

HYPERFINE INTERACTIONS OF RARE EARTH IONS SUBSTITUTIONALLY IMPLANTED IN IRON AND NICKEL

L. Niesen, H. Wit

► To cite this version:

L. Niesen, H. Wit. HYPERFINE INTERACTIONS OF RARE EARTH IONS SUBSTITUTIONALLY IMPLANTED IN IRON AND NICKEL. Journal de Physique Colloques, 1976, 37 (C6), pp.C6-639-C6-642. 10.1051/jphyscol:19766133 . jpa-00216648

HAL Id: jpa-00216648 https://hal.science/jpa-00216648

Submitted on 4 Feb 2008

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

HYPERFINE INTERACTIONS OF RARE EARTH IONS SUBSTITUTIONALLY IMPLANTED IN IRON AND NICKEL

L. NIESEN and H. P. WIT

Laboratorium voor Algemene Natuurkunde, Groningen, Netherlands

Résumé. — L'effet Mössbauer dans ¹⁶¹Dy (25,7 keV), ¹⁶⁶Er (80,6 keV) et ¹⁶⁹Tm (8,4 keV) a été utilisé pour étudier l'interaction hyperfine de cette fraction des ions terre rare qui a été implantée en substitution dans des matrices de fer et de nickel. Pour la majorité des systèmes les résultats donnent des valeurs fidèles pour le champ magnétique d'échange opérant au spin 4f. En outre, on a obtenu pour la première fois des résultats concernant le signe et la grandeur des potentiels de l'ordre quatre et six du champ cristallin électrique cubique en position d'un ion terre rare dans une matrice ferromagnétique.

Abstract. — The Mössbauer effect of 161 Dy (25.7 keV), 166 Er (80.6 keV) and 169 Tm (8.4 keV) has been used to study the hyperfine interaction of the fraction of rare earth ions that are substitutionally implanted in iron and nickel. From the measurements reliable values for the magnetic exchange field B_{exch} acting on the 4f spin have been derived for most impurity-host combinations. For the first time, information was obtained about sign and magnitude of the fourth and sixth rank potential of the cubic crystalline electric field for a rare earth ion in a ferromagnetic host.

Mössbauer spectroscopy on rare earth isotopes implanted in iron and nickel has been shown to be a valuable tool for studying the hyperfine interaction of rare earth impurities in a ferromagnetic cubic host [1-4]. Roughly one half of the implanted ions appear to end up in substitutional lattice positions, as is witnessed also by channeling and PAC measurements [5-7]. The purpose of this paper is to investigate the hyperfine interaction of the substitutional fraction as found in our Mössbauer measurements on 161 Dy, 166 Er and 169 Tm, and to obtain information about the impurity-host exchange interaction and on the cubic crystalline electric field (CEF) acting on the rare earth electron spin.

The cubic CEF can be written as [8, 9] :

$$H_{\text{CEF}} = A_4 < r^4 > \beta_J (O_4^0 + 5 O_4^4) + A_6 < r^6 > \gamma_J (O_6^0 - 21 O_6^4) \quad (1)$$

whereby the quantization axis is chosen along the (1, 0, 0) direction of the crystal. $\langle r^4 \rangle$ and $\langle r^6 \rangle$ are radial integrals, and the O_n^m are spherical tensor operators.

Theoretical estimates for the coefficients A_4 and A_6 can be found, for instance, from a point charge calculation, but here $C_4 \equiv A_4 < r^4 >$ and $C_6 \equiv A_6 < r^6 >$ will be considered as parameters to be determined by experiment.

For numerical purposes (1) can be written as [9]:

$$H_{\text{CEF}} = W \left[\frac{x}{F(4)} \left(O_4^0 + 5 O_4^4 \right) + \frac{1 - |x|}{F(6)} \left(O_6^0 - 21 O_6^4 \right) \right]$$
(2)

where F(4) and F(6) are multiplicative factors given in [8, 9].

The exchange interaction between the 4f spin and the polarized host electrons will be treated in the molecular field approximation :

$$H_{\text{exch}} = \mu_{\text{B}} \mathbf{B}_{\text{exch}} \cdot \mathbf{S}_{4\text{f}} = (g_{\text{J}} - 1) \mu_{\text{B}} \cdot \mathbf{B}_{\text{exch}} \cdot \mathbf{J} \cdot (3)$$

In polycrystalline material and in the absence of an external field the exchange field will be oriented along the easy axis of magnetization. Taking this as the quantization axis, both interactions can be combined. In the case of Fe, which has a bcc structure, with the (1, 0, 0) direction as the easy axis, this yields.

$$H = W \left[\frac{x}{F(4)} (O_4^0 + 5 O_4^4) + \frac{1 - |x|}{F(6)} (O_6^0 - 21 O_6^4) + 2 y J_z \right].$$
 (4)

In the case of Ni, which has a fcc structure, with the (1, 1, 1) direction as the easy axis, we get

$$H = W \left[\frac{x}{F(4)} \left(-\frac{2}{3} O_4^0 - \frac{40}{3} \sqrt{2} O_4^3 \right) + \frac{1 - |x|}{F(6)} \times \left(\frac{16}{9} O_6^0 - \frac{140}{9} \sqrt{2} O_6^3 + \frac{154}{9} O_6^6 \right) + 2 y J_z \right]$$
(5)

where $y = (g_J - 1) \mu_B B_{exch}/2$ W measures the ratio of the exchange and the CEF interaction strengths. The wave functions of the 2J + 1 substates within the ground multiplet are completely determined by the parameters x and y, while W acts merely as a scale factor. Any degeneration has been removed by the presence of the exchange field.

Apart from the case of an L = 0 ground state, which will not be treated here, the 4f electrons are also responsible for the dominant part of the hyperfine interaction. Using first order perturbation theory, the magnetic and electric part of this contribution to the hfi can be written as [8]:

$$H_{\rm M} = g_{\rm N} \, \mu_{\rm N} \, B_{\rm hf} \, I_z$$

= 2 \mu_{\rm B} \, g_{\rm N} \, \mu_{\rm N} < r^{-3} > \times
\times < J \| \, N \| \, J \> < J_z > J_z (6)

and

$$H_{Q} = \frac{e^{2} q_{4f} Q}{4 I(2 I - 1)} [3 I_{z}^{2} - I(I + 1)]$$

= $\frac{e^{2} Q}{4 I(2 I - 1)} (1 - R) < r^{-3} > < J \parallel \alpha \parallel J > \times$
 $\times [3 < J_{z}^{2} > - J(J + 1)] [3 I_{z}^{2} - I(I + 1)].$
(7)

Values for $\langle J \parallel N \parallel J \rangle$ and $\langle J \parallel \alpha \parallel J \rangle$ can be found in [8, 9]. $\langle J_z \rangle$ and $\langle J_z^2 \rangle$ can be found by diagonalization of (4) resp. (5) with x and y as parameters. If the exchange field dominates $(y \ge 1)$ one obtains $|\langle J_z \rangle| = J$ and $\langle J_z^2 \rangle = J^2$, and the 4f contribution to the hfi is a maximum. This unreduced hfi is reasonably well known experimentally for the isotopes we studied [8, 12]. From our Mössbauer measurements at low temperatures we obtained in general lower values for both contributions, from which we calculate the reduction factors

and

$$R_{\rm Q} = \frac{3 < J_z^2 > -J(J+1)}{3 J^2 - J(J+1)}$$

 $R_{\rm M} \equiv |\langle J_z \rangle|/J$

for the electronic ground state.

Contributions to the magnetic hfi by s-electrons are absorbed in the unreduced value for $B_{\rm hf}$ as far as they are proportional to $\langle J_z \rangle$ (core polarization, conduction electron polarization by the impurity). For Fe, we used estimates by Abragam and Bleaney [8] and by Hüfner [13] respectively. The contribution to $B_{\rm hf}(s)$ from the iron host, estimated to be 0.27 MG [14], was accounted for in the determination of R_M and R_Q at low temperatures, and could be neglected in the analysis of the temperature dependence.

In the case of Ni, $B_{hf}(s)$ was neglected because the first two contributions cancel for a large part and the contribution from the host is much smaller than in the case of Fe.

Calculation of the reduction factors R_M and R_Q as a function of the parameters x and y using eqs. (4) or (5) yields an area in the x - y plane which is consistent with the observed R_M , and a different area which is consistent with the measured value for R_Q . The overlap between these area's gives the region of x - y values which is allowed by the low temperature Mössbauer experiment. In a favourable case like ¹⁶⁹TmNi this procedure led to a narrow range of allowed values for x and y. On the other hand, in the case of ¹⁶¹DyNi the overlap turned out to be quite large, although R_M and R_Q were accurately determined.

The temperature dependence of the hyperfine interaction can be described as well by (6) and (7), if it is assumed that the precession time of the Mössbauer nuclei is much longer than the correlation time of the 4f moment (fast relaxation). Then, one has to take the Boltzmann average of the expectation values $\langle J_z \rangle$ and $\langle J_z^2 \rangle$ of the 2 J + 1 substates of the ground multiplet. The assumption of fast relaxation is justified experimentally by the absence of relaxation behaviour for the substitutional component in our spectra.

Fitting the temperature dependence of the hfi for those combinations of x and y which are allowed by the measurements at low temperatures yields in principle an unique set of parameters x, y and W, from which the physically more relevant parameters C_4 , C_6 and B_{exch} can be extracted. It turned out that B_{exch} could be obtained with reasonable precision in all the cases studied, while there remained ambiguity in the values of C_4 and C_6 .

1. Results. — Figure 1 shows a typical example of the measurements. The spectrum of 161 DyNi measured at 5 K (upper spectrum) shows the presence of two components in the spectrum with about equal intensities, one of wich is associated with Dy ions at regular lattice sites. The identification was made by means of an annealing sequence, in which the component associated with the substitutional site gradually disappeared. The same behaviour was found for the other isotopes in the nickel host. In the spectra of DyNi measured at higher temperatures the lines of the substitutional component remained narrow and the temperature dependence could be reasonably described by eqs. (6) and (7), indicating fast relaxation behaviour for this component.

In the Fe host the low-temperature spectra could be described assuming only one component to be present. However, the temperature behaviour of 161 DyFe and 169 TmFe could only be analyzed assuming the presence of at least two components, one of which showed fast relaxation behaviour. This was identified as being the substitutional component by means of a measurement at 77 K on an annealed source of 161 DyFe, whereby this component had



FIG. 1. — Mössbauer spectra of ¹⁶¹DyNi at 5 K, measured before and after annealing.

disappeared completely. For further details we refer to [3, 4].

The results of our measurements are summarized in table I and figure 2. Apart from the contribution from the least square fitting procedure, the error in the quantities $g_N \mu_N B_{hf}$ and $e^2 qQ/4$ contained also the uncertainty in the velocity scale. The errors assigned to the ratio's R_M and R_Q reflect the error in the measured quantities as well as the uncertainties in the unreduced values for the magnetic and electric hfi. Specific remarks on the various systems follow below.



FIG. 2. — Allowed values for the crystal field parameters $C_4 = A_4 < r^4 >$ and $C_6 = A_6 < r^6 >$ for DyNi, ErNi, TmNi and TmFe.

1. ¹⁶¹DyFe. This is a typical case in which the exchange interaction dominates the behaviour of the 4f moment. The exchange field derived from the temperature dependence is slightly lower than in DyFe₂, in which the Dy ion is surrounded by 12 Fe neighbours : $B_{\rm exch} = 6.03$ MG [16].

2. ¹⁶⁶ErFe. The results for R_M and R_Q at low temperatures indicate a small influence of the CEF, especially for the quadrupole interaction. We have not tried to investigate this further. The results at higher temperatures (up to 78 K) were analyzed assuming a negligible influence of the CEF and only one component in the spectra. No relaxation effects were observed, probably due to the limited temperature range in which the system could be studied. The error in B_{exch} is statistical. Due to the approximations made, the result is estimated to be reliable within 20 %.

3. ¹⁶⁹TmFe. A further decrease of R_M and R_Q at low temperatures is observed which was analyzed

TABLE	

Results of Mössbauer measurements on rare earth ions substitutionally implanted in iron and nickel

Isotope	$g_{\rm N}$ $\mu_{\rm N}$ $B_{\rm rec}$ (cm/s)			$e^2 qO/4 (cm/s)$				$B_{\rm exch}$
	Host	Exp.	Unreduced	R_{M}	Exp. ¹	Unreduced	R _Q	(MG)
^					<u></u>			
¹⁶¹ Dv		4.31(2)	4.28	1.01(2)	2.76(4)	3.12	0.89(5)	5.49(15)
¹⁶⁶ Er	Fe	$2.83^{\frac{1}{5}}(2)$	2.96	0.96(3)	0.288(9)	0.40	0.72(5)	3.7(2)
¹⁶⁹ Tm		32.4(7)	36.6	0.89(4)	5.0(3)	7.8	0.63(8)	4.1(6)
¹⁶¹ Dv		3.28(2)	4.14	0.79(3)	1.66(6)	3.12	0.54(3)	0.68(10)
166Er	Ni	1.769(15)	2.88	0.61(2)	0.114(11)	0.40	0.28(5)	
¹⁶⁹ Tm	- 14	7.44(14)	35.4	0.22(2)	1.04(18)	7.8	0.13(3)	0.82(12)

using eqs. (4), (6) and (7). Combining the results with the temperature dependence of the hyperfine field for the substitutional site yields values for B_{exch} , C_4 and C_6 . Again, B_{exch} is slightly smaller than the value 4.9 MG found for TmFe₂ [17]. The value $B_{\text{exch}} = 2.5$ MG found by Bernas and Gabriel [18] can only be regarded as an order of magnitude estimate. C_4 and C_6 turn out to be positive, whereas a point charge calculation (assuming 8 positive ligands) would give $C_4 > 0, C_6 < 0$.

4. ¹⁶¹DyNi. In the nickel host, the exchange interaction and the CEF are of comparable magnitude, leading to an appreciable reduction of the hyperfine interaction. Although detailed measurements were made as a function of temperature, the results for C_4 and C_6 remained somewhat ambiguous (see Fig. 2). The exchange field, which could be obtained with reasonable precision, is surprisingly low compared to that in the iron host (ratio is 0.12 (2), as compared to the host magnetic moment ratio 0.28).

5. ¹⁶⁶ErNi. Only a measurement at 4.2 K was performed. The allowed region for C_4 and C_6 is constructed assuming $B_{\text{exch}} = 0.68 \text{ MG}$, the value for ¹⁶¹DyNi. Another value for B_{exch} would shift this region parallel to the dashed line. Only $C_6 > 0$ follows directly from the measurements.

6. ¹⁶⁹TmNi. This is the only case in which the low temperature determination of R_M and R_o gave rather unambiguous values for x and y. From a room temperature measurement B_{exch} was derived, yielding also C_4 and C_6 . The result for B_{exch} is in good agreement with that found for ¹⁶¹DyNi.

2. Discussion — To our knowledge the results presented in figure 2 are the first reliable determina-

tions of CEF parameters in ferromagnetic metals. In all cases we find both C_4 and C_6 to be positive and of the same order of magnitude. Unlike the case of Fe, for Ni the signs are in agreement with a simple point charge calculation similar to that given by Williams and Hirst [19]: $C_4 \simeq 30$ K, $C_6 \simeq 4$ K. This is a striking result, because nearly all fcc metal hosts produce $C_4 < 0, C_6 > 0$ at the rare earth ion [19, 20, 21]. Williams and Hirst [19], following a suggestion by Coles and Orbach, have explained this by the presence of an electron in a non-magnetic 5d virtual bound state (vbs) which is likely to be formed on the basis of charge screening effects. The cubic surroundings will split this state, with the $5d\epsilon(\Gamma_5)$ orbitals having lower energy than the $5d\gamma(\Gamma_3)$ orbitals. If this crystal field splitting is larger than the width of the vbs it will result in an appreciable negative contribution to C_4 , which easily can be larger than the positive point charge contribution. However, this 5d state will have no influence on the C_6 term. (For a more quantitative discussion, see Chow [22] and Dixon [23].)

Our result that $C_4 > 0$ implies that the mechanism given above is not able to change the sign of C_4 , which possibly is caused by a larger width of the 5d vbs in Ni than in the noble metals.

The change of sign of C_6 compared to a point charge calculation in the system ¹⁶⁹TmFe is more difficult to understand. In this respect it is interesting to note that also for Dy and Er ions in Pd (a 4d transition metal) the sign of C_6 is opposite to that of the point charge calculation [24, 25, 26]. In principle this can only be due to the conduction electrons, whose wave functions have to be orthogonal to the occupied 4f states of the rare earth ion. This introduces an extra contribution to C_6 , as has been shown by Dixon [23]. It is not clear, however, if this mechanism is able to produce the necessary sign change of C_6 .

References

- [1] INIA, P. and DE WAARD, H., Angular Correlations in Nuclear Desintegration, ed. H. van Krugten and B. v. Nooijen (Rotterdam University Press, 1971) p. 519.
- [2] COHEN, R. L., BEYER, G. and DEUTCH, B. I., Phys. Rev. Lett. 33 (1974) 518.

- [3] NIESEN, L., Hyperfine Interactions 2 (1976) 15.
 [4] WIT, H. P., thesis, Groningen, 1976.
 [5] ABEL, F., BRUNEAUX, M., COHEN, C., CHAUMONT, J. THOMÉ, L. and BERNAS, H., Solid State Commun. 13 (1973) 113.
- [6] THOMÉ, L., BENSKI, H. and BERNAS, H., Phys. Lett. A 42 (1972) 327
- [7] ALEXANDER, R. B., GELLERT, J. and DEUTCH, B. I., Proceedings Conference on *Hyperfine Interactions in Nuclear Reactions and Decay* (vol. I), contributed papers, ed. E. Karlsson and R. Wäppling (Almquist and Wiksell International, Stockholm) 1974, p. 76.
- [8] ABRAGAM, A. and BLEANEY, B., Electron Paramagnetic Resonance of Transition Ions (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1970).
- [9] LEA, K. R., LEASK, M. J. M. and WOLF, W. P., J. Phys. Chem. Solids 23 (1962) 1381. [10] GRODZINS, L., BORCHERS, R. R. and HAGEMANN, G. B.,
- Phys. Lett. 21 (1966) 214.

- [11] BOEHM, F., HAGEMANN, G. B. and WINTHER, A., Phys. Lett. 21 (1966) 217. [12] GRENWOOD, N. N. and GIBB, T. C., Mössbauer spec-
- [12] GREINWOOD, N. N. and GIBB, I. C., MOSSballe troscopy (Chapman and Hall, London) 1972.
 [13] HÜFNER, S., Phys. Rev. Lett. 19 (1967) 1034.
 [14] NIESEN, L. and KIKKERT, P. J., l. c. ref. [7] p. 160.
 [15] WIT, H. P. and NIESEN, L., l. c. ref. [7] p. 246.
 [16] BONGEN G. J. PITVINU D. St. GUMMENTE A.

- [16] BOWDEN, G. J., BUNBURY, D. St., GUIMARAES, A. P. and SNYDER, R. E., J. Phys. C 1 (1968) 1376.
- [17] COHEN, R. L., Phys. Rev. 134A (1964) 94.
- [18] BERNAS, H. and GABRIEL, H., Phys. Rev. B 7 (1973) 468.
- [19] WILLIAMS, G. and HIRST, L. L., *Phys. Rev.* 195 (1969) 407.
 [20] MURANI, A. P., J. Phys. C: Metal Phys. Suppl. 2 (1970)
- S 153.
- [21] BARCLAY, J. A. and PERCZUK, P., Solid State Commun. 17 (1975) 565. [22] Chow, H. C., *Phys. Rev.* B 7 (1973) 3404.

- [23] DIXON, J. M., Solid State Commun. 12 (1973) 789.
 [24] GUERTIN, R. P., PRADDAUDE, H. C., FONER, S., MCNIFF, E. J. and BARSOUMIAN, B., Phys. Rev. B 7 (1973) 274.
- [25] DEVINE, R. A. B., JACQUES, P. and POIRIER, M., Phys. Rev. B 11 (1975) 563.
- [26] PRADDAUDE, H. C., Phys. Lett. A 48 (1974) 365.