

Host Cell Responses Induced by Hepatitis C Virus Binding

Xinhua Fang^{1*}, Mirjam B. Zeisel^{1*}, Jochen Wilpert^{2*}, Bettina Gissler¹, Robert Thimme¹, Clemens Kreutz³, Thomas Maiwald³, Jens Timmer³, Winfried V. Kern¹, Johannes Donauer², Marcel Geyer², Gerd Walz², Erik Depla⁴, Fritz von Weizsäcker¹, Hubert E. Blum¹, Thomas F. Baumert^{1,5}

¹Dept. of Medicine II, ²Dept. of Medicine IV, ³Center for Data Analysis and Modeling and Dept. of Physics, University of Freiburg, Freiburg, Germany, ⁴Innogenetics N.V., Ghent, Belgium, ⁵Inserm Unité 748, Université Louis Pasteur, Strasbourg, France

* these authors contributed equally to this work

Running title: Host cell responses induced by HCV

Keywords: virus-host interaction, receptor, signalling, hepatitis, infection

Address requests for reprints to: Thomas F. Baumert, M. D., Dept. of Medicine II, University of Freiburg, Hugstetter Strasse 55, D-79106 Freiburg, Germany, Phone: (+49-761) 270-3401, Fax: (+49-761) 270-3259, e-mail: Thomas.Baumert@uniklinik-freiburg.de

Abbreviations: BSA, bovine serum albumin; cDNA, complementary deoxyribonucleic acid; E1/E2, envelope glycoproteins 1 and 2; ELISA, enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay; FCS, fetal calf serum; FITC, fluorescein isothiocyanate; GUS, β -glucuronidase; HCV-LPs, HCV-like particles; HVR-1, hypervariable region 1; IgG, immunoglobulin G; MFI, mean fluorescence intensity; NC, negative control; PE, phycoerythrin; PCR, polymerase chain reaction; SDS, sodium dodecyl sulfate.

Grant Support: Supported by grants of the European Union, Brussels, Belgium (QLK-2-CT-1999-00356, QLK-2-CT-2002-01329 and VIRGIL Network of Excellence) and the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (Ba1417/11-1).

Word count: abstract 279 words, body 3784 words

ABSTRACT

Initiation of HCV infection is mediated by docking of the viral envelope to the hepatocyte cell surface membrane followed by entry of the virus into the host cell. Aiming to elucidate the impact of this interaction on host cell biology, we performed a genomic analysis of the host cell response following binding of HCV to cell surface proteins. As ligands for HCV-host cell surface interaction we used recombinant envelope glycoproteins and HCV-like particles (HCV-LPs) recently shown to bind or enter hepatocytes and human hepatoma cells. Gene expression profiling of HepG2 hepatoma cells following binding of E1/E2, HCV-LP as well as of liver tissue samples from HCV-infected individuals was performed using a 7.5k human cDNA microarray. Cellular binding of HCV-LPs to hepatoma cells resulted in differential expression of 565 out of 7419 host cell genes. Examination of transcriptional changes revealed a broad and complex transcriptional program induced by ligand binding to target cells. Expression of several genes important for innate immune responses and lipid metabolism was significantly modulated by ligand-cell surface interaction. To assess the functional relevance and biological significance of these findings for viral infection *in vivo*, transcriptional changes were compared with gene expression profiles in liver tissue samples from HCV-infected or control individuals. Side-by-side analysis revealed that the expression of 27 genes was similarly altered following HCV-LP binding in hepatoma cells and viral infection *in vivo*. In conclusion, HCV binding results in a cascade of intracellular signals modulating target gene expression and contributing to host cell responses *in vivo*. Re-programming of cellular gene expression induced by HCV-cell surface interaction may be part of the viral strategy to condition viral entry and replication and escape from innate host cell responses.

INTRODUCTION

Initiation of HCV infection is mediated by docking of the viral envelope to the hepatocyte cell surface membrane followed by entry of the virus into the host cell. Several lines of evidence have demonstrated that binding and entry of HCV is mediated by the HCV envelope glycoproteins E1 and E2 (1-4). Host cell proteins implicated to mediate these very first steps of virus-host interaction include CD81 (2-6), the LDL receptor (7), scavenger receptor BI (8, 9) and highly sulfated heparan sulfate (10).

In recent years, it has become clear that the information exchange between incoming viruses and the host cell during the first steps of virus-host interaction is not limited to the cues given to the virus by the cell resulting in cellular binding and entry of the virus (11). For many viruses, virus-host interaction resembles a two-way dialogue in which the virus takes advantage of the cell's own signal transduction systems to transmit signals to the cells. These signals -usually generated at the cell surface- induce changes that facilitate entry, prepare the cells for invasion and neutralize host defenses (11).

As a well characterized example, human cytomegalovirus, activates several signaling pathways through the interaction between envelope glycoprotein B and epidermal growth-factor receptor (12). Human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) uses chemokine receptor 5 (CCR5) on CD4+ T cells to transmit a signal inducing chemotaxis of T cells (13, 14).

The effect of HCV envelope-cell surface protein interaction on target cell functions is unknown. Using a genomic analysis of responses to HCV-like particles (HCV-LPs) (10, 15) binding to hepatoma cells, we demonstrate that binding of HCV envelope glycoproteins to host cells results in a cascade of intracellular signals modulating cellular gene expression, which may condition the cell for support of viral propagation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cellular binding of HCV-LPs to target cells and isolation of RNA. HepG2 cells were incubated with HCV-LPs (corresponding to 0.5 µg/ml HCV-LP E2 as determined by ELISA (16, 17)) or carboxyterminal truncated recombinant purified envelope glycoproteins E1 and E2 (5 µg/ml dissolved in PBS containing 0.5% betaine) (10, 15) in DMEM medium (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) containing 10% FCS for 4 h as described (10, 15, 17, 18). Ligand concentrations used in the assay corresponded to the concentration required for half maximal saturation of ligand binding to target cells (10, 15, 16, 17, Barth et al. 2006, "Viral and cellular determinants of hepatitis C virus envelope-heparan sulfate interaction", manuscript submitted for publication). Procedures for expression and purification of HCV-LPs and insect cell control preparations (GUS) have been described (10, 15, 16, 17). HepG2 cells incubated with three different, independently prepared control insect cell preparations (for HCV-LPs) or an equal volume of PBS containing 0.5% betaine (for recombinant envelope glycoproteins) served as negative control for binding experiments (10, 15, 17). This approach ensures that the observed changes in gene expression are not induced by insect cell proteins contaminating the HCV-LP preparation or E1/E2 buffer components. Cellular binding of HCV-LPs or recombinant envelope glycoproteins was confirmed by flow cytometry as described (10). Following incubation of HepG2 cells with ligand, total RNA was extracted from 1×10^6 cells using the RNeasy[®] Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) (19).

Isolation of human liver RNA. Tissue samples from liver biopsies from four patients with chronic HCV infection or liver resections from three patients with liver metastasis from colorectal cancer but without liver disease were snap frozen in liquid nitrogen

and RNA was isolated as described recently (19). RNA integrity was confirmed using an Agilent Bioanalyzer and the Agilent RNA 6000 Pico assay (Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, CA) prior to performing further downstream applications. Total RNA recovered from biopsy material was subjected to a single round of RNA amplification using a commercially available RNA-amplification system based on the Eberwine protocol (20) (MessageAmp[®], Ambion, Austin, TX). Diagnosis of HCV infection was made by detection of HCV RNA using COBAS AMPLICOR[™] HCV v2.0 (Roche Molecular System) and VERSANT[®] HCV-RNA 3.0 Assay (bDNA) (Bayer Corporation Diagnostic) and anti-HCV antibodies using Anti-HCV-IgG ChLIA (Abbott). Liver biopsy histology of HCV-infected individuals revealed mild inflammatory activity (grade 1-2) and fibrosis (stage 1-2) according to the METAVIR score. Patients did not receive any antiviral treatment before liver biopsy. Approval for this study was obtained from the University Hospital Freiburg institutional review board. Informed consent was provided according to the Declaration of Helsinki.

Microarrays. cDNA microarrays (19) were produced and processed essentially according to the Stanford protocol described by Eisen and Brown (21). Approximately 7,700 annotated genes from the RZPD (Resource Center and Primary Database, Berlin, Germany) were obtained as bacterial stocks. A list of all the 7,767 genes on the chip is available on the homepage of the Core Facility Genomics (www.genomics.uni-freiburg/products/genelist). Sample or reference RNA were transcribed into cDNA in the presence of Cy3- or Cy5-labeled dUTP, respectively (19). Hybridizations were performed in the presence of an equal amount of reference RNA (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA) as described by Boldrick et al. (22) and our previous study (19) (www.genomics.uni-freiburg.de). All other steps, including hybridization,

were performed following the protocol published by P. Brown et al. (<http://cmgm.stanford.edu/pbrown> for details).

Data Analysis. Signal intensities were measured by an Axon[®] 4000A scanner using GenePix[®] 4.1 software (Axon Instruments, Union City, CA). Artifacts, if not detected by the software, were excluded manually. Image and data files, array layout, as well as all relevant information according to the MIAME guidelines (Minimum Information About a Microarray Experiment (23)) were transferred to the GeneTrafficDuo database (Microarray Data Management and Analysis Software, Iobion Informatics, La Jolla, CA). To exclude artifacts near background range, all spots were eliminated when sample intensity or reference intensity was less than 50 or less the local background. Local background was subtracted from spot intensities. Normalization was performed by the Lowess (Locally weighted scatter plot smoother) sub-grid normalization method (23). Sub-grid normalization calculates the normalization factor for each of the 16 sub grids independently and therefore is, compared to global normalization, relatively insensitive to local variations on the array. Applying these criteria, 7,419 genes were subjected to statistical analysis. Following an approach proposed by Dudoit (24), the computed expression ratios depend on the intensity of the spots. Thus, a smooth nonlinear least squares fit was computed to correct for an intensity-dependent bias. Initially, the log ratio of measured Cy3 and Cy5 values obtained from the image analysis software was computed. A two-sample *t* test was used for statistical analysis of differentially expressed genes. To adjust the obtained *P* values, the method by Benjamini and Hochberg (25) was applied to control for multiple testing (*fdr* = false discovery rate). Genes with *p*<0.05 were selected and

agglomerative hierarchical clustering introduced by Kaufman and Rousseeuw (26) was performed using the R statistical software package (www.r-project.org).

Semiquantitative and real time RT-PCR. Procedures for semiquantitative RT-PCR have been described (19). PCR products (PCR primers are listed in Table 1) were run on a 3% agarose gel and evaluated in relation to the corresponding GAPDH band using the Scion Image software (Scion, Frederick, MD). Real-time PCR was performed on the LightCycler[®] platform (LightCycler[®] Version 3.5, Roche Molecular Biochemicals, Basle, Switzerland) as described (27).

RESULTS

Cellular binding of HCV-LPs to hepatoma cells modulates cellular gene expression. To characterize the cellular response following binding of HCV envelope glycoproteins to host cells, we incubated HepG2 hepatoma cells with HCV-LPs. Following binding of HCV-LPs to target cells, we performed a genomic analysis of cellular host responses using a 7.5k human cDNA microarray.

The microarray analysis of 6 independent experiments entailing more than 45,000 single measurements clearly distinguished the gene expression patterns in HCV-LP treated cells from the expression patterns of control cells (original data stored according to the MIAME guidelines are accessible on <http://www.genomics.uni-freiburg.de> - Data download -). A total of 565 out of 7,419 genes (7.6 %) were differentially expressed in HepG2 cells incubated with HCV-LPs compared to control cells (more than 1.5 fold up- or down-regulated). Transcription of 316 genes was increased more than 1.5 fold and transcription of 249 genes was decreased more than 1.5 fold in hepatoma cells incubated with HCV-LPs compared to control cells (supplementary data S1). The percentage of genes modulated by HCV envelope-cell surface interaction was in a similar range as the transcriptional changes induced by binding of HIV glycoprotein gp120 to monocyte-derived macrophages (14).

Examination of transcriptional changes revealed a broad and complex transcriptional program induced by HCV-LP binding and entry to target cells (Fig. 1). Transcriptional changes occurred in all functional categories of genes. Although

many of these genes are of unknown function or have never been associated with HCV, our analysis identified at least 19 genes that have been associated with HCV infection, replication, gene expression or virus-host protein interaction (Table 2). Several of these genes encode for proteins involved in signal transduction, such as ERK1, MKK7b, mitogen-activated protein kinase or the small GTP-binding protein. Interestingly, expression of many genes important for innate immune responses was modulated by HCV-LP-cell surface interaction (Tables 2 and 3; Fig. 2). These genes include major histocompatibility complex (MHC) class I (HLA-F), MHC class II transactivator, the proteasome subunit LMP-2, the type II interleukin-1 receptor (IL-1RII), the high-affinity Fc receptor, the short form of the interferon-alpha/beta receptor chain 2, the monocyte chemotactic protein (MCP) -1 and 2, macrophage inflammatory protein-1 alpha/RANTES receptor (chemokine (C-C motif) receptor 1) and chemokine receptor (C-X-C motif) 4 (CXCR4). Furthermore, similar to recent observations *in vivo* (28) several genes involved in lipid metabolism were significantly altered following HCV-LP incubation. These genes include apolipoprotein E, apolipoprotein B-100 and high-density lipoprotein binding protein (Table 2, Fig. 2).

Alteration of HCV-LP-induced gene expression was specific for the interaction of the host cell with HCV structural proteins, since incubation of hepatoma cells with three different control insect cell preparations in three independent experiments performed in triplicate did not result in modulation of target genes depicted in supplementary data S1, Tables 1-4 and Fig. 2 (data not shown).

Hierarchical clustering differentiates hepatoma cells incubated with HCV-LPs from control cells. To assess whether gene expression between target cells incubated with HCV-LPs was significantly different from target cells incubated with insect cell control preparations, we performed a two-dimensional hierarchical cluster analysis using 21 genes with statistically significant differences (supplementary data S2). The clustering allocated HepG2 incubated with HCV-LPs and control preparation in two distinct groups. The dendrogram underlines the close relationship of expression profiles in HCV-LP- versus control-incubated host cells (Fig. 3).

To specifically examine the events induced by cellular binding of viral envelope glycoproteins present on the surface of HCV-LPs, we incubated hepatoma cells with highly purified recombinant envelope glycoproteins E1 and E2 in side-by-side experiments (supplementary data S3). Interestingly, our analysis identified 19 host cell genes similarly regulated followed incubation of HCV-LPs and recombinant E1 and E2 with target cells (Table 3). These results suggest that HCV initiates the induction of host cell responses already following the very first contact of the viral envelope glycoproteins with host cell surface molecules.

Interestingly, the observed changes were completely different from virus-induced changes observed in HepG2 cells transfected with replication-competent HBV cDNA (29) suggesting that the host responses induced by HCV-LPs and recombinant envelope glycoproteins are specific for HCV-cell interaction and do not represent a non-specific cellular response induced by heterologous viral proteins.

Verification of differentially expressed genes by RT-PCR. Ten genes were randomly selected and differential expression of these genes was confirmed by semiquantitative RT-PCR and/or quantitative real-time RT-PCR analysis of total cellular RNA (Table 1 and 2; Fig. 2). For verification of transcriptional changes we performed an additional independent series of binding experiments. Although semiquantitative RT-PCR may underestimate differences in gene expression, the results obtained by RT-PCR closely mirror the microarray data (Fig. 2). Quantitative real-time RT-PCR confirmed transcriptional changes identified by microarray analysis including expression profiles of two additional genes (lipocortin and protein tyrosine phosphatase PIR1, data not shown). These data indicate that microarray analysis represents a valid and reproducible method for the detection of qualitative transcriptional alterations induced by envelope-target cell interaction.

Impact of differential gene expression induced by cellular HCV binding *in vivo*.

To assess the impact of these findings for HCV infection *in vivo*, transcriptional changes induced by cellular HCV-LP binding were compared with gene expression profiles in liver tissue samples from HCV-infected individuals. Thus, we performed a genomic analysis of cellular host responses in liver tissue samples from four HCV-infected individuals versus three non-HCV infected controls using the same 7.5k human cDNA microarray. A two-dimensional hierarchical cluster analysis distinguished the gene expression profile of HCV-infected liver versus control liver in two distinct groups (supplementary data S4). A total of 703 out of 7,419 genes (9.5%) were found to be significantly regulated in the HCV-infected liver versus control livers (up- or down-regulated more than 1.5 fold, $p < 0.05$ for the false discovery rate; supplementary data S5). Side-by-side analysis revealed that the expression of 26

genes was similarly altered following HCV-LP binding in hepatoma cells and viral infection *in vivo* (Table 4). Several of the proteins encoded by these genes are involved in cell signalling and regulation of transcription, such as hepatic nuclear factor 1 (TCF1), IKBL, MHC class II transactivator and cyclin T1. Moreover, HCV-LP binding to hepatoma cells and HCV infection *in vivo* both modulated the expression of genes involved in immune responses. These genes encode members of the chemokine family of proteins, including CCR1, CXCR4, MCP-1 as well as MAFA-L (killer cell lectin-like receptor subfamily G, member 1).

On the other hand, the expression of several genes was different between responses induced by HCV-LP binding and during HCV infection *in vivo* (Table 4). The modulation of these genes is most likely the result of virus-host interactions requiring ongoing productive viral infection. Antiviral immune responses within the liver not present in the *in vitro* systems may also contribute to the differential regulation of genes observed *in vitro* and *in vivo*.

DISCUSSION

Using recombinant envelope glycoproteins and non-infectious HCV-LPs as a model ligand for HCV particle - host cell surface interaction, we demonstrate for the first time that binding of HCV to host cells results in a marked modulation of gene expression. Recombinant envelope glycoproteins and HCV-LPs have been shown to bind to target cells in a receptor-mediated manner and HCV-LPs have been shown to enter human hepatocytes, hepatoma and dendritic cells thus providing a convenient model for the study of envelope-host cell interactions (9, 10, 15, 17, 18, 30, 31). In contrast to retroviral HCV pseudotypes (32, 33), HCV-LPs do not contain heterologous retroviral proteins, thus allowing to assess HCV-specific changes following envelope HCV-host interaction. HepG2 cells have been shown to bind specifically recombinant E2, HCV-LPs and HCV virions (10, 15, 17, 30, 31, 34-36) and used as a hepatocyte model cell line to study HCV-host interaction and pathogenesis of HCV infection (37-39). Although infection of tissue culture-derived HCV has been demonstrated so far only for Huh-7 hepatoma cells (2-4), HepG2 cells have been shown to be susceptible to entry of HCV-LPs (10), serum-derived virions (7, 40) and replication of defined replicons (41). Confirmation of transcriptional changes induced by HCV-LP binding during HCV infection *in vivo* (Table 4) underlines the relevance of the used model system for HCV infection *in vivo*. Further studies using other hepatoma lines (Huh7.5, Hep3B) are under way to study the impact of cell line and cell surface receptor-specific factors for host cell responses.

In line with recent observations for other viruses (11), our results indicate that HCV – host cell membrane interaction is not limited to the cues given to the virus by the cell resulting in cellular binding and entry of the virus, but results in a cascade of

signals altering the expression profile of the host cell. Since HCV-LPs are not able to replicate, our data suggest that part of the antiviral host responses observed *in vivo* does not require viral replication but is attributable to the signals induced by the very early steps of virus-host interaction. To distinguish signals specifically induced by binding of viral envelope glycoproteins to the host cell surface from signals induced during internalization and entry, we compared modulation of gene expression following incubation of hepatoma cells with recombinant envelope proteins and HCV-LPs (Supplementary data S3, Table 3). Our data indicate that several of the events induced during HCV-LP internalization are mediated by binding of the envelope glycoproteins to target cells (Table 3). On the other hand, the overall expression profile induced by the two ligands showed marked differences (supplementary data S2 and S3), suggesting that each step during viral binding and internalization results in a specific pattern of host cell responses. Differences in protein conformation or the presence of a functional core protein within HCV-LPs may also contribute to these differences.

The functional relevance and biological significance of the observed alteration of host cell expression was demonstrated by the side-by-side analysis of findings obtained in the *in vitro* model systems with host cell responses induced by HCV infection in the human liver (Table 4). Our results demonstrate that differential expression of several host cell genes induced by HCV-LP binding (Table 2, Fig. 2) was also detected in genomic analyses of HCV-infected liver tissue *in vivo* in HCV infected humans (Table 4). Furthermore, differential expression of several host genes identified in this study has been also observed during the very early phase of acute HCV infection in chimpanzees (28, 42). Taken together, the confirmation of changes

in modulation of gene expression *in vivo* clearly demonstrates the biological significance of the events identified in this study.

First, we found a modulation of innate immune responses. Host responses included the up-regulation of type II interleukin-1 decoy receptor (IL-1RII) and high affinity IgG Fc receptor. Since IL-1RI plays an important role in mediating innate antiviral immune responses (43), HCV-induced up-regulation of decoy receptor IL-1RII expression may interfere with IL-RII signaling (44) and counteract innate antiviral defense strategies. Up-regulation of Fc γ receptor has been previously shown to be a strategy for HIV and other viruses to facilitate entry of virion-antibody-complexes (45). Furthermore, HCV-LP binding and internalization resulted in a down-regulation of the proteasome subunit LMP-2 (Table 2, Fig. 2). Proteasome-mediated degradation of viral antigens represents a key in the cascade of proteolytic processing required for the generation of peptides presented at the cell surface to cytotoxic T lymphocytes by MHC class I molecules (46). Although the lack of model systems for the study of HCV antigen presentation in hepatocyte-derived cell lines does not yet easily allow to study the functional relevance of this observation for HCV antigen presentation, the analysis of antigen presentation of other viruses suggests that modulation of LMP-2 expression may play a role in HCV pathogenesis. A well characterized example is adenovirus 12 suppressing specifically the expression of LMP-2 and LMP-7 genes allowing adenovirus transformed cells to escape immune surveillance (47). A recent study also suggested an important role of proteasomal processing in escape of HCV (48). Furthermore, an alteration of immunoproteasome subunit gene expression has been associated with HCV clearance in chimpanzees (28): Whereas chimpanzees with subsequent viral clearance exhibited a rapid and

strong increase of LMP-2 expression during the first weeks of viral infection, chimpanzees with persistent infection were characterized by a flat curve with blunted response of LMP-2 expression (28). This finding suggests that the observed down-regulation of LMP-2 expression may contribute to the interplay of virus and host cell responses during the early phase of acute viral infection.

Other changes induced by HCV-LP binding and detected in the liver of human HCV-infected individuals included the down-regulation of defined chemokine receptors (CCR) including CCR1 and CXCR4 (Table 4). Modulation of CCR expression may allow the virus to counteract innate antiviral defense strategies as previously shown for HIV (49), human cytomegalovirus (50) and human herpesvirus 6 and 7 (51).

Furthermore, we observed a modulation of transcription of several genes associated with fatty acid biosynthesis and lipid metabolism (Tables 2-4, Fig. 2). HCV is known to cause the formation of hepatocellular lipid droplets where HCV proteins (52) have been shown to localize. Molecules that block fatty acid biosynthesis have been shown to inhibit HCV replication suggesting that alteration of fatty acid biosynthesis and lipid metabolism observed during HCV infection may facilitate viral replication (28). Interestingly, an alteration of genes involved in lipid metabolism has also been observed during the acute phase of HCV infection in chimpanzees supporting the biological significance of the identified events *in vivo* (28).

For several viruses including HIV and herpes viruses, it has been demonstrated that the virus takes advantage of the cell's own signal transduction systems to transmit signals to the cells (11-14). These signals induce changes that may facilitate entry, prepare the cells for invasion and neutralize host defenses (11, 14). Thus, it is conceivable that the transcriptional re-programming of liver cells during virus binding and internalization observed in this study may be part of HCV strategy to facilitate viral infection and escape from innate host cell responses. Further analysis of altered gene expression induced by HCV-host cell membrane interaction may give new insights into the mechanisms underlying viral immune escape and persistence.

REFERENCES

1. Pawlotsky JM. Pathophysiology of hepatitis C virus infection and related liver disease. *Trends Microbiol* 2004;12:96-102.
2. Zhong J, Gastaminza P, Cheng G, Kapadia S, Kato T, Burton DR, Wieland SF, et al. Robust hepatitis C virus infection in vitro. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2005;102:9294-9299.
3. Lindenbach BD, Evans MJ, Syder AJ, Wolk B, Tellinghuisen TL, Liu CC, Maruyama T, et al. Complete replication of hepatitis C virus in cell culture. *Science* 2005;Jun 9; [Epub ahead of print].
4. Wakita T, Pietschmann T, Kato T, Date T, Miyamoto M, Zhao Z, Murthy K, et al. Production of infectious hepatitis C virus in tissue culture from a cloned viral genome. *Nat Med* 2005;Jun 12; [Epub ahead of print].
5. Pileri P, Uematsu Y, Campagnoli S, Galli G, Falugi F, Petracca R, Weiner AJ, et al. Binding of hepatitis C virus to CD81. *Science* 1998;282:938-941.
6. Zhang J, Randall G, Higginbottom A, Monk P, Rice CM, McKeating JA. CD81 is required for hepatitis C virus glycoprotein-mediated viral infection. *J Virol* 2004;78:1448-1455.
7. Agnello V, Abel G, Elfahal M, Knight GB, Zhang QX. Hepatitis C virus and other flaviviridae viruses enter cells via low density lipoprotein receptor. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A* 1999;96:12766-12771.
8. Scarselli E, Ansuini H, Cerino R, Roccasecca RM, Acali S, Filocamo G, Traboni C, et al. The human scavenger receptor class B type I is a novel candidate receptor for the hepatitis C virus. *EMBO J* 2002;21:5017-5025.

9. Barth H, Cerino R, Arcuri M, Hoffmann M, Schürmann P, Adah MI, Gissler B, et al. Scavenger receptor class B type I and hepatitis C virus infection of primary tupaia hepatocytes. *J Virol* 2005;79:5774-5785.
10. Barth H, Schäfer C, Adah MI, Zhang F, Linhardt RJ, Toyoda H, Kinoshita-Toyoda A, et al. Cellular binding of hepatitis C virus envelope glycoprotein E2 requires cell surface heparan sulfate. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2003;278:41003-41012.
11. Smith AE, Helenius A. How viruses enter animal cells. *Science* 2004;304:237-242.
12. Wang X, Huong SM, Chiu ML, Raab-Traub N, Huang ES. Epidermal growth factor receptor is a cellular receptor for human cytomegalovirus. *Nature* 2003;424:456-461.
13. Weissman D, Rabin RL, Arthos J, Rubbert A, Dybul M, Swofford R, Venkatesan S, et al. Macrophage-tropic HIV and SIV envelope proteins induce a signal through the CCR5 chemokine receptor. *Nature* 1997;389:981-985.
14. Cicala C, Arthos J, Selig SM, Dennis G, Jr., Hosack DA, Van Ryk D, Spangler ML, et al. HIV envelope induces a cascade of cell signals in non-proliferating target cells that favor virus replication. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2002;99:9380-9385.
15. Steinmann D, Barth H, Gissler B, Schürmann P, Adah MI, Gerlach JT, Pape GR, et al. Inhibition of hepatitis C virus-like particle binding to target cells by antiviral antibodies in acute and chronic hepatitis C. *J Virol* 2004;78:9030-9040.
16. Baumert TF, Ito S, Wong DT, Liang TJ. Hepatitis C virus structural proteins assemble into viruslike particles in insect cells. *J. Virol.* 1998;72:3827-3836.

17. Wellnitz S, Klumpp B, Barth H, Ito S, Depla E, Dubuisson J, Blum HE, et al. Binding of hepatitis C virus-like particles derived from infectious clone H77C to defined human cell lines. *J. Virol.* 2002;76:1181-1193.
18. Barth H, Ulsenheimer A, Pape GR, Diepolder HM, Hoffmann M, Neumann-Haefelin C, Thimme R, et al. Uptake and presentation of hepatitis C virus-like particles by human dendritic cells. *Blood* 2005;105:3605-3614. Epub 2005 Jan 3618.
19. Donauer J, Rumberger B, Klein M, Faller D, Wilpert J, Sparna T, Schieren G, et al. Expression profiling on chronically rejected transplant kidneys. *Transplantation* 2003;76:539-547.
20. Van Gelder RN, von Zastrow ME, Yool A, Dement WC, Barchas JD, Eberwine JH. Amplified RNA synthesized from limited quantities of heterogeneous cDNA. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 1990;87:1663-1667.
21. Eisen MB, Brown PO. DNA arrays for the analysis of gene expression. *Meth Enzymol* 1999;303:179-189.
22. Boldrick JC, Alizadeh AA, Diehn M, Dudoit S, Liu CL, Belcher CE, Botstein D, et al. Stereotyped and specific gene expression programs in human innate immune responses to bacteria. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2002;99:972-977.
23. Brazma A, Hingamp P, Quackenbush J, Sherlock G, Spellman P, Stoeckert C, Aach J, et al. Minimum information about a microarray experiment (MIAME)-toward standards for microarray data. *Nat Genet* 2001;29:365-371.
24. Dudoit S, Young YH, Speed T. Statistical methods for identifying differentially expressed genes in replicated cDNA microarray experiments. *Statistica Sinica* 2002;12:111.

25. Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y. Controlling the false discovery rate: a practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. *J. Royal Stat. Soc. B* 1995;57:289.
26. Kaufman L, Rousseeuw P. Finding groups in data: An introduction to cluster analysis: John Wiley, 1990.
27. Pfaffl MW: Development and validation of an externally standardised quantitative Insulin like growth factor-1 (IGF-1) RT-PCR using LightCycler SYBR® Green I technology. In: Meuer S, Wittwer C, Nakagawara K, eds. Rapid Cycle Real-time PCR, Methods and Applications. Heidelberg: Springer Press, 2001; 281-191.
28. Su AI, Pezacki JP, Wodicka L, Brideau AD, Supekova L, Thimme R, Wieland S, et al. Genomic analysis of the host response to hepatitis C virus infection. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2002;99:15669-15674.
29. Otsuka M, Aizaki H, Kato N, Suzuki T, Miyamura T, Omata M, Seki N. Differential cellular gene expression induced by hepatitis B and C viruses. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 2003;300:443-447.
30. Triyatni M, Saunier B, Maruvada P, Davis AR, Ulianich L, Heller T, Patel A, et al. Interaction of hepatitis C virus-like particles and cells: a model system for studying viral binding and entry. *J. Virol.* 2002;76:9335-9344.
31. Xiang J, Wunschmann S, George SL, Klinzman D, Schmidt WN, LaBrecque DR, Stapleton JT. Recombinant hepatitis C virus-like particles expressed by baculovirus: utility in cell-binding and antibody detection assays. *J. Med. Virol.* 2002;68:537-543.
32. Bartosch B, Dubuisson J, Cosset FL. Infectious hepatitis C virus pseudo-particles containing functional E1-E2 envelope protein complexes. *J. Exp. Med.* 2003;197:633-642.

33. Hsu M, Zhang J, Flint M, Logvinoff C, Cheng-Mayer C, Rice CM, McKeating JA. Hepatitis C virus glycoproteins mediate pH-dependent cell entry of pseudotyped retroviral particles. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A* 2003;100:7271-7276.
34. Germe R, Crance JM, Garin D, Guimet J, Lortat-Jacob H, Ruigrok RW, Zarski JP, et al. Cellular glycosaminoglycans and low density lipoprotein receptor are involved in hepatitis C virus adsorption. *J Med Virol* 2002;68:206-215.
35. Sasaki M, Yamauchi K, Nakanishi T, Kamogawa Y, Hayashi N. In vitro binding of hepatitis C virus to CD81-positive and -negative human cell lines. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2003;18:74-79.
36. Garson JA, Lubach D, Passas J, Whitby K, Grant PR. Suramin blocks hepatitis C binding to human hepatoma cells in vitro. *J Med Virol* 1999;57:238-242.
37. Hisaeda K, Inokuchi A, Nakamura T, Iwamoto Y, Kohno K, Kuwano M, Uchiumi T. Interleukin-1beta represses MRP2 gene expression through inactivation of interferon regulatory factor 3 in HepG2 cells. *Hepatology* 2004;39:1574-1582.
38. Dharancy S, Malapel M, Perlemuter G, Roskams T, Cheng Y, Dubuquoy L, Podgevin P, et al. Impaired expression of the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor alpha during hepatitis C virus infection. *Gastroenterology* 2005;128:334-342.
39. Bosserhoff AK, Moser M, Scholmerich J, Buettner R, Hellerbrand C. Specific expression and regulation of the new melanoma inhibitory activity-related gene MIA2 in hepatocytes. *J Biol Chem* 2003;278:15225-15231.

40. Seipp S, Mueller HM, Pfaff E, Stremmel W, Theilmann L, Goeser T. Establishment of persistent hepatitis C virus infection and replication in vitro. *J Gen Virol* 1997;78:2467-2476.
41. Date T, Kato T, Miyamoto M, Zhao Z, Yasui K, Mizokami M, Wakita T. Genotype 2a hepatitis C virus subgenomic replicon can replicate in HepG2 and IMY-N9 cells. *J Biol Chem* 2004;279:22371-22376.
42. Bigger CB, Brasky KM, Lanford RE. DNA microarray analysis of chimpanzee liver during acute resolving hepatitis C virus infection. *J Virol* 2001;75:7059-7066.
43. Biswas PS, Banerjee K, Kim B, Rouse BT. Mice transgenic for IL-1 receptor antagonist protein are resistant to herpetic stromal keratitis: possible role for IL-1 in herpetic stromal keratitis pathogenesis. *J Immunol* 2004;172:3736-3744.
44. Dunne A, O'Neill LA. The interleukin-1 receptor/Toll-like receptor superfamily: signal transduction during inflammation and host defense. *Sci STKE* 2003;2003:re3.
45. Takeda A, Tuazon CU, Ennis FA. Antibody-enhanced infection by HIV-1 via Fc receptor-mediated entry. *Science* 1988;242:580-583.
46. Norbury CC, Basta S, Donohue KB, Tschärke DC, Princiotta MF, Berglund P, Gibbs J, et al. CD8+ T cell cross-priming via transfer of proteasome substrates. *Science* 2004;304:1318-1321.
47. Rotem-Yehudar R, Groettrup M, Soza A, Kloetzel PM, Ehrlich R. LMP-associated proteolytic activities and TAP-dependent peptide transport for class 1 MHC molecules are suppressed in cell lines transformed by the highly oncogenic adenovirus 12. *J Exp Med* 1996;183:499-514.

48. Seifert U, Liermann H, Racanelli V, Halenius A, Wiese M, Wedemeyer H, Ruppert T, et al. Hepatitis C virus mutation affects proteasomal epitope processing. *J Clin Invest* 2004;114:250-259.
49. Ruibal-Ares BH, Belmonte L, Bare PC, Parodi CM, Massud I, de Bracco MM. HIV-1 infection and chemokine receptor modulation. *Curr HIV Res* 2004;2:39-50.
50. Varani S, Frascaroli G, Homman-Loudiyi M, Feld S, Landini MP, Soderberg-Naucler C. Human cytomegalovirus inhibits the migration of immature dendritic cells by down-regulating cell-surface CCR1 and CCR5. *J Leukoc Biol* 2005;77:219-228.
51. Yasukawa M, Hasegawa A, Sakai I, Ohminami H, Arai J, Kaneko S, Yakushijin Y, et al. Down-regulation of CXCR4 by human herpesvirus 6 (HHV-6) and HHV-7. *J Immunol* 1999;162:5417-5422.
52. Shi ST, Polyak SJ, Tu H, Taylor DR, Gretch DR, Lai MM. Hepatitis C virus NS5A colocalizes with the core protein on lipid droplets and interacts with apolipoproteins. *Virology* 2002;292:198-210.
53. Giambartolomei S, Covone F, Levrero M, Balsano C. Sustained activation of the Raf/MEK/Erk pathway in response to EGF in stable cell lines expressing the hepatitis C virus core protein. *Oncogene* 2001;20:2606-2610.
54. Taniguchi H, Kato N, Otsuka M, Goto T, Yoshida H, Shiratori Y, Omata M. Hepatitis C virus core protein upregulates transforming growth factor-beta 1 transcription. *J Med Virol* 2004;72:52-59.
55. Aytug S, Reich D, Sapiro LE, Bernstein D, Begum N. Impaired IRS-1/PI3-kinase signaling in patients with HCV: a mechanism for increased prevalence of type 2 diabetes. *Hepatology* 2003;38:1384-1392.

56. Li K, Prow T, Lemon SM, Beard MR. Cellular response to conditional expression of hepatitis C virus core protein in Huh7 cultured human hepatoma cells. *Hepatology* 2002;35:1237-1246.
57. Chan CH, Hadlock KG, Fong SK, Levy S. V(H)1-69 gene is preferentially used by hepatitis C virus-associated B cell lymphomas and by normal B cells responding to the E2 viral antigen. *Blood* 2001;97:1023-1026.
58. Hoofnagle JH. Therapy of viral hepatitis. *Digestion* 1998;59:563-578.
59. Asanza CG, Garcia-Monzon C, Clemente G, Salcedo M, Garcia-Buey L, Garcia-Iglesias C, Banares R, et al. Immunohistochemical evidence of immunopathogenetic mechanisms in chronic hepatitis C recurrence after liver transplantation. *Hepatology* 1997;26:755-763.
60. Lee S, Park U, Lee YI. Hepatitis C virus core protein transactivates insulin-like growth factor II gene transcription through acting concurrently on Egr1 and Sp1 sites. *Virology* 2001;283:167-177.
61. Hellier S, Frodsham AJ, Hennig BJ, Klenerman P, Knapp S, Ramaley P, Satsangi J, et al. Association of genetic variants of the chemokine receptor CCR5 and its ligands, RANTES and MCP-2, with outcome of HCV infection. *Hepatology* 2003;38:1468-1476.
62. Isoyama T, Kuge S, Nomoto A. The core protein of hepatitis C virus is imported into the nucleus by transport receptor Kap123p but inhibits Kap121p-dependent nuclear import of yeast AP1-like transcription factor in yeast cells. *J Biol Chem* 2002;277:39634-39641.
63. Maillard P, Lavergne JP, Siberil S, Faure G, Roohvand F, Petres S, Teillaud JL, et al. Fcγ receptor-like activity of hepatitis C virus core protein. *J Biol Chem* 2004;279:2430-2437.

64. Andre P, Komurian-Pradel F, Deforges S, Perret M, Berland JL, Sodoyer M, Pol S, et al. Characterization of low- and very-low-density hepatitis C virus RNA-containing particles. *J Virol* 2002;76:6919-6928.
65. Lichterfeld M, Leifeld L, Nischalke HD, Rockstroh JK, Hess L, Sauerbruch T, Spengler U. Reduced CC chemokine receptor (CCR) 1 and CCR5 surface expression on peripheral blood T lymphocytes from patients with chronic hepatitis C infection. *J Infect Dis* 2002;185:1803-1807.
66. Bieche I, Asselah T, Laurendeau I, Vidaud D, Degot C, Paradis V, Bedossa P, et al. Molecular profiling of early stage liver fibrosis in patients with chronic hepatitis C virus infection. *Virology* 2005;332:130-144.
67. Nischalke HD, Nattermann J, Fischer HP, Sauerbruch T, Spengler U, Dumoulin FL. Semiquantitative analysis of intrahepatic CC-chemokine mRNAs in chronic hepatitis C. *Mediators Inflamm* 2004;13:357-359.
68. Shackel NA, McGuinness PH, Abbott CA, Gorrell MD, McCaughan GW. Novel differential gene expression in human cirrhosis detected by suppression subtractive hybridization. *Hepatology* 2003;38:577-588.

FIGURE LEGENDS

FIG. 1. *Functional categories of differentially expressed genes.* HepG2 hepatoma cells were incubated with HCV-LP or control preparation as described in Materials and Methods. Four hours following HCV-LP binding, total cellular RNA was extracted, purified and gene expression profiling was performed using a 7.5k human cDNA microarray. Differentially expressed genes (n=565) in cells binding HCV-LPs versus control cells were annotated and grouped according to functional categories. The graph shows the percentage of differentially regulated genes out of total genes in each category.

FIG. 2. *Confirmation of differential gene expression by semiquantitative RT-PCR of mRNA in HepG2 cells following binding of HCV-LPs or control preparation (GUS).* Semiquantitative RT-PCR of transcripts of indicated genes was performed as described in Materials and Methods. Results of a representative independent experiment of the array analysis are shown. Differences in gene expression obtained by microarray analysis and semi-quantitative RT-PCR are shown side-by-side.

FIG. 3. *Hierarchical cluster analysis of gene expression.* (A) Hybridization results of 21 genes (shown in supplementary data 1) were clustered using the R statistical software package. Changes in gene expression in HCV-LP incubated HepG2 cells and HepG2 cells incubated with insect cell control preparation versus reference RNA are indicated by a color scale (red: up-regulation of transcription; green down-regulation of transcription). (B) Tree depicting the correlation in cellular gene expression of different experiments.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank F. Zoulim, R. Bartenschlager and T. Astier-Gin for helpful discussions, P. Schürmann, J. Stolte, N. Kersting and M. Klein for excellent technical assistance and H. C. Spangenberg for performing liver biopsies.

TABLES

Table 1. Primers used for semiquantitative RT-PCR and quantitative real-time RT-PCR. Gene Name, GenBank accession number, primer sequences, primer length, position in relationship to the gene cDNA, melting temperature T_m and PCR product size are shown. GAPDH: glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase; PTPase PIR1 - protein tyrosine phosphatase PIR1; HBP - High density lipoprotein binding protein; IFNAR2-1 - interferon alpha receptor 2-1; LMP-2 - major histocompatibility complex encoded proteasome subunit 2; F - forward primer, R - reverse primer, bp - base pairs, nt - nucleotide.

Gene name	GenBank	Primer Sequence (5'→3')	Length (nt)	Position (nt cDNA)	T_m (°C)	Size (bp)
GAPDH	BC004109	F: TGGAAATCCCATCACCATCT	20	210-219	60.13	351
		R: GTCTTCTGGGTGGCAGTGAT	20	541-560	60.12	
Apolipoprotein B100	X04506	F: TGCAGCAGCTTAAGAGACACA	21	6797-6817	59.94	220
		R: GCTCTGAAGGCATTGATTTTC	21	6976-6996	58.92	
IL-1 receptor II	X59770	F: TGAAGGCCAGCAATACAACA	20	639-658	60.26	219
		R: GGGTAGGCGCTCTCTATGTG	20	818-837	59.86	
IgG Fc receptor	X14356	F: AGTTACCAACTCCTGTCTGGTTTC	24	851-874	59.97	266
		R: GTTCTGACATTTTCAGCTCTTCTT	24	1043-1066	60.29	
Ga12 subunit	L01694	F: AGGGCTCAAGGGTTCTTGTT	20	626-645	60.11	218
		R: CAGCTGAAACTCGCTTCTCC	20	805-824	60.28	
Lipocortin	X05908	F: CACCTTCTTCATCAAGCCATGAAAGGTG	28	869-896	61.9	193
		R: CACAAAGAGCCACCAGGATT	20	996-1015	60.1	
PTPase PIR1	AF023917	F: GGACTGGCTACCTCATTGTC	20	474-493	59.70	218
		R: ATTGTGGACTGGTTGCATGA	20	671-690	59.97	

Apolipoprotein E	M12529	F: GGTCTGCTTTTGGGATTACCT	20	145-164	60.32	150
		R: TTCCTCCAGTTCCGATTTGT	20	275-294	59.53	
HBP	M64098	F: GGAAGCGACACCGTTGTTAT	20	2086-2105	60.00	694
		R: TCTCCTGGACAACCTGGCTCT	20	2741-2760	59.99	
IFNAR2-1	L41944	F: AGGCCTATGTCACCGTCCTA	20	311-330	59.57	218
		R: TCCCTCTGACTGTTCTTCAATG	22	502-523	59.33	
LMP2	Z14977	F: GGAACCTCCACTTGTTTTGG	20	273-292	59.42	242
		R: CTGCACTTCCTCGGGAGAC	19	497-515	59.47	

Table 2. HCV-LP induced transcriptional changes previously associated with HCV host-interaction. Differentially expressed genes that have been previously reported to be modulated by HCV structural protein expression, replication or infection are listed along with the associated GenBank accession number, cell line or tissue of observation and respective reference. Differential expression of randomly selected genes in HCV-LP treated vs. control cells was confirmed by semiquantitative (Fig. 2) and real-time RT-PCR (data not shown) in independent experiments using cDNA specific primers (as shown in Table 1).

Gene name	GenBank	Cell line / Tissue	Reference	Confirmation
Apolipoprotein B-100	X04506	Blood (human)	(64)	+
Apolipoprotein E	M12529	Blood (human)	(64)	+
Cartilage GP-39 protein (YKL-40)	Y08374	Liver (human)	(68)	n.d.
Chemokine receptor CXCR4	AF005058	Liver (human)	(66)	n.d.
Endoglin	X72012	Liver (human)	(59)	n.d.
ERK1	AA018162	HepG2 (human)	(53)	n.d.
Gamma-glutamyltransferase	X98922	Liver (human)	(58)	n.d.
Glutathione peroxidase	D00632	Huh-7-derived cell line (human)	(56)	n.d.
High affinity IgG Fc receptor	X14356	Blood (human)	(63)	+
Immunoglobulin heavy chain variable region gene	Y14737	B cells (human)	(57)	n.d.
LMP-2	Z14977	Liver (chimpanzee)	(42) (28)	+
Macrophage inflammatory protein-1 alpha/RANTES receptor (CCR1)	L10918	Blood (human)	(65)	n.d.

MHC class I HLA-F	AF055066	Liver (chimpanzee)	(42) (28)	n.d.
Mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase (MAPKK)	AW452931	Liver (human)	(55)	n.d.
MKK7b	AF013589	Huh-7 (human)	(54)	n.d.
Monocyte chemotactic and activating factor (MCP-1)	M24545	Liver (human)	(67)	n.d.
Monocyte chemotactic protein-2	Y16645	PBMCs (human)	(61)	n.d.
Small GTP-binding protein	U18420	Yeast (yeast)	(62)	n.d.
SP1 transcription factor	XM028606	HepG2 (human)	(60)	n.d.

n. d. – not done

Table 3. Comparative analysis of gene expression profiling following binding of HCV-LPs and recombinant envelope glycoproteins E1/E2. Differences in gene expression from cells incubated with HCV-LPs or insect cell control preparation were compared with gene expression profiles from hepatoma cells incubated with recombinant E1/E2 or buffer (negative control). Genes similarly expressed following HCV-LP and E1/E2 binding are shown.

Gene name	GenBank	Fold change	
		E1E2	HCV-LP
S6 kinase b	AB019245	1.9	1.7
Apolipoprotein E	M12529	1.6	2.5
IgG Fc receptor I	T57079	1.5	2.8
NKG5	M85276	1.5	1.9
Hepatic dihydrodiol dehydrogenase	U05861	1.5	1.9
TSPY-like 2	AB015345	1.5	1.5
Adaptor protein X11beta	AF047348	-1.5	-1.7
Thyroid-stimulating hormone alpha subunit	S70585	-1.5	-1.8
Chemokine ligand 3	M36821	-1.6	-1.5
Caveolin	Z18951	-1.6	-1.7
Rhesus polypeptide (RhII)	X63094	-1.6	-1.8
Erythrocyte plasma membrane glycoprotein	X64594	-1.7	-1.6
Fibronectin receptor alpha subunit	X06256	-1.7	-1.7
Secretory leukoprotease inhibitor (SLPI)	AA460433	-1.7	-2.8

CD44 antigen	AW732334	-1.7	-3.0
Zeta haemoglobin	M24173	-1.8	-1.9
Serin protease with IGF-binding motif	D87258	-1.9	-1.6
D-site binding protein	U48213	-2.2	-1.5
Macrophage inflammatory protein-1-alpha/RANTES receptor	AI151215	-2.6	-1.8

Table 4. Similarly and differentially regulated genes following HCV-LP binding to hepatoma cells and HCV infection *in vivo*. Genomic analysis of cellular host responses in liver tissue samples from four HCV-infected individuals versus three non-HCV infected controls was performed as described in Materials and Methods. Differences in gene expression from liver tissue samples from HCV-infected individuals versus non-HCV infected controls were compared with gene expression profiling from HCV-LP treated vs. control cells. Genes similarly and differentially expressed during HCV infection *in vivo* and following HCV-LP binding *in vitro* are shown.

Gene name	GenBank	Fold change	Fold change
		<i>in vivo</i>	in HepG2
Similarly expressed genes			
Radical fringe homolog	U94353	3.9	1.9
Neuropathy target esterase	AJ004832	3.0	1.6
Hepatic nuclear factor 1 (TCF1)	M57732	2.8	1.5
Citrate synthase	AF098951	2.7	3.0
3-hydroxyanthranilic acid dioxygenase	Z29481	2.7	1.5
KE4 protein	AF117221	1.9	1.7
Myristilated and palmitylated serine-threonine kinase	AF060798	1.9	1.9
IKBL	X77909	1.9	1.8
MHC class II transactivator CIITA	U18288	1.7	1.6
CYP2D7AP pseudogene for cytochrome P450 2D6	X58467	1.7	1.6
Extracellular matrix protein 1	U68187	-1.5	-1.5
MAFA-L (killer cell lectin-like receptor subfamily G, member 1)	AF081675	-1.9	-1.6

Interferon receptor ifnar2-1	AA865870	-2.2	-1.6
GDP-dissociation inhibitor protein (Ly-GDI)	L20688	-2.6	-1.9
Macrophage inflammatory protein-1 alpha/RANTES receptor	L10918	-2.6	-1.8
Osteogenic protein 1	W73473	-2.7	-1.6
Cyclin T1	AF048730	-2.7	-1.5
Vascular endothelial growth factor related protein VRP	U43142	-3.0	-1.6
Decorin	H11506	-3.1	-1.8
Chemokine receptor CXCR-4	AF005058	-4.0	-1.9
Truncated epidermal growth factor receptor-like protein precursor	U95089	-4.3	-1.5
Caveolin 1	Z18951	-4.6	-1.5
Early growth response protein 1	X52541	-4.8	-1.7
Cartilage GP-39 protein (YKL-40)	Y08374	-5.7	-2.0
Low-density lipoprotein receptor related protein 1 (LRP1)	R90800	-8.3	-1.7
Monocyte chemotactic and activating factor (MCP-1)	M24545	-18.7	-1.6
Differentially expressed genes			
LMP2	Z14977	5.1	-1.8
Liver dipeptidyl peptidase IV	N24617	4.9	-1.6
Butyrophilin	U97502	3.8	-1.6
n-myc	Y00664	3.8	-1.7
G protein-coupled receptor V28	H17651	3.1	-2.0
Retinoic acid receptor responder 3 (RARRES3)	AF060228	2.9	-1.6
KIAA0352	AB002350	2.8	-1.5
hB-FABP	AJ002962	2.7	-1.6

Prostate associated PAGE-1	AF058989	2.6	-2.3
KIAA0513	AB011085	2.5	-1.5
Telethonin	AJ000491	2.0	-1.9
DT1P1A11	U92992	1.7	-1.6
N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor 2D (NMDAR2D)	U77783	1.7	-1.5
Phenylalanine-tRNA synthetase (FARS1)	AF097441	1.7	-1.6
C2H2 zinc finger protein	AF033199	1.5	-1.6
KIAA0313	AB002311	-9.4	2.0
Prepro-oxytocin-neurophysin I (OXT)	M11186	-7.7	2.1
Carbonic anhydrase I (CAI)	M33987	-6.5	2.5
Zinc finger protein (MBLL)	AF061261	-5.9	1.8
Dead box X isoform (DBX)	AF000982	-5.5	2.0
RNA binding protein Gry-rbp (GRY-RBP)	AF037448	-5.4	1.6
General transcription factor 2-I (GTF2I)	AF035737	-4.5	2.1
Smooth muscle protein (SM22)	M95787	-3.6	1.8
Aorta caldesmon	M83216	-3.3	1.6
TNF-related apoptosis inducing ligand TRAIL	U37518	-3.1	2.0
Apolipoprotein B-100	X04506	-2.4	2.6
Neutral amino acid transporter B	U53347	-2.3	1.7
Clone zap113	L40400	-2.3	1.7
Cullin 1	AF062536	-2.2	1.6
Carbonic anhydrase precursor (CA 12)	AF037335	-2.0	1.8
Osteogenic protein	X51801	-2.0	2.0

Synaptotagmin	M55047	-1.7	1.9
---------------	--------	------	-----
