



HAL
open science

The fate of N₂O consumed in soils

B. Vieten, F. Conen, B. Seth, C. Alewell

► **To cite this version:**

B. Vieten, F. Conen, B. Seth, C. Alewell. The fate of N₂O consumed in soils. Biogeosciences Discussions, 2007, 4 (5), pp.3331-3341. hal-00297922

HAL Id: hal-00297922

<https://hal.science/hal-00297922>

Submitted on 18 Jun 2008

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Biogeosciences Discussions is the access reviewed discussion forum of *Biogeosciences*

**The N₂O
consumption in soils**

B. Vieten et al.

The fate of N₂O consumed in soils

B. Vieten, F. Conen, B. Seth, and C. Alewell

Institute of Environmental Geosciences, University of Basel, Bernoullistrasse 30, 4056 Basel, Switzerland

Received: 8 August 2007 – Accepted: 14 September 2007 – Published: 24 September 2007

Correspondence to: B. Vieten (b.vieten@unibas.ch)

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

EGU

Abstract

Soils are capable to consume N_2O . It is generally assumed that consumption occurs exclusively via respiratory reduction to N_2 by denitrifying organisms (i.e. complete denitrification). Yet, we are not aware of any verification of this assumption. Some N_2O may be assimilatorily reduced to NH_3 . Reduction of N_2O to NH_3 is thermodynamically advantageous compared to the reduction of N_2 . Is this an ecologically relevant process? To find out, we treated four contrasting soil samples in a flow-through incubation experiment with a mixture of labelled (98%) $^{15}N_2O$ (0.5–4 ppm) and O_2 (0.2–0.4%) in He. We measured N_2O consumption by GC-ECD continuously and $\delta^{15}N$ of soil organic matter before and after an 11 to 29 day incubation period. Any $^{15}N_2O$ assimilatorily reduced would have resulted in the enrichment of soil organic matter with ^{15}N , whereas dissimilatorily reduced $^{15}N_2O$ would not have left a trace. None of the soils showed a change in $\delta^{15}N$ that was statistically different from zero. A maximum of 0.27 % (s.e. $\pm 0.19\%$) of consumed $^{15}N_2O$ may have been retained as ^{15}N in soil organic matter in one sample. On average, ^{15}N enrichment of soil organic matter during the incubation may have corresponded to a retention of 0.019% (s.e. $\pm 0.14\%$; $n=4$) of the $^{15}N_2O$ consumed by the soils. We conclude that assimilatory reduction of N_2O plays, if at all, only a negligible role in the consumption of N_2O in soils.

1 Introduction

Nitrous oxide (N_2O) is produced in soils during the processes of nitrification and denitrification (Firestone et al., 1980). Since industrialisation the global atmospheric N_2O concentration increased from about 270 ppb in 1800 to 319 ppb in 2005 (IPCC, 2006). Currently, more than one third of all N_2O emissions are of anthropogenic origin and primarily due to agriculture (IPCC, 2006). However, soils can also act as a sink for N_2O (reviewed in Chapuis-Lardy et al., 2007).

What is the possible fate of N_2O once produced by a soil organism? It can take three

BGD

4, 3331–3341, 2007

The N_2O consumption in soils

B. Vieten et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

EGU

major routes (Ostrom et al., 2007) (Fig. 1): (1) complete denitrification to N_2 within the cell prior to its escape into the gas phase (reviewed in Zumft, 1997); (2) escape from the cell into the gas phase of soil and potentially to the atmosphere; or (3) reduction to N_2 upon re-entering a cell capable of complete denitrification (e.g. Neftel et al., 2000; Clough et al., 2005). To the best of our knowledge other pathways have not been studied in natural soil so far. One of these (4) could be the assimilatory reduction of N_2O to NH_3 by soil organisms exhibiting nitrogenase activity (Fig. 1).

The first evidence that N_2O can be assimilated by nitrogenase was found by Mozen and Burris (1954). Later studies confirmed that N_2O can be a substrate for nitrogenase which reduces N_2O to N_2 with subsequent reduction of N_2 to NH_3 (Hoch et al., 1960; Hardy and Knight, 1966; Jensen and Burris, 1986). However, one year later, reduction of N_2O to N_2 was already questioned by Yamazaki et al. (1987). They concluded from the stable isotope kinetics during N_2O fixation by *Azotobacter vinelandii* that N_2O fixation by nitrogenase must be an apparent one-step reaction transforming N_2O directly into NH_3 , without the intermediary N_2 . This conclusion was supported by a re-interpretation of the original results of Jensen and Burris (1986) by Burgess and Lowe (1996). The re-interpretation suggested there may be an additional pathway from N_2O to NH_3 which does not involve N_2 as an intermediary. Shestakov and Shilov (2001) concluded from thermodynamical considerations that the reduction of N_2O would be preferred over that of N_2 , because the dissociation energy for the N-N bond in N_2O is only half that of the N_2 molecule. The N_2O fixation via nitrogenase is only one example of how N_2O may be assimilated in the soil matter. Other, still unknown processes might exist. The objective of this study is therefore to clarify the more general question whether N from N_2O consumed in soil is partly retained in the soil matrix or whether it is completely lost to the atmosphere.

BGD

4, 3331–3341, 2007

**The N_2O
consumption in soils**

B. Vieten et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

EGU

2 Material and methods

Samples were obtained from the upper 10 cm of soil at three locations in Switzerland and one location in South-West Germany. The first site, A, is located at Zurich-Reckenholz (47°26' N, 8°32' E at 491 m a.m.s.l.), the second site, B, is located in central Switzerland (47°17' N, 7°44' E at 450 m a.m.s.l.; for more details see Flechard et al., 2005). Both sites have soil types classified as cambisol and are experimental grassland sites of the Research Station ART (Agroscope Reckenholz-Tänikon), Switzerland. The third site, C, is located close to Basel (47°28' N, 7°42' E at 476 m a.m.s.l.) in a mixed deciduous forest on pseudogley over limestone; and the fourth site, D, is an open pine (*Pinus spp.*) forest on a peat bog in the Black Forest located 70 km north of Basel (47°52' N, 8°06' E at 975 m a.m.s.l.).

The soils of these sites range in texture from sandy loam to clay loam and organic, in pH from 2.9 to 7.0, in the C:N ratio from 9.4 to 28.8 and in the soil moisture from 23.0 to 93.6% (Table 1). Fresh samples were broken into aggregates of ≤ 6.2 mm within 5 h after collection from the field sites and 200 g were placed into an incubation vessel (glass, 415 cm³) at 20°C for a 24 h pre-incubation period. To minimise entry of atmospheric N₂ into the sample environment, we placed the incubation vessel during the entire experiment in an aluminium coated foil bag (volume about 2 l), which was continuously flushed with He (200 ml min⁻¹). During the incubation, the samples were exposed to a mixture of labelled (98%) ¹⁵N₂O (0.5–4 ppm) and O₂ (0.2–0.4%) in He (with a purity of 99.9999%). Labelled ¹⁵N₂O had been produced by the following thermal method. An amount of 0.1 g fully (98%) labelled NH₄NO₃ (Cambridge Isotope Laboratories, Inc., Andover, USA) was gently heated with 5 ml 6 M HNO₃ and 0.05 g NaCl. The arising ¹⁵N₂O was collected in a syringe (a nice popular description of the method can be found at: <http://mattson.creighton.edu/N2O/>). Later it was transferred with a stream of He into a 10 l He bottle filled to 1.1 bar pressure and topped up with He to 6 bar. Close to 100% labelling of N₂O with ¹⁵N was confirmed by mass spectrometry (T. Blunier, personal communication, 2005). In the incubation experiment, a gas stream of

BGD

4, 3331–3341, 2007

The N₂O consumption in soils

B. Vieten et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

EGU

the labelled $^{15}\text{N}_2\text{O}$, O_2 and He was mixed together and monitored by mass-flow controllers. It passed a humidifier and was divided into two equal streams with flow rates around 30 ml min^{-1} . One of the gas streams passed through the incubation vessel containing the soil sample before entering a 6-port selection valve (Valco Instruments Co. Inc.; Houston, Texas, USA). The second gas stream arrived directly at the selection valve. This valve selected alternately one of the gas streams and sent it through a Nafion[®] dryer (MDTM Series Gas dryer, Perma Pure LLC.; Toms River, N.J., USA) to a 2 ml sample loop on an injection valve (10-port selection valve, Valco Instruments Co. Inc.; Houston, Texas, USA) for 5 min, while the other gas stream was vented to the atmosphere. Concentrations of N_2O were measured by GC-ECD (SRI8610C Gas Chromatograph; SRI Instruments Inc.; Las Vegas, N.V., USA). By varying the concentration of N_2O in the gas mixture, we were able to determine rates of gross production and gross consumption of N_2O from a linear regression fitted through the measured net N_2O fluxes against N_2O concentrations (Vieten et al., 2007). The $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of soil organic matter (SOM) was measured (on three or more sub-samples) before and after an 11 to 29 day incubation period (Table 1) on the Flash Elemental Analyser (Thermo Finnigan; Milano, Italy) connected to a CF-IR-MS (DELTA^{plus}XP; Thermo Finnigan MAT; Bremen, Germany).

3 Results and discussions

During the incubation period of 11 to 29 days, between 0.81 and 1.86 mg of fully labelled $^{15}\text{N}_2\text{O}$ were flowing through each soil sample, containing a background of 0.82 mg to 1.91 mg of ^{15}N in organic matter (Table 1). During this time, 0.18 mg to 0.29 mg of the offered $^{15}\text{N}_2\text{O}$ was consumed (Table 1). Figure 2 presents the fraction of consumed $^{15}\text{N}_2\text{O}$ -N that might have been retained as part of the soil organic matter. This fraction was between -0.20% ($\pm 0.11\%$) and 0.27% ($\pm 0.19\%$) with an average across all four soils of 0.019% ($\pm 0.12\%$). None of the measured values were signifi-

BGD

4, 3331–3341, 2007

The N_2O consumption in soils

B. Vieten et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

EGU

icantly different from zero ($p > 0.05$). Thus, we can consider them as measurement noise. Still, their presentation is useful insofar as it serves to illustrate the sensitivity of our method. This sensitivity was about one order of magnitude larger than that of the classical assay for N_2 fixation by acetylene reduction, as for example described by Weaver and Danso (1994). This sensitivity was brought about by the long duration of the incubation, the large atom fraction of ^{15}N in the consumed N_2O (> 0.98) and the high rates of N_2O consumption by the soil samples. During the incubation period, an equivalent of 11.6% to 24.4% of ^{15}N initially present in the soil samples was consumed as $^{15}N_2O$ (Table 1).

No significant N_2O production by the soil samples themselves was detected during these experiments. Therefore $^{15}N_2O$ would have been the principal source for potential N_2O assimilatory reduction. During our experiments, we incubated the soils with He (purity of 99.9999%) plus small amounts of O_2 and $^{15}N_2O$. Since we flushed the aluminium-coated foil bag that was around the incubation vessel continuously with He, we reduced the potential influx of atmospheric N_2 into the sample. We did not measure N_2 concentrations in the sample air but estimate that it has been in the order of ppm rather than per mil. Compared to the natural environment, the competitive advantage of N_2O (here: 0.5–4 ppm) relative to N_2 to get in contact with nitrogenase had been shifted by orders of magnitude in favour of N_2O . Thus, the likelihood for bacteria to reduce N_2O instead of N_2 to NH_3 was substantially increased. The low O_2 concentration (0.2%) will have further enhanced the potential activity of nitrogenase in free-living organisms (e.g. Newton, 2007). Maybe, we should underline here that it was not our objective to measure nitrogenase activity but to find out whether some proportion of N_2O consumed in soil is assimilated. A mere proof of nitrogenase activity would not have answered this question. Alternatively, a failure of an acetylene reduction assay to prove nitrogenase activity would not have provided a better answer because of the much poorer sensitivity of this assay compared to our $^{15}N_2O$ labelling study. Weaver and Danso (1994) state that the detection of ^{15}N in tissues of biological systems exposed to labelled N gas is the only direct, unequivocal method for demonstrating that

BGD

4, 3331–3341, 2007

The N_2O consumption in soils

B. Vieten et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

EGU

its assimilation occurred.

The negative result regarding N_2O assimilation is unexpected, considering the evidence of N_2O fixation by nitrogenase in the cited culture studies. Further, the thermodynamical advantage of reducing N_2O to NH_3 instead of N_2 to NH_3 (Shestakov and Shilov, 2001) supports the expectation to recover ^{15}N in soil having consumed substantial amounts of $^{15}\text{N}_2\text{O}$. The dissociation energy for the N_2 molecule (9.76 eV) is about twice that for the N-NO bond in the N_2O molecule (4.93 eV, Herzberg, 1966). Thus, one could expect natural selection to have favoured organisms assimilating N_2O rather than N_2 . We can not completely rule out that N_2O assimilation may have some ecological importance, at least in N limited soils. Still, our results strongly indicate that in environments similar to those studied here, N_2O assimilation is an irrelevant pathway in N_2O consumption by soil. Thus, the general assumption that N_2O is exclusively consumed by respiratory reduction to N_2 (i.e. complete denitrification) almost certainly applies to these types of environment.

Acknowledgements. We thank T. Blunier from the Institute of Climate and Environmental Physics of the University of Bern for analysing our labelled $^{15}\text{N}_2\text{O}$. This study was supported by the Swiss State Secretariat for Education and Research through grant Nr. C04.0254 to COST Action 856 and the Swiss National Science Foundation.

References

- Burgess, B. K. and Lowe, D. J.: Mechanism of molybdenum nitrogenase, *Chem. Rev.*, 96, 2983–3011, 1996.
- Chapuis-Lardy, L., Wrage, N., Metay, A., Chottes, J. L., and Bernoux, M.: Soils, a sink for N_2O ? A review, *Global Change Biol.*, 13, 1–17, 2007.
- Clough, T. J., Sherlock, R. R., and Rolston, D. E.: A review of the movement and fate of N_2O in the subsoil, *Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosys.*, 72, 3–11, 2005.
- Firestone, M. K., Firestone, R. B., and Tiedje, J. M.: Nitrous Oxide from Soil Denitrification: Factors Controlling Its Biological Production, *Science*, 208, 749–751, 1980.

BGD

4, 3331–3341, 2007

The N_2O consumption in soils

B. Vieten et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

EGU

- Flechard, C. R., Neftel, A., Jocher, M., Amman, C., and Fuhrer, J.: Bi-directional soil/atmosphere N₂O exchange over two mown grassland systems with contrasting management practices, *Global Change Biol.*, 11, 2114–2127, 2005.
- Hardy, R. W. F. and Knight, E.: Reduction of N₂O by biological N₂-fixing systems, *Biochem. Bioph. Res. Co.*, 23, 409–414, 1966.
- Herzberg, G.: Molecular spectra and molecular structure: Electronic spectra and electronic structure of polyatomic molecule, 3, Van Nostrand, Reinhold, New York, 778pp., 1966.
- Hoch, G. E., Schneider, K. C., and Burris, R. H.: Hydrogen evolution and exchange, and conversion of N₂O to N₂ by soybean root nodules, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*, 37, 273–279, 1960.
- IPCC: 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories: IGES, Japan, 2006.
- Jensen, B. B. and Burris, R. H.: N₂O as a substrate and as a competitive inhibitor of nitrogenase, *Biochemistry*, 25, 1083–1088, 1986.
- Mozen, M. M. and Burris, R. H.: The incorporation of ¹⁵N-labelled nitrous oxide by nitrogen fixing agents, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*, 14, 577–578, 1954.
- Neftel, A., Blatter, A., and Schmid, M.: An experimental determination of the scale length of N₂O in the soil of a grassland, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 105, 12 095–12 103, 2000.
- Newton, W. E.: Physiology, biochemistry, and molecular biology of nitrogen fixation: Biology of the nitrogen cycle, edited by: Bothe, H., Ferguson, S. J., and Newton, W. E., Elsevier, Amsterdam, Oxford, 109–130, 2007.
- Ostrom, N. E., Pitt, A., Sutka, R., Ostrom, P. H., Grandy, A. S., Huizinga, K. M., and Robertson, G. P.: Isotopologue effects during N₂O reduction in soils and in pure cultures of denitrifiers, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 112, G02005, doi:10.1029/2006JG000287, 2007.
- Shestakov, A. F. and Shilov, A. E.: On the coupled oxidation-reduction mechanism of molecular nitrogen fixation, *Russ. Chem. B., International Edition*, 50, 2054–2059, 2001.
- Vieten, B., Blunier, T., Neftel, A., Alewell, C., and Conen, F.: Fractionation factors for stable isotopes of N and O during N₂O reduction in soil depend on reaction rate constant, *Rapid Commun. Mass. Sp.*, 21, 846–850, 2007.
- Weaver, R. W. and Danso, S. K. A.: Dinitrogen fixation: Methods of soil Analysis, Part 2. Microbiological and Biochemical Properties, Bigham, J. M., Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J., Inc., Madison, 1019–1045, 1994.
- Zumft, W.: Cell biology and molecular basis of denitrification, *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. R.*, 61, 533–616, 1997.

BGD

4, 3331–3341, 2007

**The N₂O
consumption in soils**

B. Vieten et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

EGU

The N₂O
consumption in soils

B. Vieten et al.

Table 1. Summary of soil sample properties and the results of the incubation experiments with ¹⁵N labelled N₂O.

Sample	A	B	C	D
Ecosystem	Grassland	Grassland	Forest	Forest
Soil type	Cambisol	Cambisol	Pseudogley	Histosol
Texture	Sandy loam	Clay loam	Loam	Organic
C:N ratio	11.2	9.4	14.6	28.8
pH in 0.01 M CaCl ₂	6.1	5.7	7.0	2.9
Moisture [%]	23.0	33.9	33.3	93.6
dry weight of sample [g]	154	132.2	133.4	12.8
Initial mass of ¹⁵ N in sample [mg]	1.02	1.55	1.91	0.82
Duration of incubation [days]	11	20	12	29
¹⁵ N ₂ O offered to sample [mg ¹⁵ N]	1.23	1.86	0.81	1.84
¹⁵ N ₂ O consumed [mg ¹⁵ N]	0.21	0.18	0.29	0.20
Shift in δ ¹⁵ N during incubation [‰]	0.12	−0.23	−0.09	0.66

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

The N_2O
consumption in soils

B. Vieten et al.




Fig. 1. Origin and possible fate of N_2O in soil. (1) Complete denitrification to N_2 before escape from the cell; (2) escape from cell; (3) re-entering a cell and subsequent reduction to N_2 , or (4) assimilatory reduction to NH_3 . The ecological relevance of pathway (4) in natural soil is unknown and the focus of this study.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

The N₂O
consumption in soils

B. Vieten et al.




Fig. 2. Proportion of consumed $^{15}\text{N}_2\text{O-N}$ that might have been retained in four soil samples. Error bars indicate ± 1 standard error of the measurement as determined by the measurements of $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ in soil organic matter on replicate sub-samples before and after the incubation period. The proportion retained has been calculated as: $\text{Shift in } \delta^{15}\text{N during incubation [‰]} / 1000[\text{‰}] \times \text{initial mass of } ^{15}\text{N in sample [mg]} / ^{15}\text{N}_2\text{O consumed [mg]} \times 100 [\%]$.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[I◀](#)[▶I](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)