Continuity of Hausdorff dimension of Julia-Lavaurs sets as a function of the phase.

Mariusz Urbanski *
Department of Mathematics,
University of North Texas,
Denton, TX 76203-1430, USA
E-mail:urbanski@unt.edu
Web: http://www.math.unt.edu/∼urbanski

Michel Zinsmeister
Mathématiques
Universite d'Orleans
BP 6759 45067 Orléans Cedex, France
E-mail:Michel.Zinsmeister@labomath.univ-orleans.fr
Web: http:// www.labomath.univ-orleans.fr/descriptions/zins

Version 04/19/2001.

1 Introduction

Let $\mathbb{C}^d[z] \simeq \mathbb{C}^d$ be the set of monic polynomials of degree $d \geq 2$. For every $f \in \mathbb{C}^d[z]$ one defines the $filled - in$ Julia set $K(f)$ as the set of points z such that the sequence defined inductively by $z_0 = z$, $z_{n+1} = f(z_n)$ does not converge to ∞. It is a non-empty compact set whose boundary, denoted by $J(f)$ is called the Julia set of f. The Julia set is the chaotic locus of the dynamics of f in the sense that is is the set of points of non-normality for the family of iterates of f. It is, except for very few exceptions, a fractal set and this makes $d(f)$, the Hausdorff dimension of $J(f)$, a relevant quantity to study.

Our paper deals with the function $f \longmapsto d(f)$, $\mathbb{C}^d[z] \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$. This function is of course strongly related to the "topological" function $f \longmapsto J(f)$, $\mathbb{C}^d[z] \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{C})$, where $\mathcal{K}(\mathbb{C})$ stands for the set

*The research of the first author was partially supported by the NSF Grant DMS 9801583. He whishes to thank the Univerisy of Orleans and IHES, where a part of the research was done for warm hospitality and excellent working conditions

of non-empty compact subsets of the plane, equipped with the Hausdorff metric. Douady [1] has proven that if $f_0 \in \mathbb{C}^d[z]$ has no indifferent periodic point which is either rational or linearizable then the mapping $f \longmapsto J(f)$ is continuous at f_0. In particular J is continuous on the neighborhood of every "hyperbolic" f_0, that is if all critical points of f_0 are attracted by attracting cycles. In fact much more is true in this case: $J(f)$ moves in a *holomorphic motion* in the sense of [11]. Concerning the function d, Ruelle [10] has shown that it is real-analytic around every hyperbolic polynomial. Thus, as usually in dynamical systems, topological changes or discontinuities appear at "points" f_0 having an indifferent cycle, a set containing as a dense subset polynomials having a parabolic cycle. Since this phenomenon may be extremely complicated, in order to study the nature of the discontinuities that occur, we restrict our attention to quadratic polynomials, i.e. to the one-parameter family $f(z) = z^2 + c$, $c \in \mathbb{C}$. The set of bifurcation points is then a dense subset of the boundary of the Mandelbrot set and we focus on the "simplest possible" point, namely the polynomial $f_0(z) = z^2 + \frac{1}{4}$ for which $1/2$ is a fixed point with multiplier 1 We first restrict ourselves even further: to real values of c. By the preceeding discussion, the function $c \longmapsto d(c) = d(z^2 + c)$ is real-analytic on $[0, 1/4) \cup (1/4, +\infty)$ and it is not difficult to see that the topological function is continuous from the left at $1/4$. In [7] it is proven that the function d is also left-continuous at $1/4$, with a vertical tangent [9]. Douady [1] has shown that the topological function is discontinuous from the right at $1/4$. Later it was shown in [6] that the dimension function is also right-discontinuous. More precisely it is proven in [6] that

$$d(1/4) < \liminf_{c \longrightarrow \frac{1}{4}+0} d(c) \leq \limsup_{c \longrightarrow \frac{1}{4}+0} d(c) < 2. \tag{1.1}$$

Douady's result is much more precise: there is a whole circle of limit points for the topological function at $\frac{1}{4}+0$. The corresponding result for the dimension function is unknown: more specifically, can the $\leq$ sign be replaced by $<$ in (1.1)? This question was raised by Douady. Even if it does not solve this problem, the present paper aims to shed some light on it. In order to state precisely the result, we first need to recall some facts from parabolic implosion, the phenomenon which is responsible of the discontinuities. Then we briefly touch on some selected facts from the theory of infinite conformal IFS in the sense of [4] which will be needed in the proof of the main theorem. We would like to add that in fact the Julia-Lavaurs sets appear to be a mine of infinite IFS (see [6]) and our explorations go beyond the [6] case in the sense that the IFS structure is obtained here as a refining of the construction presented there.

2 A quick overview of parabolic implosion.

(a) Fatou coordinates. We recall that $f_0(z) = z^2 + \frac{1}{4}$. If $x \in \mathbb{C}$ and $r > 0$, then $B(x, r)$ denotes the open ball with the center at x and with radius r. If $\sigma \in \mathbb{C}$, we denote by T_σ the translation $z \longmapsto z + \sigma$. We will also denote by π the canonical projection from $\mathbb{C}$ onto $\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}$. The inversion

$$z \longmapsto Z = -\frac{1}{z - \frac{1}{2}}$$

conjugates f_0 to the mapping

$$F_0(Z) = Z + 1 + \frac{1}{Z-1}$$

which is very close to the translation T_1 in the two domains $U_M^- = \{\text{Re}z < -M\}$, $U_M^+ = \{\text{Re}z > M\}$, for large M. This conugation may actually be modified to yield an exact conjugation to T_1 on $U_M^\pm$. returning to the variable z we have more precisely:

Theorem 2.1 *There exist holomorphic injective maps*

$$\varphi^- : V^- = B(3/8, 1/8) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C},\ \varphi^+ : V^+ = B(5/8, 1/8) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

such that $\varphi^-(V^-) \supset U_M^-$, $\varphi^+(V^+) \supset U_M^+$ *for some* $M > 0$ *and such that*
(i) f_0 *is injective in* V^-, $f_0(V^-) \subset V^-$ *and* $\varphi^-(f_0(z)) = \varphi^-(z) + 1,\ z \in V^-$,
(ii) f_0 *is injective in* V^+, $V^+ \subset f_0(V^+)$ *and* $\varphi^+(f_0(z)) = \varphi^+(z) + 1$ *if* $z, f_0(z) \in V^+$.

Moreover $\varphi^\pm$ are unique up to additive constant. They are called the Fatou coordinates (attracting and repelling). We will denote by $\Phi^\pm$ the expression of the Fatou coordinates in the $Z-$variable.

The mapping Φ^+ extends as an injective holomorphic function to the domain $\{y > x + M\} \cup \{y < -x - M\}$ and similarily Φ^+ to the domain symmetric with respect to the imaginary axis. Consequently the function $h = \Phi^- \circ (\Phi^+)^{-1} = \varphi^- \circ (\varphi^+)^{-1}$ is well defined in $\{y > |x + M|\} \cup \{y < -|x + M|\}$. Since $h(Z + 1) = h(Z) + 1$ whenever both Z and $Z + 1$ belong to the domain of h, we can define two maps $h^\downarrow$, $h^\uparrow$ holomorphic in $\{y > M + 1\}$, $\{y < -M - 1\}$ respectively, "fixing" the point at ∞, and satisfying $h^{\downarrow\uparrow}(Z + 1) = h^{\downarrow\uparrow}(Z) + 1$. These maps are the *horn maps* associated to f_0.

Finally it can be shown that φ^- has an extention to $K(f_0)$ as an holomorphic function still satisfying the functionnal relation in (i), while $\Psi^+ = (\varphi^+)^{-1}$, a priori only defined on U_M^+, can be extended as an entire function satisfying $\Psi^+(Z + 1) = f_0(\Psi^+(Z))$. These two functions are called extended Fatou coordinates.

(b) Lavaurs maps.

Definition 2.2 *A Lavaurs map for* f_0 *is a map from* $K(f_0)$*to* $\mathbb{C}$ *of the form*

$$g_\sigma = \Psi^+ \circ T_\sigma \circ \varphi^-.$$

It follows easily from properties of the Fatou coordinates that

$$g_\sigma \circ f_0 = f_0 \circ g_\sigma = g_{\sigma+1}.$$

The "raison d'̌etre" of the preceeding definition is the following important theorem of Douady:

Theorem 2.3 *Let ϵ_n be a sequence of complex numbers with positive real part converging to 0 in such a way that there exists a sequence of integers $N_n \longrightarrow +\infty$ such that*

$$-\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\epsilon_n}} + N_n \longrightarrow \sigma \in \mathbb{C}$$

(the square root is the one with positive real part), then, if

$$f_\epsilon(z) = z^2 + \frac{1}{4} + \epsilon,$$

$f_{\epsilon_n}^{N_n}$ converges converges uniformly on compact subsets of $K(f_0)$ towards the Lavaurs map g_σ.

(c) Dynamics of (f_0, g_σ). For $k \geq 0,\ l \geq 0$, we define

$$g_\sigma^{k,l} = f_0^l \circ g_\sigma^k$$

on some domain depending on k and σ, while if $k \geq 1,\ l < 0$, there exists a domain depending on k and σ on which one can define

$$g_\sigma^{k,l} = g_{\sigma+l} \circ g_\sigma^{k-1}.$$

We call the pair (k, l) *admissible* if $k = 0, l \geq 0$ or if $k \geq 1, l \in \mathbb{Z}$. We (totally) order the set of admissible pairs by lexicographic order, and the usual vocabulary of iteration extends to this setting. The situation is only *slightly* complicated by the fact that the maps $g_\sigma^{k,l}$ are not everywhere defined. This leads to the following.

Definition 2.4 *A point $z \in \mathbb{C}$ is said to escape by (f_0, g_σ) if there exists $m \geq 0$ such that $g_\sigma^m(z)$ is well defined but belongs to $\mathbb{C} \backslash K(f_0)$ (in particular points in $\mathbb{C} \backslash K(f_0)$ escape, simply take $m = 0$).*

Definition 2.5 The filled-in Julia-Lavaurs set $K(f_0, g_\sigma)$ is the set of non-escaping points. It is a compact set with

$$J(f_0) \subset K(f_0, g_\sigma) \subset K(f_0).$$

The Julia-Lavaurs set $J(f_0, g_\sigma)$ is the boundary of $K(f_0, g_\sigma)$.

By the properties of the dynamics of (f_0, g_σ), notice that these sets depend only on $\pi(\sigma)$. Douady [1] has shown that $J(f_0, g_\sigma)$ is the closure of the set of points z for which there exists $m \geq 0$ such that $g_\sigma^m(z)$ is well-defined and belongs to $J(f_0)$. It is also true that $J(f_0, g_\sigma)$ is the closure of the set of periodic repelling points; the proof for the classical case goes through without changes.

(d) Fatou-Julia-Lavaurs-Sullivan classification. Lavaurs in [1] has extended to this new dynamics the Fatou-Julia-Sullivan classification of components of the Fatou set. He has extended

Sullivan non-wandering theorem proving that every component of $K(f_0)$ is eventually periodic in the sense of the new dynamics. Classical examples of periodic components have their counterpart in the new setting: attracting or parabolic basins, Siegel disks. But there are new examples of periodic components. In order to observe them, we notice that Φ^- conjugates the Lavaurs map G_σ into the two horn maps $h_\sigma^{\downarrow\uparrow} = h^{\downarrow\uparrow} o T_\sigma$ and this in the domains $\{y > M\}, \{y < -M\}$ for large enough M. Using change of variable $u = \exp(\pm 2i\pi Z)$, the horn map is transfered to a germ of holomorphic function fixing 0. It thus makes sense to say that $\downarrow\uparrow \infty$ is attracting, repelling or indifferent and if one of the ends is attracting or parabolic or linearizable irrationnally indifferent, it leads to periodic components of $K(f_0)$ containing the parabolic fixed point $1/2$ on its boundary. We say in this case that $1/2$ is virtually attracting, parabolic or linearizable at $\downarrow \infty$ or $\uparrow \infty$ and the corresponding basin is called virtual. Lavaurs has shown in [1] that all periodic components of $K(f_0)$ are of one of the types just described.

(e) Limiting shapes of Julia sets. We may now state (recall that $f_\epsilon(z) = z^2 + \frac{1}{4} + \epsilon$) the following.

Theorem 2.6 *(Douady, Lavaurs [1], [1]). If $\sigma \in \mathbb{C}$ is such that (f_0, g_σ) has no parabolic cycle and no linearizable irrationnally indifferent cycle (including virtual components) and if ϵ_n converges to 0 as in theorem 2 then $J(f_{\epsilon_n}) \longrightarrow J(f_0, g_\sigma)$ in the Hausdorff metric. Furthermore,*

$$J(f_0) \subset\neq J(f_0, g_\sigma) \subset\neq K(f_0).$$

The phenomenon described by this theorem is called parabolic implosion. Actually if σ is an exceptional point in Theorem 2.6, then $J(f_0, g_\sigma)$ is still a limiting point of some sequence $J(f_{\epsilon_n})$ but one must precise the way ϵ_n converges to 0. If one is not carefull enough, then a new parabolic implosion occurs, with new phase space, and this makes the set of limiting points very complicated to describe.

(f) The phase space. As in the classical study of the parameter space for the quadratic family, one can define a parameter space for the dynamics of (f_0, g_σ). We denote by $\mathcal{E}$ the set of $\sigma \in \mathbb{C}$ such that the critical point 0 escapes by (f_0, g_σ) and by $\mathcal{M}$ (for Mandelbrot) the complement of $\mathcal{E}$. Since the dynamics of (f_0, g_σ) really depends only on $\pi(\sigma)$, one defines the phase space as $\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}$ divided into $\pi(\mathcal{E})$ and $\pi(\mathcal{M})$. If one transforms the parameter plane of c's by the mapping $\theta : c \longmapsto -\frac{\pi}{c-1/4}$ and define $M_n = \theta(M) \cap [-(n+1), -n] \times \mathbb{R}$ then it is conjectured that $\pi(M_n)$ converges in the Hausdorff topology to some translate of $\pi(\mathcal{M})$. If the Fatou coordinates have been chosen so that they preserve the real axis, ons can show that $\mathcal{M} \supset \{y \geq \Pi\} \cup \{y \leq -\pi\}$, union of two half-planes corresponding to the parabolic fixed point being attracting or indifferent at the corresponding end (and this corresponds by the mapping θ to the main cardioïd). The set $\mathcal{E}$ is the union of the $\mathcal{E}_n's, n \geq 0$ where

$$\mathcal{E}_n = \{\sigma \in \mathbb{C};\ g_\sigma^{n+1}(0) \in \mathbb{C} \backslash K(f_0)\}.$$

Wth the above choice of Fatou coordinates we have $I\!R \subset \mathcal{E}_0$ and we may describe the whole component $\mathcal{E}_0$ as follows: From the formula defining g_σ it appears that

$$\mathcal{E}_0 = (\Psi^+)^{-1}(\mathbb{C} \backslash K(f_0)).$$

This a a one-periodic strip bounded by two Jordan curves that are symmetric wrt the real axis. If $\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_0$ then ,since the critical point escapes by g_σ, one cannot have a parabolic point nor a Siegel disk; it follows that theorem 3 applies for points in $\mathcal{E}_0$ Moreover the method of [6] goes through in this case; the Hausdorff dimension of $J(f_{\epsilon_n})$ converges to the Hausdorff dimension $d(\sigma)$ of $J(f_0, g_\sigma)$ if $\epsilon_n \longrightarrow 0$ as in theorem 3.

As a natural extention of Douady's question one can ask the following: is $d(\sigma)$ constant on $\mathcal{E}_0$? A further argument for the pertinence of this question is the twin paper [UZ1] where it is proved that $d(\sigma)$ is real-analytic in $\mathcal{E}_0$.

Since the function d is also sub-harmonic in $\mathcal{E}_0$, it is natural, to study this question, to investigate the boundary behavior of the function in $\mathcal{E}_0$.

We define radial approach to $\overline{\sigma} \in \pi(\partial\mathcal{E}_0)$ as approach along the curve $\pi(\varphi^+(\Gamma_z))$ where $z \in \partial K(f_0) \cap B(\frac{5}{8}, \frac{1}{8})$, Γ_z is the external ray landing at z and $\overline{\sigma} = \pi(\varphi^+(z))$.

The main result of this work is the following

Theorem 2.7 *The function d is continuous radially at every point of $\partial\pi(\mathcal{E}_0))$. Moreover $d(\overline{\sigma}) > \frac{4}{3}$ if $\overline{\sigma} = \pi(\varphi^+(z))$ where z is a preparabolic point for f_0 (i.e. $f_0^n(z) = \frac{1}{4}$ for some $n \geq 0$).*

(g) Phase dependence of Julia-Lavaurs sets. In this section we prove the following theorem which is the topological ingredient needed needed to prove Theorem 2.7.

Proposition 2.8 *The function $\sigma \longmapsto J(f_0, g_\sigma)$ is continuous from $\overline{\mathcal{E}}_0$ to $\mathcal{K}(\mathbb{C})$.*

And indeed, Douady's results from [1] extend without changes to the Julia-Lavaurs situation. In particular Proposition 2.8 follows from the following.

Proposition 2.9 *If $\sigma \in \overline{\mathcal{E}}_0$, then $K(f_0, g_\sigma) = J(f_0, g_\sigma)$.*

Proof. By the preceeding overview of parabolic implosion, in order to prove Proposition2.9, it is sufficient to demonstrate that all periodic points are repelling except for the parabolic fixed point 1/2 which is virtually repelling at both ends if $\sigma \in \overline{\mathcal{E}}_0$. The fact that the parabolic point is virtually reppelling at both ends follows from the fact that $\overline{\mathcal{E}}_0$ lies entirely inside the strip $\{|y| < \pi\}$ while σ's with virtual indifferent or attracting ends have $\{|y| \geq \pi\}$. To prove that all periodic points are repelling, one simply observes that the critical orbit (under (f_0, g_σ)) accumulates only on $J(f_0)$. Let z be a periodic point: if $z \in J(f_0)$ then it is a periodic point of f_0 and thus it is repelling or equal to 1/2. If $z \in U_0 = ???+K(???, (f_0))$ it is then fixed by some $G = g_\sigma^{k,l}$ and $z \in W = U_0 \backslash \overline{P}$, where P denotes the critical orbit under (f_0, g_σ). But then one can build an inverse of G from the universal cover of W into itself fixing z; by Schwarz lemma, this points must be attracting and thus z is repelling for G. ■

3 A quick overview of conformal infinite IFS

Let $S = \{\phi_i : X \to X\}_{i\in I}$, $X \subset I\!R^d$ for some $d \geq 1$, be a conformal (infinite) iterated function system (abbreviated as IFS) in the sense of [4]. For every $n \geq 1$ and $\omega \in I^n$, put

$$\phi_\omega = \phi_{\omega_1} \circ \phi_{\omega_2} \circ \ldots \circ \phi_{\omega_n}.$$

Let $||\cdot||$ denote the supremum norm over X. Following [4], given $t > 0$ we set

$$\psi(t) = \sum_{i\in I} ||\phi_i'||^t$$

and

$$\mathrm{P}(t) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \sum_{\omega\in I^n} ||\phi_\omega'||^t.$$

The number $\mathrm{P}(t)$ is called the topological pressure of the parameter t. Let

$$\theta = \theta_S = \inf\{t : \psi(t) < \infty\} = \inf\{t : \sum_{i\in I} \mathrm{diam}^t(\phi_i(X)) < \infty\} \geq 0$$

and Let $h_S = \mathrm{HD}(J_S)$ be the Hausdorff dimension of the limit set J_S. The following result has been proven in [4].

Theorem 3.1 *tifs1 It holds*

$$\mathrm{HD}(J_S) = \inf\{t \geq 0 : \mathrm{P}(t) < 0\} = \sup\{h_F\} \geq \theta_S$$

where the supremum is taken over all systems generated by the cofinite subsets of I.

Given $t \geq 0$ a Borel probability measure m is said to be t-conformal provided $m(J_S) = 1$ and for every Borel set $A \subset X$ and every $i \in I$

$$m(\phi_i(A)) = \int_A |\phi_i'|^t \, dm \tag{3.1}$$

and

$$m(\phi_i(X) \cap \phi_j(X)) = 0, \tag{3.2}$$

for every pair $i, j \in I$, $i \neq j$.
The following result has been proved in [4].

Theorem 3.2 *A t-conformal measure exists if and on*
exists at most one t-conformal measure.

The rest of the paper is devoted to the proof of Theore
on $\Sigma = \pi(\mathcal{E}_0)$. The starting point of the proof is the s
IFS (Markov partition) of the Julia-Lavaurs set that r
new feature is that the [6] partition is not sufficient her
the Julia-Lavaurs set if $\pi(\sigma) \in \partial\Sigma$ implies that the [6]
must thus refine the partition, and this refinement de
to illustrate the situation; they show what the Julia-
along two different kinds of external rays that will apea
approaches.



Values of the phase

1

2



4

5

4 The partition of $J(f_0, g_\sigma)$

We start with the partition defined in [6], and that we will call DSZ. It is defined for Julia-Lavaurs sets corresponding to $\overline{\sigma} \in \Sigma$ in the following way: we start with $A_{0,0}$, the piece which lies between the external angles $1/3, 2/3$ and define $A_{0,n}$ as being the successive preimages of $A_{0,0}$ that are in the upper half-plane and $A_{0,-n} = \overline{A}_{0,n}$. We then cut the upper wing of the butterfly at $\alpha = 1/2$ by taking $A_{1,0} = g_\sigma^{-1}(A_{0,0})$ and then $A_{1,q} = f_0^q(A_{1,0}),\ q \in \boldsymbol{Z}$; we continue on the other layers of the wing building $A_{p,q},\ p \geq 0,\ q \in \boldsymbol{Z}$. Finally we do the same in the lower wing to get all the $A_{p,q},\ p, q \in \boldsymbol{Z}$. This partition can be performed in the closed annulus $\overline{\Sigma}$ by continuity.

We now fix $\overline{\sigma} \in \partial\Sigma$ that we assume first not to correspond to a preparabolic point. We first cut $A_{0,0}$ into DSZ-cylinders of order 2 keeping all of them except the one containing $g_\sigma(\omega)$ and its two neighbours. We cut these three pieces into DSZ-cylinders of order 3, keeping all of them except the one containing $g_\sigma(\omega)$ and its three neighbours, and so on. The case $g_\sigma(\omega)$ preparabolic is slightly different. The procedure is the same until we reach the step for which $g_\sigma(\omega)$ is the main cusp (the one coresponding to α in the cylinder). We then simply cut the cylinder into DSZ-cylinders of the next generation and stop the process. In both case we denote by $\{A_n\}$ the partition of $A_{0,0}$ we have just described. Next we transfer this partition into all the DSZ-cylinders of order 1 inside the wings of the butterfly at α, as $\{A_{p,q,n},\ p, q \in \boldsymbol{Z},\ p \neq 0,\ n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Finally, denoting by $s(z) = -z$, we define a partition of $X = A_{0,0}$ as $\{B_i\} = \{s(A_{0,q}),\ q \in \boldsymbol{Z},\ |q| \geq 2\} \cup \{s(A_{p,q,n}),\ p \in \boldsymbol{Z},\ p \neq 0,\ q \in \boldsymbol{Z},\ n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. We claim that we can obtain in this way an infinite IFS. More precisely one can construct an open simply connected neighborhood U of X such that for every i there exists an admissible (k, l) and a neighborhood U_i of B_i such that $g_\sigma^{k,l} : U_i \longrightarrow U$ is a conformal homeomorphism. This is due to the fact that the B_i's have been constructed in such a way that their diameter is small compared to their distance to the set of critical points of $g_\sigma^{k,l}$. The inverses of the maps $g_\sigma^{k,l}$ form our conformal IFS. To finish let us mention that the "partition" we have constructed is strictly speaking not a real one since the pieces overlap. We maintain the abuse of notation since the overlap consists of no more than one point and furthermore this point will appear to be not charged by any measure we will consider. Finally the limit set of the IFS union its image by f_0 will be $J(f_0, g_\sigma)$ minus the preparabolic points and the precritical points.

5 Determination of the θ-number of the IFS on $\partial\Sigma$

Recall from the previous section that the θ-number of the IFS we have just constructed is the infinimum of the numbers $t > 0$ such that

$$\sum_i \operatorname{diam}(B_i)^t < +\infty. \tag{5.1}$$

In Σ, due to the fact that there is only one petal associated to the parabolic fixed point α, the θ-number for the DSZ-partition is equal to 1. Since the new partition is a refinement of DSZ the series (5.1) will be convergent if and only if $t > 1$ and

$$\sum_n \mathrm{diam}(A_{1,0,n})^t < +\infty. \tag{5.2}$$

Since $A_{1,0,n} = g_\sigma^{-1}(A_n)$, $\mathrm{dist}(g_\sigma(\omega), A_n) \geq c\,\mathrm{diam}(A_n)$, and since ω is a critical point of order 2 of g_σ, we have

$$\mathrm{diam}(A_{1,0,n}) \approx \mathrm{dist}(g_\sigma(\omega), A_n)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathrm{diam}(A_n)$$

for all n, from which it follows that the θ-number of the IFS is the infinimum of the set of positive t's such that

$$\varphi(t) = \sum_n \mathrm{dist}(g_\sigma(\omega), A_n)^{-\frac{t}{2}}\mathrm{diam}(A_n)^t < +\infty. \tag{5.3}$$

Proposition 5.1 *For all points on $\partial\Sigma$ $\theta \in [1, 4/3]$. Moreover $\theta = 1$ if*

$$\liminf_{n\longrightarrow\infty} |f_0^n(g_\sigma(\omega) - 1/2| > 0$$

while $\theta = 4/3$ if $g_\sigma(\omega)$ is preparabolic.

Proof: Assume first that $g_\sigma(\omega)$ is not preparabolic. If we denote by C_n the DSZ-cylinder of order n containing $g_\sigma(\omega)$, then, $C_{n+1} = C_n A_{0,k_n}$ for some $k_n \in \boldsymbol{Z}$ which indicates how close to the main cusp we are inside C_n. The contribution of the $A_n's$ in (5.3) which are contained in C_n but not in C_{n+1} or its two neighbours is

$$\varphi_n(t) \approx (\mathrm{diam}C_n)^{\frac{t}{2}} \sum_{p,q\in\boldsymbol{Z}} \left|\frac{1}{p+iq} - \frac{1}{k_n}\right|^{-\frac{t}{2}} (p^2+q^2)^{-t}$$

An easy computation shows that the quantity $\varphi_n(t)/(\mathrm{diam}C_n)^{t/2}$ is of the same order as

$$|k_n|^{2-\frac{3}{2}t} \int_1^{|k_n|} r^{\frac{3}{2}t-3} dr. \tag{5.4}$$

If $t > 4/3$ then (5.4) is equivalent to a constant so that $\varphi(t) = \sum \varphi_n(t) \leq C \sum \mathrm{diam}(C_n)^{t/2}$¡∞ since $\mathrm{diam}(C_n)$ decreases at least as fast as a geometric series. Moreover $\inf_n |f_0^n(g_\sigma(\omega) - \alpha| > 0$ $\Leftrightarrow$ $(k_n) \in l^\infty$ and it follows that under this condition, for any $t \in]1, 4/3]$ we have, using (5.4), $\varphi_n(t) \leq C|k_n|^{2-3/2t} \leq C'$ if $t < 4/3$ or $\varphi_n(t) \leq C \log|k_n| \leq C'$ if $t = 4/3$. Finally in the preparabolic case it is easy to see that $\varphi(t) < \infty$ iff

$$\sum_{p,q\in\boldsymbol{Z},\ (p,q)\neq(0,0)} \left|\frac{1}{p+iq}\right|^{-t/2} (p^2+q^2)^{-t} < \infty \Leftrightarrow t > 4/3.$$

6 Radial approach.

We recall that $\overline{\sigma}_n \in \Sigma$ converges radially to $\overline{\sigma}_0 \in \partial\Sigma$ if there is a lift σ_n of $\overline{\sigma}_n$ such that $g_{\sigma_n}(\omega)$ converges to $g_{\sigma_0}(\omega)$ along an external ray of $J(f_0)$. Moreover we may assume that $z_0 = g_{\sigma_0}(\omega) \in A_{0,0}$.

The purpose of this paragraph is to show that the external ray ending at z_0 does not pass too close to the pieces A_n.

Proposition 6.1 *There exists a constant $C > 0$ such that if z belongs to the external ray landing at z_0 then*

$$\forall n \geq 0,\ \mathrm{dist}(z, A_n) \geq C\mathrm{diam}(A_n).$$

Proof: The property is obvious if $A_n \subset U_0$ since already $\mathrm{dist}(A_n, J(f_0)) \geq c\mathrm{diam}(A_n)$. If $A_n \cap J(f_0) \neq \emptyset$ we argue by contradiction. Suppose there is an n such that $\mathrm{dist}(z, A_n) \leq \epsilon\mathrm{diam}(A_n)$. here is a k such that $A_n \subset C_k$ but not in C_{k+1} or its two neighbours;

By bounded distortion, applying f_0 sufficiently many times and using the fact that f_0 maps external rays on external rays, one may assume that $A_n = A_{0,0}$ and that the external angle is between $-\pi/6$ and $\pi/6$. We get an obvious contradiction if ϵ is small enough.

7 Scheme of the proof of theorem 1.

Let$h$ be the Hausdorff dimension of $J(f_0, g_{\sigma_0})$. Let h_n be the Hausdorff dimension of $J(f_0, g_{\sigma_n})$ and m_n the unique h_n-conformal measure on the limit set. Taking subsequences, we may assume that m_n converges weakly to a measure m supported on $J(f_0, g_\sigma)$ and that h_n converges to some number l.

Lemma 7.1 *$l \geq h$.*

In order to prove this lemma we first observe that if two pieces of the DSZ-partition intersect, the intersection consists in at most one point which cannot be charged by m since it is a preperiodic repelling fixed point of (f_0, g_σ). It then follows from [4] that m satisfies (3.1) Since

$$\sum_{|\omega|=n} \left\|\varphi'_\omega\right\|^l \leq \sum_{|\omega|=n} K^l m(\varphi_\omega(X)) \leq K^l,$$

we get $\mathrm{P}(l) \leq 0$ which implies that $l \geq h$. The reasonning is now a bit weird: if $l = h$, then there is of course nothing to prove. We will then assume $l > h$, from which we will deduce the crucial formula

$$m(\{\omega\}) = 0. \tag{7.1}$$

We know already by the results of [6] that $m(\{\alpha\}) = 0$. If 7.1 is true, then the measure m is supported on the limit set of our IFS so that, in view of Theorem 3.2 it must be the unique such measure and $l = h$ which is a contradiction!

8 Proof of 7.1.

We assume that $l > h$ and we want to prove 7.1: it is enough to prove that there exists a function $\psi : I\!R_+ \longrightarrow I\!R_+,\ \psi(r) \longrightarrow 0,\ r \longrightarrow 0$, such that

$$\forall n \geq 0,\ m_n(B(0,r)) \leq \psi(r). \tag{8.1}$$

Due to the fact that $0 = \omega$ is critical of order 2 we may write

$$m_n(B(0,r)) \leq C \sum_{k \in I(n,r)} \mathrm{dist}(g_{\underline{\sigma_n}}(\omega), A_k(\sigma_n))^{-h_n/2} \mathrm{diam}(A_k(\sigma_n))^{h_n} \tag{8.2}$$

where $I(n,r) = \{k;\ g_\sigma(B(0,r) \cap A_k(\sigma_n) \neq \emptyset\}$ and $A_k(\sigma_n)$ is the analogue of $A_k = A_k(\sigma_0)$ for σ_n.

Lemma 8.1 *There exists $C \geq 1$ such that for every $k \geq 0$ and n large enough,*

$$\mathrm{diam}(A_k(\sigma_n)) \leq C\mathrm{diam}(A_k),\ \mathrm{dist}(g_{\sigma_n}(\omega), A_k(\sigma_n)) \geq \frac{1}{C}\mathrm{dist}(g_{\sigma_n}(\omega), A_k)$$

Proof: The first property is invariant by images under iterates of f_0 so we may assume that A_k is a cylinder of order 1 of the DSZ partition. For the same reason we may even assume that

$$g_\sigma^N(A_k) = A_{0,0}$$

for some $N \geq 0$. The property then follows from the fact that

$$\mathrm{diam}(A_k(\sigma_n)) \sim \mathrm{diam}(A_k(\sigma_0)) \sim (N+1)^{-2}.$$

In order to prove the second property we assume first that A_k is the cylinder of order 1 in DSZ such that $g_\sigma^N(A_k) = A_{0,0}$ for some $N \geq 0$. Fix ζ_0 as the extremity of $A_{0,0}$ (i.e $\zeta_0 = -1/2 \pm i$) which is the furthest to $g_{\sigma_0}(\omega)$ and let ζ, ζ_n be the corresponding points in $A_k(\sigma_0), A_k(\sigma_n)$.

We claim that

$$|\zeta - \zeta_n| \leq CN|\sigma_0 - \sigma_n|\mathrm{diam}(A_k(\sigma_0)). \tag{8.3}$$

To see this inequality we write $h = \varphi^+ o(\varphi^-)^{-1}$, the horn map. The theory of parabolic implosion shows that $h'(Z) = 1 + O(e^{-y})$. We have $g_\sigma^{-N}(\zeta) = (\varphi^+)^{-1} o \lambda_\sigma^N(\varphi^+(\zeta))$ and a short computation shows

$$\frac{d}{d\sigma}\lambda_\sigma^N(\xi) = (1 + \frac{d}{d\sigma}\lambda_\sigma^{N-1}(\xi))h'(\lambda_\sigma^{N-1}(\xi) + \sigma)$$

from which (8.3) follows since $h'(\lambda_\sigma^{N-1}(\xi) + \sigma) = 1 + \epsilon_N$ with $\sum |\epsilon_N| < \infty$. Inequality (8.3) proves the property for $N \leq K|\sigma_n - \sigma_0|^{-1}$: indeed recall first that $\mathrm{diam}(A_k(\sigma_n)) \leq C\mathrm{dist}(g_{\sigma_n}(\omega), A_k(\sigma_n))$; we may then write

$$\mathrm{dist}(g_{\sigma_n}(\omega), A_k) \leq \mathrm{dist}(g_{\sigma_n}(\omega), A_k(\sigma_n)) + \mathrm{diam}(A_k(\sigma_n)) + |\zeta - \zeta_n|,$$

from which the result easily follows, using the first part. If $N > K|\sigma_n - \sigma_0|^{-1}$, then

$$\mathrm{dist}(g_{\sigma_n}(\omega), A_k(\sigma_n)) \geq |g_{\sigma_n}(\omega) - 1/2| - K^{-1}|g_{\sigma_n}(\omega) - g_{\sigma_0}(\omega)|$$

while

$$\mathrm{dist}(g_{\sigma_n}(\omega), A_k) \leq |g_{\sigma_n}(\omega) - 1/2| + C|g_{\sigma_n}(\omega) - g_{\sigma_0}(\omega)|$$

from which we can conclude if K is chosen big enough. During this proof we have used the fact that $|g_{\sigma_n}(\omega) - g_{\sigma_0}(\omega)| \sim |\sigma_n - \sigma_0|$ and this fact follows from Koebe theorem and the fact that $\psi^+(\sigma) = f_0^l o \psi^+(\sigma - l)$ which is univalent in a neighborhood of σ_0 for large enough l.

In general there is a power f_0^l ($N \in \boldsymbol{Z}$) mapping A_k to an A_k as before and it suffices to apply the preceeding to $\sigma_0 + l, \sigma_n + l$. The lemma is proved.

We are led to estimate

$$\sum_{I(n,r)} \mathrm{dist}(g_{\sigma_n}(\omega), A_k)^{-h_n/2} \mathrm{diam}(A_k)^{h_n}. \tag{8.4}$$

We split (8.4) in two parts:

The first consists of the sum over those k's for which

$$\mathrm{dist}(g_{\sigma_n}(\omega), A_k) \geq \frac{1}{10}\mathrm{dist}(g_{\sigma_0}(\omega), A_k)$$

which is bounded from above by the similar sum with σ_n replced by σ_0.

The rest consists of those $k \in I(n,r)$ that also belong to

$$\mathcal{E} = \{k \in \boldsymbol{Z};\ \mathrm{dist}(g_{\sigma_n}(0), A_k) \leq \frac{1}{10}\mathrm{dist}(g_{\sigma_0}(0), A_k)\}.$$

It follows from the definition that $k \in \mathcal{E} \Rightarrow \mathrm{dist}(g_{\sigma_0}(\omega), A_k) \leq \frac{10}{9}|g_{\sigma_0}(\omega) - g_{\sigma_n}(\omega)| \Rightarrow k \in I(n,r)$ for n large enough. Also $k \in \mathcal{E} \Rightarrow \mathrm{dist}(g_{\sigma_n}(\omega), A_k) \leq \frac{1}{9}|g_{\sigma_n}(\omega) - g_{\sigma_0}(\omega)|$.

Suppose $k \in \mathcal{E}$; let N_k be the unique integer such that A_k is a cylinder of order $N_k + 1$ in C_{N_k}. An important observation is that if k' is another element of $\mathcal{E}$ then $N_{k'} = N_k$.

Lemma 8.2 *For n large enough*

$$\sum_{k \in \mathcal{E}} \mathrm{dist}(g_{\sigma_n}(\omega), A_k)^{-\frac{h_n}{2}} \mathrm{diam}(A_k)^{h_n} \leq C \mathrm{diam}(C_N)^{\frac{h_n}{2}} M_n^{2 - \frac{3}{2}h_n} \tag{8.5}$$

and

$$\sum_{k\in\mathcal{E}} \mathrm{dist}(g_{\sigma_0}(\omega), A_k)^{-\frac{h_n}{2}} \mathrm{diam}(A_k)^{h_n} \geq c\mathrm{diam}(C_N)^{\frac{h_n}{2}} M_n^{2-\frac{3}{2}h_n}, \tag{8.6}$$

where $N = N_k$, $M_n = \mathrm{diam}(C_N)/|g_{\sigma_n}(\omega) - g_{\sigma_0}(\omega)|$.

Before proving the lemma, let us show why it implies the result. By the lemma the quantity in (8.4) is bounded from above by

$$\sum_{N_r}^{\infty} \mathrm{dist}(g_{\sigma_0}(\omega), A_k)^{-h/2} \mathrm{diam}(A_k)^h$$

where $N_r = \inf I(n,r) \longrightarrow \infty, \ r \longrightarrow 0$, and this remainder converges to 0 by the discussion about $\theta-$number.

In order to prove (8.5) and (8.6) we may first, by bounded distortion, assume that $N = 0$ and we put $M = M_n$. Then

$$\sum_{k\in\mathcal{E}} \mathrm{dist}(g_{\sigma_n}(\omega), A_k)^{-\frac{h_n}{2}} \mathrm{diam}(A_k)^{h_n} \leq C \sum_{\mathcal{A}_{M,N}} \left|\frac{1}{p+iq} - \frac{1}{M}\right|^{-\frac{h_n}{2}} (p^2+q^2)^{-h_n}$$

where $\mathcal{A}_{M,N} = \{(p,q) \in \boldsymbol{Z}^2; |\frac{1}{p+iq} - \frac{1}{N}| \leq \frac{1}{9M}\}$,

$$\leq C \int\int_{|u|\leq \frac{1}{9M}} |u|^{-\frac{h_n}{2}} \left|u + \frac{1}{N}\right|^{-4} du d\overline{u} \leq CM^{2-\frac{3}{2}h_n},$$

which proves (8.5). The proof of (8.6) is similar:

$$\sum_{\mathcal{E}} \mathrm{dist}(g_{\sigma_0}(\omega), A_k)^{-\frac{h_n}{2}} \mathrm{diam}(A_k)^{\frac{h_n}{2}} \geq c \sum_{\mathcal{A}_{M,N}} \left|\frac{1}{p+iq}\right|^{-\frac{h_n}{2}+2h_n} \geq cM^{2-\frac{3}{2}h_n}.$$

References

[1] Douady Adrien: Does a Julia set depend continuously on the polynomial? Proceedings of Symposia in Applied Mathematics 49, 1994, pp. 91-135.

[2] Lavaurs Pierre: Systèmes dynamiques holomorphes: explosion de points périodiques paraboliques. These, Université Paris-Sud, 1989.

[3] Urbanski Mariusz, Zinsmeister Michel: Geometry of hyperbolic Julia-Lavaurs sets, Preprint 2000.

[4] Mauldin Dan, Urbanski Mariusz: Dimensions and measures in infinite iterated function systems, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 73 (1996), pp. 105-154.

[5] Zinsmeister Michel (after A.Douady): Basic Parabolic implosion in five days. Jyvaskyla 1997.

[6] Douady Adrien, Sentenac Pierrette, Zinsmeister Michel: Implosion parabolique et dimension de Hausdorff, C.R. Acad. Sci., Paris, Ser. I, Math. 325 (1997), pp. 765-772.

[7] Bodart Olivier, Zinsmeister Michel: Quelques résultats sur la dimension de Hausdorff des ensembles de Julia des polynomes quadratiques. Fund. Math. 1996.

[8] McMullen Curt: Hausdorff Dimension and Conformal Dynamics III.

[9]

[10] D. Ruelle, Repellors for real analytic maps, Ergodic theory and Dyn.Sys. 2 (1982), 99-107.

[11] R. Mane, P. Sad, D. Sullivan, On the dynamics of rational maps, Ann. scient. Ec. Norm. Sup. 16 (1983), 193-217.