemann-measurable functions and measurability of translations, *Ann. Inst. Fourier* 32 (1982) 39–69.
- [46] M. Talagrand, Pettis integral and measure theory, *Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc.* 51 (1984) n° 307.
- [47] S. A. Vinogradov, Convergence almost everywhere of Fourier series of functions in L^2 and the behaviour of the coefficients of uniformly convergent Fourier series, *Soviet Math. Dokl.* 17 (1976) 1323–1327.

P. Lefèvre et D. Li, Université d'Artois, Laboratoire de Mathématiques de Lens, Faculté des Sciences Jean Perrin, Rue Jean Souvraz, S.P. 18, 62 307 LENS Cedex, FRANCE
pascal.lefevre@euler.univ-artois.fr
daniel.li@euler.univ-artois.fr

*H. Queffélec, Université des Sciences et Techniques de Lille,
Laboratoire A.G.A.T., U.F.R. de Mathématiques,
59 655 VILLENEUVE D'ASCQ Cedex, FRANCE
queff@agat.univ-lille1.fr*

*Luis Rodríguez-Piazza, Universidad de Sevilla, Facultad de Matemáticas, Dpto
de Análisis Matemático, Apartado de Correos 1160, 41 080 SEVILLA, SPAIN
piazza@us.es*