

DRAFT -- version 0906moskva.tex -- 7/7/2009 18:24

On sl_3 KZ equations and \mathcal{W}_3 null-vector equations

Sylvain Ribault

Introduction. Many interesting 2d CFTs are based on affine Lie algebras and their cosets. For example, the affine \widehat{sl}_2 algebra is the symmetry algebra of the $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ WZNW model which is related to string theory in AdS_3 , its Euclidean version the H_3^+ model, and strings in the 2d BH. The simplest nonrational theory in this sl_2 family is Liouville theory.

Families of non-rational 2d CFTs

Similarly we can define an sl_3 family consisting of the $SL(3)$ WZNW model, its cosets, and other related models. The simplest theory in the family is the sl_3 conformal Toda theory, a theory of 2 interacting bosons, where e_1, e_2 are simple roots of the Lie algebra sl_3 . Several CFTs in the sl_2 family have been solved, and their correlation functions are written in terms of the same special functions. But CFTs in the sl_3 family are richer and more difficult to solve, due to phenomena like

- Correlation functions involving degenerate fields but obeying no differential equations
- Infinite fusion multiplicities

Now the sl_2 family not only consists of theories with similar symmetry algebras, but there are also relations between correlation functions of these theories. In particular a formula for arbitrary correlation functions of the H_3^+ model in terms of certain correlation functions in Liouville theory was found. Intuitively, the reason is: affine sl_2 representations are labelled by just one parameter (the spin), so even if a theory like the $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ WZNW model has a 3d target space, its dynamics are effectively 1d, due to the large symmetry of the theory.

Such an H_3^+ -Liouville relation is interesting because Liouville theory was solved in the sense of the conformal bootstrap. This can be used to

- Solve the H_3^+ model with a boundary
- Hopefully, solve the 2d black hole and AdS_3 WZNW models
- Construct new CFTs by generalizing the H_3^+ -Liouville relation, as I will mention later

Families of non-rational 2d CFTs
 sl_2 and sl_3 families
The theories, their sym. alg., target space dim.

Similarly, the $SL(3, \mathbb{R})$ WZNW model has a 8d target space, but we expect effectively 2d dynamics, since the Cartan subgroup is 2d. The question is whether its correlation functions can be expressed in terms of correlation functions of sl_3 Toda theory. This would be a large simplification, which would however not solve the $SL(3)$ WZNW model, as the sl_3 conformal Toda theory has not been solved, in spite of recent progress due to Fateev and Litvinov.

Plan of the talk:

1. Conjectured relation between correlation functions in the $SL(N)$ WZNW model and $sl(N)$ conformal Toda theory
2. Case $N = 2$ and an application
3. Case $N = 3$

Plan of the talk

General N
 $N = 2$
 $N = 3$

Plan of the talk

Relation between correlation functions. Consider an m -point function of affine primary fields in a theory with \widehat{sl}_N symmetry. The theory is parametrized by the level $k > N$. The fields are parametrized by their position z on the Riemann sphere, the spin j with $N - 1$ (real) components and isospins x with $\frac{N(N-1)}{2}$ (complex) components, see $N - 1 + N(N - 1) = N^2 - 1$.

We want to relate this to a correlation function in sl_N conformal Toda theory (with vertex operators $V_\alpha \sim e^{(\alpha, \phi)}$). The price to pay for working in a simpler theory is that we work with a more complicated correlation function. This allows the isospin variables x to be represented as the positions y_a of certain extra fields, in addition to the m fields with momenta $\alpha(j_i)$ which correspond to the fields Φ^j .

Correlation functions

Ω_m
 sl_2 isospins
 $\tilde{\Omega}_m$
relations

Correlation functions

The problem is that the relation between x_i and y_a is complicated: y_a are not just a function of x_i , but they are obtained by a complicated integral transformation, namely Sklyanin's SOV. The conjectured relation also involves a simple twist function Θ_m , with parameters λ, μ, ν to be determined as functions of the level k .

Remember that Ω_m should obey differential equations, the KZ equations. This provides a way to test the conjecture.

Status of our conjecture: compatible with KZ in sl_2 , and sl_3 in the limit $k \rightarrow 3$. Proved in a specific model in H_3^+ -Liouville case.

The conjecture

Θ_m
Conjecture
Status of conjecture

The conjecture

sl₂ case. Let me explain what is Sklyanin's separation of variables and why we use it. Our WZNW correlation functions Ω_m obey KZ equations, which are written in terms of the Hamiltonians of the Gaudin model, an integrable model. These Hamiltonians H_i are differential operators wrt the isospin variables x_i . They are built from a set of differential operators \vec{D} which obey an sl_N algebra, see sl_2 example. (But we do not really need to specify \vec{D} explicitly in the calculations that follow.) Sklyanin's SOV was introduced as a way to simplify the simultaneous diagonalization of these Hamiltonians.

KZ equations and Gaudin model
 KZ and Gaudin
 sl_2 isospins
 Lax matrix

KZ equations and Gaudin model

To construct Sklyanin's variables, the essential object is the operator-valued Lax matrix $L(u)$ where u is the spectral parameter. This is an $N \times N$ matrix which we write explicitly in the case of sl_2 . From $L(u)$ we construct the function $B(u)$ whose zeroes are y_a , and $A(u)$ such that $p_a = A(y_a)$ are the associated momenta. In sl_2 these are simply matrix elements of the Lax matrix. Having thus defined Sklyanin variables, we can deduce the kernel S of the transformation between x_i and y_a variables.

SOV in the Gaudin model
 sl_2 Lax matrix
 $B(u)$
 $A(u)$
 Kernel S

SOV in the Gaudin model

In addition, Sklyanin's variables obey a kinematical identity called the characteristic equation, which can be written in terms of Lax matrix elements and then in terms of Gaudin Hamiltonians. When applied to a function of y_a like $S^{-1}\Omega_m$, the momentum p_a becomes $\frac{\partial}{\partial y_a}$, and we obtain a differential identity. But then it is possible to inject the KZ equations (obeyed by Ω_m) in this identity. This allows us to rewrite the KZ equations in terms of Sklyanin's variables.

sl_2 KZ in Sklyanin variables
 $A(u), B(u)$, characteristic equation
 Apply to $S^{-1}\Omega_m$, inject KZ
 Compute $S^{-1}\frac{\delta}{\delta z_\ell}S$

Then compute $S^{-1}\frac{\delta}{\delta z_\ell}S$, doable in sl_2 . Resulting equations are equivalent to second-order BPZ, with correct choice of degenerate field.

sl_2 KZ in Sklyanin variables

This agreement is a strong test, and actually part of the proof, of the H_3^+ -Liouville relation. Beyond helping solve the H_3^+ model, this relation has led to the construction of a new family of solvable CFTs. The idea is to modify the Liouville correlator $\tilde{\Omega}_m$ in our ansatz $\Omega_m = S \cdot \Theta_m \tilde{\Omega}_m$, by replacing $V_{-\frac{1}{2b}}$ with $V_{-\frac{r}{2b}}$. We do not get an m -point function in H_3^+ , is it an m -point function in some new CFT?

Family of non-rational CFTs
 The ansatz
 The lagrangian
 Questions for the symmetry algebra
 Central charge

I propose a Lagrangian for the new CFT in terms of the same bosonic fields $\phi, \beta, \bar{\beta}, \gamma, \bar{\gamma}$ which appear in the H_3^+ model.

Family of non-rational CFTs

And indeed one can argue that the correlation functions associated

to this Lagrangian agree with our Ansatz, and are those of a CFT. So we obtain a family of CFTs with two parameters r, b and central charge $c(r, b)$. This can be interpreted as an extension of the very definition of the sl_2 family, as composed not from theories built from the affine sl_2 algebra or some coset thereof, but from theories whose correlation functions are related to Liouville theory correlation functions.

The sl_3 case. We can follow similar steps as in the sl_2 case for defining and using Sklyanin variables. The function $B(u)$, whose zeroes are the Sklyanin variables, is rather complicated, and the kernel S of the integral transformation between the isospin variables x_i and the Sklyanin variables y_a is not known. However, we do not really need this kernel, but rather the characteristic equation. This one can be obtained explicitly.

SOV in the sl_3 Gaudin model

Then we use the characteristic equation to rewrite the KZ equations in terms of Sklyanin variables. The resulting equations involve the spins of the fields Φ^j which appear in the m -point function Ω_m . The spins appear through the sl_3 invariants Δ_j, q_j which correspond to the quadratic and cubic Casimirs of sl_3 .

The corresponding null-vector equations in sl_3 Toda theory appear if the fields V_{α_d} in $\tilde{\Omega}_m$ is chosen to have null vectors at level 1, 2, 3, namely $V_{-b\omega_1}$ with ω_1 the fundamental weight. They are rather similar to the KZ equations, but involve extra complicated differential operators D_1, D_2 , which however depend neither on the field parameters j, q_j, Δ_j , nor on $b^2 = \frac{1}{k-3}$.

sl_3 KZ in Sklyanin variables

While the second-order operator D_2 might well agree with the $\frac{\delta}{\delta z_i}$ terms in KZ which are technically hard to calculate, the first-order operator D_1 has no analog in KZ. The only way to get rid of D_1 seems to take the critical level limit $k \rightarrow 3$, in which case the rest of the equations have finite limits and agree with each other. This is rather unnatural from the CFT point of view, but maybe natural from the mathematical point of view of the Langlands correspondence, which is supposed to relate differential operators – like the KZ equations – to representations of the affine algebra, in our case the fundamental representation where the Lax matrix lives. (Or the antifundamental representation, if $V_{-b\omega_1}$ is replaced with $V_{-b\omega_2}$.)

In the general case $k \neq 3$ we might speculate that our ansatz $S \cdot \Theta_m \tilde{\Omega}_m$, while not being an m -point function in the $SL(3)$ WZNW model, is an m -point function in some new CFT.

<i>SOV in the sl_3 Gaudin model</i>
Lax matrix
$A(u)$ and $B(u)$
Characteristic equation

<i>sl_3 KZ in Sklyanin variables</i>
KZ in Sklyanin variables
NVE if $V_{\alpha_d} = V_{-b\omega_1}$
Agreement of 3 terms

Questions from the Hamburg audience.

- *Is the relation also valid in the case of torus partition functions?* In the sl_2 case, yes. This has been shown by Hikida and Schomerus, who generalized the H_3^+ -Liouville relation to higher genus Riemann surfaces. The higher the genus, the more degenerate fields $V_{-\frac{1}{2b}}$ appear in the relation. So, while the relation does not apply to the sphere partition function as it would involve -2 such fields, it applies to the torus partition function. The torus partition functions of H_3^+ and Liouville essentially agree, with no degenerate fields involved. This however requires a careful regularization of the divergences due to the non-rational nature of the theories.
- *Is the relation related to the quantum Drinfeld-Sokolov reduction?* The Drinfeld-Sokolov reduction indeed relates the same theories, for example the H_3^+ model and Liouville theory, with the same values of the parameters (central charges). But this reduction consists in eliminating the isospin variables x_i , by say giving them fixed values. Here we are not eliminating them, but transforming them using Sklyanin's separation of variables. They reappear in Liouville theory as the positions of the degenerate fields. So we are really able to study arbitrary H_3^+ correlation functions.

(Comment by J. Teschner) However, the relation might well be understandable as a generalization of Drinfeld-Sokolov reduction, where the nilpotent generator J^+ is constrained in a more flexible way.

- *What is the generalization to sl_N ?* The obvious conjecture is: for all $N > 2$ the relation works only in the critical level limit $k = N$.