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#### Abstract

The aim of this note is to prove Theorem 2 in (Andrieu at al., 2007).
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## 1 Introduction

We consider a system, with state $\mathfrak{X}=\left(\mathcal{X}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{X}_{n}\right)$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ described by :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\mathfrak{X}}=\mathfrak{A}(t) \mathcal{S} \mathfrak{X} \quad, \quad y=\mathcal{X}_{1}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $y$ is the output, $\mathcal{S}$ is the left shift matrix defined as :

$$
\mathcal{S} \mathfrak{X}=\left(\mathcal{X}_{2}, \ldots, \mathcal{X}_{n}, 0\right)^{T},
$$

and $\mathfrak{A}(t)$ is a known time varying diagonal matrix $\mathfrak{A}(t)=\operatorname{diag}\left(\mathfrak{A}_{1}(t), \ldots, \mathfrak{A}_{n}(t)\right)$, where the $\mathfrak{A}_{i}$ are assumed to satisfy :

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\underline{\mathfrak{A}} \leq \mathfrak{A}_{i}(t) \leq \overline{\mathfrak{A}} \quad \forall t . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

After selecting $d_{0}=0$ and $d_{\infty}$ arbitrarily in $\left[0, \frac{1}{n-1}\right)$, the system (1) is homogeneous in the bi-limit if and only if we choose the weights $r_{0}=\left(r_{0,1}, \ldots, r_{0, n}\right)$ and $r_{\infty}=\left(r_{\infty, 1}, \ldots, r_{\infty, n}\right)$ as :

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{0, i}=1, r_{\infty, i}=1-d_{\infty}(n-i) . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In (Andrieu et al., 2006), a new observer was proposed for system (1) for the particular case where $\mathfrak{A}_{i}(t)=1$. Its design is done recursively together with the one of an appropriate error Lyapunov function $W$ which is homogeneous in the bi-limit (see below for the definition of homogeneity in the bi-limit).

In (Andrieu et al., 2007), we combine this tool with
gain updating to obtain a new high-gain observer. To do so we use an extra property on $W$ (see (5) below) which is a counterpart of (Praly, 2003, equation (16)) or (Krishnamurthy et al., 2003, Lemma A1). The fact that it can be obtained with also the presence of $\mathfrak{A}$ is stated in the following result.

Theorem 2 Given $d_{\infty}$ in $\left[0, \frac{1}{n-1}\right)$, let $d_{W}$ be a positive real number satisfying $d_{W} \geq 2+d_{\infty}$ and $\mathfrak{B}=$ $\operatorname{diag}\left(\mathfrak{b}_{1}, \ldots, \mathfrak{b}_{n}\right)$ with $\mathfrak{b}_{j}>0$. If (2) holds, there exist a vector field $K: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ which is homogeneous in the bi-limit with associated weights $r_{0}$ and $r_{\infty}$, and a positive definite, proper and $C^{1}$ function $W: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$, homogeneous in the bi-limit with associated triples $\left(r_{0}, d_{W}, W_{0}\right)$ and $\left(r_{\infty}, d_{W}, W_{\infty}\right)$, such that the following holds.
(1) The functions $W_{0}$ and $W_{\infty}$ are positive definite and proper and, for each $j$ in $\{1, \ldots, n\}$, the function $\frac{\partial W}{\partial e_{j}}$ is homogeneous in the bi-limit with approximating functions $\frac{\partial W_{0}}{\partial e_{j}}$ and $\frac{\partial W_{\infty}}{\partial e_{j}}$.
(2) There exist two positive real numbers $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ such that we have, for all $(t, E)$ in $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{n}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial W}{\partial E}(E) \mathfrak{A}(t) & \left(\mathcal{S} E+K\left(e_{1}\right)\right)  \tag{4}\\
\leq & -c_{1}\left(W(E)+W(E)^{\frac{d_{W}+d_{\infty}}{d_{W}}}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial W}{\partial E}(E) \mathfrak{B} E \geq c_{2} W(E) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof of this Theorem was omitted in (Andrieu et al., 2007) due to space limitation and is given in Section 3. Section 2 gives some prerequisite needed to address this proof.

## 2 Some prerequisite

The proof of this Theorem needs some prerequisite. Indeed, we recall the definition of homogeneity in the bilimit, introduced in (Andrieu et al., 2006), and give some related properties.

Given a vector $r=\left(r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n}\right)$ in $\left(\mathbb{R}_{+} /\{0\}\right)^{n}$, we define the dilation of a vector $x$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ as

$$
\lambda^{r} \diamond x=\left(\lambda^{r_{1}} x_{1}, \ldots, \lambda^{r_{n}} x_{n}\right)^{T}
$$

Definition 1 (Homogeneity in the 0-limit)
$\bullet A$ continuous function $\phi: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said homogeneous in the 0 -limit with associated triple $\left(r_{0}, d_{0}, \phi_{0}\right)$, where $r_{0}$ in $\left(\mathbb{R}_{+} /\{0\}\right)^{n}$ is the weight, $d_{0}$ in $\mathbb{R}_{+}$the degree and $\phi_{0}: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the approximating function, respectively, if $\phi_{0}$ is continuous and not identically zero and, for each compact set $C$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and each $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $\lambda^{*}$ such that we have :

$$
\max _{x \in C}\left|\frac{\phi\left(\lambda^{r_{0}} \diamond x\right)}{\lambda^{d_{0}}}-\phi_{0}(x)\right| \leq \varepsilon \quad \forall \lambda \in\left(0, \lambda^{*}\right] .
$$

- A vector field $f=\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}$ is said homogeneous in the 0 -limit with associated triple $\left(r_{0}, d_{0}, f_{0}\right)$, where $f_{0}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{0, i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}$, if, for each $i$ in $\{1, \ldots, n\}$, the function $f_{i}$ is homogeneous in the 0 -limit with associated triple $\left(r_{0}, d_{0}+r_{0, i}, f_{0, i} \sqrt{1}\right.$.


## Definition 2 (Homogeneity in the $\infty$-limit)

- A continuous function $\phi: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said homogeneous in the $\infty$-limit with associated triple $\left(r_{\infty}, d_{\infty}, \phi_{\infty}\right)$ where $r_{\infty}$ in $\left(\mathbb{R}_{+} /\{0\}\right)^{n}$ is the weight, $d_{\infty}$ in $\mathbb{R}_{+}$the degree and $\phi_{\infty}: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the approximating function, respectively, if $\phi_{\infty}$ is continuous and not identically zero and, for each compact set $C$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and each $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $\lambda^{*}$ such that we have :

$$
\max _{x \in C}\left|\frac{\phi\left(\lambda^{r_{\infty}} \diamond x\right)}{\lambda^{d_{\infty}}}-\phi_{\infty}(x)\right| \leq \varepsilon \quad \forall \lambda \in\left[\lambda^{*},+\infty\right) .
$$

- A vector field $f=\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}$ is said homogeneous in the $\infty$-limit with associated triple $\left(r_{\infty}, d_{\infty}, f_{\infty}\right)$, with $f_{\infty}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{\infty, i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}$, if, for each $i$ in $\{1, \ldots, n\}$, the function $f_{i}$ is homogeneous in the $\infty$-limit with associated triple $\left(r_{\infty}, d_{\infty}+r_{\infty, i}, f_{\infty, i}\right)$.


## Definition 3 (Homogeneity in the bi-limit)

$A$ continuous function $\phi: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (or a vector field $f$ )

[^0]is said homogeneous in the bi-limit if it is homogeneous in the 0 -limit and homogeneous in the $\infty$-limit.

The following propositions are proved, or are direct consequences of results, in (Andrieu et al., 2006).

Proposition 1 Let $\eta$ and $\mu$ be two continuous homogeneous in the bi-limit functions with weights $r_{0}$ and $r_{\infty}$, degrees $d_{\eta, 0}, d_{\eta, \infty}$ and $d_{\mu, 0}, d_{\mu, \infty}$, and continuous approximating functions $\eta_{0}, \eta_{\infty}, \mu_{0}, \mu_{\infty}$.
(1) The function $x \mapsto \eta(x) \mu(x)$ is homogeneous in the bi-limit with associated triples ( $r_{0}, d_{\eta, 0}+d_{\mu, 0}, \eta_{0} \mu_{0}$ ) and $\left(r_{\infty}, d_{\eta, \infty}+d_{\mu, \infty}, \eta_{\infty} \mu_{\infty}\right)$.
(2) If the degrees satisfy $d_{\eta, 0} \geq d_{\mu, 0}$ and $d_{\eta, \infty} \leq d_{\mu, \infty}$ and the functions $\mu, \mu_{0}$ and $\mu_{\infty}$ are positive definite then there exists a positive real number c satisfying :

$$
\eta(x) \leq c \mu(x) \quad, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}
$$

Proposition 2 If $\phi: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\zeta: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are homogeneous in the 0 -limit functions, with weights $r_{\phi, 0}$ and $r_{\zeta, 0}$, degrees $d_{\phi}=r_{\zeta, 0}$ and $d_{\zeta}$ in $\mathbb{R}_{+}$, and approximating functions $\phi_{0}$ and $\zeta_{0}$, then $\zeta \circ \phi$ is homogeneous in the 0 -limit with weight $r_{\phi, 0}$, degree $d_{\zeta}$, and approximating function $\zeta_{0} \circ \phi_{0}$. The same result holds for the cases of homogeneity in the $\infty$-limit and in the bi-limit.

Proposition 3 Let $\phi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a bijective homogeneous in the 0-limit function with associated triple $\left(1, d_{0}, \phi_{0} x^{d_{0}}\right)$ with $\phi_{0} \neq 0$ and $d_{0}>0$. Then, the inverse function $\phi^{-1}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is homogeneous in the 0 -limit function with associated triple $\left(1, \frac{1}{d_{0}},\left(\frac{x}{\phi_{0}}\right)^{\frac{1}{d_{0}}}\right)$. The same result holds for the cases of homogeneity in the $\infty$-limit and in the bi-limit.

Proposition 4 If the function $\phi$ is homogeneous in the 0 -limit with associated triple $\left(r_{0}, d_{0}, \phi_{0}\right)$, then the function $\Phi_{i}(x)=\int_{0}^{x_{i}} \phi\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{i-1}, s, x_{n}\right) d s$ is homogeneous in the 0-limit with associated triple ( $r_{0}, d_{0}+$ $\left.r_{0, i}, \Phi_{i, 0}\right)$, where the approximating function is given by

$$
\Phi_{i, 0}(x)=\int_{0}^{x_{i}} \phi_{0}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{i-1}, s, x_{n}\right) d s
$$

The same result holds for the cases of homogeneity in the $\infty$-limit and in the bi-limit.

Proposition 5 Suppose $\eta$ and $\mu$ are two functions homogeneous in the bi-limit, with weights $r_{0}$ and $r_{\infty}$, degrees $d_{0}$ and $d_{\infty}$, and such that the approximating functions, denoted $\eta_{0}$ and $\eta_{\infty}$, and, $\mu_{0}$ and $\mu_{\infty}$ are continuous. If $\mu(x) \geq 0$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}, \quad \mu(x)=0\right\} & \Rightarrow \quad \eta(x)>0, \\
\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}, \quad \mu_{0}(x)=0\right\} & \Rightarrow \quad \eta_{0}(x)>0, \\
\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}, \quad \mu_{\infty}(x)=0\right\} & \Rightarrow \quad \eta_{\infty}(x)>0,
\end{aligned}
$$

then there exists a strictly positive real number $k^{*}$ such that, for all $k \geq k^{*}$, the functions $\eta(x)+k \mu(x), \eta_{0}(x)+$ $k \mu_{0}(x)$ and $\eta_{\infty}(x)+k \mu_{\infty}(x)$ are positive definite.

## 3 Proof of Theorem 2

The proof we propose here is an adaptation of the one in (Andrieu et al., 2006). It is done by induction. To do so we use notations with an index showing the value from which we start counting. For instance $E_{i}=\left(e_{i}, \ldots, e_{n}\right)^{T}$ denotes a state vector in $\mathbb{R}^{n-i+1} . \mathcal{S}_{i}$ is the left shift matrix of dimension $n-i+1$, i.e.

$$
\mathcal{S}_{i} E_{i}=\left(e_{i+1}, \ldots, e_{n}, 0\right)^{T}
$$

Proposition 6 Let $d_{W}$ be a positive real number satisfying $d_{W} \geq 2+d_{\infty}$. Suppose there exist a bounded continuous diagonal matrix function $\mathfrak{A}_{i+1}$, a homogeneous in the bi-limit vector field $K_{i+1}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n-i}$, and a positive definite, proper and $C^{1}$ function homogeneous in the bi-limit $W_{i+1}: \mathbb{R}^{n-i} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$, with associated triples $\left(r_{0}, d_{W}, W_{i+1,0}\right)$ and $\left(r_{\infty}, d_{W}, W_{i+1, \infty}\right)$ such that the following holds :
(1) the function $W_{i+1,0}$ and $W_{i+1, \infty}$ are positive definite and proper and for all $j$ in $[i+1, n]$, the functions $\frac{\partial W_{i+1}}{\partial e_{j}}$ are homogeneous in the bi-limit with approximating functions $\frac{\partial W_{i+1,0}}{\partial e_{j}}$ and $\frac{\partial W_{i+1, \infty}}{\partial e_{j}}$.
(2) There exist positive real numbers $c, \mathfrak{b}_{i+1}, \mathfrak{b}_{n}$ such that for all $E_{i+1}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n-i}$ :

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\sum_{j=i+1}^{n} \mathfrak{b}_{j} \frac{\partial W_{i+1}}{\partial e_{j}}\left(E_{i+1}\right) e_{j} \geq c W_{i+1}\left(E_{i+1}\right), \\
\frac{\partial W_{i+1}}{\partial E_{i+1}}\left(E_{i+1}\right) \mathfrak{A}_{i+1}(t)\left(\mathcal{S}_{i+1} E_{i+1}+K_{i+1}\left(e_{i+1}\right)\right)  \tag{7}\\
\leq-c\left(W_{i+1}\left(E_{i+1}\right)+W_{i+1}\left(E_{i+1}\right)^{\frac{d_{W}+d_{\infty}}{d_{W}}}\right)
\end{array}
$$

Then, for any positive real number $\mathfrak{b}_{i}$, and any continuous positive function $\alpha_{i}$, bounded away from 0 , there exist a homogeneous in the bi-limit vector field $K_{i}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{R}^{n-i+1}$, and a positive definite, proper and $C^{1}$ function $W_{i}: \mathbb{R}^{n-i+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$homogeneous in the bi-limit with associated triples $\left(r_{0}, d_{W}, W_{i, 0}\right)$ and $\left(r_{\infty}, d_{W}, W_{i, \infty}\right)$ such that the following holds :
(1) The functions $W_{i, 0}$ and $W_{i, \infty}$ are positive definite and proper and for all $j$ in $[i, n]$, the functions $\frac{\partial W_{i}}{\partial e_{j}}$ are homogeneous in the bi-limit with approximating functions $\frac{\partial W_{i, 0}}{\partial e_{j}}$ and $\frac{\partial W_{i, \infty}}{\partial e_{j}}$.
(2) There exists a positive real number $\bar{c}$ such that for all $E_{i}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n-i+1}$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sum_{j=i}^{n} \mathfrak{b}_{j} \frac{\partial W_{i}}{\partial e_{j}}\left(E_{i}\right) e_{j} \geq \bar{c} W_{i}\left(E_{i}\right)  \tag{8}\\
\frac{\partial W_{i}}{\partial E_{i}}\left(E_{i}\right) \mathfrak{A}_{i}(t)\left(\mathcal{S}_{i} E_{i}+K_{i}\left(e_{i}\right)\right) \leq  \tag{9}\\
-\bar{c}\left(W_{i}\left(E_{i}\right)+W_{i}\left(E_{i}\right)^{\frac{d_{W}+d_{\infty}}{d_{W}}}\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\mathfrak{A}_{i}$ is the diagonal matrix diag $\left(\alpha_{i} \quad \alpha_{i} \mathfrak{A}_{i+1}\right)$.
Proof: The proof is divided in three steps.

1. Construction of the Lyapunov function. Consider the function $q_{i}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined as ${ }^{2}$

$$
q_{i}(s)=s+s^{\frac{r_{\infty, i+1}}{r_{\infty, i}}} .
$$

This function is $C^{1}$, strictly increasing and onto. Also, with

$$
\frac{r_{\infty, i}+d_{\infty}}{r_{\infty, i}} \geq 1 \quad, \quad i \in\{1 \ldots, n\}
$$

it is homogeneous in the bi-limit with associated triples $(1,1, s)$ and $\left(r_{\infty, i}, r_{\infty, i+1}, s^{\frac{r_{\infty, i+1}}{r_{\infty, i}}}\right)$. Its derivative $q_{i}^{\prime}$, is also homogeneous in the bi-limit with approximating functions 1 and $\frac{r_{\infty, i+1}}{r_{\infty, i}} s^{\frac{d_{\infty}}{r_{\infty}, i}}$. Using Proposition 3, we know that the inverse function $q_{i}^{-1}$ of $q_{i}$ is $C^{1}$ and homogeneous in the bi-limit with associated triples $(1,1, s)$ and $\left(r_{\infty, i+1}, r_{\infty, i}, s^{\frac{r_{\infty, i}}{r_{\infty, i+1}}}\right.$. Furthermore, since we have $d_{W}-1 \leq \frac{d_{W}-r_{\infty, i}}{r_{\infty, i}}$, by picking the function $\zeta$ as

$$
\zeta(s)=s^{d_{W}-1}+s^{\frac{d_{W}-r_{\infty, i}}{r_{\infty, i}}}
$$

we obtain from Proposition 2 that the function :

$$
\begin{equation*}
s \mapsto q_{i}^{-1}(s)^{d_{W}-1}+q_{i}^{-1}(s)^{\frac{d_{W}-r_{\infty, i}}{r_{\infty, i}}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

is $C^{1}$ and homogeneous in the bi-limit with associated triples $\left(1, d_{W}-1, s^{d_{W}-1}\right)$ and $\left(r_{\infty, i+1}, d_{W}-\right.$ $\left.r_{\infty, i}, s^{\frac{d_{W}-r_{\infty, i}}{r_{\infty}, i+1}}\right)$. Furthermore, since $d_{W} \geq 2+d_{\infty}$, its derivative is homogeneous in the bi-limit with approximating functions :

$$
\left(d_{W}-1\right)|s|^{d_{W}-2}, \quad \frac{d_{W}-r_{\infty, i}}{r_{\infty, i+1}}|s|^{\frac{d_{W}-r_{\infty, i+1}-r_{\infty, i}}{r_{\infty, i+1}}}
$$

Let $W_{i}: \mathbb{R}^{n-i} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$be defined a: ${ }^{3}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{i}\left(E_{i}\right)=W_{i+1}\left(E_{i+1}\right)+\sigma_{i} V_{i}\left(\ell_{i} e_{i}, e_{i+1}\right), \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

where $\sigma_{i}$ and $\ell_{i}$ are positive real numbers that will be defined later. $W_{i}$ is positive definite and proper. Also, as $1 \geq r_{\infty, i}$, it is homogeneous in the bi-limit with weights $r_{0}$ and $r_{\infty}$, and degrees $d_{W, 0}=d_{W, \infty}=d_{W}$. The function given in (10) as well as its derivative being homogeneous in the bi-limit, we get with Proposition 4 that the functions $\frac{\partial W_{i}}{\partial e_{j}}$ are homogeneous in the bi-limit with approximating functions $\frac{\partial W_{i, 0}}{\partial e_{j}}$ and $\frac{\partial W_{i, \infty}}{\partial e_{j}}$. Hence point

[^1]1 of Proposition 6 is established.
2. Properties of the Lyapunov function. Let $J$ : $\mathbb{R}^{n-i} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the function defined as :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J\left(E_{i+1}, s\right)= \\
& \mathfrak{b}_{i+1} \frac{\partial V_{i}}{\partial e_{i+1}}\left(s, e_{i+1}\right) e_{i+1}+\mathfrak{b}_{i} \frac{\partial V_{i}}{\partial s}\left(s, e_{i+1}\right) s .
\end{aligned}
$$

The functions $W_{i+1}$ and $J$ are homogeneous in the bilimit with associated weights 1 and $r_{\infty, i}$ for $s$ and 1 and $r_{\infty, j}$ for $e_{j}, j \geq i+1$, and degrees $d_{W, 0}=d_{W, \infty}=d_{W}$. By assumption $W_{i+1}$ is positive definite and the same holds for its homogeneous approximations in the 0-limit and in the $\infty$-limit and we have :

$$
J(0, s)=\mathfrak{b}_{i}\left[|s|^{d_{W}}+|s|^{\frac{d_{W}}{r_{\infty, i}}}\right]>0 \quad \forall s \neq 0
$$

It follows that the assumptions of Proposition 5 are satisfied with $\mu=W_{i+1}$ and $\eta=J$. Hence, with $c$ given in (6), there exists a positive real number $\sigma_{i}$ such that the functions $c W_{i+1,0}+\sigma_{i} J_{0}, c W_{i+1, \infty}+\sigma_{i} J_{\infty}$ and $c W_{i+1}+\sigma_{i} J$ are continuous and positive definite in $\left(E_{i+1}, s\right)$. But then, from Proposition 1.2, there exists a positive real number $\bar{c}$ satisfying :

$$
\frac{1}{\bar{c}}\left[c W_{i+1}+\sigma_{i} J\right] \geq W_{i}
$$

Since assumption (6) gives readily, for all $E_{i}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n-i+1}$,

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\sum_{j=n}^{i} \mathfrak{b}_{j} \frac{\partial W_{i}}{\partial e_{j}}\left(E_{i}\right) e_{j} \\
\geq c W
\end{array}
$$

we have established inequality (8) of Proposition 6.
3. Construction of the vector field $K_{i}$. Given a real number $\ell_{i}$, we define the vector field $K_{i}$ as :

$$
K_{i}\left(e_{i}\right)=\binom{-q_{i}\left(\ell_{i} e_{i}\right)}{K_{i+1}\left(q_{i}\left(\ell_{i} e_{i}\right)\right)}
$$

With Propositions 1 and 2 and the properties we have established from $q_{i}$, it is a homogeneous in the bi-limit vector field.

We show now that by selecting $\ell_{i}$ large enough we can satisfy (9). We have :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial W_{i}}{\partial E_{i}}\left(E_{i}\right) \mathfrak{A}_{i}(t)\left(\mathcal{S}_{i}\left(E_{i}\right)+K_{i}\left(e_{i}\right)\right) & = \\
\alpha_{i}(t)\left[T_{2}\left(t, E_{i+1}, \ell_{i} e_{i}\right)\right. & \left.+\ell_{i} T_{1}\left(E_{i+1}, \ell_{i} e_{i}\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

with the notations :
$T_{1}\left(E_{i+1}, s\right)=\sigma_{i} \frac{\partial V_{i}}{\partial s}\left(s, e_{i+1}\right)\left(e_{i+1}-q_{i}(s)\right)$
$T_{2}\left(t, E_{i+1}, s\right)=\left[\frac{\partial W_{i+1}}{\partial E_{i+1}}\left(E_{i+1}\right)+\sigma_{i} \frac{\partial V_{i}}{\partial E_{i+1}}\left(s, e_{i+1}\right)\right]$

$$
\times \mathfrak{A}_{i+1}(t)\left(\mathcal{S}_{i+1} E_{i+1}+K_{i+1}\left(q_{i}(s)\right)\right)
$$

But with (7), we get
$T_{2}\left(t, E_{i+1}, s\right)=$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -c\left(W_{i+1}\left(E_{i+1}\right)+W_{i+1}\left(E_{i+1}\right)^{\frac{d_{W}+d_{\infty}}{d_{W}}}\right) \\
& +\frac{\partial W_{i+1}}{\partial E_{i+1}}\left(E_{i+1}\right) \mathfrak{A}_{i+1}(t)\left[K_{i+1}\left(q_{i}(s)\right)-K_{i+1}\left(e_{i+1}\right)\right] \\
& +\sigma_{i} \frac{\partial V_{i}}{\partial e_{i+1}}\left(s, e_{i+1}\right) \mathfrak{A}_{i+1, i+1}(t)\left[e_{i+2}+K_{i+1, i+2}\left(q_{i}(s)\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, the function $\mathfrak{A}_{i+1}$ being bounded, say by $c_{A}$, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{2}\left(t, E_{i+1}, s\right) \leq T_{3}\left(E_{i+1}, s\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the notation,
$T_{3}\left(E_{i+1}, s\right)=$
$-c\left(W_{i+1}\left(E_{i+1}\right)+W_{i+1}\left(E_{i+1}\right)^{\frac{d_{W}+d_{\infty}}{d_{W}}}\right)$
$+c_{A} \sum_{j=i+1}^{n}\left|\frac{\partial W_{i+1}}{\partial e_{j}}\left(E_{i+1}\right)\left(K_{i+1, j}\left(q_{i}(s)\right)-K_{i+1, j}\left(e_{i+1}\right)\right)\right|$
$+c_{A}\left|\sigma_{i} \frac{\partial V_{i}}{\partial e_{i+1}}\left(s, e_{i+1}\right)\left[e_{i+2}+K_{i+1, i+2}\left(q_{i}(s)\right)\right]\right|$.
The functions $T_{1}$ and $T_{3}$ are homogeneous in the bi-limit with weights $r_{0}$ and $r_{\infty}$ for $E_{i+1}$ and 1 and $r_{\infty, i}$ for $s$, degrees $d_{W}$ and $d_{\infty}+d_{W}$, continuous approximating functions $T_{1,0}$ and $T_{1, \infty}$, and $T_{3,0}$ and $T_{3, \infty}$, with, in particular :

$$
\begin{gathered}
T_{1,0}\left(E_{i+1}, s\right)=\sigma_{i}\left(e_{i+1}-s\right)\left(s^{d_{W}-1}-e_{i+1}^{d_{W}-1}\right) \\
T_{1, \infty}\left(E_{i+1}, s\right)=\sigma_{i}\left(e_{i+1}-s^{\frac{r_{\infty}, i+1}{r_{\infty}, i}}\right) \\
\\
\times\left(s^{\frac{d_{W}-r_{\infty}, i}{r_{\infty, i}}}-e_{i+1}^{\frac{d_{W}-r_{\infty, i}}{r_{\infty, i+1}}}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

As the function $q_{i}^{-1}$ is strictly increasing and onto, the function $\frac{\partial V_{i}}{\partial s}\left(s, e_{i+1}\right)$ has a unique zero at $q_{i}(s)=e_{i+1}$ and has the same sign as $q_{i}(s)-e_{i+1}$. It follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{1}\left(E_{i+1}, s\right) \leq 0 \quad, \quad \forall E_{i} \in \mathbb{R}_{n-i+1} \\
& T_{1}\left(E_{i+1}, s\right)=0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad q_{i}(s)=e_{i+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

and similarly for the approximating functions $T_{1,0}$ and $T_{1, \infty}$. Since $\frac{\partial V_{i}}{\partial e_{i+1}}\left(s, e_{i+1}\right)$ is zero for $q_{i}(s)=e_{i+1}$ and $W_{i+1}$ is positive definite, we get

$$
\left\{E_{i+1} \neq 0, T_{1}\left(E_{i+1}, s\right)=0\right\} \quad \Rightarrow \quad T_{3}\left(E_{i+1}, s\right)<0
$$

With Proposition 2, the same holds for the approximating functions. The assumptions of Proposition 5 being satisfied, there exists a positive real number $\ell_{i}^{*}$ such that, for all $\ell_{i} \geq \ell_{i}^{*}$ the function $T_{3}+\ell_{i} T_{1}$ and its approximations are continuous and negative definite in $\left(E_{i+1}, s\right)$. But then Proposition 1.2, with $\eta=W_{i}+W_{i}^{\frac{d_{W}+d_{\infty}}{d_{W}}}$ and $\mu=-\left(T_{3}+\ell_{i} T_{1}\right)$, guarantees the existence of a positive real number number $\bar{c}$ satisfying :

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\frac{1}{\bar{c}}\left[T_{3}\left(E_{i+1}, \ell_{i} e_{i}\right)+\ell_{i} T_{1}\left(E_{i+1}, \ell_{i} e_{i}\right)\right] & \geq \\
& W_{i}\left(E_{i}\right)+W_{i}\left(E_{i}\right)^{\frac{d_{W}+d_{\infty}}{d_{W}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

With (12) and (13), and since $\alpha_{i}$ is bounded away from 0 ,
we have proved inequality (9) and completed the proof.

To construct the error Lyapunov function $W$ and the vector field $K$, which prove Theorem 2 , it is sufficient to iterate the construction proposed in Proposition 6 starting from

$$
\begin{gathered}
r_{\infty, n}=1, \quad \mathfrak{A}_{n}(t)=\frac{\mathfrak{A}_{n}(y)}{\mathfrak{A}_{n-1}(y)}, \\
K_{n}\left(e_{n}\right)=-e_{n}-e_{n}^{\frac{r_{\infty, n}+d_{\infty}}{r_{\infty}, n}}, \quad W_{n}\left(e_{n}\right)=\left|e_{n}\right|^{d_{W}},
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\ell_{n}$ is any strictly positive positive real number. With (2), we get :

$$
\frac{\mathfrak{\mathfrak { A }}}{\overline{\overline{\mathfrak{A}}} \leq \frac{\mathfrak{A}_{n}(y)}{\mathfrak{A}_{n-1}(y)} \leq \frac{\overline{\mathfrak{A}}}{\underline{\mathfrak{A}}}, \mathfrak{b}_{n} \frac{\partial W_{n}}{\partial e_{n}}\left(E_{n}\right) e_{n}=\mathfrak{b}_{n} d_{W}\left|e_{n}\right|^{d_{W}}, ., ~}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial W_{n}}{\partial e_{n}}\left(e_{n}\right) \frac{\mathfrak{I}_{n}(y)}{\mathfrak{L}_{n-1}(y)} K_{n}\left(e_{n}\right) \\
& \quad=-d_{W} \frac{\mathfrak{\mathfrak { q } _ { n } ( y )}}{\mathfrak{\mathfrak { I } _ { n - 1 } ( y )}}\left(W_{n}\left(e_{n}\right)+W_{n}\left(e_{n}\right)^{\frac{d_{W}+d_{\infty}}{d_{W}}}\right), \\
& \quad \leq-d_{W} \frac{\mathfrak{\mathfrak { Z }}}{\underline{\underline{2}}}\left(W_{n}\left(e_{n}\right)+W_{n}\left(e_{n}\right)^{\frac{d_{W}+d_{\infty}}{d_{W}}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence the assumptions of Proposition 6 are satified with $i+1=n$.

We apply this Proposition recursively for $i+1$ ranging from $n$ to 2 with, for $i=n-1, \ldots, 2, \alpha_{i}=\frac{\mathfrak{L}_{i}}{\mathfrak{L}_{i-1}}$ which lies in $\left[\frac{\mathfrak{z}}{\overline{\mathfrak{x}}}, \frac{\overline{\mathfrak{z}}}{\underline{z}}\right]$, and $\alpha_{1}=\mathfrak{A}_{1} \geq \mathfrak{x}$. In this way, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathfrak{A}_{i}=\operatorname{diag}\left(\frac{\mathfrak{A}_{i}}{\mathfrak{A}_{i-1}}, \ldots, \frac{\mathfrak{A}_{n}}{\mathfrak{A}_{i-1}}\right) \quad \forall i \in\{n-1, \ldots, 2\}, \\
& \mathfrak{A}_{1}=\operatorname{diag}\left(\mathfrak{A}_{1}, \ldots, \mathfrak{A}_{n}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

As a last comment, we remark that the idea of designing an observer recursively starting from $x_{n}$ and going backwards towards $x_{1}$ is not new. It can be found in (Gauthier and Kupka, 2001, Lemma 6.2.1), (Praly and Jiang, 1998), (Shim and Seo, 2006) for instance.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ In the case of a vector field the degree $d_{0}$ can be negative as long as $d_{0}+r_{0, i} \geq 0$, for all $1 \leq i \leq n$.

[^1]:    $\overline{2}$ Recall that we have : $r_{\infty, i}+d_{\infty}=r_{\infty, i+1} \leq 1$.
    ${ }^{3}$ Compared to (Andrieu et al., 2006), $\sigma_{i}$ is a new parameter introduced to obtain inequality (8).

